The Abject Heterotopia: Le città invisibili and Junkspace

Au lieu de s'interroger sur son 'être', [l'abject] s'interroge sur sa place:

'Où suis-je?' plutôt que 'Qui suis-je?'

--Julia Kristeva¹

The abject has the forceful power to disturb, which, as Kristeva points out, privileges the question of space as its site of operation. This dimension of the abject is affirmed by the obsessively recurrent image of junk in Italo Calvino's *Le città invisibili* (1972)² and Rem Koolhaas's article about architecture, 'Junkspace'.³ The space of abjection, on the one hand, can be devastating, assuming the form of a cataclysm of 'crateri di spazzatura' that threatens to submerge the city of Leonia;⁴ on the other hand, it can be of exhilarating beauty, unfurling a 'flamboyant' skein of shiny surfaces with a 'texture of [...] euphoria'.⁵ This space which Calvino and Koolhaas spell out is therefore, a puzzling paradox which calls for our attention. How are we to understand this shared preoccupation between Calvino and Koolhaas with depicting space as abject, and what is the nature of such a space? This is therefore, the central enquiry of this article.

In enquiring into this key issue, Michel Foucault's concept of heterotopia proves to be helpful as a means of exegesis. This is because heterotopia poses the same anxious question of space that the abject space poses: 'Où suis-je?' The uncertainty of space and orientation is due to the similar power of heterotopia to effectuate disturbance: it has 'la curieuse propriété d'être en rapport avec tous les autres emplacements mais sur un mode tel qu'[elle] suspend, neutralise ou inverse, l'ensemble des rapports qui se

trouvent [...] désignés'. Again, like the abject space, heterotopia functions in paradoxical ways. Therefore, building upon these similarities which imply connections between the space of abjection and heterotopia, my argument is that the former is a form of the latter. More specifically, I begin by examining how the abject space is articulated in *Le città invisibili* and 'Junkspace', then consider it in the light of the Foucauldian heterotopia, and finally explore how Calvino and Koolhaas's abject heterotopia challenges and develops Foucault's understanding. Drawing from these analyses, I propose a way of understanding the abject heterotopia as a space that has critical potentiality and even utopian implications, although precisely what these new possibilities are will remain an ethical question.

Articulations of the abject space in Le città invisibili and 'Junkspace'

...le geste confondant du marquis de Sade enfermé avec les fous, qui se faisait porter les plus belles roses pour en effeuiller les pétales sur le purin d'une fosse...

---Georges Bataille⁸

In his essay 'Le langage des fleurs', Bataille introduces a poetically dumbfounding image of Sade scattering rose petals into the latrine; which provides, in fact, a helpful point of departure for understanding the abject space in *Le città invisibili* and 'Junkspace'. Due to the presence of excrement, bizarrely coloured and aromatised by roses, coexisting at a site of deviant identity, the space in which Sade performs his startling gesture is definitely abject, ¹⁰¹⁰ and tells us of two significant things: First, that

the production of the space of abjection does not depend so much upon a scatological presence as upon the uncanny coexistence of incongruous things. More often than not, such a space is where 'l'abject est bordé de sublime'. ¹¹ Second, precisely because of this conterminous existence, in the abject space, meanings are disturbingly contaminated, boundaries are uncontrollably overflown. From the perspective of these two points, therefore, Calvino and Koolhaas's abject space will be examined.

The discrepant coexistence of objects features prominently in *Le città invisibili*, with the result of drowning the reader in a confusing, catalogic 'mare dell'oggettività' (Calvino 1995: 52). 12 The cities that Marco Polo describes are on the one hand, increasingly flooded by constantly proliferating refuse; on the other hand, they are simultaneously glittering with pristine constructions and new objects. For example, the underground city of Bersabea is littered with 'pattumiere rovesciate, da cui franano croste di formaggio, carte unte, resche' and so forth, until it is reduced to a splattering cesspool; whereas its celestial alter ego is 'una città-gioiello', full of 'metalli nobili e pietre rare'. 13 The space in which this muddy tangle of objects exists becomes abject not simply because of the refuse, but more importantly, because of the extremely uncomfortable, even perverse coexistence of contradictory symbolic values. This spatial contiguity, in fact, conflates these values through a process of cross-contamination. For instance, being aware of 'i granchi [che] mordevano gli occhi delle suicide' in the azure waters, ¹⁴ how could the traveller not detect a nauseating stench of decaying flesh from the beautiful odalisques bathing in the lagoons? Like 'una macchia [d'olio] che [si] dilaga senza forma', 15 the abject space in Le città invisibili is a viscous fluid the movement of which is unpredictable.

When examined more closely, this sprawling space is found to have the nature of a catalogue, because it encompasses recurrent lists enumerating an encyclopaedic range of diverse objects: 'contenitori, materiali d'imballaggio, ma anche scaldabagni, enciclopedie, pianoforti, servizi di porcellana'. ¹⁶ Nevertheless, unlike the paradigmatic catalogue that creates spatial order through scientific methods of classification, the catalogue in Le città invisibili shows the rationalistic desire to superimpose order on reality but actually finds its taxonomic principles obscured by the chaotic complexity of existence. By what logical standard can encyclopaedias, china-ware and wrappings be classified together, except that they are all objects of abjection (they are junked by the inhabitants of Leonia)? The catalogue produced by the abject is therefore jumbled and defies order. This resistance to controlled cognitive designs thus reveals the fantastic dimension of the abject space in Le città invisibili, since the texture of imagination in flight is never smooth or logical, but jerky and erratic. This is manifested as the abject space flows from city to city, and we have 'sfingi, grifi, chimere' together with 'storpi, nani, gobbi' and so on¹⁷—until the reader realises that Calvino's abject space is nowhere to be found in concrete lived experiences. It is essentially a fictional textual space created by the mind, the power of which is highlighted in its ability to envision such striking juxtapositions of images which exceed the limits of physical space.

In contrast with Calvino, Koolhaas offers a very different version of the abject space. Instead of constructing a mental space, he derives Junkspace from an exaggerated description of our contemporary urban space. ¹⁸ Koolhaas has, however, managed to show that this intensely material and locatable space is simultaneously aesthetic, surreal and unthinkable. In more detail, Junkspace is, firstly, a melting pot of incompatible spaces: its

'iconography is 13 percent Roman, 8 percent Bauhaus and 7 percent Disney'; 19 it can include 'a rare surviving Siberian tiger in a forest of slot machines, near Armani', with railway stations '[hovering] like iron butterflies' in the background. 20 Thus, the uncanny coexistence which Bataille's image of Sade points to is fully exploited in Junkspace. As Koolhaas argues, space becomes abject not because its constituent elements **are all junk or unpleasant**, but because their ensemble forms an explosive plurality which finally collapses all difference into *in*difference: 'Although [Junkspace's] individual parts are the outcome of brilliant inventions, [...] their sum spells the end of Enlightenment'. 21 This sum total is thus, not just a blurring, but actually a meltdown of formerly distinct meanings: 'life/style, reality/TV', 'museum/store, [...] health/care, waiting/lounge'. 22 For this reason, the abjectness of Junkspace resides precisely in the latter's capacity of rendering things meaningless, abject.

Nevertheless, despite its all-devouring, totalising character, Junkspace arguably offers an anarchic freedom of fertile imagination. Because the abject breaks down meaning, Junkspace decentralises power. In such a space, architecture can no longer dictate the human actions occurring in the geography it creates. For example, the office can become the 'urban home', the theme park may provide shelter for the homeless. 23 The very unpredictability of movement becomes an opportunity for free circulation. Consequently, urban planning may be liberated to a certain extent from the constricting concerns for architectural coherence or local history, since the spatial design could always be subverted by the actual use the public make of it. This is also why Koolhaas's abject space can marry the concrete banality of brutalist apartment blocks with the oneiric fascination of fluorescent gallery façades. In its amazing flexibility of reconciling

contradictions, Junkspace shows itself capable of infiltrating the alienating life of the metropolis with ecstatic experiences of fantasy. This is, however, not a 'given'. The 'reentry [of the abject] to a system of value' is always ambivalent, ²⁴ since this system may or may not be that of pure commodity value, i.e. capitalism. In other words, like the messy ooze of abject space in *Le città invisibili*, the workings of Junkspace cannot possibly be pinned down.

The two versions of the abject space in *Le città invisibili* and 'Junkspace' as discussed above show that despite the differences of being imaginary/real, internal/external, textual/physical, they share the same characteristics of being protean in form, heterogeneous in composition, and inconsistent in function. Interestingly, these are also the essential qualities of Foucault's heterotopia as expounded in his essay 'Des espaces autres'. In the following, I will reflect on the question of how heterotopia shares these features with the abject space, and argue that this sharing is more than a mere coincidence. In fact, it shows a fundamental relationship between these two conceptualisations of space.

From abject space to heterotopia

C'est un espace léger, éthéré, transparent, ou bien c'est un espace obscur, rocailleux, encombré: c'est un espace d'en haut, c'est un espace des cimes, ou c'est au contraire un espace d'en bas, un espace de la boue, c'est un espace qui peut être courant comme l'eau vive, c'est un espace qui peut être fixé, figé comme la pierre ou comme le cristal.

--Foucault²⁵

Simply put, heterotopia is a space in which 'tous les autres emplacements réels que l'on peut trouver à l'intérieur de la culture sont à la fois représentés, contestés et inversés', in such a way that heterotopia itself is 'hors de tous les lieux'. ²⁶ In more detail, Foucault's lyrical description of Gaston Bachelard's poetic space quoted above can equally characterise heterotopia. ²⁷ Like the abject space in Calvino and Koolhaas, space here is portrayed as polymorphic: sometimes airy, sometimes pebbly; a blend of spatialities, embracing mountain peaks and marshes; operates in myriad ways: flowing around randomly or crystallizing into enduring structures. To support these three characterisations with concrete examples, it is first necessary to consider Foucault's mapping-out of heterotopic geography, i.e. 'heterotopology'.

To begin with, heterotopia necessarily has a fluid form because it is structurally pluralistic, as the following heterotopologic principle shows: 'il n'y a certainement pas une seule culture au monde qui ne constitue des hétérotopies. [...] on ne trouverait pas une seule forme d'hétérotopie qui soit absolument universelle'. Accordingly, heterotopia can take the form of a secluded place such as a psychiatric hospital or a sacred site for ritualistic purposes; or, heterotopia can be an open space like a hotel or a train, which connects to all other spaces but is itself transient, never serving as the geographical destination. Secondly, heterotopia certainly means heterogeneous spatialities: 'L'hétérotopie a le pouvoir de juxtaposer en un seul lieu réel plusieurs espaces [...] qui sont en eux-mêmes incompatibles'. The pristine café of the Tate Modern, for instance, which is becoming itself one of the main attractions of the museum, conflates the spaces of aesthetic contemplation, material consumption, tourist sight-seeing. So it is, in this sense, a heterotopia. The conflicting coexistence of

different spaces, therefore, contests the symbolic value of each individual space: the museum visitor may begin to wonder whether aesthetic experience is not also a marketable product, or if locals are constantly becoming tourists due to the constantly increasing new and spectacular constructions in the metropolis. ³⁰ Thirdly, there is no *one* function of heterotopia, nor are its functions unchanging: 'au cours de l'histoire, une société peut faire fonctionner d'une façon très différente une hétérotopie qui existe et qui n'a pas cessé d'exister'. ³¹ In certain cases, a heterotopia that begins by being oppressive may end up being emancipatory: e.g. imperial colonies, heterotopias where cultures overlap and clash, finally became the crucial sites where local identity and political freedom were fought for. ³² Thus, these three principles outline, though by no means in an exhaustive manner, the conceptual territories of heterotopia.

Now, returning to the abject space in *Le città invisibili* and 'Junkspace', which has already been shown as 'jamais *un*, ni *homogène*, ni *totalisable*, mais [...] pliable', 'dont les confins fluides', ³³ we find that if 'heterotopia' is replaced by 'abject space' in the three heterotopologic principles above, the analyses still stand. This reveals the fundamental relationship between them: the abject space is a form of heterotopia; indeed, an abject heterotopia. That heterotopic space should be present in Calvino and Koolhaas is not surprising, since both of them feel compelled to engage with the pressing question of space in our epoch when human experiences of the living environment are increasingly fragmented, hybrid and random. The abject heterotopia logically becomes the translation, albeit a distorted one, of the desires, fears and fantasies of contemporary humanity.

The fact that Calvino and Koolhaas articulate two variants of the abject space. however, does not contradict the argument that they are both depicting the abject heterotopia. They actually offer two versions of the abject heterotopia: the fictional, textual and the real, empirical. Both these types of heterotopia appear in Foucault's oeuvre, which can be understood interactively with *Le città invisibili* and Junkspace. Starting with Calvino's case, heterotopia as a fictional space appears in Borges's famous citation of a Chinese encyclopaedia which is equally famously cited by Foucault at the beginning of his Les mots et les choses: 'Les animaux se divisent en: a) appartenant à l'Empereur, b) embaumés, c) apprivoisés, [...] i) qui s'agitent comme des fous, [...] n) qui de loin semblent des mouches'. 34 Foucault comments that this fantastic catalogue reveals a non-existent space, because there is no possible 'lieu commun' for these creatures in the real world.³⁵ This precisely corresponds to the catalogic abject space in Le città invisibili already mentioned, where things are hotch-potched together according to incomprehensible classificatory principles. Subsequently, this imaginary space is termed 'heterotopia' by Foucault because 'les hétérotopies inquiètent, sans doute parce qu'elles minent secrètement le langage'. ³⁶ So this fictional heterotopia is primarily linguistic and textual, i.e. self-referential, which confirms the nature of abject space in Le città invisibili as a literary text. The heterotopic text of fiction has, nevertheless, impact on reality. Its undermining effect shows the impossibility of totally cognising the gnarled complexity of the world, and exposes the illusory character of what we normally take for real: language, signs, and the things they refer to. As Calvino makes Marco Polo say: 'La menzogna non è nel discorso, è nelle cose'. 37

Turning to Koolhaas, the physically locatable heterotopia which Junkspace embodies is clearly indicated in Foucault's 'Des espaces autres'. It is one of 'des lieux effectifs [...] qui sont dessinés dans l'institution même de la société'. ³⁸ Nonetheless, it is different from other real spaces due to its capacity of creating relationships between spaces and simultaneously subverting them. Foucault terms this the 'lieu sans lieu'. ³⁹ The uncertainty of orientation in Junkspace reflects exactly this paradox. Intersecting with different spaces and temporalities, without centre or periphery, Junkspace 'entangles you in a thicket of cuteness, [...] turns you back when you're lost'. ⁴⁰ It is at once a profuse haemorrhage and a tabula rasa of meanings. If, for Foucault, the ship is the floating sign of the heterotopia par excellence, then, for Koolhaas, it would be the airport. Despite the fact that such a place can be experienced in the flesh, this experience will necessarily remain fragmentary, fleeting and amnesically unreal.

In sum, the abject space in Calvino and Koolhaas can be understood as a heterotopia, two variants of which are the literary and the lived. Foucault has, therefore, provided a useful means of interpretation. What can be further observed of heterotopia is, however, that Foucault's heterotopia is mainly descriptive of existent social and anthropological spaces and remains unclear as to how heterotopia can be constructed and employed, or whether its construction and use can constitute a critical task. In response to this problem, I would like to argue in the next section that the abject heterotopia provides more satisfactory answers because it challenges and develops the Foucauldian heterotopia in several aspects.

Rethinking Foucault's heterotopia through the abject

The abject heterotopia questions the dichotomies that Foucault sets up to distinguish heterotopia from all other spaces: namely, that the textual heterotopia can only be self-referential, existing beyond an unbridgeable chasm that separates it from the real, i.e. empirical world; that the physically locatable heterotopia is still different from other locatable spaces because of its paradoxical heterogeneity, and is consequently disorientating instead of identificatory; and that, contrary to utopia, which is considered fixed and unserviceable to critical interest. 41 heterotopia is flexible and effective. In the abject heterotopia of *Le città invisibili*, however, these claims are contested. Firstly, Calvino's recurrent treatment of the theme of 'spazzatura' cannot be simplistically put under the umbrella term of 'literary fantasy'. No doubt, it is of a very literary nature, but Calvino is also attempting to use it as a means to understand our lived space. A later essay, 'La poubelle agréée', 42 the writing of which extended over two years, shows that this preoccupation with the abject is not just a whim for Calvino. In fact, Calvino sees the abject as a means of constituting identity. This is confirmed in the chapter on Leonia in Le città invisibili, where the city's identity is 'renewed' every day because of the inhabitants' incessant, almost 'ritualistic' act of throwing away things. 43 This idea is picked up again in 'La poubelle agréée': 'Il buttar via è la prima condizione indispensabile per essere'. 44 As in *Le città invisibili*, the street cleaners are again described to be 'come angeli'. ⁴⁵ Abjection is seen in the positive light of a necessary purificatory act which precisely creates space for identity instead of disorientation and self-dissolution. Finally, Calvino links abjection to the act of writing, remarking that, once produced, the text is metaphorically 'abjected' from his mind and materialises in

sheets of paper: 'Scrivere è dispossessarsi non meno che il buttar via, è allontanare da me un mucchio di fogli'. 46 This is clearly a cross-over from mental to empirical space. The gap between these spaces can actually be traversed and re-traversed by the act of abjection and no absolute schism exists: 'non si può sapere se [la scrittura] diventerà alimento d'una lettura altrui, d'un metabolismo mentale'. 47 The potentiality for the constitution of identity, the recycling of ideas, spatial displacement from one mind to another break down Foucault's distinguishing principles of heterotopia and reveal Calvino's abject heterotopia to be more diffuse in space, more complex in composition and self-critically aware.

As regards the abject heterotopia in Koolhaas, what can be said first of all is that Junkspace liquidates Foucault's idealising separation of heterotopia from utopia and all other places. Instead of being the marginal 'absolument autre', 48 the heterotopic Junkspace is the confusion of the central and marginal, the revolutionary and complicit, the self and other. Although situated in the middle of nowhere, Junkspace is not radically different from, but totally incorporated in and incorporative of everything else. Yet despite its integration and non-neutrality, Junkspace is not devoid of utopian instances. For example, if museums are increasingly becoming shops, why not make shops more like museums? This explains why Koolhaas builds the New York Prada store in such an intensely aesthetic form that it ends up attracting more attention as an architectural monument than a shop. This can, of course, still be interpreted as a cynical capitalist exploitation of aesthetics, but it is not as one-sided as that. By using the very commodifying language of the capitalist system and appropriating it to the language of architecture and aesthetics, Koolhaas produces a more powerful and interesting critique

of capitalism because it constitutes an internal deconstruction produced by the system itself.⁵⁰ This shows Junkspace in its most indeterminable state: it simply streams from one space to another, from one language to another, from heterotopia to utopia and vice versa.

Following the movement of Koolhaas's heterotopia, we realise that Foucault's dismissal of utopia as unreal, uniform and uninteresting is simplistic and does not do justice to the complex relationship between heterotopia and utopia. If heterotopia can subvert the spaces it relates to, why can it not subvert utopia as well? Moreover, rather than banishing utopia to a remote, impossible sphere, heterotopia could try to plant it in the here and now. This is what Koolhaas purports to do in Junkspace. His aim is not to bulldoze the vicious knots of slums together with the hierarchical urban structures of Paris to create a utopian 'Ville Radieuse' ex nihilo, as the arch-modernist Le Corbusier wanted and failed to do, but to try to make the best of the existing situation, to create small perversions in a totalising space that will make small but noticeable differences. In fact, any project based upon an understanding of utopia as universalising, of transformation as total, or of resistance as uncompromising has proved to be impossible. 51 The abject heterotopia à la Koolhaas offers instead self-questioning rather than radically critical spaces, prioritises specific instances over the grand total, thus creating, even if by chance, possibilities for people to find meaning in something, or make an individual choice. It is by means of these possibilities, precariously ambivalent as they are, that utopia—a necessarily fragmented one—can resurface. In this sense, the abject heterotopia is arguably 'l'utopie effectivement réalisée'; ⁵² and it is not the Utopia

but utopias, 'many different and divergent communities in which people lead different kinds of lives under different institutions'.⁵³

It is thus understood that the abject heterotopia in *Le città invisibili* and 'Junkspace' resolves some problems of facile oppositions and, seen as an expansion of Foucault's heterotopia, is even more heterotopic. In addition, both Calvino and Koolhaas propose a certain critical necessity in the tentative of constructing the abject heterotopia, and in the ethics of its use. In view of its construction and use, politically critical and subversive prospects can be glimpsed, but they are as numerous as they are uncertain. I will, therefore, draw some conclusive remarks on these heterotopic potentialities.

Conclusion: heterotopic potentialities

...cercare a saper riconoscere chi e cosa, in mezzo all'inferno, non è inferno, e farlo durare, e dargli spazio.

--Calvino⁵⁴

I would like to conclude by beginning with Marco Polo's ending remark: it reveals an existence in hell that is not hell, the recognition and survival of which depend on our ethical choice. The significant question which Calvino poses: how to recognise this existence and give it space for survival?—is the very question that may be asked about the critical potentialities of the abject heterotopia. The problem with the latter is that, as soon as a politically critical or subversive project is in sight, its erratic powers to disturb or reinforce existing social structures and its constant metamorphosis into ambiguous forms seem to render this project chimerical. There are, however, implications

in *Le città invisibili* and 'Junkspace' which can be teased out in the attempt to envisage something that is more than a fleeting mirage.

We may recall that Calvino once remarked that political engagement can emerge from an unexpected space or from an unintentional act. 55 This is metaphorically expressed in Le città invisibili, when Marco Polo describes the confining walls of the city of Marozia: 'Succede pure che, [...] quando meno t'aspetti vedi aprirsi uno spiraglio e apparire una città diversa, che dopo un istante è già sparita'; but at that fleeting moment, 'la città si trasfigura, diventa cristallina'. ⁵⁶ This crack through which hope gleams is an interstitial space, in which the rules of 'hell' are momentarily suspended. Such an interstice can result from the operation of the abject heterotopia, because the abject is like the Bataillean 'matière basse', '[qui] refuse de se laisser réduire aux grandes machines ontologiques résultant [des aspirations idéales humaines]' (Bataille 1968: 103);⁵⁷ it does not have high aspirations to revolutionise the world, but falls low and seeps through the imperceptible crevices of social structures until suddenly, a partial subversion of these structures takes place. These interstices produced by the abject heterotopia do not constitute an alternative, i.e. external space of resistance to the capitalist 'Empire', but are situated within it, as Koolhaas asserts in Junkspace.⁵⁸ Yet precisely because of their halfhearted 'weak' form, these spaces are less easily grasped and appropriated by totality. Seen in this light, their weakness may be turned to their advantage. Despite the fact that more often than not, they are unintentional by-products of capitalism, given the favourable circumstances, they can be intentionally used for some kind of critique, some small liberties, some incomplete subversion. These may be the open-ended, diffuse forms of utopian potentialities that the abject heterotopia suggests. Nevertheless, exactly how

these implied potentialities can become more than mere implications will depend on the ethical choices of each individual: maybe such heterotopic spaces are too hard to find; or maybe, we are not looking hard enough.

Julia Kristeva, Pouvoirs de l'horreur: essai sur l'abjection (Paris: Seuil, 1980), p. 15.

- ² Italo Calvino, *Le città invisibili* (Milano: Arnoldo Mondadori, 1993). All citations are from this edition.
- ³ Rem Koolhaas, 'Junkspace', *October*, 100 (2002), 175-90.
- ⁴ Italo Calvino, *Le città invisibili* (Milano: Arnoldo Mondadori, 1993), p. 114.
- ⁵ Rem Koolhaas, 'Junkspace', *October*, 100 (2002), 177, 179.
- ⁶ Julia Kristeva, *Pouvoirs de l'horreur: essai sur l'abjection* (Paris: Seuil, 1980), p. 15.
- Michel Foucault, 'Des espaces autres' (1967) in *Dits et Écrits 1954-1988: Tome 4* (Paris: Gallimard, 1994), p. 755.
- ⁸ Georges Bataille, *Documents* (Paris: Gallimard, 1968), p. 53.
- This does not mean that Bataille understands the abject in the same way as Calvino and Koolhaas do. Bataille's abject is like 'la part maudite' i.e. the inappropriable excess, and is different from Calvino and Koolhaas's abject, which is incorporated in capitalism and does not aspire to an outside.
- For excrement as the psychoanalytical abject, see Kristeva 1980; for deviant identity as the social abject and places of confinement as abject spaces, see Foucault 1994.

- For excrement as the psychoanalytical abject, see Kristeva 1980; for deviant identity as the social abject and places of confinement as abject spaces, see Foucault 1994.
- Julia Kristeva, *Pouvoirs de l'horreur: essai sur l'abjection* (Paris: Seuil, 1980), p. 19.
- Calvino's 'mare dell'oggettività' denotes both a political concern for the loss of clear projectability of social reality and a catalogic endeavour to structure this reality. Le città invisibili mainly involves the second meaning, though the first ties in with it ultimately.
- ¹ Italo Calvino, *Le città invisibili* (Milano: Arnoldo Mondadori, 1993), p. 110.
- ¹ ⁴ Ibid., p. 47.
- ¹ ⁵ Ibid., p. 98.
- ¹ ⁶ Ibid., p. 113.
- ¹ ⁷ Ibid., p. 159, p. 144.
- It is worth noting that Koolhaas was well aware of *Le città invisibili*, although it is uncertain whether he consciously blended its aesthetics into Junkspace.
- ¹ 9 Rem Koolhaas, 'Junkspace', *October*, 100 (2002), 176.
- ² ⁰ Ibid., p. 186-87.
- ² Ibid., p. 175.
- ² Ibid., p. 183.
- ² ³ Ibid., p. 186.
- ² Hilary Powell, 'Recycling Junkspace: finding space for 'Playtime' in the city', *The Journal of Architecture*, 10.2 (2005), 216.
- ² Michel Foucault, 'Des espaces autres' (1967) in *Dits et Écrits 1954-1988: Tome 4* (Paris: Gallimard, 1994), p. 754.
- ² ⁶ Ibid., p. 756.
- This description is not intended by Foucault to articulate his own understanding of heterotopia, but is nevertheless relevant to it, as the following arguments show.
- Michel Foucault, 'Des espaces autres' (1967) in *Dits et Écrits 1954-1988: Tome 4* (Paris: Gallimard, 1994), p. 756.
- ² 9 Ibid., p. 758.

- This excess of spatial ideologies is similar to that of Augé's 'non-place' (1992), although the latter emphasises the individual's experience of social solitude, which does not always exist in heterotopia.
- Michel Foucault, 'Des espaces autres' (1967) in *Dits et Écrits 1954-1988: Tome 4* (Paris: Gallimard, 1994), p. 758.
- This is not to say that colonialism has the positive result of freedom, but that postcolonial emancipation and identity cannot return to an authentic pre-colonial state and is necessarily a reaction to colonialism.
- ³ Julia Kristeva, *Pouvoirs de l'horreur: essai sur l'abjection* (Paris: Seuil, 1980), p. 16.
- Michel Foucault, Les mots et les choses (Paris: Gallimard, 1966), p. 7.
- ³ ⁵ Ibid., p. 9.
- ³ ⁶ Ibid., p. 9.
- ³ Italo Calvino, *Le città invisibili* (Milano: Arnoldo Mondadori, 1993), p. 62.
- Michel Foucault, 'Des espaces autres' (1967) in *Dits et Écrits 1954-1988: Tome 4* (Paris: Gallimard, 1994), p. 756.
- ³ 9 Ibid., p. 756.
- ⁴ Rem Koolhaas, 'Junkspace', *October*, 100 (2002), p. 181.
- Michel Foucault, Les mots et les choses (Paris: Gallimard, 1966), p. 9.
- ⁴ Italo Calvino, 'La poubelle agréée' (1974-76), in *Romanzi e Racconti* (Milano: Mondadori, 1994), p. 59-79.
- ⁴ Italo Calvino, *Le città invisibili* (Milano: Arnoldo Mondadori, 1993), p. 113.
- Italo Calvino, La poubelle agréée' (1974-76), in *Romanzi e Racconti* (Milano: Mondadori, 1994), p. 65.
- ⁴ ⁵ Ibid., p. 66.
- ⁴ ⁶ Ibid., p. 79.
- ⁴ ⁷ Ibid., p. 79.
- ⁴ Michel Foucault, 'Des espaces autres' (1967) in *Dits et Écrits 1954-1988: Tome 4* (Paris: Gallimard, 1994), p. 756.
- ⁴ Hal Foster, *Design and Crime: and other diatribes* (London: Verso, 2002), p. 60.

- On the idea of capitalism inevitably producing its own critique, see Antonello and Mussgnug 2009.
- Examples of failed modernist visions may be the universalising urban projects of Bruno Taut, Sant'Elia and Le Corbusier.
- Foucault self-contradictorily says this but still clearly distinguishes heterotopia from utopia.
- ⁵ Fredric Jameson, Archaeologies of the Future (London/New York: Verso, 2007), p. 217.
- ⁵ Italo Calvino, *Le città invisibili* (Milano: Arnoldo Mondadori, 1993), p. 164.
- ⁵ Italo Calvino, *Il sentiero dei nidi di ragno* (Torino: Einaudi, 1964), p. 7.
- ⁵ Italo Calvino, *Le città invisibili* (Milano: Arnoldo Mondadori, 1993), p. 155.
- ⁵ Georges Bataille, *Documents* (Paris: Gallimard, 1968), p. 103.
- ⁵ Rem Koolhaas, 'Junkspace', *October*, 100 (2002), p. 185.