OUR TOMORROW STARTS WITH 2

MAKE TIVICAY + LAMIVUDINE THEIR FIRST HIV REGIMEN

POWERFUL EFFICACY

non-inferior to a traditional 3-drug regimen in adults[1]

0 RESISTANCE

up to 48 weeks[1]

A COMPLETE REGIMEN

with 2 ARVs[1]

GEMINI-1 AND GEMINI-2 48-WEEK DATA
(DTG + 3TC: n=716; DTG + TDF/FTC: n=717)[1]

BECAUSE NO ONE SHOULD TAKE MORE MEDICINES THAN THEY NEED

Tivicay dolutegravir + lamivudine

POWERED BY DTG AT THE CORE

TIVICAY + lamivudine was studied in HBV-negative adult patients with screening viral loads up to 500,000 copies/mL. Suitable for patients with no known or suspected viral resistance to integrase inhibitors or lamivudine.

Reference: 1. Cahn P et al. Published online November 9, 2018. *Lancet.* doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32462-0.

ViiV Healthcare

CLICK HERE FOR PRESCRIBING AND ADVERSE EVENT REPORTING INFORMATION

Date of preparation: March 2019   PM-GBL-DLM-WCNT-190005

Level of agreement between frequently used cardiovascular risk calculators in people living with HIV

S Dhillon,[1] CA Sabin [iD],[2] J Alagaratnam,[1] E Bagkeris,[2] FA Post,[3] M Boffito,[1,4] J Anderson,[5] J Vera,[6] I Williams,[7] M Johnson,[8] M Sachikonye,[9] D Babalis,[10] PW Mallon[11] and A Winston[1] for the Pharmacokinetic and Clinical Observations in People over Fifty (POPPY) study*

[1]Section of Retrovirology, Department of Medicine, St Mary's Hospital Campus, Imperial College London, London, UK, [2]Institute for Global Health, University College London, London, UK, [3]King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK, [4]Chelsea and Westminster Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK, [5]Homerton University Hospital, London, UK, [6]Department of Global Health and Infection, Brighton and Sussex Medical School, Brighton, UK, [7]Mortimer Market Centre, UCL, London, UK, [8]Royal Free NHS Trust, London, UK, [9]UK Community Advisory Board (UK-CAB), London, UK, [10]Imperial Clinical Trials Unit, London, UK and [11]UCD School of Medicine, Dublin, Ireland

Objectives

The aim of the study was to describe agreement between the QRISK2, Framingham and Data Collection on Adverse Events of Anti-HIV Drugs (D:A:D) cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk calculators in a large UK study of people living with HIV (PLWH).

Methods

PLWH enrolled in the Pharmacokinetic and Clinical Observations in People over Fifty (POPPY) study without a prior CVD event were included in this study. QRISK2, Framingham CVD and the full and reduced D:A:D CVD scores were calculated; participants were stratified into 'low' (< 10%), 'intermediate' (10–20%) and 'high' (> 20%) categories for each. Agreement between scores was assessed using weighted kappas and Bland–Altman plots.

Results

The 730 included participants were predominantly male (636; 87.1%) and of white ethnicity (645; 88.5%), with a median age of 53 [interquartile range (IQR) 49–59] years. The median calculated 10-year CVD risk was 11.9% (IQR 6.8–18.4%), 8.9% (IQR 4.6–15.0%), 8.5% (IQR 4.8–14.6%) and 6.9% (IQR 4.1–11.1%) when using the Framingham, QRISK2, and full and reduced D:A:D scores, respectively. Agreement between the different scores was generally moderate, with the highest level of agreement being between the Framingham and QRISK2 scores (weighted kappa = 0.65) but with most other kappa coefficients in the 0.50–0.60 range.

Conclusions

Estimates of predicted 10-year CVD risk obtained with commonly used CVD risk prediction tools demonstrate, in general, only moderate agreement among PLWH in the UK. While further validation with clinical endpoints is required, our findings suggest that care should be taken when interpreting any score alone.

Keywords: agreement, cardiovascular risk prediction, Data Collection on Adverse Events of Anti-HIV Drugs (D:A:D) risk score, Framingham, HIV, QRISK2

Correspondence: Professor Alan Winston, HIV Clinical Trials, Winston Churchill Wing, St Mary's Hospital, Praed Street, London W2 1NY, UK (tel: +44 203 312 1603; e-mail: a.winston@imperial.ac.uk) and Professor Caroline Sabin, Centre for Clinical Research, Epidemiology, Modelling and Evaluation, Institute for Global Health, UCL, Royal Free Campus, Rowland Hill Street, London NW3 2PF, UK (tel: +44 207 794 1224; e-mail: c.sabin@ucl.ac.uk).

*See Appendix for the members of the Pharmacokinetic and Clinical Observations in People over Fifty (POPPY) study group.

Introduction

As the population of people living with HIV (PLWH) has aged, awareness of the impact of noninfectious causes of morbidity in this group has increased. In particular, cardiovascular disease (CVD) has been reported to occur more frequently, with European guidelines now recommending routine screening for CVD in PLWH [1,2].

The Framingham CVD calculator [3] was the first CVD risk algorithm to be adopted for widespread use in the general population, incorporating information on age, gender, smoking, total and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, systolic blood pressure, treatment for hypertension and type 2 diabetes. In the UK, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) recommends use of the QRISK2 calculator [4] as it is applicable to a wider age range than the Framingham calculator (30–84 years) and as the Framingham calculator may overestimate CVD risk in UK populations [5,6]. QRISK2 additionally incorporates information on ethnicity, body mass index (BMI), a history of angina or myocardial infarction (MI) in a first-degree relative, an individual's own history of rheumatoid arthritis, chronic kidney disease or atrial fibrillation, and the Townsend deprivation index (based on a person's postcode).

While the British HIV Association (BHIVA) recommends use of the QRISK2 calculator for PLWH aged > 40 years, both QRISK2 and Framingham reportedly underestimate risk in PLWH [6,7]. The risk equation estimated in the Data Collection on Adverse Events of Anti-HIV Drugs (D:A:D) study is the only current CVD risk calculator derived specifically for PLWH, additionally taking into consideration an individual's CD4 count and history of antiretroviral treatment (ART) use, particularly cumulative exposure to protease inhibitors (PIs) and nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) and current use of abacavir [7]. A reduced version of the D:A:D CVD calculator is also available which does not incorporate ART use. While the agreement between different CVD risk scores and clinical outcomes has been assessed in several studies, information within a UK population of PLWH is limited. In particular, QRISK2 has been shown to generate a higher risk score than Framingham in some small UK studies [8,9], although these findings have not been consistently reported [10]. In HIV-negative individuals, agreement between the QRISK2 and Framingham risk calculators was good in individuals at low risk but weakened as risk increased [11].

We describe the level of agreement between the QRISK2, Framingham CVD and D:A:D CVD risk calculators in a large UK study of PLWH.

Methods

Two groups of PLWH (older PLWH aged ≥ 50 years and younger PLWH aged 18–50 years) were prospectively enrolled in the Pharmacokinetic and Clinical Observations in People over Fifty (POPPY) study, a multicentre observational study to examine the effects of ageing and clinical outcomes of PLWH in the UK and Ireland [12]. Inclusion criteria are: documented HIV infection, self-defined white or black African ethnicity, likely route of infection via sexual exposure, and the ability to comprehend the information leaflet. The younger group of PLWH was frequency matched on gender, ethnicity, sexual orientation and geographical location to the older PLWH. The study also recruited a group of HIV-negative individuals aged ≥ 50 years, who were excluded from present analyses. Individuals were recruited to the study from April 2013 to January 2016 and are seen approximately annually for follow-up visits; only the baseline data were used in the present analyses. The study was approved by the UK National Research Ethics Service (NRES; Fulham, London, UK; reference number 12/LO/1409) and participants provided written informed consent.

Eligible individuals were required to have complete information for the calculation of each risk calculator. Analyses were restricted to individuals aged > 40 years to ensure that the Framingham risk calculator was valid, and individuals who had previously experienced an MI or stroke were excluded. As the POPPY study does not capture information on postcode, QRISK2 scores were calculated assuming that postcode was unavailable. However, as a sensitivity analysis, the score was also calculated using the postcode of the participant's hospital as a surrogate (participants from Mater Misericordiae University Hospital Dublin, Ireland, a non-UK site with no postcode, were excluded from this sensitivity analysis). The published D:A:D score [7] generates an estimate of an individual's five-year risk of CVD; for consistency, 10-year scores were generated using the model previously described [7] but with the absolute 10-year survival risk (0.9697) replacing the published absolute five-year survival risk (0.9853) (M. Law, personal communication).

Participants were stratified into 'low' (< 10%), 'intermediate' (10–20%) and 'high' (> 20%) risk categories for each score. The weighted kappa coefficient was calculated to determine agreement between pairs of risk scores, with moderate agreement defined as a kappa of 0.41–0.60, and substantial agreement as a kappa of 0.61–0.80 [13]. Absolute values of each score were assessed for significance using unpaired t-tests and Bland–Altman plots [14].

Results

Of the 1073 PLWH participating in the POPPY study, 912 were aged > 40 years at recruitment and had not had a prior MI or stroke. Of these, the 730 (80.0%) with complete data for calculation of all scores were included; the majority of those excluded from analyses were missing information on total and/or HDL cholesterol.

Included participants were predominantly male (636; 87.1%) and of white ethnicity (645; 88.5%), with a median age of 53 [interquartile range (IQR) 49–59] years. Current smoking was reported by 178 (24.4%) and 258 (35.3%) were ex-smokers. The median systolic blood pressure was 129 (IQR 118–140) mmHg; 102 (14.0%) reported receiving anti-hypertensive medication. The median total and HDL cholesterol concentrations were 4.9 (IQR 4.2–5.5) and 1.2 (IQR 1.0–1.5) mmol/L, respectively, and the median BMI was 25.6 (IQR 23.4–28.3) kg/m^2. Forty-four (6.0%), two (0.3%), eight (1.1%) and one (0.1%) of the participants had diabetes, an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) of $\leq$ 30 mL/min/1.73 m^2, atrial fibrillation and rheumatoid arthritis, respectively. Of the HIV parameters, the median CD4 count was 630 (IQR 470–807) cells/μL, 693 (94.9%) had ever received NRTIs for a median of 9.4 (IQR 4.8–14.3) years, 431 (59.0%) had ever received PIs for a median of 6.4 (IQR 2.8–11.1) years, and 97 (13.3%) were currently receiving abacavir.

The median calculated 10-year CVD risk was 11.9% (IQR 6.8–18.4%) using the Framingham risk score, 8.9% (IQR 4.6–15.0%) using QRISK2, 8.5% (IQR 4.8–14.6%) using the full D:A:D score and 6.9% (IQR 4.1–11.1%) using the reduced D:A:D score (Table 1). The estimated

10-year CVD risk was a mean of 3.0%, 2.7% and 5.7% higher when calculated using the Framingham score than when calculated using the QRISK2 and full and reduced D:A:D scores, respectively. In turn, the estimated 10-year CVD risk was 2.7% higher, on average, when calculated using QRISK2 than when calculated using the reduced D:A:D score, although no significant difference was seen compared with the full D:A:D score. Finally, the estimated 10-year risk was 3.0% higher, on average, when generated using the full D:A:D score than when generated using the reduced D:A:D score.

Overall, the numbers of individuals categorized as being at intermediate or high CVD risk, respectively, were 276 (37.8%) and 157 (21.5%) using the Framingham score, 228 (31.2%) and 99 (13.6%) using QRISK2, 196 (26.9%) and 108 (14.8%) using the full D:A:D score, and 183 (25.1%) and 37 (5.1%) using the reduced D:A:D score. Agreement between scores was generally moderate, with the highest level of agreement being between the Framingham and QRISK2 scores (weighted kappa = 0.65) but with most other kappa coefficients in the 0.50–0.60 range. All figures suggested greater discrepancies between scores as risk increased (Fig. 1). Stratification by age group (Table S1) did not reveal any trend towards improved agreement in older individuals.

After excluding Irish participants without a UK postcode, the median calculated QRISK2 10-year CVD risk in the remaining 693 participants was 10.6% (IQR 5.8–17.3%), with 328 (47.3%), 242 (34.9%) and 123 (17.8%) classified as being at low, intermediate and high CVD risk, respectively. Weighted kappa was 0.70 [95% confidence interval (CI) 0.66–0.74] with Framingham, 0.60 (95% CI 0.55–0.64) with the full D:A:D score, and 0.51

Table 1 Estimates obtained using different cardiovascular risk equations, and agreement between equations

	Cardiovascular risk score			
	Framingham	QRISK2	D:A:D full	D:A:D reduced
10-year % risk score [median (IQR)]	11.9 (6.8–18.4)	8.9 (4.6–15.0)	8.5 (4.8–14.6)	6.9 (4.1–11.1)
Cardiovascular risk classification [n (%)]				
Low (< 10%)	297 (40.7)	403 (55.2)	426 (58.4)	510 (69.9)
Intermediate (10–20%)	276 (37.8)	228 (31.2)	196 (26.9)	183 (25.1)
High (> 20%)	157 (21.5)	99 (13.6)	108 (14.8)	37 (5.1)
Agreement between scores (difference calculated as column score minus row score)				
Framingham				
Difference in predicted 10-year risk (%) [mean (SD)]	–	−3.00 (4.50); P = 0.0004	−2.66 (6.33); P = 0.0001	−5.66 (4.94); P = 0.0001
Weighted kappa (95% CI)		0.65 (0.61–0.69)	0.53 (0.49–0.58)	0.41 (0.37–0.45)
QRISK2				
Difference in predicted 10-year risk (%) [mean (SD)]	–	–	0.34 (6.34); P = 0.15	−2.66 (4.38); P = 0.0001
Weighted kappa (95% CI)			0.60 (0.55–0.64)	0.60 (0.56–0.65)
D:A:D full				
Difference in predicted 10-year risk (%) [mean (SD)]	–	–	–	−3.00 (5.59); P = 0.0001
Weighted kappa (95% CI)				0.58 (0.53–0.63)

CI, confidence interval; D:A:D, Data Collection on Adverse Events of Anti-HIV Drugs; IQR, interquartile range; SD, standard deviation.

(95% CI 0.47–0.56) with the reduced D:A:D score, indicating moderate to substantial agreement.

Discussion

Our findings show that estimates of predicted 10-year CVD risk obtained with CVD risk prediction tools commonly used in the UK are, in general, only in moderate agreement among a large UK population of PLWH. In contrast to previous small UK studies, estimates of CVD risk based on the Framingham equation were significantly higher than those based on the QRISK2 equation, which is recommended for use in the UK in this population.

While the QRISK2 algorithm is based on UK health care data and is often preferred for this reason, the D:A:D equations additionally take into consideration other predictors of CVD among PLWH, including exposure to specific antiretroviral drugs and immunosuppression, factors previously demonstrated to be associated with CVD risk [15–17]. Our findings suggest that the full D:A:D risk equation provides similar scores at a population level to those of QRISK2, although with only moderate agreement between these scores. While an optimal analysis would include an assessment of the association between the predicted scores and absolute CVD risk based on clinical endpoints, the average age of POPPY participants and the low expected incidence of CVD in this group mean that such an analysis is unlikely to be feasible, at least in the short term. Thus, while we are unable to comment on the reliability of these scores for identifying those at genuinely high CVD risk, the inclusion of HIV-specific factors in the

D:A:D model provides support for its use in this population.

In 2017, an updated version of the QRISK calculator, QRISK3, was released [18]. Contrary to expectations, the presence of HIV/AIDS did not reach statistical significance in the model because of the low number of PLWH registered in the database, and HIV/AIDS was therefore not included in the final model. Although the contribution of HIV itself to CVD risk remains unclear [19], it is likely that incomplete ascertainment of HIV status among individuals attending the primary care practices included in the data set may have reduced the study power to determine an association with HIV infection.

We note that the three risk equations evaluate slightly different composite endpoints. For example, while QRISK2 evaluates an endpoint that includes angina, MI, stroke and transient ischaemic attack, the Framingham CVD risk score additionally incorporates coronary death, coronary insufficiency, peripheral arterial disease and heart failure into the endpoint, whereas the D:A:D scores consider an endpoint comprising MI, stroke, invasive cardiovascular procedures and sudden coronary deaths only. Thus, we would expect some difference in predicted risk between the three equations, with predicted risks for QRISK2 being somewhat lower than those based on Framingham and potentially higher than those based on the D:A:D scores. Thus, our reported differences are consistent with, although somewhat greater than, these expectations.

A proportion of POPPY participants were excluded from our analyses as information was not available on some key factors. This was, however, largely a result of


Fig. 1 Bland–Altman plots showing levels of agreement between the four cardiovascular disease risk scores. D:A:D, Data Collection on Adverse Events of Anti-HIV Drugs.

cholesterol levels being unavailable from one participating clinic, and thus is unlikely to have introduced substantial bias. Although our primary analyses used the version of QRISK2 that did not require individual postcodes, similar findings were obtained when we utilized the hospital postcode as a surrogate measure. POPPY participants are of white or black African ethnicity, and the majority of participants are men, reflecting the epidemiology of HIV in this age group in the UK. While we performed some stratified analyses by age group, our sample is too small to reliably stratify by either gender or ethnicity, and we cannot, therefore, comment on predicted CVD risk in specific gender/ethnicity subgroups. Furthermore, the published D:A:D risk score was extrapolated to give a 10-year rather than five-year risk prediction, which may introduce errors, particularly as cumulative exposures to some ART drugs in the POPPY study may exceed those in the D:A:D data set used to generate the scores.

In summary, around 15% of PLWH aged > 40 years in our UK study had a high predicted 10-year risk of CVD based on either the QRISK2 or full D:A:D scores, supporting the monitoring of CVD risk and provision of CVD risk reduction strategies as part of routine care. While a similar proportion of individuals were predicted to have a high CVD risk, agreement between the two equations was only moderate and thus care should be taken when interpreting either score alone.

Acknowledgements

Appendix

POPPY Management Team: Daphne Babalis, Marta Boffito, Amalia Ndoutoumou, Paddy Mallon, Frank Post, Caroline Sabin, Memory Sachikonye and Alan Winston.

POPPY Scientific Steering Committee: Jane Anderson, David Asboe, Marta Boffito, Lucy Garvey, Paddy Mallon, Frank Post, Anton Pozniak, Caroline Sabin, Memory Sachikonye, Jaime Vera, Ian Williams and Alan Winston.

POPPY sites: Elton John Centre, Brighton and Sussex University Hospital (Amanda Clarke, Jaime Vera, Andrew Bexley, Celia Richardson, Sarah Kirk and Rebecca Gleig); St Stephen's Centre, Chelsea and Westminster Hospital (Marta Boffito, David Asboe, Anton Pozniak, Margherita Bracchi, Nicole Pagani, Maddalena Cerrone, Daniel Bradshaw, Francesca Ferretti, Chris Higgs, Elisha Seah, Stephen Fletcher, Michelle Anthonipillai, Ashley Moyes, Katie Deats, Irtiza Syed, Clive Matthews, Peter Fernando, Chido Chiwome and Shane Hardwick); Homerton Sexual Health Services, Homerton University Hospital (Jane Anderson, Sifiso Mguni, Rebecca Clark, Rhiannon Nevin-Dolan and Sambasivarao Pelluri); Caldecot Centre, King's College Hospital (Frank Post, Lucy Campbell, Selin Yurdakul, Sara Okumu, Louise Pollard and Beatriz Santana-Suarez); HIV Molecular Research Group, School of Medicine, University College Dublin (Paddy Mallon, Alan Macken, Bijan Ghavani-Kia, Joanne Maher, Maria Byrne,

References

1 British HIV Association guidelines for the routine investigation and monitoring of adult HIV-1-positive individuals 2016. Available at https://www.bhiva.org/file/5c6548af09f47/2016-BHIVA-Monitoring-Guidelines.pdf (accessed 28th February 2019).

2 European AIDS Clinical Society. European Guidelines for treatment of HIV-positive adults in Europe. Available at http://www.eacsociety.org/guidelines/eacs-guidelines/eacs-guidelines.html

3 D'Agostino RB, Grundy S, Sullivan LM, Wilson P, CHD Risk Prediction Group. Validation of the Framingham coronary heart disease prediction scores: results of a multiple ethnic groups investigation. *JAMA* 2001; **286**: 180–187. Accessed 28 February, 2019.

4 Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C, Vinogradova Y *et al.* Predicting cardiovascular risk in England and Wales: prospective derivation and validation of QRISK2. *BMJ* 2008; **336**: 1475–1482.

5 Collins GS, Altman DG. Predicting the 10 year risk of cardiovascular disease in the United Kingdom: independent and external validation of an updated version of QRISK2. *BMJ* 2012; **344**: e4181.

6 NICE Clinical Guideline. Cardiovascular disease: risk assessment and reduction, including lipid modification. Published: 18 July 2014. http://nice.org.uk/guidance/cg181

7 Friis-Moller N, Ryom L, Smith C *et al.* An updated prediction model of the global risk of cardiovascular disease in HIV-positive persons: the data-collection on adverse effects of anti-HIV drugs (D:A:D) study. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2016; **23**: 214–223. Accessed 28 February, 2019.

8 Lebari D, Fox R, Singh B. Comparison of cardiovascular risk scores in HIV-positive individuals. [Abstract].*Int J STD AIDS* 2013; **24** (Suppl 1): 15.

9 Chan SY, Kaneshanathan A, McCormick C, Webb H, Pakianathan M, Hay P. Comparison of QRISK2 and DAD cardiovascular risk scores in HIV positive patients with an identified ten year Framingham risk of ≥10%. [Abstract]. *HIV Med* 2012; **13** (Suppl 1): 80.

10 Killeen P, Duncan A. Cardiovascular risk assessment in an HIV cohort: comparison of QRISK2, JBS3, the Framingham Risk Score and the D:A: D score. [Abstract]. *HIV Med* 2016; **17** (Suppl 1): 40.

11 van Staa T-P, Gulliford M, Ng ES-W, Goldacre B, Smeeth L. Prediction of cardiovascular risk using Framingham, ASSIGN and QRISK2: how well do they predict individual rather than population risk? *PLoS ONE* 2014;9:e106455.

12 Bagkeris E, Burgess L, Mallon PW *et al.* Cohort profile: The Pharmacokinetic and clinical Observations in PeoPle over fiftY (POPPY) study. *Int J Epidemiol* 2018; **47**: 1391–13922.

13 Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics* 1977; **33**: 159–174.

14 Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. *Lancet* 1986; **1** (8476): 307–310.

15 Sabin CA, Worm SW, Weber R *et al.* Use of nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors and risk of myocardial infarction in HIV-infected patients enrolled in the D:A: D study: a multi-cohort collaboration. *Lancet* 2008; **371**: 1417–1426.

16 Ryom L, Lundgren JD, El-Sadr W *et al.* Cardiovascular disease and use of contemporary protease inhibitors: the D:A: D international prospective multicohort study. *Lancet HIV* 2018; **5**: e291–e300.

17 Lang S, Mary-Krause M, Simon A *et al.* HIV replication and immune status are independent predictors of the risk of myocardial infarction in HIV-infected individuals. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012; **55**: 600–607.

18 Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C, Brindle P. Development and validation of QRISK3 risk prediction algorithms to estimate future risk of cardiovascular disease: prospective cohort study. *Brit Med J* 2017; **357**: j2099.

19 McGettrick PMC, Mallon PWG. HIV and cardiovascular disease: defining the unmeasured risk. *Lancet HIV* 2018; **5**: e267–2269.

Supporting Information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Table S1 Weighted kappa statistics stratified by age group