

**Apathy associated with impaired recognition of happy facial expressions in
Huntington's disease**

Katherine Osborne-Crowley, Sophie C Andrews, Izelle Labuschagne, Akshay Nair, Rachael Scahill, David Craufurd, Sarah J Tabrizi, Julie C Stout, and the Track-HD Investigators*

Citation: Osborne-Crowley, K., Andrews, S. C., Labuschagne, I., Nair, A., Scahill, R., Craufurd, D., Tabrizi, S. J., Stout, J. C., and the Track-HD Investigators. (2019). Apathy associated with impaired recognition of happy facial expression in Huntington's disease. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*. Advanced online publication. doi: 10.1017/S1355617718001224

Author Affiliations:

Katherine Osborne-Crowley, Akshay Nair, Rachael Scahill and Sarah Tabrizi

Huntington's Disease Centre, University College London, Institute of Neurology, and National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, London, UK

Sophie C Andrews and Julie C Stout

Monash Institute of Cognitive and Clinical Neurosciences, School of Psychological Sciences,
Monash University, Melbourne, Australia

Izelle Labuschagne

Cognition and Emotion Research Centre, School of Psychology, Australian Catholic
University, Melbourne, Australia

David Craufurd

Manchester Centre for Genomic Medicine, Division of Evolution and Genomic Sciences,
School of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of
Manchester, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, UK

St. Mary's Hospital, Central Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust,
Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, UK

Correspondence to: Katherine Osborne-Crowley, k.osborne-crowley@ucl.ac.uk

*Track-HD Investigators:

Canada—A Coleman, R Dar Santos, J Decolongon, A Sturrock (University of British
Columbia, Vancouver).

France—E Bardinet, C Jauffret, D Justo, S Lehericy, C Marelli, K Nigaud, P Pourchot, R Valabrègue (APHP, Hôpital Salpêtrière, Paris).

Germany—N Bechtel, S Bohlen, R Reilmann (University of Münster, Münster); A Hoff man, P Kraus (University of Bochum, Bochum); B Landwehrmeyer (University of Ulm)

Netherlands—SJA van den Bogaard, E M Dumas, J van der Grond, EP t’Hart, C Jurgens, M- N Witjes-Ane (Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden).

UK—N Arran, J Callaghan, C Stopford (St Mary’s Hospital, Manchester); C Frost, R Jones (London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London); C Berna, H Crawford, N Fox, C Gibbard, N Hobbs, N Lahiri, I Malone, R Ordidge, G Owen, A Patel, T Pepple, J Read, M Say, R Scahill, D Whitehead, E Wild (University College London, London); S Keenan (Imperial College London, London); D M Cash (IXICO, London); S Hicks, C Kennard (Oxford)

USA—T Acharya, Axelson, H Johnson, D Langbehn, C Wang (University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA); S Lee, W Monaco, H Rosas (Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard, MA); C Campbell, S Queller, K Whitlock (Indiana University, IN); B Borowsky, A Tobin (CHDI).

Australia—C Campbell, M Campbell, E Frajman, C Milchman, A O’Regan (Monash University, Victoria).

Abstract

Objective: Previous research has demonstrated an association between emotion recognition and apathy in a number of neurological conditions involving fronto-striatal pathology, including Parkinson's disease and brain injury. In line with these findings, we aimed to determine whether apathetic participants with early Huntington's disease (HD) were more impaired on an emotion recognition task compared to non-apathetic participants and healthy controls.

Method: We included 43 participants from the TRACK-HD study who reported apathy on the Problem Behaviours Assessment – short version (PBA-S), 67 participants who reported no apathy and 107 controls matched for age, sex and level of education. During their baseline TRACK-HD visit, participants completed a battery of cognitive and psychological tests including an emotion recognition task, the Hospital Depression and Anxiety Scale (HADS) and were assessed on the PBA-S.

Results: Compared to the non-apathetic group and the control group, the apathetic group were impaired on the recognition of happy facial expressions, after controlling for depression symptomology on the HADS and general disease progression (UHDRS total motor score). This was despite no difference between the apathetic and non-apathetic group on overall cognitive functioning assessed by a cognitive composite score.

Conclusions: Impairment of the recognition of happy expressions may be part of the clinical picture of apathy in HD. While shared reliance on fronto-striatal pathways may broadly explain associations between emotion recognition and apathy found across a number of patient groups, further work is needed to determine what relationships exist between recognition of specific emotions, distinct subtypes of apathy and underlying neuropathology.

Keywords: Huntington's disease, Emotion, Apathy, Social behaviour, Cognition,
Cognition disorders

Huntington's disease (HD) is an autosomal-dominant neurodegenerative disorder caused by expanded CAG-repeat in the Huntingtin gene. The disease is characterised by the gradual emergence and progression of motor impairment, neurocognitive deficits and psychiatric symptoms. Subtle neurocognitive deficits can precede the emergence of the motor symptoms of HD (Stout et al., 2011; Paulsen, Miller, Hayes, & Shaw, 2017) and commonly include impairments in social cognition, such as facial emotion recognition (Bora, Velakoulis, & Walterfang, 2016; Henley et al., 2012). Recognition of negative emotions appears to be predominantly affected (Tabrizi et al., 2009). An important unanswered question, though, is whether these social cognition deficits are a component of broader neuropsychiatric syndromes which affect social functioning more generally (Kordsachia, Labuschagne, & Stout, 2017).

Apathy is a prevalent neuropsychiatric symptom in patients with HD (Camacho, Barker, & Mason, 2018) and has important implications for the patients' functional capacity of the patient (Hamilton et al., 2003; van Duijn et al., 2014), quality of life (Eddy & Rickards, 2013), employment (Jacobs, Hart & Roos, 2018), and social functioning (Fritz et al., 2018). Indeed, both patients and caregivers rate apathy in the top three most impactful features of the disease (Simpson, Lovecky, Kogan, Vetter, & Yohrling, 2016). Thus, furthering our understanding of apathy in HD has the potential to improve the quality of life of both patients and caregivers. Clinical apathy is defined as a lack of motivation that has an impact on activities of daily living, leading to a lack of spontaneous and sustained goal-oriented activities (Levy & Dubois, 2006). As well as being common in HD, apathy is also commonly reported following lesions of the prefrontal cortex (PFC) (Eslinger & Damasio, 1985; Stuss, Van Reekum, & Murphy, 2000) or basal ganglia (Bhatia & Marsden, 1994; Engelborghs, Marien, Pickut, Verstraeten, & De Deyn, 2000; Ghika-Schmid & Bogousslavsky, 2000), as well in Parkinson's disease (PD; Aarsland et al., 1999; Aarsland, Litvan, & Larsen, 2001;

Isella et al., 2002; Pluck & Brown, 2002) and progressive supranuclear palsy (Aarsland et al., 2001; Litvan, Paulsen, Mega, & Cummings, 1998). Thus, apathy can be considered a clinical consequence of disruption to the of the PFC-basal ganglia axis, a functional system critically involved in the generation and control of purposeful behaviour. The orbito-medial PFC also appears to be critical for processing affective information in the faces of others (Adolphs, Tranel, & Damasio, 2003). In HD specifically, both emotion recognition deficits and apathy have been associated with atrophy and white matter changes of the orbitofrontal cortex and striatum (Delmaire et al., 2013; Henley et al., 2008; Ille et al., 2011; Scahill et al., 2009). Thus, an association between emotion recognition and apathy may be predicted on the grounds of shared neuropathology.

In addition to sharing overlapping anatomical underpinnings, emotion recognition and apathy may be mechanistically linked as well. One proposed mechanism of apathy is the inability to associate the affective value of rewards with ongoing and forthcoming behaviour (Levy & Dubois, 2006). Since affect is important in providing the motivational value of an action, a loss of the link between affect and behaviours leads to a loss of incentive to perform those behaviours. Specifically, connectivity of the limbic structures to the orbital and medial PFC is the route through which this affective information is thought to influence behaviour (Rolls, 2000). This affective information may be particularly important in motivating behaviour in the social domain, where the behavioural outcomes we experience have strong emotional value. In support of this, problems with social and emotional goal-directed behaviour form a significant part of the clinical picture of apathy, manifesting as disinterest in social interactions and resulting in social withdrawal (Levy & Dubois, 2006). This loss of motivation for social experiences may result from a lack of affective engagement with the social rewards which usually motivate such behaviour (Ruff & Fehr, 2014). A lack of engagement with social stimuli, such as the facial expressions of others, may also preclude

the processing of information contained within the stimuli and result in impairments in emotion recognition.

Indeed, an association between apathy and emotion recognition has been demonstrated in a range of neurologic conditions with fronto-striatal pathology, including PD (Drapier et al., 2006; Martínez-Corral et al., 2010; Robert et al., 2014; Schroeder, 2004), brain injury (Njomboro & Deb, 2014; Njomboro, Humphreys, & Deb, 2014), thalamic infarction with damage to the striatal-ventral pallidal-thalamic-frontomesial limbic loop (Ioannidis et al., 2013) and aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage (Buunk et al., 2017). In one study, conjunction analyses showed that overlap between the networks underlying apathy and emotion recognition impairments included the right premotor cortex, right orbitofrontal cortex, left middle frontal gyrus and left posterior cingulate gyrus in participants with PD (Robert et al., 2014). Thus, there is evidence from a range of patient groups to suggest that emotion recognition deficits frequently co-occur with apathy as the result of damage to shared brain circuitry. These results together support the existence of an anatomical and functional relationship between apathy and impaired emotion recognition in neurological patients, involved in both motivation and emotion processes.

The relationship between apathy and emotion perception has only once been assessed in an HD sample. The study found that performance on the Emotion Evaluation Test of The Awareness of Social Inference Test (TASIT-EET) was associated with informant ratings of apathy on the Frontal System Behavior Scale (FrsBe) above general disease progression (Kempnich et al., 2017). The current study aimed to replicate these results in a larger, multi-national sample of participants with HD. In line with past research in HD and other neurological samples, we hypothesised that apathetic participants with early HD from the TRACK-HD study would be impaired on an emotion recognition task compared to non-

apathetic participants and healthy controls matched for age and level of education. We also controlled for disease progression and symptoms of depression, which both may contribute to impaired emotion recognition (Dalili, Penton-Voak, Harmer, & Munaf, 2015; Tabrizi et al., 2009).

Methods

Participants

Participants were those with early HD and controls who completed the baseline visit of the TRACK-HD study. Premanifest participants were not included in the current analysis as only a small number of them met criteria for apathy. The Track-HD study included 123 control subjects and 123 early-stage HD participants from four different sites (National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, London, UK, the Department of Medical Genetics at the University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada, the Department of Genetics and Cytogenetics at the Hôpital de la Salpêtrière-Université Pierre and Marie Curie, Paris, France, and the Department of Neurology at Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden, Netherland). Participants met the following inclusion criteria: aged between 18 and 65 years; ability to tolerate MRI and biosample collection; absence of major psychiatric disorder or history of significant head injury at time of enrolment. Subjects were not excluded based on medication usage, unless actively part of an experimental therapeutic trial. Early HD participants were defined as having a diagnostic confidence score of 4 on the UHDRS motor assessment. Early HD subjects required presence of motor features consistent with HD, and a diagnostic confidence score of 4, according to the well-established Unified Huntington's Disease Rating Scale (UHDRS) (Huntington Study group 1996). Early HD subjects were required to be within Shoulson and Fahn stage I or II assessed according to UHDRS total functional capacity ($TFC \geq 7$) (Shoulson & Fahn, 1979).

Of the 123 controls, 8 were excluded because they had a PBA apathy score of >1 indicating apathy of at least mild severity. A further 2 were excluded because they did not complete the HADS and a further 6 were excluded because they did not complete the emotion recognition task. The remaining 107 controls were included in the current analysis. Of the 123 participants with HD who completed the baseline visit, 110 were included in the analysis. Nine were excluded because they did not complete the emotion recognition task and a further 4 were excluded because they did not complete the HADS. T-tests determined that the groups did not differ in terms of age and Chi-squared tests determined that the groups did not differ in proportions of males and females, or proportions of participants at different levels of education (see Table 1). Demographic characteristics of the groups can be seen in Table 1.

Materials

Problem Behaviour Assessment

The Problem Behaviour Assessment – Short version (PBA-S; Callaghan et al., 2015) is a semi-structured interview which assesses 10 neuropsychiatric symptoms common in HD: depressed mood, suicidal ideation, anxiety, irritability, angry outbursts / aggressive behaviour, apathy, perseveration, paranoid thinking / delusions, hallucinations and behaviour suggesting disorientation. The PBA-S is a shortened version of the earlier Problem Behaviours Assessment for HD (Craufurd et al., 2001). Study staff who had been trained to criterion for standardised PBA-S interview guidelines administered the measure to all TRACK-HD participants. Each neuropsychiatric symptom is rated in terms of its severity and its frequency in the last month. The interviewers are provided with the following suggested prompts for assessing apathy in patients: *“In the past four weeks, have you found that you have lost interest in things that used to be important to you?”*, *“Are you just as interested as always in trying new things or starting new projects?”*, *“Do you have to be pushed to get*

started on chores that need doing?”, *“Do you leave it to friends for taking the initiative for organising social activities?”*, *“Do you sit around and do a lot of nothing?”*. The interviewer may also follow-up with any additional questions which help them to accurately rate the behaviour. Based on responses provided by the participants themselves or by a companion present at the interview (usually a partner or family member), the interviewer rates both the severity of the apathy in the last month and the frequency with which it has occurred. Severity was rated on a scale from 0 to 4 (0=absent, 1=slight, questionable, 2=mild, 3=moderate, 4=severe) and frequency was rated on a scale of 0 to 4 (0=never/almost never; 1=seldom, less than once/week; 2=sometimes, up to 4 times per week; 3=frequently, most days of the week; 4=daily/almost daily for most or all of the day). The score of interest for this study was the total apathy score, which is the product of the severity and frequency score for the apathy item. The cut-off for the apathetic group was a score of >1 , indicating that apathy was of at least mild severity.

Hospital Anxiety/Depression Scale (HADS)

The HADS (Zigmond & Snaith, 1983) is a commercially available scale with 14 items, 7 measuring anxiety and 7 measuring depression. Each item is rated on a four-point scale. The depression score was used for this analysis.

Emotion Recognition Task

Facial stimuli from the Ekman and Friesen face stimulus set (Ekman & Friesen, 1976) were presented on a Lenovo ThinkPad X61 tablet PC (IBM, New York) that had a 12 inch LCD stylus-sensitive screen with 1400×1050 pixel resolution. For each task trial, a face expressing one of six basic emotions (anger, disgust, fear, happiness, sadness and surprise) or a neutral expression was displayed in the middle of the screen, with seven emotion labels displayed at the bottom of the screen. The face stimuli were 60 mm×90 mm and were

presented in a random order for each participant. Each of the emotion labels were presented in a 26 mm×13 mm ellipse. Across participants, the array of response labels was randomly displayed but within each participant it was kept consistent across trials. Seven practice trials (one for each emotion and the neutral face) preceded the experimental trials to familiarise participants with the response labels. There were 70 experimental trials, 10 for each of the six emotional and neutral stimulus types. The faces were displayed for 4000 ms and the emotion response labels were displayed for up to 8000 ms, allowing participants time to respond after the face had disappeared. All trials were followed by a 1000 ms inter-trial interval.

Participants were instructed to sit approximately 30 cm from the screen and were asked to respond by tapping the appropriate label with a stylus held in their dominant hand.

General Cognitive Tasks

For an overall measure of cognitive ability, a cognitive composite score was created using scores from the five main cognitive measures completed on visit 1 of the TRACK-HD study, detailed below (Trails A, Trails B, symbol digit modalities task, stroop word reading task, spot the change). First, z-scores were calculated for each test using the mean and standard deviation of the whole sample. These z-scores were then summed to create the composite. All cognitive tasks were inter-correlated with r values between .44 and .84 (all p 's <.001). A principle components analysis yielded a single component with an Eigenvector >1. For the component with the eigenvalue >1, all variables yielded similar values (ranging from .75 to .91), making it reasonable to define the global composite as a sum of the standardised scores.

Trails A and B. The Trail Making Test (TMT; Reitan, 1955) is a test of processing speed, sequencing, mental flexibility and visual-motor skills. In part A, the subject uses a pencil to connect a series of 25 encircled numbers in numerical order. In part B, the subject

connects 25 encircled numbers and letters in numerical and alphabetical order, alternating between the numbers and letters. For example, the first number “1” is followed by the first letter “A,” followed by the second number “2” then second letter “B” and so on. The numbers and letters are placed in a semi-random fixed order, in such a manner as to avoid overlapping lines being drawn by the examinee. The variable of interest was time to completion for parts A and B.

Symbol Digit Modalities Test (SDMT). The SDMT is a test of visuomotor integration, involving visual scanning, tracking, and motor speed (Smith, 1982). In the task, participants are provided with a key at the top of the page which matches the numbers 1 to 9 with a series of 9 different symbols. The test consists of blank boxes underneath a series of symbols into which participants must write the corresponding number as quickly as possible. The variable of interest was the total number of boxes filled correctly in 90 seconds.

Stroop Word Test. The Stroop Test has three conditions that require visual scanning, cognitive control and processing speed (Golden & Freshwater, 1978). Because the Word Reading condition (the first condition normally presented) is the most sensitive in premanifest HD, it was the only Stroop condition used in the Track cognitive battery (Stout et al., 2008). Subjects were given a card on which the names of colours were printed in black ink and must read as many words as they are able in 45 seconds. The variable of interest was the number of words correct after 45 seconds.

Spot the change. Spot the Change is a computerised test of visuospatial working memory, which was developed based on earlier work by Cowan and colleagues (2005). On each trial of this test, participants viewed a display of five randomly placed coloured squares for 250 msec (target display). After a 1000 msec delay, the target display was replaced with a display of five squares in the same locations, but one of the squares was circled. The non-

circled squares remained the same colour as they appeared previously. However, on half of the trials, the circled square changed colour. The participant was asked to indicate whether the circled square was the same colour or a different colour, as quickly and accurately as possible. There were 32 trials. The variable of interest was Cowan's k^2 , the number of correct trials, adjusted for guessing as follows:

$$k = 5 * ([\text{number correct hits}/32] + [\text{number correct rejections}/32] - 1).$$

Procedure

At each visit participants completed a battery of cognitive tests, multiple neuropsychiatric questionnaires, gave blood samples and had an MRI scan. In about 90% of participants, all of this data was collected in one visit. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects according to the Declaration of Helsinki and the study was approved by local ethics committees.

Statistical Analysis

The 110 participants with HD were split into two groups: those with a PBA apathy score of >1 (HD-Apathy; $n=43$) and those with a PBA apathy score of ≤ 1 (HD-No Apathy; $n=67$). Significant apathy was defined as a PBA apathy score of >1 , indicating apathy that is of at least mild severity.

First, cognitive composite scores were compared across groups using a univariate analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with HADS depression score entered as a covariate. Additionally, to compare the HD-Apathy group with the HD-No Apathy group while controlling for the effect of disease burden, the analysis was repeated with the addition of the UHDRS total motor score (TMS) as a covariate.

Next, recognition scores for each emotion were compared between groups using a mixed ANCOVA, with the HADS depression score entered as a covariate, group (HA-Apathy, HD-No Apathy, and controls) as the between-subjects factor, and emotion (happiness, surprise, neutral, fear, disgust, anger, and sadness) as the within-subjects factor. Additionally, to compare the HD-Apathy group with the HD-No Apathy while controlling for the effect of disease progression, the analysis was repeated with the addition of TMS as a covariate.

Results

The HD-Apathy and HD-No Apathy groups did not differ in CAG repeat length. However, the HD-Apathy group had higher disease burden (DBS), higher total motor score (TMS), and lower functional capacity (TFC). The disease burden score (DBS) score is calculated from the formula (age x [CAG-35.5]), and represents an estimate of an individual's lifetime exposure to mutant huntingtin, at any age, before or after motor onset (Penney, Vonsattel, MacDonald, Gusella & Myers, 1997). The HD groups were more likely than the control group, and the HD-Apathy group were more likely than the HD-No Apathy group, to take both neuroleptic medications and SSRIs (see Table 1).

Overall Cognitive Ability

Of all the participants, 3 controls, 7 apathetic participants and 3 non-apathetic participants did not have a composite score as they were unable to complete all of the cognitive measures. The ANCOVA with HADS depression and study site entered as covariates revealed a significant main effect of group, $F(4,203)=99.08$, $p<.001$, partial $\eta^2=.49$. Post-hoc pairwise comparisons revealed that the control group had significantly greater cognitive composite scores ($M=4.11$, $SD=3.04$) than both the HD-Apathy ($M=-5.44$,

$SD=4.68$) and HD-No Apathy ($M=-2.74$, $SD=3.74$) groups, $p<.001$. After additionally controlling for TMS, there was no significant difference between cognitive composite scores for the HD-Apathy and HD-No Apathy groups, $p=.111$.

Emotion Recognition

The ANCOVA with HADS depression and study site entered as covariates revealed a significant effect of group, $F(2,212)=84.38$, $p<.001$, partial $\eta^2=.44$, a significant effect of emotion, $F(6,1272)=27.58$, $p<.001$, partial $\eta^2=.12$, and an emotion by group interaction, $F(12,1272)=2.67$, $p=.001$, partial $\eta^2=.03$. Bonferroni post-hoc pairwise comparisons showed that the control group ($M=54.01$, $SD=5.69$) had higher emotion recognition scores than HD-No Apathy group ($M=41.93$, $SD=8.96$, $p<.001$), who had higher scores than the HD-Apathy group ($M=35.88$, $SD=10.34$, $p=.005$). In order to examine the interaction effect, univariate ANOVAs comparing scores for the three groups were conducted separately for each emotion, with HADS depression and study site entered as covariates. The Bonferroni corrected threshold was $\alpha = .007$ ($=.05/7$). These analyses revealed that while the control group performed better than the HD-No Apathy group on every emotion ($p<.001$ in each case), the HD-Apathy group differed from the HD-No Apathy group for recognition of happiness ($<.001$), but not for recognition of surprise ($p=.064$), fear ($p=.074$), neutral ($p=.195$), sadness ($p=.312$), anger ($p=.658$) or disgust ($p=.197$).

Next, these analyses were repeated with the addition of TMS as a covariate (as well as HADS depression and study site), to control for the effect of disease progression, which differed between the HD-Apathy and HD-No Apathy group. The results remained the same, whereby the HD-Apathy group differed from the HD-No Apathy group for recognition of happiness ($p=.002$), but not for recognition of surprise ($p=.353$), fear ($p=.141$), neutral ($p=.718$), sadness ($p=.535$), anger ($p=.765$) or disgust ($p=.517$). The effect size for the

difference between apathetic and non-apathetic participants on recognition of happy expressions was $d=.66$, an effect of medium size. Entering neuroleptic use, SSRI use and the cognitive composite as covariates did not change the results ($p=.016$ for difference between HD-Apathy and HD-No Apathy on recognition of happy expressions). Results of group comparisons for each emotion can be found in Table 2.

Discussion

In line with past research on emotion recognition in patients with early Huntington's disease (for a recent meta-analysis see Bora et al., 2016), we found that participants with HD who did not have apathy were impaired on recognition of all basic emotions compared to controls. Additionally, in partial agreement with our hypothesis, we found a specific impairment in the recognition of happy facial expressions in apathetic participants with HD compared to non-apathetic participants, after controlling for disease progression and symptoms of depression. Further, this impairment was found despite no difference in general cognitive functioning between the two groups. One previous study found that overall emotion recognition score on the TASIT was related to FrsBe apathy scores in a smaller sample of participants with HD (Kempnich et al., 2017). Kempnich and colleagues, however, did not explore relationships with specific emotions and thus may have overlooked the possibility of particular emotions driving the effect. Although causal conclusions cannot be made based on the current analysis, these results suggest that impairments in the recognition of happy expressions may be a part of the clinical picture of apathy in HD.

One hypothesis about the link between emotion recognition and apathy is that damage to orbitomedial fronto-striatal pathways results in deficits in social reward processing, contributing to both problems with emotion recognition and reduced motivation for social behaviour. The orbitofrontal cortex is critical for processing rewards which motivate

behaviour (Rolls, 2000) and for processing the affective information in faces (Adolphs, 2002). In HD specifically, both apathy and emotion recognition is related to atrophy or white matter changes in the orbito-medial PFC and striatum (Delmaire et al., 2013; Henley et al., 2008; Ille et al., 2011; Scahill et al., 2009). Thus, the neuropathology underpinning apathy and emotion recognition impairments in HD overlap. However, it is predominantly the recognition of negative emotions which has been linked to this pathology in HD. It is not clear, then, from this account, why the recognition of happiness alone would be associated with apathy.

Indeed, that apathetic HD participants differ from non-aphathetic HD participants only on recognition of happy expressions is a point of convergence from findings in other neurological patient groups. Impairments across numerous, typically negative, emotions have been found in apathetic participants with PD, AD and brain injury (Buunk et al., 2017; Martínez-Corral et al., 2010; Njomboro et al., 2014; Robert et al., 2014). Further, in PD, non-aphathetic participants were just as good as controls on emotion recognition, leading the authors to conclude that apathy accounted for the entire disease effect on emotion recognition. This is in contrast to our finding that the specific impairment in the apathetic group on happy expressions was in addition to a general disease-related impairment across all emotions. However, with studies using different measures of apathy and different measures of emotion recognition, it is difficult to compare results. Additionally, most research, including the current study, has not delineated cognitive, behavioural and affective apathy, which may have distinct relationships with emotion recognition. Cognitive apathy, for instance, is likely to affect recognition particularly of more difficult emotions. Affective apathy, on the other hand, could have stronger relationships with recognition of more 'social' emotions. Further, these apathy subtypes are associated with different underlying neuropathology (Levy & Dubois, 2006). Cognitive apathy, for instance, is associated with the

dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and its connections with limbic areas while affective apathy is associated with the orbito-medial prefrontal cortex and its connections with limbic areas (Moretti & Signori, 2016). Thus, the relationships between recognition of different emotions, different apathy presentations, and their underlying neuropathology in people with HD need to be explored in future research.

The specificity of the impairment in recognition of happy expressions among apathetic participants in this study raises some interesting questions. In our emotion recognition task, happiness was the only positive emotion tested, and thus the only emotion tested that represents a rewarding stimulus associated with social approach motivation. This raises the question of whether other positive social emotions would be affected to a greater degree in apathetic compared to non-apathetic participants with HD. Typically, only Ekman's six 'basic' emotions are tested on emotion recognition tests, which are primarily negative emotions. These basic emotions include those that are experienced rarely in everyday life and are arguably not particularly social in nature, such as fear. In contrast, there are a wealth of positive social emotions that we experience on the faces of others on a daily basis, including interest, amusement, and excitement, which are important in motivating social behaviour. Future research should seek to determine whether recognition of these other positive social emotions are impaired in apathetic participants compared to non-apathetic participants.

The specificity of the relationship between recognition of happy expressions and apathy is also of interest because happiness is the easiest of the six basic emotions to recognise and reliably produces near ceiling effects in healthy controls (Rosenberg, McDonald, Dethier, Kessels, & Westbrook, 2014). Perhaps due to happiness being relatively easy to identify, recognition of happy expressions is only affected in later stages of HD and with much smaller effect sizes than for impairments in recognition of other emotions (Bora et al., 2016). As such, a more sensitive measure of recognition of happy expressions may reveal

a larger effect of apathy. The most common way to increase the difficulty of expression recognition tasks is to include stimuli in which the emotion is not expressed at full intensity. The use of such tasks and the inclusion of more positive emotions in future research will help to clarify the relationship between expression recognition and apathy.

An important limitation of the current study was that the PBA measure of apathy was unable to distinguish between different types of apathy, namely, cognitive, affective and behavioural apathy. Indeed, there is some evidence that emotion recognition may be specifically associated with affective apathy in people with brain injury (Njomboro & Deb, 2014), but this needs to be further explored in HD. Further, in this study apathy was measured by a single item on the PBA-S, and thus may not always be sensitive to detecting apathy. Another limitation is that although the apathetic and non-apathetic groups did not differ on the cognitive composite score, a greater proportion of apathetic patients (9%) compared to non-apathetic (4%) participants and controls (2%) were unable to complete all cognitive tasks. This indicates that there may have been some cognitive impairment in the apathetic group that was not tapped by the cognitive composite score. On the other hand, refusal to complete tasks may have also contributed to the missing data in the apathetic group. Further, the apathetic group did have a higher disease burden score, higher total motor score and lower functional capacity, indicating that they were slightly more advanced in disease stage than the non-apathetic group. To address this, we controlled for disease severity in the analyses by adjusting for total motor score. Moreover, the relationship with happiness but not the other emotion domains suggests this is not just an overall disease stage effect but instead may represent a more specific association between apathy and impaired recognition of happiness.

The current study demonstrated a specific impairment in the recognition of happy expressions in apathetic compared with non-apathetic participants. This is partially in line

with the broader emotion recognition impairments found previously in apathetic participants with HD and other neurological disorders (Buunk et al., 2017; Kempnich et al., 2017; Martínez-Corral et al., 2010; Njomboro et al., 2014; Robert et al., 2014). While shared reliance on fronto-striatal pathways may broadly explain associations between emotion recognition and apathy found across a number of patient groups, further work is needed to determine what relationships exist between recognition of specific emotions, distinct subtypes of apathy and underlying neuropathology.

Acknowledgements

Preparation of this manuscript was supported by a Wellcome Trust Collaborative Award (200181/Z/15/Z). There are no conflicts of interest associated with this publication.

References

- Aarsland, D., Larsen, J. P., Lim, N. G., Janvin, C., Karlsen, K., Tandberg, E., & Cummings, J. L. (1999). Range of neuropsychiatric disturbances in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, *67*(4), 492–496.
<https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.67.4.492>
- Aarsland, D., Litvan, I., & Larsen, J. P. (2001). Neuropsychiatric symptoms of patients with progressive supranuclear palsy and Parkinson's disease. *The Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, *13*(1), 42–49. <https://doi.org/10.1176/jnp.13.1.42>
- Adolphs, R. (2002). Neural systems for recognizing emotion. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4388\(02\)00301-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4388(02)00301-X)
- Adolphs, R., Tranel, D., & Damasio, A. R. (2003). Dissociable neural systems for recognizing emotions. *Brain and Cognition*, *52*(1), 61–69.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-2626\(03\)00009-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-2626(03)00009-5)
- Bhatia, K. P., & Marsden, C. D. (1994). The behavioural and motor consequences of focal lesions of the basal ganglia in man. *Brain*, *117*, 859–876.
- Bora, E., Velakoulis, D., & Walterfang, M. (2016). Social cognition in Huntington's disease: A meta-analysis. *Behavioural Brain Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2015.10.001>
- Buunk, A. M., Spikman, J. M., Veenstra, W. S., van Laar, P. J., Metzemaekers, J. D. M., van Dijk, J. M. C., ... Groen, R. J. M. (2017). Social cognition impairments after aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage: Associations with deficits in interpersonal behaviour, apathy, and impaired self-awareness. *Neuropsychologia*, *103*, 131–139.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2017.07.015>

Callaghan, J., Stopford, C., Arran, N., Boisse, M.-F., Coleman, A., Santos, R. D., ...

Craufurd, D. (2015). Reliability and Factor Structure of the Short Problem Behaviors Assessment for Huntington's Disease (PBA-s) in the TRACK-HD and REGISTRY studies. *The Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 27(1), 59–64.
<https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.neuropsych.13070169>

Camacho, M., Barker, R., Mason, S. L. (2018). Apathy in Huntington's disease: A review of the current conceptualisation. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease and Parkinsonism*, 8(20), doi: 10.4172/2161-0460.1000431

Cowan, N., Elliott, E. M., Saults, S. J., Morey, C. C., Mattox, S., Hismjatullina, A., & Conway, A. R. A. (2005). On the capacity of attention: Its estimation and its role in working memory and cognitive aptitudes. *Cognitive Psychology*.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogpsych.2004.12.001>

Craufurd, D., & Snowden, J. (2002). Neuropsychological and neuropsychiatric aspects of Huntington's disease. *Oxford Monographs on Medical Genetics*, 45, 62–94.

Craufurd, D., Thompson, J. C., & Snowden, J. S. (2001). Behavioral changes in Huntington Disease. *Neuropsychiatry Neuropsychol Behav Neurol*, 14(4), 219–226.

Dalili, M. N., Penton-Voak, I. S., Harmer, C. J., & Munaf, M. R. (2015). Meta-analysis of emotion recognition deficits in major depressive disorder. *Psychological Medicine*.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291714002591>

Delmaire, C., Dumas, E. M., Sharman, M. A., van den Bogaard, S. J. A., Valabregue, R., Jauffret, C., ... Lehericy, S. (2013). The structural correlates of functional deficits in early huntington's disease. *Human Brain Mapping*, 34(9), 2141–2153.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.22055>

Drapier, D., Drapier, S., Sauleau, P., Haegelen, C., Raoul, S., Biseul, I., ... Millet, B. (2006).

Does subthalamic nucleus stimulation induce apathy in Parkinson's disease? *Journal of Neurology*, 253(8), 1083–1091. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-006-0177-0>

Eddy, C. M., & Rickards, H. E. (2013). Impact of cognitive and behavioural changes on quality of life in Huntington's disease. *Basal Ganglia*, 3(2), 123-126.

Ekman, P., & Friesen, W. V. (1976). *Pictures of Facial Affect*. Paulo Alto: Consulting Psychologists Press.

Engelborghs, S., Marien, P., Pickut, B. A., Verstraeten, S., & De Deyn, P. P. (2000). Loss of psychic self-activation after paramedian bithalamic infarction. *Stroke*, 31(7), 1762–1765. <https://doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.31.7.1762>

Eslinger, P. J., & Damasio, A. R. (1985). Severe disturbance of higher cognition after bilateral frontal lobe ablation: Patient EVR. *Neurology*, 35(12), 1731–1731. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.35.12.1731>

Fritz, N. E., Boileau, N. R., Stout, J. C., Ready, R., Perlmutter, J. S., Paulsen, J. S., ... & Carlozzi, N. E. (2018). Relationships Among Apathy, Health-Related Quality of Life, and Function in Huntington's Disease. *The Journal of neuropsychiatry and clinical neurosciences*, 30(3), 194-201.

Ghika-Schmid, F., & Bogousslavsky, J. (2000). The acute behavioral syndrome of anterior thalamic infarction: A prospective study of 12 cases. *Annals of Neurology*, 48(2), 220–227. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1531-8249\(200008\)48:2<220::AID-ANA12>3.0.CO;2-M](https://doi.org/10.1002/1531-8249(200008)48:2<220::AID-ANA12>3.0.CO;2-M)

Hamilton, J. M., Salmon, D. P., Corey-Bloom, J., Gamst, A., Paulsen, J. S., Jerkins, S., ... Peavy, G. (2003). Behavioural abnormalities contribute to functional decline in

- Huntington's disease. *Journal of Neurology Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, 74(1), 120–122. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.74.1.120>
- Henley, S. M. D., Novak, M. J. U., Frost, C., King, J., Tabrizi, S. J., & Warren, J. D. (2012). Emotion recognition in Huntington's disease: A systematic review. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2011.06.002>
- Henley, S. M. D., Wild, E. J., Hobbs, N. Z., Warren, J. D., Frost, C., Scahill, R. I., ... Tabrizi, S. J. (2008). Defective emotion recognition in early HD is neuropsychologically and anatomically generic. *Neuropsychologia*, 46(8), 2152–2160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2008.02.025>
- Ille, R., Schäfer, A., Scharmüller, W., Enzinger, C., Schögl, H., Kapfhammer, H.-P., & Schienle, A. (2011). Emotion recognition and experience in Huntington disease: a voxel-based morphometry study. *Journal of Psychiatry & Neuroscience : JPN*, 36(6), 383–390. <https://doi.org/10.1503/jpn.100143>
- Ioannidis, A. E., Kimiskidis, V. K., Loukopoulou, E., Geroukis, T., Vlaikidis, N., & Kosmidis, M. H. (2013). Apathy, cognitive dysfunction and impaired social cognition in a patient with bilateral thalamic infarction. *Neurocase*, 19(5), 513–520. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13554794.2012.701645>
- Isella, V., Melzi, P., Grimaldi, M., Iurlaro, S., Piolti, R., Ferrarese, C., ... Appollonio, I. (2002). Clinical, neuropsychological, and morphometric correlates of apathy in Parkinson's disease. *Movement Disorders*, 17(2), 366–371. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.10041>
- Jacobs, M., Hart, E. P., Roos, R. (2018). Cognitive performance and apathy predict unemployment in Huntington's disease mutation carriers. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin*

Neurosci, 30, 188-193. doi: 10.1176/appi.neuropsych.17070144

Kempnich, C. L., Andrews, S. C., Fisher, F., Wong, D., Georgiou-Karistianis, N., & Stout, J. C. (2017). Emotion Recognition Correlates With Social-Neuropsychiatric Dysfunction in Huntington's Disease. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*, (2017), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355617717001308>

Kordsachia, C. C., Labuschagne, I., & Stout, J. C. (2017). Beyond emotion recognition deficits: A theory guided analysis of emotion processing in Huntington's disease. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.11.020>

Langbehn, D., Brinkman, R., Falush, D., Paulsen, J., Hayden, M., & on behalf of an International Huntington. (2004). A new model for prediction of the age of onset and penetrance for Huntington's disease based on CAG length. *Clinical Genetics*, 65(4), 267–277. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-0004.2004.00241.x>

Levy, R., & Dubois, B. (2006). Apathy and the functional anatomy of the prefrontal cortex-basal ganglia circuits. *Cerebral Cortex*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhj043>

Litvan, I., Paulsen, J. S., Mega, M. S., & Cummings, J. L. (1998). Neuropsychiatric assessment of patients with hyperkinetic and hypokinetic movement disorders. *Archives of Neurology*, 55(10), 1313–1319. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archneur.55.10.1313>

Martínez-Corral, M., Pagonabarraga, J., Llebaria, G., Pascual-Sedano, B., García-Sánchez, C., Gironell, A., & Kulisevsky, J. (2010). Facial Emotion Recognition Impairment in Patients with Parkinson's Disease and Isolated Apathy. *Parkinson's Disease*, 2010, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.4061/2010/930627>

- Moretti, R., & Signori, R. (2016). Neural correlates for apathy: frontal-prefrontal and parietal cortical-subcortical circuits. *Frontiers in aging neuroscience*, 8, 289.
- Njomboro, P., & Deb, S. (2014). Distinct neuropsychological correlates of cognitive, behavioral, and affective apathy sub-domains in acquired brain injury. *Frontiers in Neurology*, 5 MAY. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2014.00073>
- Njomboro, P., Humphreys, G. W., & Deb, S. (2014). Exploring social cognition in patients with apathy following acquired brain damage. *BMC Neurology*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2377-14-18>
- Paulsen, J. S., Ready, R. E., Hamilton, J. M., Mega, M. S., & Cummings, J. L. (2001). Neuropsychiatric aspects of Huntington's disease. *Journal of Neurology Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, 71(3), 310–314. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.71.3.310>
- Paulsen, J. S., Miller, A. C., Hayes, T., & Shaw, E. (2017). Cognitive and behavioral changes in Huntington disease before diagnosis. *Handbook of clinical neurology*, 144, 69-91. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-801893-4.00006-7
- Penney, J. B., Vonsattel, J. P., MacDonald, M. E., Gusella, J. F., Myers, R. H. (1997). CAG repeat number governs the development rate of pathology in Huntington's disease. *Ann Neurol* (41), 689–92.
- Pluck, G. C., & Brown, R. G. (2002). Apathy in Parkinson's disease. *Journal of Neurology Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, 73(6), 636–642. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.73.6.636>
- Reitan, R. M. (1955). The relation of the Trail Making Test to organic brain damage. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 19(5), 393–394. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0044509>
- Robert, G., Le Jeune, F., Dondaine, T., Drapier, S., Péron, J., Lozachmeur, C., ... Drapier, D.

- (2014). Apathy and impaired emotional facial recognition networks overlap in Parkinson's disease: A PET study with conjunction analyses. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, 85(10), 1153–1158. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2013-307025>
- Rolls, E. T. (2000). The Orbitofrontal Cortex and Reward. *Cerebral Cortex*, 10(3), 284–294. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/10.3.284>
- Rosenberg, H., McDonald, S., Dethier, M., Kessels, R. P. C., & Westbrook, R. F. (2014). Facial emotion recognition deficits following moderate-severe traumatic brain injury (TBI): Re-examining the valence effect and the role of emotion intensity. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*, 20(10), 994–1003. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355617714000940>
- Rowe, K. C., Paulsen, J. S., Langbehn, D. R., Duff, K., Beglinger, L. J., Wang, C., ... Moser, D. J. (2010). Self-Paced Timing Detects and Tracks Change in Prodromal Huntington Disease. *Neuropsychology*, 24(4), 435–442. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018905>
- Ruff, C. C., & Fehr, E. (2014). The neurobiology of rewards and values in social decision making. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3776>
- Say, M. J., Jones, R., Scahill, R. I., Dumas, E. M., Coleman, A., Santos, R. C. D., ... Stout, J. C. (2011). Visuomotor integration deficits precede clinical onset in Huntington's disease. *Neuropsychologia*, 49(2), 264–270. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2010.11.016>
- Scahill, R. I., Hobbs, N. Z., Jones, R., Frost, C., Reilmann, R., Bechtel, N., & Hicks, S. L. (2009). Correlations Between Structural Loss And Functional Decline In Premanifest And Early Huntington's Disease: Cross-sectional Vbm Analysis From Track-hd.

Clinical Genetics, 76, 44.

Schroeder, U. (2004). Facial expression recognition and subthalamic nucleus stimulation.

Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry, 75(4), 648–650.

<https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.2003.019794>

Shoulson, I., & Fahn, S. (1979). Huntington disease: clinical care and evaluation. *Neurology*, 29(1), 1–3.

Simpson, J. A., Lovecky, D., Kogan, J., Vetter, L. A., Yohrling, G. J. (2016). Survey of the Huntington's disease patient and caregiver community reveals most impactful symptoms and treatment needs. *J Huntingtons Dis*, 5, 395-403.

Stout, J. C., Tomusk., A., Queller, S., Lifer, S., Hastings, S., Dawson, J., Walker, B.,

Whitlock, K., & Johnson, S. (2008). Optimal measurement of clinical and biological markers for clinical trials: The HD Toolkit Project. *Neurotherapeutics*, 5(2), 362-375.

Stout, J. C., Paulsen, J. S., Queller, S., Solomon, A. C., Whitlock, K. B., Campbell, J. C., ...

Aylward, E. H. (2011). Neurocognitive Signs in Prodromal Huntington Disease.

Neuropsychology, 25(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020937>

Stuss, D. T., Van Reekum, R., & Murphy, K. J. (2000). Differentiation of states and causes of apathy. In *The neuropsychology of emotion* (pp. 340–363). Retrieved from

<https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=sBdaUviMAAgC&oi=fnd&pg=PA340>

&dq=stuss+differentiation+of+states+and+causes+of+apathy&ots=ze4gCrtfXP&sig=Su

EQqGcnIfOpYTfeIbiFA35sbmY

Tabrizi, S. J., Langbehn, D. R., Leavitt, B. R., Roos, R. A., Durr, A., Craufurd, D., ... Stout,

J. C. (2009). Biological and clinical manifestations of Huntington's disease in the

longitudinal TRACK-HD study: cross-sectional analysis of baseline data. *The Lancet Neurology*, 8(9), 791–801. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422\(09\)70170-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(09)70170-X)

Thompson, J. C., Snowden, J. S., Craufurd, D., & Neary, D. (2002). Behavior in Huntington's disease: dissociating cognition-based and mood-based changes. *Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 14(1), 37–43.
<https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.neuropsych.14.1.37>

van Duijn, E., Craufurd, D., Hubers, A. A., Giltay, E. J., Bonelli, R. et al (2014). Neuropsychiatric symptoms in a European Huntington's disease cohort (REGISTRY). *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*, 85, 1411-1418.

Zigmond, A. S., & Snaith, R. P. (1983). The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 67(6), 361–370. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0447.1983.tb09716.x>