# Accepted Manuscript

The roles of non-cognitive and cognitive skills in the life course development of adult health inequalities

Jennifer L. Carter, Marcus Richards, Matthew Hotopf, Stephani L. Hatch

PII: S0277-9536(19)30250-3

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2019.04.041

Reference: SSM 12289

- To appear in: Social Science & Medicine
- Received Date: 7 June 2018

Revised Date: 25 April 2019

Accepted Date: 28 April 2019

Please cite this article as: Carter, J.L., Richards, M., Hotopf, M., Hatch, S.L., The roles of non-cognitive and cognitive skills in the life course development of adult health inequalities, *Social Science & Medicine* (2019), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2019.04.041.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



# The Roles of Non-Cognitive and Cognitive Skills in the Life Course Development of Adult Health Inequalities

Jennifer L Carter<sup>a 1</sup>, Marcus Richards<sup>c</sup>, Matthew Hotopf<sup>a d</sup> & Stephani L Hatch<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Psychological Medicine Weston Education Centre King's College London Cutcombe Road London SE5 9RL UK jennifer.carter@ndph.ox.ac.uk matthew.hotopf@kcl.ac.uk stephani.hatch@kcl.ac.uk

<sup>1</sup> Present Address: Nuffield Department of Population Health, CTSU The University of Oxford Big Data Institute, Old Road Campus Roosevelt Drive Oxford OX3 7LF UK

<sup>°</sup>MRC Unit for Lifelong Health and Ageing at UCL University College London 33 Bedford Place London WC1B 5JU UK m.richards@ucl.ac.uk

(d) South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust London UK

Corresponding author: Jennifer L Carter Nuffield Department of Population Health, CTSU The University of Oxford Big Data Institute, Old Road Campus Roosevelt Drive Oxford OX3 7LF UK T: +44(0)1865 743635 F: +44(0)1865 743985 jennifer.carter@ndph.ox.ac.uk jennifercarter99L@gmail.com

	ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	The Roles of Non-Cognitive and Cognitive Skills in the Life Course
10	Development of Adult Health Inequalities
11	
12	

#### 13 <u>Abstract</u>

Previous research has suggested that greater cognitive skill is protective against the 14 development of socioeconomic health inequalities across the life course, but the relative role 15 of non-cognitive skills has been less investigated in this context. Using the prospective UK 16 1958 National Child Development Study (N=18,558), higher factor scores for adolescent 17 non-cognitive skills (NCS; i.e. a combination of work habits and pro-social behaviours) and 18 mean cognitive skill (CS) at age 16 were examined in relation to socioeconomic status (SES) 19 20 across the life course (at ages 16, 33 and 50) and poor self-reported health at age 50 with a path analysis model. Adjusting for adolescent NCS explained over a third of the association 21 between education and health, but the path between social class at age 50 and health was 22 unaffected. Adjustment for CS explained larger proportions of the paths to adult health 23 inequalities; and paths between CS and SES across the life course were stronger than the 24 25 same paths with NCS. However, NCS was still independently associated with paths to later health inequalities in fully adjusted models, and both types of skill had equivalent inverse 26 direct effects with poor health (OR: 0.82 [95% CI 0.73,0.93] vs 0.83 [0.72,0.96], 27 respectively). Since NCS retained independent associations with SES and health across the 28 29 life course, they could be a target for policies aimed at ameliorating the production of health inequalities for a wide range of children, regardless of their cognitive skill. 30 Abstract word count: 236 31

32 Full submission word count: 7,865

KEYWORDS: UK; health inequalities; non-cognitive skills; cognitive skill; self-reported
 health; socioeconomic status; personality; intelligence

35

### 37 Introduction

38

A wide range of research has shown there are inequalities in general health by levels of 39 socioeconomic status, but the debate continues around the mechanisms underlying these 40 socioeconomic health inequalities (Kondo et al., 2009; Kunst et al., 2004; Power et al., 1998). 41 Popular explanations for the production of health inequalities focus on the stratification of 42 material resources at individual and societal levels (Lynch et al., 2000; Smith et al., 1994), 43 social patterns of healthy lifestyles, and the impact of psychosocial mechanisms such as 44 increased exposure to stress and psychological distress (Adler & Snibbe, 2003; Marmot, 45 2004). Some researchers have suggested that child cognitive ability may have an important 46 role in the production of health inequalities due to its robust association with adult 47 socioeconomic attainment, physical health, mental health and mortality (Deary et al., 2008; 48 Gottfredson, 2004; Hatch et al., 2007b). One meta-analysis reported that cognitive skill had 49 50 correlations in the range of 0.40-0.55 with education and occupational attainment, with other research suggesting that intelligence might explain between 20-50% of the socioeconomic 51 gradient in health (Mackenbach, 2010; Strenze, 2007). 52 However, cognitive skill alone is unlikely to explain inequalities in health, 53

particularly as research has shown that educational attainment still independently predicts later health even taking account of cognitive ability (Hatch et al., 2007b; Link et al., 2008; Richards & Deary, 2010). Furthermore, emphasising the importance of cognitive skill for education, work and health may seem disheartening for individuals with lower than average cognitive skill, leading to disengagement particularly in school. As such, the field of health inequality research needs to elucidate targets for interventions that are applicable to a wider range of individuals. Recent years have seen an increased focus on the potential of non-

61	cognitive skills (NCS) to impact similar socioeconomic trajectories as cognitive skill,
62	suggesting these skills may be linked to socioeconomic inequalities in health as well.
63	
64 65	What are Non-Cognitive Skills (NCS)?
66	A review by the sociologist Farkas (2003) described NCS as a set of behaviours and traits
67	that accrued rewards in the labour market but were distinct from traditional cognitive skills
68	like literacy and numeracy. Farkas (2003) specifically described NCS in a review as:
69 70 71 72 73 74	What characteristics do employers want in their workers? They are the same characteristics that teachers want in their students. [Besides cognitive skill] they also include work habits that facilitate efficient individual and organisational functioning At all skill levels, they include appropriate focus on the task at hand, combined with the habit of energetic and efficient work (p 541).
75 76	Farkas (2003) summarised that these traits included conscientious work habits (such as effort
70	and task persistence) and positive psychosocial characteristics such as sociability and
//	and task persistence) and positive psychosocial characteristics such as social and the
78	obedience, but that they precluded antisocial behaviours like aggression and disruptiveness.
79	There is some overlap between NCS and aspects of other commonly studied personality traits
80	and attributes like self-control, executive function, self-regulation and conscientiousness,
81	although these attributes all lack the pro-social element of agreeableness that research has
82	highlighted receives additional rewards in education and work (Farkas, 2003; Witt et al.,
83	2002). Higher-order personality constructs like conscientiousness and agreeableness also
84	contain lower-order constructs that are not necessarily related to Farkas' (2003) review of the
85	conceptualisation of NCS, in the sense that they may not be important for success in
86	education and work (e.g. the facet of 'traditionalism' in conscientiousness) (Roberts et al.,
87	2005a). Second, one of the justifications for studying the importance of cognitive and non-
88	cognitive skills is that these characteristics, despite some temporal stability, are malleable
89	with training and experience. This is a key distinction from the heritable stability often

90	inferred from research on personality <i>traits</i> and intelligence (Algan, 2014; Hatch et al.,
91	2007a; Heckman & Kautz, 2012; Roberts et al., 2006; Roberts et al., 2005b).
92	
93 94	The Association of Non-Cognitive Skills with Health Inequalities
95	Economists began examining the importance of NCS in the labour market when
96	researchers acknowledged that cognitive ability, in addition to family background
97	characteristics, did not fully explain the variance in academic and occupational attainment
98	(Bowles & Gintis, 1976; Jencks, 1979). Narrative reviews of the research on NCS by Bowles
99	and Gintis (Bowles & Gintis, 1976; 2002) cited several studies where personality traits
100	socialised in school were more important than cognitive skill for economic success; and one
101	meta-analysis of 117 studies reported conscientiousness was the strongest trait related to job
102	performance (Barrick & Mount, 1991). Numerous other studies have supported these findings
103	by demonstrating that characteristics related to organisation, self-determination, persistence,
104	positive social adjustment, antisocial behaviour, self-control and conscientiousness have
105	predicted years of schooling and educational dropout (Carneiro et al., 2007; Coneus et al.,
106	2009; Cunha et al., 2010; Fergusson & Horwood, 1998; Goldberg et al., 1998; Rumberger &
107	Lim, 2008); college grade point average (Credé & Kuncel, 2008; Wolfe & Johnson, 1995);
108	wages, unemployment, illicit drug use, incarceration (Carneiro et al., 2007; Heckman et al.,
109	2006; Moffitt et al., 2011); and course grades and standardised test scores (Blair & Razza,
110	2007; Duckworth & Seligman, 2005; Duncan et al., 2007; Farkas et al., 1990; Valiente et al.,
111	2010).

Previous research suggests that NCS could therefore impact the production of health inequalities through their multifaceted relationship with socioeconomic attainment. A few studies that examined concepts related to NCS in relation to health inequalities reported that

115	approximately 20-25% of the gradient in all-cause mortality by education, occupation and
116	income in mid-adulthood was explained by adjustment for these non-cognitive characteristics
117	(Chapman et al., 2010; Falkstedt et al., 2013; Nabi et al., 2008). Importantly, the one study
118	that compared cognitive and non-cognitive skills reported that the associations were of
119	similar strength (Falkstedt et al., 2013). However, these studies on mortality were limited in
120	that associations were not examined with other forms of morbidity, only socioeconomic
121	attainment at one point in the life course was examined and there was insufficient
122	examination of early life social and health factors that could confound the later associations
123	(Chapman et al., 2010; Falkstedt et al., 2013; Nabi et al., 2008).
124	The current study aims to extend this research by using a life course approach (1) to
125	examine the upstream association of NCS with downstream trajectories linking SES to
126	general morbidity, and (2) to compare the strength and independence of the pathways linking
127	NCS to health inequalities with the same pathways for cognitive skill. We anticipate that
128	adolescent NCS will explain downstream associations relating SES to health, and that the
129	effects of NCS will be comparable to those of cognitive skill.
130	
131	Method
132	
133 134	Data
135	Data came from the National Child Development Survey (NCDS), also known as the
136	1958 British birth cohort. The NCDS began as the Perinatal Mortality Survey of all babies
137	born in England, Wales and Scotland during the week of March 3rd-9th in 1958. Of 17.634
138	eligible births, 17,415 were sampled (98.8%) at the delivery of the infant by midwives. The

sample later added 1,141 immigrants born in the same week to the cohort, resulting in a total

sample of 18,558. Ongoing follow up over the last 50 years has collected information from
mothers and their children on health, development, socioeconomic factors, parenting and

142 cognitive function (Ferri et al., 2003; Power & Elliott, 2006).

The estimated response rate for the cohort declined over time from 98.8% at birth to
80.4% of the eligible participants by age 50 (Bhamra et al., 2010; Plewis, 2004). Of the 9,790
participants that completed the age 50 survey, 99.4% had information on the self-reported
health outcome, which was 52.4% of the original sample.

147

148 Measurement

149

150 Non-Cognitive Skills

151

When the cohort members were 16 years of age, their teachers completed a modified version of the Rutter behavioural scale B (Rutter, 1967; NCDS, 1981) and six items pertaining to general behaviour and temperament. The cohort members at this age also completed an academic motivation scale assessing their attitudes towards school and schoolwork. Items from teacher and self-reports were selected for a confirmatory factor analysis of NCS if they corresponded to facets of NCS as reviewed by Farkas (2003).

Nine items from the teacher reports of behaviour were selected for inclusion in the factor analysis and were coded so that higher scores indicated more positive behaviour. Five items were chosen from the modified Rutter behaviour scale with responses of "does not apply/applies somewhat/certainly applies": truanting in the past year; school absences for trivial reasons; frequent disobedience; being unresponsive, inert or apathetic; and being resentful or aggressive when corrected (Rutter, 1967; ; NCDS, 1981). Four items of behaviour ranking cohort members on a five point spectrum were also selected from teacher

165	reports: cautious to impulsive, flexible to rigid, sociable to withdrawn, and lazy to
166	hardworking. The NCS factor from self-reported school attitudes included four Likert scale
167	items ("school is a waste of time", "homework is a bore", "I never take work seriously" and
168	"there is no point planning for the future") and one binary item (truanted this year, 1=yes).
169	Factor analysis was conducted in Mplus version 7.1 (Muthén & Muthén, 2012) and factor
170	scores were saved for analyses.

171

172 Cognitive Skill

173

174 Cognitive skill (CS) was indicated by continuous measures of the reading and 175 mathematics comprehension tests constructed by the National Foundation for Educational 176 Research in England and Wales for use in the NCDS at age 16. Mathematics and reading 177 scores were strongly correlated (r=0.65), and the mean of both scores was used to indicate 178 overall skill. Mean scores were standardised to a mean of 0 and standard deviation of 1.

179

180 Socioeconomic Status

181

Socioeconomic status (SES) was coded at ages 16, 33 and 50. Either the mother's 182 husband's current occupation (age 16) or the participants' current occupation was used to 183 184 indicate social class using the Registrar General's classifications of I (professional), II (intermediate), IIINM (skilled non-manual), IIIM (skilled manual), IV (partly skilled) and V 185 (unskilled). To decrease complexity in the life course models and since preliminary analyses 186 suggested non-linear associations with NCS (data not shown), social class was dichotomised 187 at all ages into manual or non-manual; education was likewise collapsed into those who 188 stayed on after the minimum leaving age and those who did not (1=A level+ [i.e. high school 189

190	equivalency]) (Morgan et al., 2012; Power et al., 1998). The mother's social class was not
191	assessed in adolescence, so mothers without partners were assigned a manual social class as
192	both single mothers and fathers with manual occupations displayed similar associations with
193	NCS and health outcomes.
194 195 196	Health
197	The outcome was self-reported health at age 50, which has been widely examined as
198	an overall assessment of health status and morbidity due to its strong associations with
199	physical functioning and mortality (Idler & Benyamini, 1997; Manor et al., 2001).
200	Participants were asked to provide a general health assessment ("In general, how would you

say your health is?") on a five point scale ranging from poor, fair, good, very good to
excellent. Responses were dichotomised to indicate the presence of fair/poor health (18.5%,
N=1,796).

204

205 Life Course Covariates

206

Several covariates were controlled to isolate the life course trajectories from risk that 207 208 may confound the development of NCS and later SES or health (Barker, 1998; Hatch, 2005; Huaqing Qi & Kaiser, 2003; Mensah & Hobcraft, 2008; Richards & Hatch, 2011). Measures 209 at birth assessed gender (1=male), birth weight, parity, maternal age at delivery, any smoking 210 211 during pregnancy (1=yes) and maternal marital status (1=married). Binary items measured concurrently with NCS at age 16 indicated if the child had ever been in local authority care, 212 had a disability and if they had missed more than a month of school for ill health in the last 213 214 year.

215

### 216 Statistical Analysis

217

218	Univariable associations were first examined between NCS, SES and health by linear
219	and logistic regressions for each point in the life course. A life course model with progressive
220	adjustment then examined if the adult social gradient in health at age 50 was explained by the
221	earlier, upstream variables of SES, NCS and CS. This was done using path analysis
222	(employing a logit link to provide point estimates in terms of odds ratios), a type of
223	multivariate structural equation modelling that estimates the population covariance matrix by
224	modelling the structural pathways between observed (instead of latent or factor) covariates.
225	In the first model, adult health inequalities were estimated by regressing self-reported health
226	at age 50 on SES at ages 33 and 50. Adolescent NCS were added in Model 2 to examine their
227	impact on downstream health inequalities. Adolescent CS was then added (Model 3),
228	followed by adolescent SES (Model 4), before adjusting for the remaining life course
229	covariates in Model 5. All models were adjusted for gender, and changes in effect sizes (as %
230	change) with the addition of upstream exposures were calculated using the following
231	equation:

232

[(Logit<sub>model 1</sub> - Logit<sub>model 1+upstream predictor</sub>)/ (Logit<sub>model 1</sub>)]\*100

Path models were estimated in Stata v 13 (StataCorp, 2013b) using the gsem
procedure. This procedure uses equation-wise deletion for cases with missing data to
maximise the use of participant information across the life course (so participants missing
indicators of social class are still used when estimating pathways between NCS and
education). As variables earlier in the life course are added to the model, cases missing
information later in life can then be brought into the overall model (increasing the overall N)
as they are able to provide information on equations earlier in the life course (e.g. for paths

240 between adolescent variables) (StataCorp, 2013a). While missing data accrued over the long 241 term follow up of the cohort, with about half of the participants missing data on social class and health at age 50 (Table 1), preliminary analyses suggested there were only small 242 differences in the social class of the father at birth and school absences due to ill health at age 243 16 between the analysis sample at age 50 and the entire baseline sample. However, scores of 244 CS and NCS at age 16 were higher for participants remaining in the analytic sample at age 245 50. A sensitivity analysis coded all the missing participants at age 50 as either having poor or 246 good health to see a range for how missing data may have affected the results. 247

248

249 <u>Results</u>

250

### 251 Factor Analysis of Non-Cognitive Skills

252

For the confirmatory factor analysis of NCS, a higher-order general factor of NCS 253 was constructed with lower-order teacher-reported and the self-reported NCS factors; lower-254 order factors were also included to capture residual covariance among items with similar 255 content that was outside the concept of NCS (see Figure 1). Six of the nine factor loadings in 256 the teacher NCS factor were between 0.70-0.83, although there were low loadings for the 257 258 sociable and cautious/impulsive items (0.27 and 0.34, respectively). Loadings in the selfreported NCS factor ranged from 0.40 to 0.73, with the lowest loading for the "future" 259 planning" item and the highest loadings for the "working seriously" and "school is not a 260 waste of time" items. Both the teacher and self-reported NCS factors loaded highly on a 261 higher-order factor of overall NCS at 0.70 and 0.93 respectively. Gender was modestly 262 associated with overall NCS at -0.12, indicating that males displayed lower levels of NCS; 263 but tests confirmed that the NCS factor structure was invariant across males and females. 264

265	Model fit was good, as indicated by the RMSEA value of 0.05 and the CFI value of 0.98.
266	Factor scores for the overall NCS factor (without a gender covariate) were normally
267	distributed, and standardised to a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1 for analyses.
268	Eisung 1 shout here?
269	<pigure 1="" about="" nere=""></pigure>
270	Sample Characteristics and Univariable Analysis
272	
273	Table 1 displays the characteristics of the NCDS sample over the life course and their
274	total effects on poor health. Participants with poor health at age 50 had lower NCS and
275	cognitive skills (CS) at age 16. The majority of NCDS participants had fathers in manual
276	occupations at age 16 and did not stay on in education past the minimum leaving age; but by
277	age 50 the majority of the cohort was in a non-manual occupation themselves. As expected,
278	participants born to fathers with non-manual occupations and with higher SES in adult life
279	were less likely to report poor health. There was a strong association with school absences
280	due to ill health at age 16 and later poor health, and the total effect of a one SD increase in
281	NCS at age 16 on the odds of poor health was 0.65 (95% CI 0.61-0.69, p<0.001).
282	Table 2 shows the associations of covariates with NCS. A 1 SD increase in CS was
283	associated with nearly a 0.5 SD increase in NCS, while being male and missing more than a
284	month of school for poor health in adolescence were associated with a decrease in NCS (0.18
285	and 0.41 SD, respectively, p<0.001). A one SD increase in NCS at age 16 was also
286	associated with a doubling of the odds for high SES across adulthood ( $p<0.001$ ).
287	
288	<tables 1="" 2="" about="" and="" here=""></tables>

289	
290 291	Upstream Path Analysis of Adult Health Inequalities
292	The first path model documented adult health inequalities (Table 3, Model 1). Bot
293	non-manual social class at age 50 and further education were associated with moderate
294	reductions in the odds of poor health. The addition of NCS at age 16 in Model 2 did not
295	explain the association between social class at 50 and poor health, as expected, but it did
296	explain 38% of the direct effect between further education and health. Meanwhile, adult
297	mediated 53% of the association between NCS and health, as the direct effect of NCS on
298	health reduced from 0.65 in unadjusted models (see Table 1) to 0.81 (95% CI 0.74-0.89,
299	p<0.001) in Model 2.

300

301

<Table 3 about here >

302

When CS was added in Model 3 (Table 3), the direct effect between social class at 50 303 and health was only reduced by 15%, but the association between education and poor self-304 reported health was reduced 76% and fully explained. The association between NCS and 305 health was reduced 33% by CS, while adult SES and NCS mediated 61% of the direct effect 306 between CS and health (see Table 1; and adult SES mediated 51% of CS' direct effect on 307 health without the addition of NCS). Interrelationships between other measures of SES and 308 NCS were all reduced when adjusted for CS, with paths to and from education particularly 309 reduced by approximately 40%. 310

Adding father's social class at age 16 in Model 4 (Table 3) explained less than 311 approximately 10% of paths in the model, with no notable exceptions. The final path model 312 was then fully adjusted for other life course covariates in Figure 2; and associations between 313

1). Both

adult SES

314	NCS, CS and health inequalities were generally not affected. All paths linking CS with SES
315	across the life course were stronger than those linked with NCS, but there were still
316	independent paths from NCS to health inequalities through adult SES and health. The
317	remaining direct effect of both NCS and CS on health was also equivalent, with a one SD
318	increase in both types of skill independently reducing the odds of poor health by about 18%
319	(OR 0.82, 95% CI 0.73-0.93; 0.83, 0.72-0.96; p<0.01, respectively). Lastly, a sensitivity
320	analysis indicated that adjusting for externalising and internalising behaviours at age 16
321	negligibly affected the results (data not shown). Coding all missing participants at age 50 as
322	having either poor or good health did not affect the model estimates either.

323

324

<Figure 2 about here >

325

326 <u>Discussion</u> 327

This study compared associations of adolescent non-cognitive skills (NCS) and 328 cognitive skills (CS) with paths linking adult socioeconomic status (SES) and self-reported 329 health at age 50. Adjusting for adolescent NCS explained over a third of the association 330 331 between education and health, but the path between social class at age 50 and health was 332 unaffected. Adjustment for CS explained larger proportions of the paths to adult health inequalities; and paths between CS and SES across the life course were stronger than the 333 same paths with NCS. However, NCS was still independently associated with paths to later 334 335 health inequalities, and both types of skill had equivalent inverse direct effects with poor health. 336

# 338 Strengths and Limitations

339

An important strength of the prospective birth cohort data used in this study was the 340 availability of prospective life course data in an age-homogenous population-representative 341 cohort. However, the use of observational data prohibits the inference of any causal effects 342 from the pathways under examination, as there may have been unmeasured confounders. For 343 example, the measure of adolescent health was crude (i.e. missing school due to ill health). 344 345 Social selection may have therefore confounded the models, with worse health in adolescence inhibiting status attainment, entailing that health might predict SES rather than vice versa. 346 However, evidence for the social selection model is mixed and may only contribute weak 347 effects across the life course (Manor et al., 2003; Smith et al., 1994). Residual confounding 348 may have also been present within the dichotomous measures of SES, with the trajectories 349 between NCS and SES potentially underestimated given the strong non-linear associations 350 between higher NCS and the highest level of education (not shown). While future research 351 will need to explore the effect of NCS on more sensitive measures of SES and health in more 352 detail, the use of this strategy enabled complex and novel path models to be constructed with 353 354 easily interpretable results. There may have also been attrition bias since the analytic sample at age 50 had significantly higher scores on NCS and CS than those with missing data. The 355 356 total N in each model also changed as additional variables were added to the model, decreasing comparability across models. However, an analysis on the NCDS cohort at age 45 357 suggested that the remaining cohort was generally representative of the original sample 358 despite small differences in non-missing participant characteristics, and a sensitivity analysis 359 on missing outcome data here did not affect the model estimates (Atherton et al., 2008). 360 Lastly, there may have been some effects of reporting bias in the measurement of NCS as 361

362 some of the items were self-reported, and teacher reports could have been biased by student363 characteristics (such as SES).

364

The Role of Non-Cognitive Skills on the Life Course Development of Adult HealthInequalities

367

368

While the negligible effect of NCS on the path linking adult social class to health was 369 unexpected, NCS attenuated the association between education and health by over a third. 370 The strong association between NCS and educational attainment reported in previous 371 research therefore appears to have further implications with this relationship extending to 372 health inequalities as well (Carneiro et al., 2007; Duckworth & Seligman, 2005; Duckworth 373 et al., 2009). About half of the direct effect of NCS on health was mediated by adult SES, 374 suggesting that the association of NCS with health is largely through the mediation of SES 375 376 and the production of health inequalities. However, a large proportion of the association between NCS and health is still due to other mechanisms outside SES that could be explored. 377 Furthermore, the independent association of NCS with SES at both ages 33 and 50 was robust 378 and remained after full adjustment for other covariates across the life course. This may 379 suggest that the positive effects of NCS accumulate over adulthood, independently affecting 380 different stages of an individual's trajectory through the labour market. As such, the benefit 381 of NCS for health inequalities may accumulate through multiple independent processes 382 across the life course as well. With other research suggesting that facets of NCS and CS can 383 be fostered in education and work (Roberts et al., 2005b; Hatch et al., 2007a), future research 384 should also examine the importance of both adolescent and adult levels of NCS and CS on 385 labour market trajectories and their relationship to health inequalities. 386

387 The results presented here are consistent with the few previous examinations of personality (which included traits like conscientiousness akin to NCS) and socioeconomic 388 health inequalities. While none of the previous research employed techniques that would 389 390 allow the examination of mediational effects of SES across the life course, the effect size in the current study was similar to those reported in previous research, where 20-30% of the 391 SES gradient on mortality was explained by traits related to NCS (Chapman et al., 2010; 392 Falkstedt et al., 2013; Nabi et al., 2008). The analysis here further demonstrated that early life 393 SES did not explain the association between later NCS and health, nor did it significantly 394 affect the associations between NCS and adult SES. This finding may indicate that the 395 association between NCS and later social gradients in health is largely independent of early 396 social environments. 397

398

Comparative Roles of Non-Cognitive and Cognitive Skills on Health Inequalities

While adjustment for earlier cognitive skill (CS) explained a larger percentage of 401 adult health inequalities than NCS, NCS still had independent associations with SES and had 402 direct effects on health equivalent to CS. This comparison with CS is particularly important 403 since past research has consistently highlighted the key role of cognition in the production of 404 socioeconomic attainment and health inequalities (Gottfredson, 2004; Hatch et al., 2007b; 405 Singh-Manoux et al., 2005), and policy often targets interventions at improving CS formation 406 (Carneiro & Heckman, 2003; Heckman & Rubinstein, 2001). The one other study that 407 examined psychosocial functioning (a concept with similar facets to NCS) and intelligence 408 together found generally equivalent negative associations with all-cause mortality. However, 409 CS had stronger effects on cardiovascular disease mortality and injury-related mortality, 410 while psychosocial functioning contributed more to alcohol-related mortality (Falkstedt et al., 411

2013). Thus, while the results in this study suggest comparative effect sizes for NCS and CS
with general morbidity, this result may depend on the health outcome under investigation.
Future research will need to compare the socioeconomic processes linking NCS and CS to
more specific forms of morbidity such as diabetes or depression in order to decipher which
health outcomes are most strongly associated with each characteristic.

Considering that independent paths from NCS to health inequalities remained in fully 417 adjusted models, it appears that the relationship between NCS, education and health is 418 distinct from the relationship of CS with the same educational gradients. For example, it has 419 been proposed that experiences in education may build separate processes of effort (which 420 maps onto NCS) and ability (i.e. CS) (Mirowsky & Ross, 2003), and pathways from these 421 separate skills to health may therefore travel via different mechanisms through education. For 422 example, one of the proposed pathways from education to health is through increased social 423 424 support and likelihood of stable adult relationships including marriage. This in turn may lead to greater economic resources and supportive relationships protective of health-damaging 425 stress (Mirowsky & Ross, 2003). It could be that the effortful pro-social behaviours in NCS 426 help to build and maintain these supportive relationships (Roberts et al., 2007). In contrast, 427 there is weaker theoretical reasoning linking CS to these social processes, and it may be that 428 CS relates more closely to health through the additional material resources that often 429 accompany higher SES (especially as CS retained stronger associations with SES in the 430 current study than NCS) or since cognition may be a marker of better longstanding 431 physiological processes underlying health (Richards et al., 2010). Since non-manual social 432 class at age 50 remained associated with lower odds of poor health in fully adjusted models 433 and was less affected by adjustment for either NCS or CS, future work should consider the 434 additional mechanisms that could explain this relationship besides the range of covariates 435 already included in the model here. 436

437 Furthermore, the effortful behaviours in NCS that are associated with education may also relate to an individual being more proactive in terms of seeking out health-promoting 438 knowledge or maintaining a healthy lifestyle. Greater CS may be associated with more 439 440 knowledge about health promoting behaviours (i.e. health literacy), but without NCS, CS on its own may not relate to the maintenance of healthy behaviours. For example, a meta-441 analysis indicated that conscientiousness-related traits were negatively related to all 442 deleterious health behaviours and positively related to all beneficial behaviours (Bogg & 443 Roberts, 2004). Since life course research has also found that self-control predicts financial 444 planning and money management better than child intelligence (Moffitt et al., 2011), this may 445 indicate that the effortful behaviours in NCS generally relate to the ability to restrain from 446 short-term gratification to persist with healthy lifestyles and other longer-term gains. It would 447 be interesting for future research to compare NCS and CS with additional pathways related to 448 the maintenance of long term goals and health behaviours, and how these pathways link to 449 the production of health inequalities. 450

451

452 Conclusion

453

The production of socioeconomic health inequalities is a complex and lifelong 454 process. This analysis showed that adolescent NCS remained associated with adult measures 455 of SES and health independently of CS and early life demographic and socioeconomic risk 456 factors. NCS may therefore be an important protective characteristic across the life course 457 and a potentially valuable target for policies aiming to ameliorate the production of health 458 inequalities. As such, the emerging research on the multifaceted benefits of characteristics 459 related to NCS has prompted the implementation of policies targeting the development of 460 these characteristics, such as the Tools of the Mind programme directed at self-regulation or 461

462 the range of Social and Emotional Learning (SEL) programmes in school (Bodrova & Leong, 2007; Durlak et al., 2011). While translatable results from such policy interventions have 463 been mixed (likely due to heterogeneity in implementation) (Humphrey et al., 2010), meta 464 analyses of SEL programmes have suggested a moderate effect size for the ability of these 465 programmes to increase positive school behaviours and attitudes (Durlak et al., 2011; Durlak 466 et al., 2010). Together, the findings in the current analysis and the consideration that NCS 467 can be fostered with school programmes indicates a powerful message for students: 468 regardless of cognitive skill, the proactive development of work habits and positive 469 classroom behaviours is likely to be of substantial importance for long term prospects in 470 employment and health. 471

472

### 474 <u>REFERENCES</u>

- Adler, N.E., & Snibbe, A.C. (2003). The Role of Psychosocial Processes in Explaining the Gradient
  Between Socioeconomic Status and Health. *Current Directions in Psychological Research*,
  12, 5.
- Algan, Y.B., E. Vitaro, F. Tremblay, R.E. (2014). The Impact of Non-Cognitive Skills Training on
   Academic and Non-academic Trajectories: From Childhood to Early Adulthood. Sciences Po
   University: European Research Council SOWELL Project. Retireved from:
- 482 https://econpapers.repec.org/paper/spowpmain/info\_3ahdl\_3a2441\_2f6s39gt704s95upu27ma
  483 7s3p6q8.htm
- Atherton, K., Fuller, E., Shepherd, P., Strachan, D., & Power, C. (2008). Loss and representativeness
  in a biomedical survey at age 45 years: 1958 British birth cohort. *J Epidemiol Community Health*, 62, 216-223.
- 487 Barker, D.J. (1998). In utero programming of chronic disease. *Clin Sci*, 95, 115-128.
- Barrick, M.R., & Mount, M.K. (1991). The big five personality dimensions and job performance: a
   meta-analysis. *Pers Psychol*, 44, 1-26.
- Bhamra, S., Gatenby, R., Hacker, E., Killpack, C., Larkin, C., & Lessof, C. (2010). Technical report
  of the National Child Development Study: 2008-2009 Survey In C.f.L. Studies (Ed.), pp. 161.
- Blair, C., & Razza, R.P. (2007). Relating effortful control, executive function, and false belief
  understanding to emerging math and literacy ability in kindergarten. *Child Dev*, 78, 647-663.
- Bodrova, E., & Leong, D. (2007). Tools of the mind: The Vygotskian approach to early childhood
   education. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Bogg, T., & Roberts, B.W. (2004). Conscientiousness and health-related behaviors: a meta-analysis of
  the leading behavioral contributors to mortality. *Psychol Bull*, 130, 887.
- Bowles, S., & Gintis, H. (1976). Schooling in capitalist America: Educational reform and the
   contradictions of economic life. New York: Basic Books.
- 501 Bowles, S., & Gintis, H. (2002). Schooling in capitalist America revisited. Sociol Educ, 75, 1-18.
- 502 Carneiro, P., Crawford, C., & Goodman, A. (2007). *The Impact of Early Cognitive and Non-Cognitive* 503 *Skills on Later Outcomes*. London, UK: London School of Economics, Centre for the
   504 Economics of Education.
- Carneiro, P., & Heckman, J.J. (2003). Human Capital Policy. In J.J. Heckman, A.B. Krueger, & B.M.
   Friedman (Eds.), *Inequality in America: what role for human capital policies?* Cambridge:
   MIT Press.
- Chapman, B.P., Fiscella, K., Kawachi, I., & Duberstein, P.R. (2010). Personality, socioeconomic
   status, and all-cause mortality in the United States. *Am J Epidemiol*, 171 (1), 83-92.
- Coneus, K., Gernandt, J., & Saam, M. (2009). Noncognitive skills, school achievements and
   educational dropout. ZEW Discussion Papers 09-019, Centre for European Economic
   Research.
- 513 Credé, M., & Kuncel, N.R. (2008). Study habits, skills, and attitudes: the third pillar supporting
   514 collegiate academic performance. *Perspect Psychol Sci*, 3, 425-453.
- Cunha, F., Heckman, J.J., & Schennach, S.M. (2010). Estimating the technology of cognitive and
   noncognitive skill formation. *Econometrica*, 78, 883-931.
- 517 Deary, I.J., Batty, G.D., Pattie, A., & Gale, C.R. (2008). More intelligent, more dependable children
  518 live longer: a 55-year longitudinal study of a representative sample of the Scottish nation.
  519 *Psychol Sci*, 19, 874-880.
- Duckworth, A.L., & Seligman, M.E.P. (2005). Self-discipline outdoes IQ in predicting academic
   performance of adolescents. *Psychol Sci*, 16, 939-944.
- Duckworth, K., Akerman, R., MacGregor, A., Salter, E., & Vorhaus, J. (2009). Self-regulated
   *learning: A literature review.* The Institute of Education, London.
- 524 Duncan, G.J., Dowsett, C.J., Claessens, A., Magnuson, K., Huston, A.C., Klebanov, P., et al. (2007).
  525 School readiness and later achievement. *Develop Psychol*, 43, 1428.

<sup>475</sup> 

- 526 Durlak, J.A., Weissberg, R.P., Dymnicki, A.B., Taylor, R.D., & Schellinger, K.B. (2011). The impact
  527 of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: a meta-analysis of school-based
  528 universal interventions. *Child Dev*, 82, 405-432.
- Durlak, J.A., Weissberg, R.P., & Pachan, M. (2010). A meta-analysis of after-school programs that
   seek to promote personal and social skills in children and adolescents. *Am J Community Psychol*, 45, 294-309.
- Falkstedt, D., Sorjonen, K., Hemmingsson, T., Deary, I.J., & Melin, B. (2013). Psychosocial
  functioning and intelligence both partly explain socioeconomic inequalities in premature
  death: a population-based male cohort study. *PLoS ONE*, 8, e82031.
- Farkas, G. (2003). Cognitive skills and noncognitive traits and behaviors in stratification processes.
   *Annu Rev Sociol*, 29, 541-562.
- Farkas, G., Grobe, R.P., Sheehan, D., & Shuan, Y. (1990). Cultural resources and school success:
  gender, ethnicity, and poverty groups within an urban school district. *Am Sociol Rev*, 55, 127142.
- Fergusson, D.M., & Horwood, L.J. (1998). Early conduct problems and later life opportunities. J
   *Child Psychol Psychiatry*, 39, 1097-1108.
- Ferri, E., Bynner, J., & Wadsworth, M. (2003). *Changing Britain, changing lives. Three generations at the turn of the century.* London: Institute of Education Press.
- Goldberg, L.R., Sweeney, D., Merenda, P.F., & Hughes Jr, J.E. (1998). Demographic variables and
   personality: the effects of gender, age, education, and ethnic/racial status on self-descriptions
   of personality attributes. *Pers Individ Dif*, 24, 393-403.
- 547 Gottfredson, L.S. (2004). Intelligence: is it the epidemiologists' elusive" fundamental cause" of social
  548 class inequalities in health? *J Pers Soc Psychol*, 86, 174.
- Hatch, S.L. (2005). Conceptualizing and Identifying Cumulative Adversity and Protective Resources:
   Implications for Understanding Health Inequalities. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*, 60,
   S130-S134.
- Hatch, S. L., Feinstein, L., Link, B. G., Wadsworth, M. E., & Richards, M. (2007a). The continuing
  benefits of education: adult education and midlife cognitive ability in the British 1946 birth
  cohort. *The Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*,
  62(6), S404-S414.
- Hatch, S.L., Jones, P.B., Kuh, D., Hardy, R., Wadsworth, M.E.J., & Richards, M. (2007b). Childhood
  cognitive ability and adult mental health in the British 1946 birth cohort. *Soc Sci Med*, 64,
  2285-2296.
- Heckman, J.J., & Kautz, T. (2012). Hard evidence on soft skills. *Labour Econ*, 19, 451-464.
- Heckman, J.J., & Rubinstein, Y. (2001). The importance of noncognitive skills: Lessons from the
   GED testing program. *Am Econ Rev*, 91, 145-149.
- Heckman, J.J., Stixrud, J., & Urzua, S. (2006). The effects of cognitive and noncognitive abilities on
  labor market outcomes and social behavior. *J Labor Econ*, 24, 411-482.
- Huaqing Qi, C., & Kaiser, A.P. (2003). Behavior Problems of Preschool Children From Low-Income
   Families. *Topics Early Child Spec Educ*, 23, 188-216.
- Humphrey, N., Lendrum, A., & Wigelsworth, M. (2010). Social and emotional aspects of learning
   (SEAL) programme in secondary schools: National evaluation. London: Department for
   Education.
- Idler, E.L., & Benyamini, Y. (1997). Self-rated health and mortality: a review of twenty-seven
  community studies. *J Health Soc Behav*, 21-37.
- Jencks, C. (1979). *Who Gets Ahead? The Determinants of Economic Success in America*. New York:
  Basic Books.
- Kondo, N., Sembajwe, G., Kawachi, I., van Dam, R.M., Subramanian, S., & Yamagata, Z. (2009).
  Income inequality, mortality, and self rated health: meta-analysis of multilevel studies. *Bmj*, 339, b4471.
- Kunst, A.E., Bos, V., Lahelma, E., Bartley, M., Lissau, I., Regidor, E., et al. (2004). Trends in
  socioeconomic inequalities in self-assessed health in 10 European countries. *Int J Epidemiol*,
  34, 295-305.

- Link, B.G., Phelan, J.C., Miech, R., & Westin, E.L. (2008). The resources that matter: fundamental
   social causes of health disparities and the challenge of intelligence. *J Health Soc Behav*, 49,
   72-91.
- Lynch, J.W., Smith, G.D., Kaplan, G.A., & House, J.S. (2000). Income inequality and mortality:
   importance to health of individual income, psychosocial environment, or material conditions.
   *BMJ*, 320, 1200-1204.
- 585 Mackenbach, J. (2010). New trends in health inequalities research: now it's personal. *Lancet*, 376,
  586 854-855.
- Manor, O., Matthews, S., & Power, C. (2001). Self-rated health and limiting longstanding illness:
  inter-relationships with morbidity in early adulthood. *Int J Epidemiol*, 30, 600-607.
- Manor, O., Matthews, S., & Power, C. (2003). Health selection: the role of inter-and intra generational mobility on social inequalities in health. *Soc Sci Med*, 57, 2217-2227.
- Marmot, M. (2004). The Status Syndrome: How Your Social Standing Affects Your Health and Life
   Expectancy. *Bloomsbury, London.*
- Mensah, F., & Hobcraft, J. (2008). Childhood deprivation, health and development: associations with
   adult health in the 1958 and 1970 British prospective birth cohort studies. *J Epidemiol Community Health*, 62, 599-606.
- 596 Mirowsky, J., & Ross, C.E. (2003). *Education, Social Status, and Health.* New York: Aldine De
   597 Gruyter.
- Moffitt, T.E., Arseneault, L., Belsky, D., Dickson, N., Hancox, R.J., Harrington, H., et al. (2011). A
   gradient of childhood self-control predicts health, wealth, and public safety. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108, 2693-2698.
- Morgan, Z., Brugha, T., Fryers, T., & Stewart-Brown, S. (2012). The effects of parent-child
   relationships on later life mental health status in two national birth cohorts. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*, 47, 1707-1715.
- Muthén, L., & Muthén, B. (2012). *Mplus Users Guide (Version 7)*. Los Angeles, CA: Muthén &
   Muthén.
- Nabi, H., Kivima¨ki, M., Marmot, M.G., Ferrie, J., Zins, M., Ducimetie`re, P., et al. (2008). Does
   personality explain social inequalities in mortality? The French GAZEL cohort study. *Int J Epidemiol*, 37, 591-602.
- NCDS Age 16 Guide to the Dataset. (1981, May 6). Retrieved January 10, 2019 from
   https://cls.ucl.ac.uk/cls-studies/1958-national-child-development-study/ncds-age-16-sweep/.
- Plewis, I. (2004). National Child Development Study and 1970 British Cohort Study technical report:
   Changes in the NCDS and BCS70 populations and samples over time: Centre for
   Longitudinal Studies, Bedford Group for Lifecourse and Statistical Studies, Institute of
   Education, University of London.
- Power, C., & Elliott, J. (2006). Cohort profile: 1958 British birth cohort (National Child Development
  Study). *Int J Epidemiol*, 35, 34-41.
- Power, C., Matthews, S., & Manor, O. (1998). Inequalities in self-rated health: explanations from
  different stages of life. *Lancet*, 351, 1009-1014.
- Richards, M., & Deary, I. (2010). Cognitive capital in the British birth cohorts: an introduction.
   *Longit Life Course Stud*, 1, 197-200.
- Richards, M., & Hatch, S.L. (2011). A life course approach to the development of mental skills. J
   *Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*, 66, i26-i35.
- Richards, M., Stephen, A., & Mishra, G. (2010). Health returns to cognitive capital in the British 1946
  birth cohort. *Longit Life Course Stud*, 1, 281-296.
- Roberts, B.W., Chernyshenko, O.S., Stark, S., & Goldberg, L.R. (2005a). The structure of
   conscientiousness: An empirical investigation based on seven major personality
   questionnaires. *Pers psychol*, 58, 103-139.
- Roberts, B.W., Kuncel, N.R., Shiner, R., Caspi, A., & Goldberg, L.R. (2007). The power of
  personality: The comparative validity of personality traits, socioeconomic status, and
  cognitive ability for predicting important life outcomes. *Perspect Psychol Sci*, 2, 313-345.
- Roberts, B.W., Walton, K.E., & Viechtbauer, W. (2006). Patterns of mean-level change in personality
   traits across the life course: a meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Psychol bull*, 132, 1.

- Roberts, B.W., Wood, D., & Smith, J.L. (2005b). Evaluating Five Factor Theory and social
  investment perspectives on personality trait development. *J Res Pers*, 39, 166-184.
- Rumberger, R., & Lim, S.A. (2008). Why students drop out of school: a review of 25 years of
   research. Santa Barbara, CA: California Dropout Research Project. Retrieved from:
   http://cdrp. ucsb. edu/dropouts/pubs\_reports. htm.
- Rutter, M. (1967). A children's behaviour questionnaire for completion by teachers: preliminary
   findings. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*, 8, 1-11.
- 640 Singh-Manoux, A., Ferrie, J.E., Lynch, J.W., & Marmot, M. (2005). The role of cognitive ability
  641 (intelligence) in explaining the association between socioeconomic position and health:
  642 evidence from the Whitehall II prospective cohort study. *Am J Epidemiol*, 161, 831-839.
- 643 Smith, G.D., Blane, D., & Bartley, M. (1994). Explanations for socio-economic differentials in 644 mortality evidence from Britain and elsewhere. *Eur J Public Health*, 4, 131-144.
- 645 StataCorp (2013a). *Stata 13 Base Reference Manual*. College Station, TX: Stata Press.
- 646 StataCorp (2013b). Stata Statistical Software: Release 13. College Station, Texas: StataCorp LP.
- 647 Strenze, T. (2007). Intelligence and socioeconomic success: A meta-analytic review of longitudinal
   648 research. *Intelligence*, 35, 401-426.
- 649 Valiente, C., Lemery-Chalfant, K., & Swanson, J. (2010). Prediction of kindergartners' academic
  650 achievement from their effortful control and emotionality: evidence for direct and moderated
  651 relations. *J Educ Psychol*, 102, 550.
- Witt, L., Burke, L.A., Barrick, M.A., & Mount, M.K. (2002). The interactive effects of
   conscientiousness and agreeableness on job performance. *J Appl Psychol*, 87, 164.
- Wolfe, R.N., & Johnson, S.D. (1995). Personality as a predictor of college performance. *Educ Psychol Meas*, 55, 177-185.
- 656





Figure 1. Final confirmatory factor analysis for non-cognitive skills (NCS) at age 16 in the National
 Child Development Survey (NCDS). All pathways are significant (p<0.001).</li>

## 664 Table 1. Baseline sample characteristics and associations with poor self-reported health at age 50 in the

665 National Child Development Survey.

	NCDS (N=1	5 Cohort 18558)	Po	oor Health at age 50 (N=1796)
	Ν	(%)	N (%)	Odds Ratio 95% CI p
Gender				
Female	9595	(51.7)	932 (18.8)	1.00
Male	8959	(48.3)	864 (18.1)	0.95 [0.86, 1.05] 0.33
Binary Socioeconomic Status				
Non Manual Father Social Class Age 16	3980	(27.2)	350 (13.4)	0.60 [0.52,0.68] <0.001
Stayed on in Education	4548	(40.8)	427 (11.8)	0.48 [0.42,0.54] <0.001
Non Manual Social Class Age 50	5550	(67.4)	591 (10.7)	0.57 [0.50,0.65] <0.001
School Absences due to III Health Age 16 (>1 month)	1083	(5.8)	190 (32.4)	2.44 [2.03,2.94] <0.001
Non-Cognitive Skills Age 16, mean(SD)	0	(1)	-0.23 (0.98)	0.65 [0.61,0.69] <0.001
Cognitive Skills Age 16, mean(SD)	0	(1)	-0.26 (0.97)	0.59 [0.56,0.63] <0.001

<sup>a</sup> Missing data N(%): gender= 4 (0.02); social class age 16= 3,905 (21.0); education=7,416 (40.0); social class

50=10,321 (55.6); school absences=7,200 (38.8), non-cognitive skills= 5,754 (31.0); cognitive skills=6,639 (35.8); poor
health=8,825 (47.6).

- 669
- 670

Table 2. Unadjusted association between sample characteristics, socioeconomic status and non-cognitive skills (NCS).<sup>a</sup>

	Non-Cognitive Skills		
	Mean (SD)	Coefficient [95% CI] <sup>a</sup> p	
Gender			
Female	0.09 (0.99)	1.00	
Male	-0.09 (1.00)	-0.18 [-0.21, -0.14] <0.001	
Binary Socioeconomic Status			
Non Manual Father Social Class Age 16	0.36 (0.91)	0.50 [0.46,0.54] <0.001	
Stayed on in Education	0.55 (0.84)	2.68 [2.54,2.83] <0.001	
Non Manual Social Class Age 50	0.35 (0.90)	1.98 [1.87,2.10] <0.001	
School Absences due to III Health Age 16 (>1 month)	-0.65 (0.94)	-0.41 [-0.44,-0.38] <0.001	
Cognitive Skills Age 16 <sup>b</sup>	0.34 (0.94)	0.45 [0.44,0.47] <0.001	

<sup>a</sup>Coefficients for gender, social class at age 16, child cognitive ability and school absences are linear coefficients from

a linear regression of NCS regressed on these covariates. Coefficients for education and social class at age 50 are

odds ratios for these items regressed on NCS. <sup>b</sup> Mean(SD) for cognitive skill is for participants >mean for NCS scores,

and the coefficients refer to a 1 unit change in continuous standardised math and reading scores.

Table 3: Progressive adjustment of upstream of non-cognitive skills (NCS) and cognitive skills at age 16 on downstream health and socioeconomic status

678 (SES). Odds ratios [95% Confidence Interval], p values are presented unless otherwise indicated.<sup>a</sup>

	Paths to Poor	Paths to	Paths to	Paths to	Paths to
	Self- Reported Health	Social Class	Education	NCS	Cognitive Skills
	at Age 50	at Age 50	at Age 33	at Age 16	at Age 16
Model 1: Health at 50 + Adult S	SES (N=7071)				
Social Class at 50	0.60 [0.51,0.70] <0.001		$\sim$		
Education at 33	0.69 [0.59,0.81] <0.001	4.45 [3.96,5.00] <0.001			
Model 2: +NCS at Age 16 (N=8	898)				
Social Class at 50	0.59 [0.49,0.70] <0.001				
Education at 33	0.80 [0.66,0.96] 0.017	3.21 [2.79,3.68] <0.001			
NCS at 16	0.81 [0.74,0.89] <0.001	1.56 [1.45,1.67] <0.001	2.85 [2.69,3.02] <0.001		
Model 3: +Cognitive Skills at A	Age 16 (N=12810)				
Social Class at 50	0.64 [0.53,0.77] <0.001				
Education at 33	0.95 [0.77,1.16] 0.601	1.96 [1.68,2.28] <0.001			
NCS at 16	0.87 [0.79,0.96] 0.008	1.25 [1.15,1.35] <0.001	1.82 [1.70,1.95] <0.001		
Cognitive Skills at 16	0.82 [0.73,0.91] <0.001	2.13 [1.95,2.33] <0.001	3.84 [3.57,4.14] <0.001	r=0.49 [0.47,0.51] <0.001	
Model 4: +Early Life SES (N=12	2810)				
Social Class at 50	0.64 [0.53,0.77] <0.001		Y		
Education at 33	0.95 [0.78,1.17] 0.646	1.93 [1.65,2.24] <0.001			
NCS at 16	0.87 [0.79,0.97] 0.009	1.24 [1.15,1.34] <0.001	1.80 [1.69,1.93] <0.001		
Cognitive Skills at 16	0.82 [0.73,0.92] 0.001	2.08 [1.90,2.27] <0.001	3.66 [3.40,3.95] <0.001	r=0.42 [0.40,0.44] <0.001	
Father's Social Class at 16	0.92 [0.76,1.12] 0.397	1.28 [1.11,1.49] 0.001	1.45 [1.29,1.62] <0.001	B=0.50 [0.46,0.54] <0.001	B=0.72 [0.68,0.75] <0.001

<sup>a</sup> All models are adjusted for sex. Pathways to poor self-reported health and SES are odds ratios, pathways to NCS and cognitive skills are linear coefficients,

and the association between NCS and cognitive skills is Pearson's correlation coefficient between errors.

*C* 



Figure 2. Path diagram of life course pathways linking non-cognitive skills and cognitive skills to self-reported health at age 50, with pathways to health labelled in bold. All parameters are odds ratios except for pathways labelled as "B", which are unstandardised linear coefficients. For clarity of presentation, pathways linking father's social class at age 16 across the life course are partially displayed with unlabelled dashed arrows. All pathways are fully adjusted for gender, maternal age at delivery, birth weight, parity, smoking during pregnancy, maternal marital status at birth, disability by age 16, ever in local authority care by 16, and missing more than a month of school at age 16 for ill health. \*\*\*p<0.001, \*\*p<0.01, \*p<0.05 

## Research Highlights:

- Higher non-cognitive skills (NCS) are associated with SES across the life course.
- Using path analysis, NCS explained a third of educational inequalities in health.
- NCS had independent, but weaker, paths to health inequalities than cognitive skill.
- Higher NCS and cognitive skill had equivalent inverse paths with poor health.

# The Roles of Non-Cognitive and Cognitive Skills in the Life Course Development of Adult Health Inequalities

Jennifer L Carter<sup>a 1</sup>, Marcus Richards<sup>c</sup>, Matthew Hotopf<sup>a d</sup> & Stephani L Hatch<sup>a</sup>

### DECLARATION OF INTEREST: None

### ROLE OF FUNDING SOURCE

Financial support for the conduct of this research was provided by the Kings College London Overseas Research Studentship. This paper represents independent research part-funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. The funders had no role in the study design; collection, analysis or interpretation of the data; or in the preparation and decision to submit the manuscript.

### ACKNOLWEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to The Centre for Longitudinal Studies, UCL Institute of Education for the use of these data and to the UK Data Archive and UK Data Service for making them available. However, they bear no responsibility for the analysis or interpretation of these data.