The diving behaviour of the Manx Shearwater

Shoji, A. ¹, Dean, B. ¹, Kirk, H. ¹, Freeman, R. ^{2,3,4}, Perrins, C.M. ¹ & Guilford, T.C. ¹ ¹Department of Zoology, South Parks Road, Oxford, OX13PS, UK ²Centre for Mathematics and Physics in the Life Sciences and Experimental Biology, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK ³Computational Ecology and Environmental Science, Microsoft Research Limited, 7 JJ Thomson Avenue, Cambridge CB3 0FB, UK ⁴Zoological Society of London, Regent's Park, London, NW1 4RY, UK Key Words: Diving behaviour; Shearwater; Bio-logging Running Head: Shearwater diving

Summary

The diving capabilities of <i>Procellariformes</i> remain the least understood component of
avian diving physiology. Due to their relatively small size shearwaters may have high
oxygen consumption rates during diving relative to their available oxygen stores. Dive
performance in this group should be strongly limited by the trade-off between oxygen
consumption and oxygen stores, and shearwaters could be a good model group for testing
predictions of dive theory. Many earlier measurements of shearwater dive behaviour
relied on observations from the surface or potentially biased technology, and it is only
recently that diving behaviour has been observed using electronic recorders for many of
the clades within the family. To rectify this issue, the diving behaviour of Manx
Shearwaters (Puffinus puffinus) breeding in Wales, United Kingdom, was studied on a
large sample of birds using bird-borne time-depth-temperature recorders deployed on
chick-rearing shearwaters in July and August over three years (2009-2011). Light
availability apparently limited diving as dives only occurred between 4:00 and 19:00 in
GMT. All individuals routinely dive deeper than traditional assumptions, to a mean
maximum depth of 31m and down to nearly 55m. We compiled all available data for a
comparison of the dive depth across shearwater species. There was a positive allometric
relationship between maximum dive depth and body mass across Puffinus and Ardenna
shearwater species, as expected, but only if samples of < 2 individuals were excluded.
shearwater species, as expected, but only if samples of < 2 individuals were excluded. The large intra-specific range in maximum dive depth in our study illustrates that

Introduction

In breath-holding diving animals, oxygen stores are generally increase with body mass
^1.00, while metabolic rate increases only with body mass ^0.75 (Halsey <i>et al.</i> 2006).
Therefore body mass determines capacity for long and deep dives in breath-hold divers
(Kooyman & Kooyman, 1995). Procellariiform seabirds often exceed the dive depth limit
expected from allometry, exemplifying adaptation for a pelagic life-style, and widely
varied diving performance. Albatrosses, with their long wings, are not morphologically
adapted for diving and typically performe shallow (<5m) dives (Prince et al. 1994), while
diving petrels (Pelecanoides), morphologically adapted for underwater pursuit using wing
propulsion, can reach meanmaximum diving depths of 10-18 m (Navarro et al. 2014,
Dunphy et al. 2015). The diving behaviour of shearwaters (Puffinus, Ardenna and
Calonectris) possess several anatomical adaptations for swimming under water, including
a robust sternum and pelvis, laterally compressed "streamlined" tarsi, flattened humeri
and relatively short wings compared with many other Procellariiformes (Warham 1977).
Some petrel species are known to engage in pursuit plunging and pursuit diving (Warham
1990). However, information on dive performance in shearwater species consists of
mixed data from maximum depth gauges (Burger & Wilson 1988) and low-sampling rate
archival pressure loggers (Rayner et al. 2011). The use of high-sampling-rate dive
loggers is critical for capturing fine-scale diving behaviour and accurately calculating
dive parameters. Lower sampling rates lead to under estimation of the number of dives
performed, overestimation of dive duration and potentially underestimation of dive depth
(Wilson et al. 1995, Elliott et al. 2009). To help fill this gap in knowledge we report
detailed diving behaviour in a ca. 400 g representative of the group (range: 148-850 g,
Table 1), the Manx Shearwater <i>Puffinus puffinus</i> , a trans-equatorial migrant
predominantly breeding in the UK (Guilford et al. 2009). Knowledge of the feeding

ecology of marine top predators is essential to understand their potential role in the marine ecosystems, and to conserve and manage those ecosystems (Ashmole, 1971, Hunt and Schneider, 1987), and there is a need for more data for those species that have been seldom studied to date (Phalan et al., 2007). Our goal is two-fold: to describe the diving behaviour of Manx Shearwaters for the first time with a large sample using 1 Hz time-depth recorders (TDR), and to explore whether there is an allometric relationship between body mass and dive depth for shearwater species using currently available published data (including analysis of sample size).

Methods

To gain a representative sample of diving behaviour, TDRs were deployed on Manx shearwaters rearing chicks during July and August over three years (2009-2011) at Skomer Island, Wales (51° 44′ N, 5° 17′ W). Equipped birds were selected from our study plots, all of whom were caring for young during the normal chick-rearing period at this site. With alarge number of birds tracked for multiple years and by comparing breeding success with unmonitored plots, our samples are representative of the population as a whole. 2.7g CEFAS G5 TDRs were attached for seven days maximum to four central tail feathers with Tesa marine cloth tape (Wilson & Wilson 1989), configured at 1 Hz recording in pressure and temperature, with a resolution of <0.1 m,. As part of another study, birds also carried a GPS logger on their back and leg-mounted geolocator-immersion logger: total attachment mass was 17.5-19.0g (4.0-4.8% body mass based on individual body weights; see Dean et al. (2012) for methods, which reported that there was no measurable effects in breeding parameters). Birds were taken from study nests by hand, weighed, devices deployed (or removed), and returned within 15 minutes. Birds

were sexed by cloacal inspection (Gray & Hamer 2001) and sex information was recorded as unknown if we missed the laying date. To determine food load from parents to their chicks, we weighed chicks every evening at 8pm before Manx Shearwaters arrived at the colony and checked study burrows every 20 min through the night (typically between 23:00-04:00). To reduce disturbance, we used knock-down sticks at the entrance (Shoji & Gaston, 2010), only checking nests when sticks were displaced. When we found an adult in a study burrow, we blocked the nest and left at least 20 min to allow parents to feed young before weighing both parent and chick. This visual inspection also allowed us to determine trip duration. All analyses were performed in R 3.1.2 (R Development Core Team 2014). Weextracted dive depth, dive duration and surface interval duration for each dive, after accounting for device drift, using diveMove (Luque & Fried 2011). Depth measurements were calibrated using a 'moving quantile' zero-offset correction method (details in Luque & Fried 2011), and each dive event was classified using a dive threshold of 1 m. Behavioural aerobic dive limit (bADL) was estimated by following the method provided in Kooyman & Kooyman (1995). Dive duration with the minimum post-dive duration was identified by fitting a spline regression with segmented relationship in post-dive durations ("segmented" package in R). We applied Burger's (1991) method for other diving seabirds to develop the allometric relationship between maximum dive depth and body mass averaged across individuals using data from published studies (values from other literatures was used for some species when body mass was not presented in the references) as the estimated slope of the log_{10} - log_{10} linear regression. As there are intraspecific variations in dive depth in seabirds (Halsey et al. 2006) and sample sizes varied among studies, we further tested whether absolute maximum dive depth is related to sample size, by using the residual of absolute maximum dive depth on body mass against

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

number of individuals used in each study. We tested for normality and homoscedasticity prior to the analysis and data were transformed if necessary. To analyse the relationship between dive duration (s) and dive depth (m) in Manx Shearwaters, we used linear mixed models with individual as a random effect to include multiple dives per individual. Model selection was based on minimising Akaike's information criterion (AIC) and we calculated Δ AIC relative to the null model (intercept-only). Unless otherwise stated, means \pm 1 SD are presented.

Results

We deployed loggers on 18 birds in 2009 (5 males, 11 females, 2 unknown), 9 in 2010 (4 males, 4 females, 1 unknown), and 10 in 2011 (8 males, 2 females). Corrupted data from 4 TDRs (1 male in 2009, 2 males and 1 female in 2011) were discarded. Mean deployment period (duration of device attachment) was 3.8 ± 1.2 days (range 2-10). The median recorded foraging trip was 1 day (interquartile range 1-3, range 1-8) after which tracked parents fed chicks a meal with a mean mass of 48.1 ± 20.7 g, and 56 g for parents carrying devices <1% body mass (Gray & Hamer 2001). In total we recorded 3,329 dives during 122 bird-days from 33 deployments: 1,209 dives in 53 days from 17 birds in 2009, 733 dives in 28 days from 9 birds in 2010; and 1,387 dives in 41 days from 7 birds in 2011. One female was tracked in both 2009 and 2010 so the first deployment was excluded. Mean mass of tracked males (419.3 ± 23.5 g) and females (419.3 ± 31.8 g) was similar ($t_{27} = 0.001$, P = 0.99), and mean dive depth and dive frequency per day did not differ between sexes (mean dive depth: male = 34.4 ± 9.4 m, female = 31.0 ± 6.9 m, pooled = 32.7 ± 8.2 m, $t_{27} = 1.33$, P = 0.19; dive frequency: male = 256.6 ± 130.5 dives day⁻¹, female = 184.6 ± 156.9 dives day⁻¹, pooled = 219.3 ± 146.8 dives day⁻¹, $t_{27} = 1.11$,

141 P = 0.28). There was a clear diurnal pattern to diving behaviour (Fig. 1a, b), showing that 142 diving activities in this species occurred during the daytime between 04:00 - 19:00 GMT (n = 3,329). Dive duration increased with dive depth (\triangle AIC = - 5477, GLMM: Estimate = 143 1.55 ± 0.01 s, Fig. 1_C). Maximum dive depth across individuals averaged 31.0 ± 9.9 m 144 145 (range: 9.6 - 54.9m), while the maximum individual dive duration averaged 46.2 ± 16.0 s 146 (range: 23 - 84 s). To determine the relationship between surface-pause duration and dive 147 duration, we related each dive time to the shortest succeeding surface time needed for that 148 dive time, and found a break point identified by fitting a spline regression (30.02 \pm 14.51 149 (95 % CI) s; Fig. 2). Surface times rose steeply for dives longer than 30 s ($y = 9.45 \times$ 1.04^x , P < 0.0001); Approximately 10 % of dives exceeded the behavioural ADL (Fig. 150 151 1d). 152 To develop an allometric relationship we collected reported averaged maximum dive 153 depths of 14 species (11 Puffinus including Ardenna and 3 Calonectris shearwaters; 154 Table 1, Fig. 3). For presentation purposes, we included Ardenna species in the same 155 category as *Puffinus* in this manuscript. The two genera appeared to differ, with Calonectris species showing only shallow diving (Fig. 3) compared with the Puffinus 156 157 group. Across all studies (by combining species), residuals of absolute maximum dive depth on body mass increased with sample size (estimate = 0.93 ± 00.37 t = 2.55, $R^2 =$ 158 0.29, P = 0.02, N = 13). Since maximum achievable depths may be missed in small 159 160 samples we excluded the species with the smallest sample size (Great Shearwaters 161 Ardenna gravis, N = 2), and found that maximum dive depth then increased with body mass across *Puffinus* species (exponent $\pm SE = 0.59 \pm 0.19$, $R^2 = 0.53$, t = 3.16, P = 0.01, 162

164

163

N = 11).

Discussion

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

Manx Shearwawter diving behaviour

Manx Shearwaters breeding at the world's largest colony have a striking diurnal foraging pattern and dive much deeper than reported dive depth in other groups of Procellaritformes (e.g. Dunphy et al. 2015). These data provide the first insights into the diving behaviour of the Manx Shearwater based on a large dataset providing detailed information for a *Puffinus* species. The use of high-sampling-rate dive loggers (1 Hz in this study; <10% of median dive duration), as recommended by Wilson et al. (1995) captured fine-scale diving behaviour and allowed accurate calculation of dive parameters. As with above-surface movement patterns associated with foraging in Manx Shearwaters (Dean et al. 2012), we found that diving behaviour was highly constrained to daylight and twilight hours, strongly suggesting visual pursuit of prey. Diurnal diving is typical of birds dependent on ambient light to forage, such as some albatrosses and prions, though some visual-based predation can occur at night under strong moonlight (Brooke 2004), or at high latitudes when days are too short (Grémillet et al. 2005), while some Pterodroma species use nocturnal foraging (Rayner et al. 2008). The difference could reflect the diet selection or whether or not birds search for prey in flight or sit on the water, which may be more effective at night (Phalan et al. 2007). Furthermore, Clupeid fish make diel vertical migrations within the water column in response to ambient light levels (Hays 2003), so diving at dawn and dusk might enhance foraging efficiency despite reduced ambient light because prey can be found at shallower depth at those times. In our study, dive frequency peaked in early evening (17:00 - 18:00 GMT), which may reflect a tradeoff between increased prey availability and foraging efficiency during low-light conditions (Elliott & Gaston 2015) or perhaps reflecting a strategy to minimise the cost of transporting large food loads to the colony or nocturnal resting at sea. About half of all

dives were less than 7 m, consistent with traditional views (Brooke 1990) that Manx Shearwaters rely on pursuit diving for shallow pelagic prey. Nevertheless, all individuals routinely dived much deeper, to a mean maximum depth of 31m with some diving down to nearly 55m. While deep dives were reliably recorded with TDR, foraging related shallow dives may be missed out because we have currently no way to identify uncertain dive events such as bathing, socializing and other non-foraging associated activities from genuine short, shallow dives so shallow dives were excluded from the analyses. Future studies to investigate the role of those dives will allow the nature of shallow dives to be better understood.

Sex-specific differences in diving behaviour (dive frequency, depth and duration) have been found in several species of seabird where there is sexual size dimorphism (Quillfeldt *et al.* 2011, Gomez Laich *et al.* 2012), reversed sexual size dimorphism (Lewis *et al.* 2005, Weimerskirch *et al.* 2006), or where the sexes are similar in size (Lewis *et al.* 2002, Pech & Congdon 2006, Elliott *et al.* 2010). Manx Shearwaters show little sexual size dimorphism: <1 mm difference in wing length and bill length, and 1 mm difference in tarsus length between the sexes (Brooke 1990) and the diving profiles of males and females in this study was similar.

Similarly, as all telemetric methods can impact behaviour (Phillips *et al.* 2003), for example by reducing provisioning rate or meal sizes (Saether *et al.* 1993), the biologgers in the current study may have impacted where and how birds foraged.

Nevertheless, in our study, foraging trip length (median 1 day) and food delivery to chick (48g) were reasonably representative of normal behaviour (56 g for parents carrying devices <1% body mass, Gray & Hamer 2001; 49 g for untagged parents, Hamer & Hill 1997). In a comparison of birds with the same or similar devices with control birds, there

were no measurable impact of carrying the device on reproductive success, chick-growth rates or foraging trip lengths (Dean *et al.* 2012).

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

Since seabirds are limited by the oxygen they take with them, stored in the respiratory and circulatory systems and muscles, there must be a dive duration (the aerobic dive limit, ADL (but see Butler 2006)) beyond which accumulated blood lactate must subsequently be metabolised during surface recovery (Burger, 2001). Early reports of calculated anaerobic dive limits (cADL) underestimated ADL by about a factor of three (e.g. Croll et al. 1992) because they used values for oxygen consumption derived from shallow dive tanks, which overestimated oxygen consumption by a factor of three (Hansen & Ricklefs 2004, Elliott et al. 2013). One reason behavioural ADL (bADLs), such as the bADL measured in our study, may be preferable is because they avoid inaccuracies in the measurement of oxygen consumption during diving. Calculated ADL has been reported for several species of diving seabird: 48 s for Brünnich's Guillemot Uria lomvia (Croll et al. 1992), 78-120 s for Gentoo Penguin Pygoscelis papua and 126 s for King Penguin Aptenodytes patagonicus (Butler 2001). Estimated bADL was 27-29 s for Imperial Shags *Phalacrocorax atriceps* (Quillfeldt et al. 2011) and 240 s for Crozet and Kerguelen Shags *Phalacrocorax melanogenis* and *Phalacrocorax verrucosus* (Tremblay et al. 2005, Cook et al. 2008), though it seems improbable that there is one order magnitude difference in the bADL among these species, because these three species are closely related (Hackett et al. 2008). Rather the inconsistency is likely to reflect ecological or physical differences or is due to a difference in sample sizes. Our estimate for the Manx Shearwater bADL of 30 s, falls within this range and high correlation between dive depth and dive duration suggests that the Manx Shearwaters use their lengthy dives to achieve deeper depth, rather than extending the bottom time of each dive. Only 10 % of dives exceeded 30 s duration, suggesting that Manx Shearwaters rarely dive beyond their aerobic limit, which agrees with the previous report that few dives for most animals exceed their ADL (Butler & Jones 1997). Overwhelmingly most (90%) of Manx Shearwater diving is therefore aerobic, although occasional longer anaerobic dives are also used, perhaps to exploit dense, but mobile and hard-to-find concentrations of prey before they escape or become depleted (Ydenberg & Clark 1989).

Allometric relationship

Maximum dive depth in wing-propelled divers is allometrically related to body mass (Burger 1991), and we found that the relationship across *Puffinus* and *Ardenna* shearwater species was significant after removing the smallest sample. The allometric scaling exponent for dive depth (0.59) in this study was higher than the exponent for dive duration (0.37; Halsey *et al.* 2006). This suggests that *Puffinus* species were using long dive duration to increase their transit times (= deeper depth), rather than to stay longer at the bottom. The high value of the exponent could represent real range in diving behaviour in relation to body size, but the cross-study effect of sample size on apparent maximum dive depths with mass suggests caution. Perhaps because *Puffinus* shearwaters often dive much lesser depth than they are able (as we have shown here for the Manx Shearwater), maximum achievable depths may be missed in small samples making some studies unreliable. Similarly, the due to poorly resolved phylogeny in *puffinus* species (Pyle *et al.* 2011), we were unable to account for phylogeny which could be a potential issue.

Nevertheless, our results show that dive depth scales with body mass in shearwaters, but that strong conclusions require reliable data.

Acknowledgements

263	We thank the Wildlife Trust of South & West Wales, their staff and volunteers, for
264	support on Skomer Island, and thank especially Jo and David Millborrow, Chris Taylor,
265	Dave Boyle, and Annette Fayet and for two anonymous reviewers and editors for their
266	helpful suggestions. Funding was received from Microsoft Research Cambridge (BD,
267	RF), NERC (BD), Japan Student Services Organization, Oxford University, and Merton
268	College, Oxford (AS).

SPECIES	Binary	N	mass	Max depth (m)	Ave. Max depth	Ave. depth	Ave. Max duration	Ave. duration (g)	Method	Reference
				(III)	(m)	(III)	(g)	(8)		
Barolo shearwater	Puffinus baroli	6	174.1	23.1	14.8	-	-	-	MDG	Neves et al., 2012
Flesh-footed shearwater	P. carneipes	23	632	28.7	13.6	-	-	-	MDG	Taylor, 2008
Black-vented shearwater	P. opisthomelas	18	406	52	21	-	-	-	MDG	Keitt et al., 2000
Yelkouan shearwaters	P. yelkouan	10	500	30.2	25.7	17.8	-	-	TDR	Peron et al., 2013
Audubon's shearwater	P. Iherminier	7	168	35	15	-	-	-	MDG	Burger, 2001
Manx shearwater	P. puffinus	36	421	55	33.06	9.6	46.22	13.49	TDR	This study
Hutton's shearwater	P. huttoni	13	380	36.6	23	-	-	-	MDG	Taylor, 2008
Balearic shearwater	P. mauretanicus	18	500	28.04	16.35	-	-	-	TDR	Meier et al., 2015
Balearic shearwater	P. mauretanicus	3	500	26	-	5.6	66	17.6	DL	Aguilar et al., 2003
Great shearwater	Ardenna gravis	2	864	18.9	14.9	3	6.9	31	TDR	Ronconi et al., 2010
Fluttering shearwater	A. gavia	1	148	29.4*	-	-	-	-	MDG	Taylor, 2008

Sooty shearwater	A. griseus	9	850	69.9	48	15.9	-	-	TDR	Shaffer et al., 2009
Sooty shearwater	A. griseus	20	850	68.2	-	12.5	-	-	TDR	Shaffer et al., 2006
Sooty shearwater	A. griseus	16	850	92.9	42.7	-	-	-	MDG	Taylor, 2008
Sooty shearwater	A. griseus	10	847	55.1	-	6.93	-	39.73	TDR	Dunphy et al., 2015
Sooty shearwater	A. griseus	35	850	67	-	38.7	-	11.5	MDG	Weimerskirch and Sagar, 1996
Short-tailed shearwaters	A. tenuirostris	22	588	70.6	35.5	-	12	-	MDG	Weimerskirch and Cherel, 1998
Flesh footed shearwater	A. carneipes	3	700	66.5	44.1	3.2	-	-	TDR	Rayner et al., 2011
Wedge-tailed shearwaters	A. pacificus	19	426	66	13	-	-	-	MDG	Burger, 2001
Cory's shearwater	C. borealis	22	770	9.8	7.35	1.95	3.95	24.5	TDR	Paiva et al., 2010
Streaked shearwaters	C. leucomelas	14	513	6	2.53	1.06	4.43	8.64	TDR	Matsumoto et al., 2012
Scopoli's shearwaters	C. diomedea	10	657	5.4	4.45	1.8	7.95	11.7	TDR	Grémillet et al., 2014

- Table 1. Raw data used in this study on sample size, body mass, dive depth and duration in shearwater species.
- Note: N = number of individuals, Max depth = absolute maximum dive depth, Ave Max depth = maximum dive depth averaged across individuals, Ave depth = mean depth,
- Ave. Max duration = maximum dive duration averaged across individuals, Ave duration = mean duration. Method: MDG = maximum depth gage, TDR = temperature-depth
- recorders, DL = combined depth sensor and depth logger. * indicates median value.

275 Figure legends 276 Figure 1. (a) Number of dives and (b) mean dive depths (04:00 - 19:00) as a function of 277 hour of day;(c) relationship between dive depth (m) and dive duration (s); (d) Frequency 278 of dive durations (s) in the Manx Shearwater. Dashed line indicates the behavioural ADL 279 (30 s).280 281 Figure 2. Dive duration and the shortest succeeding surface pause duration in a given dive 282 duration in the Manx Shearwater. The black solid line represents the segmented 283 regression line with an identified breakpoint (30 s) on dive duration and the two vertical 284 grey broken lines are 95 % confidence intervals (15.69-44.34 s). 285 286 Figure 3. Average maximum dive depth (m) against body mass (g). Grey dots indicate 287 Puffinus and Ardenna species (N = 11) and black dots indicate Calonectris (N = 3). Black square indicates the smallest sample (Great Shearwater, Ardenna gravis; N = 2) which 288 289 was excluded from the analysis. The line is the linear regression for *Puffinus* and *Ardenna* 290 species after removing the smallest samples (N = 11). Closed grey dot indicates the value 291 of the Manx Shearwater.

- 292 References
- 293 Aguilar, J. S., Benvenuti, S., Dall'Antonia, L., McMinn-Grive, M. & Mayol-Serra, J.
- 294 2003. Preliminary results on the foraging ecology of Balearic shearwaters
- 295 (*Puffinus mauretanicus*) from bird-borne data loggers. *Sci. Mar.* **67:** 129-134.
- **Brooke, M.** 1990. *The Manx Shearwater,* London, UK: T. and A. D. Poyser.
- 297 Brooke, M. 2004. Albatrosses and Petrels across the World: Procellariiformes (Bird
- 298 Families of the World), Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 299 Burger, A. E. 1991. Maximum diving depths and underwater foraging in alcids and
- penguins. In Studies of high-latitude seabirds. 1. behavioural, energetic and
- oceanographic aspects of seabird feeding ecology. eds. W. A. Montevecchi & A.
- J. Gaston), **Vol. 68**. Canadian Wildlife Service Occasional Paper.
- 303 **Burger, A. E.** 2001. Diving depths of shearwaters. *Auk* **118:** 755-759.
- Burger, A. E. & Wilson, R. P. 1988. Capillary-tube depth gauges for diving animals: An
- assessment of their accuracy and applicability. *J. Field Ornithol.* **59:** 345-354.
- 306 **Butler, P. J. & Jones, D. R.** 1997. Physiology of diving of birds and mammals. *Physiol.*
- 307 *Rev.* **77**: 837-899.
- 308 **Butler, P. J.** 2001. Diving beyond the limits. *News Physiol. Sci.* **16:** 222-227.
- 309 **Butler, P. J.** 2006. Aerobic dive limit. What is it and is it always used appropriately?
- 310 *Comp. Biochem. Phys. A.* **145**: 1-6.
- 311 Cook, T. R., Lescroel, A., Tremblay, Y. & Bost, C.-A. 2008. To breathe or not to
- breathe? Optimal breathing, aerobic dive limit and oxygen stores in deep-diving
- 313 blue-eyed shags. *Anim. Behav.* **76:** 565-576.
- 314 Croll, D. A., Gaston, A. J., Burger, A. E. & Konnoff, D. 1992. Foraging behavior and
- physiological adaptation for diving in Thick-billed Murre. *Ecology* **73:** 344-356.

316 Dean, B., Freeman, R., Kirk, H., Leonard, K., Phillips, R. A., Perrins, C. M. & 317 Guilford, T. 2012. Behavioural mapping of a pelagic seabird: combining multiple sensors and a hidden Markov model reveals the distribution of at-sea behaviour. J. 318 319 R. Soc. Interface 10: 20120570. 320 Dunphy, B. J., Taylor, G. A., Landers, T. J., Sagar, R. L., Chilvers, B. L., Ranjard, 321 L. & Rayner, M. J. 2015. Comparative seabird diving physiology: first measures of haematological parameters and oxygen stores in three New Zealand 322 323 Procellariiformes. Mar. Ecol-Prog. Ser. 523: 187-198. 324 Elliott, K. H. & Gaston, A. J. 2009. Accuracy of depth recorders. Waterbirds 32: 183-191. 325 326 Elliott, K. H., Gaston, A. J. & Crump, D. 2010. Sex-specific behavior by a 327 monomorphic seabird represents risk partitioning. Behav. Ecol. 21: 1024-1032. Elliott, K. H., Ricklefs, R. E., Gaston, A. J., Hatch, S. A., Speakman, J. R. & 328 **Davoren, G. K.** 2013. P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 110: 9380-9384. 329 330 Elliott, K. H. & Gaston, A. J. 2015. Diel vertical migration of prey and light availability 331 constrain foraging in an Arctic seabird. Mar. Biol. 162: 1739-1748. 332 Gomez Laich, A., Quintana, F., Shepard, E. L. C. & Wilson, R. P. 2012. Intersexual 333 differences in the diving behaviour of Imperial Cormorants. J. Ornithol. 153: 139-334 147. 335 Gray, C. M. & Hamer, K. C. 2001. Food-provisioning behaviour of male and female 336 Manx shearwaters, Puffinus puffinus. Anim. Behav. 62: 117-121. Grémillet, D., Peron, C., Pons, J. B., Ouni, R., Authier, M., Thevenet, M. & Fort, J. 337 338 2014. Irreplaceable area extends marine conservation hotspot off Tunisia: insights 339 from GPS-tracking Scopoli's shearwaters from the largest seabird colony in the 340 Mediterranean. Mar. Biol. 161: 2669-2680.

- Grémillet, D., Kuntz, G., Gilbert, C., Woakes, A. J., Butler, P. J. & le Maho, Y.
- 2005. Cormorants dive through the Polar night. *Biol. Lett.* **1:** 469-471.
- Guilford, T., Meade, J., Willis, J., Phillips, R. A., Boyle, D., Roberts, S., Collett, M.,
- Freeman, R. & Perrins, C. M. 2009. Migration and stopover in a small pelagic
- seabird, the Manx shearwater *Puffinus puffinus*: insights from machine learning.
- 346 *Proc. R. Soc. B* **276:** 1215-1223.
- Hackett, S. J., Kimball, R. T., Reddy, S., Bowie, R. C. K., Braun, E. L., Braun, M. J.,
- Chojnowski, J. L., Cox, W. A., Han, K. L., Harshman, J., Huddleston, C. J.,
- Marks, B. D., Miglia, K. J., Moore, W. S., Sheldon, F. H., Steadman, D. W.,
- Witt, C. C. & Yuri, T. 2008. A phylogenomic study of birds reveals their
- evolutionary history. *Science* **320:** 1763-1768.
- Halsey, L. G., Butler, P. J. & Blackburn, T. M. 2006. A phylogenetic analysis of the
- 353 allometry of diving. *Am. Nat.* **167:** 276-287.
- 354 Hamer, K. C. & Hill, J. K. 1997. Nestling obesity and variability of food delivery in
- 355 Manx Shearwaters, *Puffinus puffinus. Func. Ecol.* **11:** 489-497.
- Hansen, E. S. & Ricklefs, R. E. 2004. Foraging by deep-diving birds is not constrained
- by an aerobic diving limit: A model of avian depth-dependent diving metabolic
- 358 rate. Am. Nat. 3: 358-374.
- 359 Hays, G. C. 2003. A review of the adaptive significance and ecosystem consequences of
- zooplankton diel vertical migrations. *Hydrobiologia* **503**: 163-170.
- Keitt, B. S., Croll, D. A. & Tershy, B. R. 2000. Dive depth and diet of the black-vented
- 362 Shearwater (*Puffinus opisthomelas*). *Auk* **117:** 507-510.
- Kooyman, G. L. & Kooyman, T. G. 1995. Diving behavior of emperor penguins
- nurturing chicks at Coulman Island, Antarctica. *Condor* **97:** 536-549.

- Lewis, S., Benvenuti, S., Dall'Antonia, L., Griffiths, R., Money, L., Sherratt, T. N.,
- Wanless, S. & Hamer, K. C. 2002. Sex-specific foraging behaviour in a
- 367 monomorphic seabird. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* **269:** 1687-1693.
- Lewis, S., Schreiber, E. A., Daunt, F., Schenk, G. A., Orr, K., Adams, A., Wanless,
- S. & Hamer, K. C. 2005. Sex-specific foraging behaviour in tropical boobies:
- does size matter? *Ibis* **147**: 408-414.
- Luque, S. P. & Fried, R. 2011. Recursive Filtering for Zero Offset Correction of Diving
- Depth Time Series with GNU R Package diveMove. *PLoS ONE* **6**: e15850.
- 373 Matsumoto, K., Oka, N., Ochi, D., Muto, F., Satoh, T. P. & Watanuki, Y. 2012.
- Foraging behavior and diet of Streaked Shearwaters Calonectris leucomelas
- rearing chicks on Mikura Island. *Ornithol. Sci.* **11:** 9-19.
- Meier, R. E., Wynn, R. B., Votier, S. C., Grive, M. M., Rodriguez, A., Maurice, L., Van
- Loon, E. E., Jones, A. R., Suberg, L., Arcos, J. M., Morgan, G., Josey, S. A. &
- Guilford, T. 2015. Consistent foraging areas and commuting corridors of the
- 379 critically endangered Balearic shearwater *Puffinus mauretanicus* in the
- 380 northwestern Mediterranean. *Biol. Conserv.* **190:** 87-97.
- Navarro, J., Votier, S. C. & Phillips, R. A. 2014. Diving capabilities of diving petrels.
- 382 *Pol. Biol.* **37:** 897-901.
- Neves, V. C., Bried, J., Gonzalez-Solis, J., Roscales, J. L. & Clarke, M. R. 2012.
- Feeding ecology and movements of the Barolo shearwater Puffinus baroli baroli
- in the Azores, NE Atlantic. Mar. Ecol-Prog. Ser. 452: 269-285.
- Paiva, V. H., Xavier, J., Geraldes, P., Ramirez, I., Garthe, S. & Ramos, J. A. 2010.
- Foraging ecology of Cory's shearwaters in different oceanic environments of the
- 388 North Atlantic. *Mar. Ecol-Prog. Ser.* **410:** 257–268.
- 389 Peck, D. R. & Congdon, B. C. 2006. Sex-specific chick provisioning and diving
- behaviour in the wedge-tailed shearwater *Puffinus* pacificus. *J. Avian Biol.* 37:
- 391 245-251.

- 392 Peron, C., Gremillet, D., Prudor, A., Pettex, E., Saraux, C., Soriano-Redondo, A.,
- Authier, M. & Fort, J. 2013. Importance of coastal Marine Protected Areas for
- 394 the conservation of pelagic seabirds: The case of Vulnerable yelkouan
- shearwaters in the Mediterranean Sea. *Biol. Conserv.* **168:** 210-221.
- Phalan, B., Phillips, R. A., Silk, J. R. D., Afanasyev, V., Fukuda, A., Fox, J., Catry,
- 397 P., Higuchi, H. & Croxall, J. P. 2007. Foraging behaviour of four albatross
- species by night and day. Mar. Ecol-Prog. Ser. **340**: 271-286.
- 399 Phillips, R. A., Xavier, J. C. & Croxall, J. P. 2003. Effects of satellite transmitters on
- 400 albatrosses and petrels. *Auk* **120:** 1082-1090.
- 401 Prince, P. A., Huin, N. & Weimerskirch, H. 1994. Diving depths of albatrosses.
- 402 *Antarctic Science* **6:** 353-354.
- 403 **Pyle, P., Welch, A. J. & Fleischer, R. C.** 2011. A New Species of shearwater (*Puffinus*)
- recorded from Midway Atoll, Northwestern Hawaiian Islands. Condor 113: 518-
- 405 527.
- 406 Rayner, M. J., Taylor, G. A., Thompson, D. R., Torres, L. G., Sagar, P. M. &
- Shaffer, S. A. 2011. Migration and diving activity in three non-breeding flesh-
- footed shearwaters Puffinus carneipes. *J. Avian Biol.* **42:** 266-270.
- 409 Ronconi, R. A., Ryan, P. G. & Ropert-Coudert, Y. 2010. Diving of Great Shearwaters
- 410 (*Puffinus gravis*) in Cold and Warm Water Regions of the South Atlantic Ocean.
- 411 *PLoS ONE* **5**: e15508.
- 412 Quillfeldt, P., Schroff, S., van Noordwijk, H. J., Michalik, A., Ludynia, K. &
- 413 **Masello, J. F.** 2011. Flexible foraging behaviour of a sexually dimorphic seabird:
- large males do not always dive deep. Mar. Ecol-Prog. Ser. 428: 271-287.
- 415 R Development Core Team. 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical
- 416 computing. Vienna, Austlia: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Rayner, M. J., Hauber, M. E., Clout, M. N., Seldon, D. S., Van Dijken, S., Bury, S. &
- 418 **Phillips, R. A.** 2008. Foraging ecology of the Cook's petrel *Pterodroma cookii*

- during the austral breeding season: a comparison of its two populations. *Mar*.
- 420 *Ecol-Prog. Ser.* **370:** 271-284.
- 421 Rayner, M. J., Taylor, G. A., Thompson, D. R., Torres, L. G., Sagar, P. M. &
- Shaffer, S. A. 2011. Migration and diving activity in three non-breeding flesh-
- footed shearwaters *Puffinus carneipes*. J. Avian Biol. **42:** 266-270.
- 424 Saether, B. E., Andersen, R. & Pedersen, H. C. 1993. Regulation of parental effort in a
- long-lived seabird an experimental manipulation of the cost of reproduction in the
- antarctic petrel, *Thalassoica antarctica. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **33:** 147-150.
- 427 Shaffer, S. A., Tremblay, Y., Weimerskirch, H., Scott, D., Thompson, D. R., Sagar,
- P. M., Moller, H., Taylor, G. A., Foley, D. G., Block, B. A. & Costa, D. P.
- 429 2006. Migratory shearwaters integrate oceanic resources across the Pacific Ocean
- in an endless summer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* **103:** 12799-12802.
- Shaffer, S. A., Weimerskirch, H., Scott, D., Pinaud, D., Thompson, D. R., Sagar, P.
- 432 M., Moller, H., Taylor, G. A., Foley, D. G., Tremblay, Y. & Costa, D. P. 2009.
- Spatiotemporal habitat use by breeding sooty shearwaters *Puffinus* griseus. *Mar*.
- 434 *Ecol-Prog. Ser.* **391**: 209-220.
- Shoji, A. & Gaston, A. J. 2010. Comparing methods for monitoring nest attendance in
- 436 Ancient Murrelets. *Waterbirds*: 260-263.
- 437 Taylor, G. A. 2008. Maximum dive depths of eight New Zealand Procellariiform
- including Pterodroma species. *Pap. proc. R. Soc. Tasmania.* **142:** 89-97.
- 439 Tremblay, Y., Cook, T. R. & Cherel, Y. 2005. Time budget and diving behaviour of
- chick-rearing Crozet shags. Can. J. Zool. 83: 971-982.
- 441 Warham, J. 1977. Wing Loadings, Wing Shapes, and Flight Capabilities of
- 442 Procellariiformes. New Zeal. J. Zool. 4: 73-83.
- Warham, J. 1990. The petrels: their ecology and breeding systems, London: Academic
- 444 Press.

445	Weimerskirch, H. & Sagar, P. M. 1996. Diving depths of Sooty Shearwaters <i>Puffinus</i>
446	griseus. Ibis 138: 786-788.
447	Weimerskirch, H. & Cherel, Y. 1998. Feeding ecology of short-tailed shearwaters:
448	breeding in Tasmania and foraging in the Antarctic? Mar. Ecol-Prog. Ser. 167:
449	261-274.
450	Weimerskirch, H., Le Corre, M., Ropert-Coudert, Y., Kato, A. & Marsac, F. 2006.
451	Sex-specific foraging behaviour in a seabird with reversed sexual dimorphism: the
452	red-footed booby. Oecologia 146: 681-691.
453	Wilson, R. P., Pütz, K., Charrassin, J. B. & Lage, J. 1995. Artifacts arising from
454	sampling interval in dive depth studies of marine endotherms. Polar Biol. 15:
455	575-581.
456	Wilson, R. P. & Wilson, M. P. T. 1989. Tape - a package-attachment technique for
457	penguins. Wildlife Soc. B. 17: 77-79.
458	Ydenberg, R. C. & Clark, C. W. 1989. Aerobiosis and Anaerobiosis during Diving by
459	Western Grebes - an Optimal Foraging Approach. J. Theor. Biol. 139: 437-447.
460	
161	





