



1 **Abstract**

2 Non-avian reptiles are good models to investigate structural and developmental differences  
3 between amniotes. Investigations of craniofacial development in a complete series of  
4 embryos from oviposition up to hatching are still relatively rare. Consideration of a  
5 complete series can reveal developmental events that were previously missed, and thus  
6 correct or confirm theories about developmental events. The Egyptian Sand snake,  
7 *Psammophis sibilans*, has been a key species in descriptions of the snake skull  
8 development. However, published work was based on a limited sample of specimens  
9 collected from the wild. Here we supplement previous descriptions with an illustrated  
10 account of skull development in *P. sibilans* based on a staged series of embryos and  
11 histological sections. Our findings largely agree with those of previous authors, although  
12 we record differences in developmental timing, confirm the presence of an egg tooth in this  
13 species. We add further observations on the enigmatic fenestra X, showing that it closes  
14 rather than merging with the prootic notch. Our observations revealed the likely  
15 contribution of the tectum posterius to the occipital roof, the presence of an internal carotid  
16 foramen (possibly transitory or variable), and the formation of the initial laterosphenoid  
17 pillar.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## 1 **Introduction**

2 Non-avian reptiles including lizards and snakes are good models to investigate structural  
3 and developmental differences between amniotes (e.g., Chang et al., 2009; Nomura et al.,  
4 2013). They are easily bred and provide accessible embryos at different stages of  
5 development. Some previous studies of craniofacial development in snakes were based on  
6 specimens collected directly from the field (Parker, 1879; Kamal and Hammouda, 1965a–  
7 c). Investigations of craniofacial development in a complete series of embryos from  
8 oviposition up to hatching are still relatively rare (e.g. Jackson, 2002; Boughner et al.,  
9 2007; Boback et al., 2012; Khannoon and Evans, 2015; Polachowski and Werneberg 2015),  
10 but can reveal developmental events that were previously missed, and thus correct or  
11 confirm theories about developmental events (Khannoon and Evans, 2015).

12 In a previous work (Khannoon and Evans 2015), we provided an account of  
13 ontogeny in the Egyptian cobra (Elapidae), *Naja haje*. Detailed description of the  
14 development of the chondrocranium and osteocranium at an accurate level depended  
15 primarily on the collection of a complete embryonic series. Comparison of embryonic  
16 tables for *Naja haje* (Khannoon and Evans, 2014) and the Sand snake, *Psammophis*  
17 *sibilans* (Khannoon and Zahradnicek, 2017), showed that they have slightly different  
18 incubation periods despite being incubated under the same conditions and temperature.  
19 This raised the question as to whether, and to what degree, the rate and pattern of  
20 craniofacial development would also differ between the two species.

21 Comparative analysis of osteocranium development in squamates, including snakes,  
22 adds to our general understanding of the evolutionary biology of skull development in  
23 vertebrates. Additionally it shows the critical events leading to the unique characters of  
24 snake skull, and may help to resolve issues that remain contentious. Two such issues in the  
25 braincase are the presence or absence of epiotic centres of ossification and the mode of  
26 development of the posterior roof of the braincase. In *Naja haje* embryos (Khannoon and  
27 Evans, 2015), we were able to establish the presence of separate epiotic centres on the otic  
28 capsules as well as the major contribution of the tectum posterius to the intracapsular plate  
29 (contra de Beer, 1937; Bellairs and Kamal, 1981). However, a broader sample of taxa is

1 needed to determine whether there is phylogenetically relevant variation in these features  
2 between snakes.

3 *Psammophis sibilans* is a common colubroid snake (family Lamprophiidae)  
4 distributed across Egypt and parts of north-eastern Africa including Ethiopia, Somalia and  
5 Eritrea (The Reptile Database, accessed December 2018). It is a back-fanged  
6 (opisthoglyphous) venomous snake but is not considered dangerous to humans (e.g. Vidal,  
7 2002). This snake has been popular in developmental biology as it is well distributed  
8 around the River Nile, and is easily kept in the laboratory (Baha El Din, 2006).  
9 Additionally, *Psammophis* is not dangerous to humans, and it produces a reasonable  
10 number of eggs, with a high percentage of incubation success (Khannoon and Zahradnicek,  
11 2017), facilitating laboratory work. *Psammophis* development was originally described in  
12 a series of papers by Kamal and Hammouda (1965a-c). They provided a detailed account of  
13 the development of the chondrocranium (1965a,b), based on serial sectioning. This account  
14 formed the main basis for the description of snake skull development in Bellairs and Kamal  
15 (1981). However, for the osteocranium, Kamal and Hammouda (1965c) described one late  
16 embryonic stage, with only limited information on earlier stages.

17 A detailed embryonic staging series for *Psammophis*, based on external features,  
18 was published recently by Khannoon and Zahradnicek (2017). In the current study we  
19 describe important stages in the development of the osteocranium up to hatching, but also  
20 comment on other features where relevant. The descriptive terminology used is mainly that  
21 of Cundall and Irish (2008). We then compare our findings with those of Kamal and  
22 Hammouda (1965a-c) and Bellairs and Kamal (1981).

23

## 24 **Materials and Methods**

25

26 Adult gravid females of *Psammophis sibilans* were collected directly from the field in the  
27 Nile Delta region of Egypt. They were kept in the laboratory under suitable conditions at  
28 ambient temperature of approximately 30-32 °C daytime and 25-27 °C at night, in glass  
29 cages of 100 x 70 x 70 cm (length x width x height) and were fed with lizards and mice  
30 until their eggs were laid. The average clutch size was 7–12.

1 Oviposited eggs (N=67) were placed in plastic boxes filled with perlite in an  
2 incubator (at 85–90% moisture) at a constant incubation temperature of  $30\pm 0.5$  °C. The  
3 embryos hatched in 49–50 days postoviposition (dpo). This is a much shorter incubation  
4 period than the 65 days reported by Kamal and Hammouda (1965a). They did not provide  
5 an incubation temperature for their embryos, recording only that the eggs were incubated at  
6 ‘room temperature’ in damp soil. In the current study, embryos were sampled every day  
7 for the first half of the incubation period and every two days in the second half of the  
8 embryonic period. The animal collection and research protocol were approved and  
9 permitted by Fayoum University, Faculty of Science.

10 Embryos were extracted in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and excised from the  
11 surrounding extraembryonic membranes. Embryonic stages were identified according to  
12 the embryonic table by Khannoon and Zahradnicek (2017). Eggs were sampled to  
13 represent all stages of osteocranium development, and the embryos preserved in 4%  
14 paraformaldehyde and 10 % buffered formalin. The heads were processed and dehydrated  
15 in ethanol up to 95% ethanol for 5-7 days, and then stored in acetone for a further three  
16 days. Samples were then stained using an ethanol-KOH-Glycerol Alizarin red-Alcian blue  
17 staining protocol (Hanken and Wassersug, 1981) for three days, transferred into 1% KOH,  
18 and taken through a series of glycerol:KOH ratios. The stained heads were stored in 100%  
19 glycerol. Images were captured using an Olympus SZH10 stereo-microscope with a Rebiga  
20 2000R camera attachment.

21 In addition to the cleared and stained specimens, heads of embryos representing different  
22 embryonic stages of *P. sibilans* were excised and fixed at 4% PFA or 10% formalin. At least two  
23 heads from each stage were processed. These were washed, and dehydrated in ascending series of  
24 ethyl alcohol (Sigma-Aldrich). After dehydration samples were cleared in xylene (Sigma-Aldrich),  
25 infiltrated and embedded in paraplast (Sigma-Aldrich). Samples were sectioned either transversely  
26 or sagittally at 7-9  $\mu\text{m}$  thickness using a SLEE Cut 5062 microtome. Paraffin sections were  
27 deparaffinized, hydrated and stained either in Hematoxylin and Eosin or Masson Trichrome Stain  
28 (Humason, 1979). Sections were mounted in DPX (Sigma-Aldrich), coverslipped, and imaged using

1 an AmScope Stereo Microscope adapted with AmScope digital camera (Fig.1). For higher  
2 magnifications, a Nikon light microscope was used.

### 3 **Results**

4 The earliest embryo to be studied was opened on the day of oviposition (0 dpo), a stage 1  
5 embryo (based on Khannoon and Zahradnicek 2017). Kamal and Hammouda (1965a,b)  
6 provided a detailed account of the chondrocranium based on serial sections. For that reason,  
7 we have focused mainly on osteocranial development starting from stage 5 (20dpo), the first  
8 stage at which mineralizations could be observed, noting key aspects of chondrocranial  
9 morphology as relevant or where our findings differ from those of previous authors. The  
10 descriptions are based mainly on the cleared and stained specimens, with additional detail  
11 from histological sections if relevant.

12

#### 13 **Stage 5, 20dpo (Fig. 1A,B)**

14 This stage is roughly equivalent to the late stage III (chondrocranium) of Kamal and  
15 Hammouda (1965a,b). The skeletal double staining showed little detail other than the  
16 chondrocranial components. However, histological serial sectioning provided evidence of  
17 early mineralization around Meckel's cartilage (MC) to form the compound bone of the lower  
18 jaw (i.e. fused surangular, prearticular, and articular), and in the palate, the supratemporal,  
19 and ventral flanges of the parietals (Fig. 1A,B). This is earlier than revealed by alizarin  
20 staining in the whole mount embryos.

21

#### 22 **Stage 6, 23dpo. (Fig.2)**

23 The main parts of the chondrocranium are well developed at this stage, with prominent nasal  
24 capsules (Fig. 2A) and chondrified otic capsules (Fig. 2B). As in all snakes, the trabeculae  
25 cranii are separate between the orbits (platytrabic condition, Fig. 2C) and form an angle of  
26 approximately 30-40° with the nasal capsule. Anteriorly, the trabeculae are fused and  
27 contribute to the nasal septum. Medially, behind the orbits, the pituitary (hypophyseal)  
28 fenestra is visible as a wide space flanked by the trabeculae (Fig.2C). Posterior to the pituitary  
29 fenestra, and separated from it by the crista sellaris, the basicranial fenestra is large (Fig.2C).

1 The notochord is visible as a weak thickening along the dorsal midline of the basal plate  
2 posterior to the basicranial fenestra (also visible in Fig. 1B). In the otic region, the facial  
3 foramen is already extracapsular and is separated from the prootic notch (incisura prootica)  
4 anterior to it by the prefacial commissure. The prootic notch is open anteriorly and there is no  
5 trace of ossification in its margins. Ventral to both foramina is a large comma-shaped opening  
6 that corresponds to the fenestra X of previous authors (e.g. Kamal and Hammouda, 1965b;  
7 Bellairs and Kamal, 1981). It reportedly forms by breakdown of the cartilage in this area  
8 (Bellairs and Kamal, 1981) and nothing passes through it. The occipital roof, between the otic  
9 capsules, is unstained by Alcian blue suggesting that this region may be procartilage.

10 At 23dpo, the ventral part of the maxilla is visible as a narrow blue strip below the  
11 eye (Fig. 2A,C), with a slight development of the facial process (discontinuous). Slender  
12 mesenchymal primordia of the premaxilla, prefrontal and frontal are also visible  
13 anterior/anterodorsal to the eye, and a thin condensation above the quadrate (Fig. 2D) may  
14 be the precursor of the supratemporal, consistent with the histological sections from the  
15 20dpo embryo (Fig.1B). Each ventrolateral parietal flange appears as a diffuse  
16 condensation between the eye and the otic capsule, and there is weak alizarin staining  
17 ventrally. Palate development has also begun with weak ossification along the length of the  
18 skull (Fig.2A). In the lower jaw, Meckel's cartilage is enveloped in mesenchymal anlagen  
19 of the dentary and other jaw elements, and ossification is visible in the compound bone.  
20 The ventral parts of the occipital arches show the beginning of ossification.

21 Meckel's cartilage is well developed on each side. A vertical quadrate cartilage,  
22 expanded dorsally and tapering ventrally, meets Meckel's cartilage at a right angle  
23 (Fig.2B). The quadrate is vertical in orientation and adjacent to the posterior one third of  
24 the otic capsule, perichondrial ossification is beginning in the shaft. The posterior extension  
25 of Meckel's cartilage behind the quadrato-mandibular joint will form the retroarticular  
26 process of the articular. The hyoid appears as an inverted Y-shape, with an anterior lingual  
27 process and paired ceratohyals.

28 The endolymphatic sacs are stained red, and possible saccular and utricular maculae  
29 are also visible as blue/purple spots within the vestibular chamber of the ear (Fig.2A).

30

1 **Stage 6, 24dpo (Fig.3)**

2 A well-defined crescent-like condensation (unossified) is visible in the posterodorsal  
3 margin of the orbit. The homologies of this element, as postfrontal or postorbital, remain  
4 contentious. Here we refer to it as the postorbital, reflecting the usage of Cundall and Irish  
5 (2008). The ventrolateral flanges of the parietal between the eye and otic capsule show  
6 further islands of ossification (Fig.3A), and patches of pink alizarin staining, indicating  
7 mineralization, are also visible in the dentary, maxilla, premaxilla, prefrontal, and  
8 ectopterygoid. Ossification of the compound bone and pterygoid is more extensive, as is the  
9 ossification in the quadrate shaft. The internal process is well chondrified but is continuous  
10 with the stapedial shaft.

11 The otic capsule remains mainly cartilaginous (Fig.3B). Anterodorsally, the medial  
12 corners of the otic capsules are now joined by a cartilage (Alcian blue stained) intercapsular  
13 bridge. At the posterior edge of the occipital roof, the two occipital arches (stained by  
14 Alcian blue) extend to the dorsal surface and meet, or nearly meet in the midline. They are  
15 separated from the anterior intercapsular bridge by a clear (unstained) zone (Fig.3C). The  
16 basicranial fenestra is large and open (Fig.3C). There is ossification in the prefacial  
17 commissure that extends into the posterior part of the cartilage bar separating the prootic  
18 notch from fenestra X (Fig.3B). Ossification is also beginning in the crista sellaris. At the  
19 junction of the crista sellaris and the trabeculae, on each side, there is a foramen which we  
20 interpret as being for the internal carotid artery (Fig.3C).

21

22 **Stage 7, 26dpo (Fig.4) and 29dpo (Fig. 5)**

23 This stage is roughly equivalent to stage IV (chondrocranium) of Kamal and Hammouda  
24 (1965a,b). At 26dpo, ossification has progressed in those dermal skull components  
25 identified in previous stages (Fig.4A). However, the braincase appears relatively unchanged  
26 and is slightly less ossified than at 24dpo (Fig.4B). There remains an internal carotid  
27 foramen at the junction of the trabeculae and crista sellaris on both sides. In the occipital  
28 roof, the two occipital arches are slightly further separated in the midline (Fig. 4C). In the  
29 29dpo embryo (Fig.5) there is further ossification in some of the dermal elements (e.g.  
30 parietal flanges, compound bone), with others are seen clearly for the first time (premaxilla,



1 frontal plate, Fig.5A,B); and the egg tooth shows some mineralization. As in *Naja haje*, the  
2 prefrontal seems to have dorsal and ventral centres of ossification (Fig.5B). The internal  
3 process of the quadrate is now separated from the stapedia shaft (Fig.5C). However, this  
4 embryo also seems to show a delay in development of braincase characters in that there is  
5 no ossification in the prefacial commissure and no chondrified intercapsular bridge. The  
6 occipital arches are ossified in the posterolateral portions, with the anterodorsal and  
7 dorsomedial regions chondrified but separated in the midline by the unstained occipital  
8 roof.

9

### 10 **Stages 7-8, 31dpo & 32dpo (Figs 6 and 7)**

11 This stage is roughly equivalent to stage V (chondrocranium) of Kamal and Hammouda  
12 (1965a,b). In the embryos from 31dpo (Fig.6A-D) to 32 dpo (Fig.7A-D), there is increased  
13 maturation of cranial elements including the premaxilla, prefrontal, postorbital,  
14 supratemporal, maxilla, quadrate, pterygoid and ectopterygoid, and the first appearance of  
15 the septomaxillae (seen in Fig.1C, 35dpo) and vomers. The ventrolateral flanges of the  
16 parietal form a more consolidated sheet with ossification having progressed posteriorly  
17 over the curve of the otic capsule. Ossification is present in the orbital margins of the  
18 frontals at 31 dpo (Fig.6A), and this is more extensive in the 32dpo specimen (Fig.7A). In  
19 the lower jaw, the splenial and angular are clearly visible and form an intramandibular joint  
20 (Figs 6C, 7C), the compound bone is further ossified, and the quadrate is adjacent to the  
21 posterior margin of the otic capsule. The anterior ends of Meckel's cartilage extend  
22 medially from the tips of the dentaries (Fig.6C). In the braincase, the basicranium is  
23 ossifying and the crista sellaris is bone. However, the lateral margins of the basicranial  
24 fenestra remain cartilaginous (31dpo and 32dpo). The prootic (trigeminal) notch is  
25 undivided but a small process extending ventrally from the curve of the anterior  
26 semicircular canal in the 31dpo embryo partly closes the anterior margin (Fig. 6D). This  
27 process, an early stage in the formation of the laterosphenoid, is stained with alizarin, as is  
28 a thin strip along the ventral floor of the anterior semicircular canal. The process therefore  
29 seems to be a membranous ossification rather than ossification into the cartilage strut that  
30 forms the dorsal margin of fenestra X, although there is a small dorsal elevation of the

1 cartilage supporting the ventral part of the bar. However, a detailed histological study  
2 would be needed to confirm this. The process is further developed in the 32dpo embryo  
3 where it almost meets a second process extending upward from the ventral margin of the  
4 prootic notch (Fig.7A). Here the dorsal part of the developing pillar is about twice the  
5 length of the ventral component. Fenestra X is still open but its dorsal margin is mostly  
6 ossified in the 32 dpo embryo and the ossification seems to be extending over the dorsal  
7 part of the fenestra. Histological sections of a 35dpo embryo are consistent with the above  
8 interpretation as they show dermal bone forming the laterosphenoid and covering fenestra  
9 X (Fig.1D). The footplate of the stapes has ossified by 32dpo, as is most of the adjacent  
10 shaft but there is a small area of persistent cartilage at the junction of footplate and shaft. In  
11 the otic capsule, there are centres of ossification in the prootic and opisthotic, separated by  
12 thick unossified regions of cartilage. In the occipital roof at 31dpo (Fig.6B), the anterior  
13 intercapsular bridge is ossifying, with a strong band of Alcian stained cartilage across its  
14 posterior edge. The laminae of the occipital arches are also ossified. They do not meet in  
15 the midline but there is a strip of Alcian stained cartilage across their anterior margins that  
16 is unbroken across the midline. This is further consolidated in the 32dpo embryo (Fig.7D),  
17 but the deeply Alcian stained anterior edges of the occipital arch laminae no longer meet in  
18 the midline. In the supraoccipital, we could not confirm the presence or absence of separate  
19 (epiotic) centres bilaterally, although in the dorsolateral corners of the supraoccipital at  
20 32dpo, there are islands of bone surrounded by cartilage (Fig.7D).

21         There is a thickening of glandular tissue in the labial margins, although this is still  
22 unstained, and also below the parietal flanges where Duvernoy's gland is developing  
23 (31dpo). Mineralised tooth caps are visible in association with the upper and lower jaws at  
24 32 dpo (Fig.7A).

25

## 26 **Stage 9, 38dpo & 39dpo (Figs 8 and 9)**

27 Two embryos were sampled at this stage and they show different levels of development,  
28 particularly in some braincase features. In one specimen, the occipital roof is still a  
29 combination of stained cartilage and unstained areas, but in the second the supraoccipital  
30 and exoccipitals (=occipital arches) are fully ossified and separated only by narrow strips of

1 cartilage. The specimens also differ in the extent of ossification of the crista sellaris and the  
2 rim of the trigeminal foramen (closed prootic notch). However, the nasals and frontals are  
3 more fully developed in both specimens, and both specimens show a thickening of the  
4 laterosphenoid and intramembranous ossification covers fenestra X. At 39dpo, the frontals  
5 are fully ossified, meet in the midline and, with the ossified prefrontal and postorbital,  
6 encircle the eyes of the embryo (Fig.9A,C). The nasals form a rhomboid cover over the  
7 nasal capsule (Fig. 9E). The facial process of the maxilla has deepened and the teeth are  
8 clearly visible on the maxilla and dentary but are not implanted (Fig.9A). The parietal  
9 flanges are well ossified and obscure deeper structures but the parietal remains  
10 undeveloped dorsally, leaving the brain visible under transparent skin. The remains of the  
11 endolymphatic sacs are visible but they are empty. The quadrate is almost fully ossified  
12 except at the proximal and distal ends and is angled posteriorly (Fig.9B); the stapedia  
13 process is complete. The components of the otic capsule are well ossified but still separated  
14 by zones of cartilage. In the occipital roof, only a thin line of cartilage separates the  
15 supraoccipital from the dorsal laminae of the exoccipitals (=occipital arches). The latter are  
16 not yet fused to the opisthotics. Fenestra X is closed by intramembranous ossification and  
17 an intramembranous laterosphenoid ossification extends into the trigeminal foramen from  
18 its ventral margin, dividing it anterior (maxillary) and posterior (mandibular) nerve  
19 foramina. In the region of the fenestra vestibuli, there appears to be some extension of the  
20 posterior margin of the prootic as an incipient crista circumfenestralis but the ventral  
21 margin of the fenestra, between the prootic and opisthotic ossifications, remains  
22 cartilaginous. In the opisthotic, there are two foramina posterior to the fenestra vestibuli.  
23 We interpret the more anterior of these to be the lateral opening of the recessus scala  
24 tympani, separated from the fenestra vestibuli by a narrow interfenestral bar, and the  
25 second as the vagus foramen.

26

### 27 **Stage 10, 46dpo (Fig.10)**

28 This stage is equivalent to stage VI (chondrocranium) of Kamal and Hammouda (1965a,b).  
29 Most of the skull and lower jaw elements are well ossified (Fig.10A-D), although the otic  
30 capsule components remain separate (including exoccipitals and opisthotics) and the

1 parietal does not yet cover the brain dorsally (Fig.10B). The teeth are more fully developed  
2 and heterodont (e.g. four large anterior teeth on mandible with smaller teeth behind) and  
3 the laterosphenoid ossification seems to be complete. In the ear region, the ventral margin  
4 of the fenestra vestibuli (junction of prootic and opisthotic) is now almost completely  
5 ossified, and there appears to be some development of the posterior lamina of the crista  
6 circumfenestralis. The head is now snake-like in shape. Supralabial and infralabial glands  
7 are visible (having picked up stain), and there is a clear mass ventral to the parietal flange  
8 representing the developing venom gland (Duvernoy's gland). A shiny collagenous band  
9 extends from the quadrate into the capsule of the gland (Fig.10A).

10

### 11 **Prehatching (late stage 10), 49dpo (Fig.11A-D) & 50dpo (Fig.12A-C)**

12 At this stage, the frontals are complete. Ossification is progressing from lateral to medial in  
13 the parietal, but the posteromedial part of the skull roof remains incomplete up to hatching.  
14 Thin lines of cartilage still separate the otic capsule components. The margins of the  
15 footplate are less obvious, suggesting that the circumfenestral crest has begun to develop.  
16 The teeth are now implanted and show size differentiation with enlarged maxillary teeth in  
17 the anterior midsection of the bone. The supra- and infralabial glands are well developed  
18 and Duvernoy's gland is more pronounced.

19

### 20 **Discussion**

21 Kamal and Hammouda described head development in *Psammophis sibilans* in a series of  
22 papers published in 1965. These papers formed the basis of the basic account of the  
23 developing snake chondrocranium in Bellairs and Kamal (1981). We have not sought to  
24 add to the description of the chondrocranium, which is very detailed in the above works,  
25 but did find some points of discrepancy with respect to developmental timing and some  
26 aspects of osteocranial development.

27 As noted above, Kamal and Hammouda (1965a) reported that the incubation time  
28 for the embryos they studied (from wild caught pregnant females) was 65 days at 'room  
29 temperature'. Without knowing what that temperature was, nor how much it fluctuated

1 between day and night, it is difficult to explain why it took roughly 15 days longer for their  
2 eggs to develop than ours. However, if their eggs were developing more slowly, it would  
3 explain many of the discrepancies in developmental timing whereby our embryos are  
4 generally 10-15 or more days in advance for any given stage. Thus Kamal and Hammouda  
5 (1965a,b), for example, show the basicranial fenestra as absent at 22dpo and state that it  
6 begins to form around 26dpo by secondary breakdown of the cartilage in the anterior basal  
7 plate. In our 17 dpo embryo, the fenestra is already large. Kamal and Hammouda (1965c)  
8 reported tooth rudiments to be first visible at 58 dpo, in conjunction with the formation of  
9 the angular-splénial joint, whereas we observed them both at 32 dpo.

10 In addition, Kamal and Hammouda (1965c) gave a detailed account of the  
11 osteocranium in only one late stage (58dpo) embryo, although they mention a small number  
12 of earlier ossification events in their paper. According to these authors, the membrane  
13 bones of the skull first appear in their 35dpo embryo (contra 20dpo in our study), shortly  
14 before the first appearance of ossification in the chondrocranium (24dpo in our study).  
15 They also recorded that the maxilla and pterygoid appear slightly before other elements,  
16 which agrees with our observations although we also observed ossification in the  
17 compound bone of the lower jaw in the same embryo. However, Kamal and Hammouda  
18 (1965c) reported that the frontals were incompletely ossified dorsally even for some time  
19 after hatching, whereas we found the frontals to be largely complete in our prehatching  
20 stage with only the parietal roof open.

21 Rather surprisingly, Kamal and Hammouda (1965a) reported the absence of a tooth  
22 on the premaxilla in *Psammophis*, although they noted the presence of a median egg tooth  
23 in other snake embryos. In fact, a median egg tooth is present on the premaxilla in our  
24 *Psammophis* embryos (first seen as a mineralized element at 31 dpo) – presumably Kamal  
25 and Hammouda (1965a) either missed it or it was lost in preparation in their specimens.

26 No extant snake possesses a separate lacrimal bone, and it is also unrecorded in any  
27 early/primitive fossil snake (e.g. Rieppel et al. 2003; Scanlon, 2006; Zaher & Rieppel,  
28 2012). However, the bone is present in most lizards and may, therefore, have been present  
29 in the ancestry of snakes. In *Naja haje* (Khannoon and Evans, 2015), the prefrontal bone  
30 developed from two separate centres of ossification, raising the possibility that the more

1 ventral of these represented a lacrimal primordium. Kamal and Hammouda (1965c)  
2 discounted the presence of a lacrimal in *Psammophis*, but at 29dpo, our *Psammophis*  
3 embryos show dorsal and ventral ossification centres like those of *Naja haje* (Figs 3A and  
4 4A).

5 In reptiles, the posterior roof of the braincase (intercapsular plate in Khannoon and  
6 Evans, 2015) may be formed either from the tectum synoticum (derived from the otic  
7 capsules) or a combination of the tectum synoticum and the tectum posterius (derived from  
8 the dorsal laminae of the occipital arches)(Bellairs and Kamal, 1981). According to many  
9 authors (e.g. De Beer 1939; Bellairs and Kamal 1981; Rieppel and Zaher 2001), the  
10 occipital roof in snakes is primarily (or exclusively) derived from the tectum synoticum  
11 because the occipital arches fail to meet in the midline. However, El Toubi and Kamal  
12 (1965) reviewed the literature on snake skull development and found considerable variation  
13 in the literature with respect to the tectal contributions in different snake species. Their  
14 view was supported by our study of the Egyptian cobra, *Naja haje* (Khannoon and Evans,  
15 2015) that indicated that the tectum posterius formed the major component of the occipital  
16 roof in this species. De Beer (1937, p.393) also commented on the difficulty of  
17 distinguishing these components in some embryos.

18 Kamal and Hammouda (1965a,b), followed by Bellairs and Kamal (1981), reported  
19 that the braincase roof in *Psammophis* formed solely from the tectum synoticum, and that  
20 the dorsal laminae of the occipital arches fail to meet in the midline to form a tectum  
21 posterius. Our observations on *Naja haje* prompted us to re-examine the development of  
22 this region in *Psammophis*. At 23 dpo, the anterior, intercapsular, region of the braincase  
23 roof is clear (unstained by Alcian blue), but there is deep blue staining posterolaterally in  
24 the dorsal laminae of the occipital arches, although these do not meet in the midline. At 24  
25 dpo, the otic capsules are joined anteriorly by a short intercapsular bridge of stained  
26 cartilage, with an unstained region separating the anterior bridge from the dorsal laminae of  
27 the occipital arches. These laminae meet, or almost meet, in the dorsal midline forming a  
28 second Alcian stained bar across the posterior edge of the occipital roof. At 31dpo,  
29 ossification extends through the intercapsular bridge and also through the laminae of the  
30 occipital arches, but these structures remain separated by three bands of tissue, all of which

1 are continuous across the midline: a band of Alcian stained cartilage along the posterior  
2 margin of the developing supraoccipital, an unstained area, and a narrow band of Alcian  
3 stained cartilage along the anterior margins of the ossifying dorsal laminae of the occipital  
4 arches. The morphology is very similar at 32dpo and 38dpo, except the posterior cartilage  
5 band is no longer continuous. In our 39dpo embryo, the unstained region is no longer  
6 present and there remains only a thin, continuous strip of cartilage between supraoccipital  
7 and occipital arches. This persists until hatching. The dorsal lamina of the fully ossified  
8 exoccipitals approach closely in the midline but are not confluent.

9 Kamal and Hammouda (1965a,b,c) reported the presence of a rudimentary tectum  
10 synoticum at 35dpo but rejected the possibility of a contribution to the occipital roof by  
11 tectum posterius in *Psammophis* because the occipital arches fail to meet in the midline.  
12 This was repeated by Bellairs and Kamal (1981) in their review of the snake  
13 chondrocranium. However, because Kamal and Hammouda (1965a,b,c) sampled only a  
14 limited number of specimens, they may have missed stages like those demonstrated by our  
15 24dpo and 31dpo embryos where a posterior cartilage band, derived from the occipital  
16 arches and therefore equivalent to the tectum posterius, extends across the occipital roof  
17 posterior to the area of the tectum synoticum. We therefore interpret the occipital roof in  
18 *Psammophis* to be a combination of tectum synoticum and tectum posterius. However, the  
19 condition in *Psammophis* does appear to differ from that in *Naje haje* where the dorsal  
20 laminae of the occipital arches extended forward between the otic capsules and seem to  
21 have contributed the majority of the occipital roof.

22 A second point of contention with respect to the supraoccipital in snakes is the  
23 presence or absence of separate, epiotic, centres of ossification in the dorsal part of the otic  
24 capsules. We found evidence of these centres in *N. haje* (Khannoon and Evans, 2015) but  
25 were unable to confirm their presence in *Psammophis*.

26 In the basicranium, we were able to trace the fate of fenestra X. This somewhat  
27 enigmatic foramen has not been recorded in lizards but does appear in many snakes  
28 (Bellairs and Kamal, 1981). According to Kamal and Hammouda (1965b), the fenestra is  
29 closed by a membrane and nothing passes through it. They did not discuss its subsequent  
30 fate. Bellairs and Kamal (1981), however, stated that the fenestra may become continuous

1 with the prootic notch. This does appear to be correct if only the fate of the Alcian stained  
2 cartilage is followed, but this is because the cartilage bar that separates the fenestra from  
3 the prootic notch above it is replaced by bone, with ossification extending into (or from) the  
4 prefacial commissure. In later embryos, ossification then extends into the membrane  
5 covering the fenestra so that it is closed and incorporated into the ventrolateral wall of the  
6 basicranium.

7         The prootic notch (future trigeminal foramen) lies immediately above fenestra X. In  
8 the early embryonic stages (Stages 5,6), the notch is open anteriorly, but the 31dpo embryo  
9 (Stage 7) shows the beginning of formation of the laterosphenoid element that closes the  
10 notch anteriorly and then extends posteriorly around the exits of the maxillary and  
11 mandibular divisions of the trigeminal nerve. The initial closure of the notch involves small  
12 dorsal and ventral processes growing towards one another and making contact (between 32  
13 and 38 days in our embryos). The dorsal process extends from the ventral curve of the  
14 anterior semicircular canal and forms the major part of this initial laterosphenoid pillar. The  
15 ventral process extends from the bar of cartilage forming the upper margin of fenestra X.  
16 Neither process appears to have a cartilage precursor, and this is confirmed by the  
17 histological sections. This conclusion is consistent with the view of Bellairs and Kamal  
18 (1981) that the laterosphenoid in *Psammophis* is fully intramembranous. However, whereas  
19 the account and figures (fig.68, 69) of Bellairs and Kamal (1981) suggest the ventral  
20 process forms most of the initial pillar, we found that it was actually the dorsal component  
21 that predominated in *Psammophis*, with a second sheet then arising from the ventral margin  
22 to divide the trigeminal foramen.

23         One final point concerns the course of the internal carotid artery in relation to the  
24 pituitary (hypophysial) fenestra. According to Bellairs and Kamal (1981), the internal  
25 carotid arteries pass from dorsal to ventral at the posterolateral corners of the pituitary  
26 fenestra where the trabeculae cranii meet the crista sellaris. In some snakes (e.g. *Natrix*,  
27 *Lamprophis*), the artery is enclosed within a discrete foramen (Parker, 1879) whereas in  
28 others (including *Psammophis*) it merely passes through the corner of the fenestra.  
29 However, we identified paired foramina in our 26dpo *Psammophis* embryos (less certainly



1 at 24dpo) that correspond in position to those in described in *Natrix*. The feature may be  
2 therefore be variable.

3

#### 4 **Conclusions**

5

6 *Psammophis* has long been one of the key genera in the understanding of skeletal  
7 development in colubroid snakes, and was the main exemplar used for colubroid snake  
8 development in the review of Bellairs and Kamal (1981). Although our observations largely  
9 agree with the descriptions of Kamal and Hammouda (1965a-c), the more complete  
10 embryonic series has permitted a fuller account of skull development in this species. It has  
11 revealed the likely contribution of the tectum posterius to the occipital roof, the presence of  
12 an internal carotid foramen (possibly transitory or variable), and the formation of the initial  
13 laterosphenoid pillar. We were able to confirm that *Psammophis* has an egg tooth like other  
14 snakes, contra Kamal and Hammouda (1965c), and also add further observations on the  
15 enigmatic fenestra X, showing that it closes rather than merging with the prootic notch as  
16 suggested by Bellairs and Kamal (1981). Our embryos developed more quickly than those  
17 of Kamal and Hammouda (1965c), reaching equivalent stages 10-15 days earlier. Equally,  
18 even within our own sample, we found that some embryos were more or less advanced than  
19 others. This presumably reflects differences between clutches, and emphasizes the need for  
20 researchers to monitor and record clutch and incubation conditions in studies of this kind.

21

#### 22 **Acknowledgements**

23 Our thanks are due to Dr Yoshiyuki Yamamoto (UCL) for help with photography. A  
24 visit by EK to UCL to work with SEE was permitted through a grant from the UCL  
25 Global Engagement Fund.

26

1 **References**

- 2 **Baha El Din S** (2006) *A guide to the reptiles and amphibians of Egypt*. The American  
3 University in Cairo Press, Cairo–New York; 359 p.
- 4 **Bellairs A d'A, Kamal AM** (1981) The chondrocranium and development of the skull in  
5 recent reptiles. In: *Biology of the Reptilia, Volume 11, Morphology F* (eds Gans C,  
6 Parsons TS), pp. 1–263. New York: Academic Press.
- 7 **Boback SM, Dichter EK, Mistry HL** (2012) A developmental staging series for the  
8 Africa, house snake, *Boaedon (Lamprophis) fuliginosus*. *Zoology* **115**, 38–46. doi:  
9 10.1016/j.zool.2011.09.001 PMID: 22206643
- 10 **Boughner JC, Buchtova M, Katherine Fu, Diewert V, Hallgrímsson B, Richman JM**  
11 (2007) Embryonic development of *Python sebae* – I: Staging criteria and  
12 macroscopic skeletal morphogenesis of the head and limbs. *Zoology* **110**, 212–230.
- 13 **Chang C, Wu P, Baker RE, Maini PK, Alibardi L, Chuong CM** (2009). Reptile scale  
14 paradigm: evo-devo, pattern formation and regeneration. *Int J Dev Biol* **53** (5-6),  
15 813-826. doi: 10.1387/ijdb.072556cc.
- 16 **Cundall D, Irish F** (2008) The snake skull. In: *Biology of the Reptilia, Volume 20,*  
17 *Morphology H* (eds Gans C, Gaunt AS, Adler K), pp. 349–692. New York: Society  
18 for the Study of Reptiles and Amphibians.
- 19 **De Beer GR** (1937) The development of the vertebrate skull. Reprinted 1985 edition.  
20 Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 554 p.
- 21 **El Toubi MR, Kamal AEM** (1965) The origin of the tectum of the occipitoauditory region  
22 in Squamata. *Proc Egypt Acad Science* **18**: 73-75
- 23 **Franklin MA** (1945) The embryonic appearance of centres of ossification in the bones of  
24 snakes. *Copeia* **1945**, 68–72.
- 25 **Haluska F, Alberch P** (1983) The cranial development of *Elaphe obsoleta* (Ophidia  
26 Colubridae). *J Morphol* **178**, 37–55.
- 27 **Jackson K** (2002) Post-ovipositional development of the Monocled cobra, *Naja kaouthia*  
28 (Serpentes: Elapidae). *Zoology* **105**, 203–214. PMID: 16351869
- 29 **Kamal AM, Hammouda HG** (1965a) The development of the skull of *Psammophis*  
30 *sibilans*. I. The development of the chondrocranium. *J Morphol* **116**, 197–245.

- 1 **Kamal AM, Hammouda HG** (1965b) The development of the skull of *Psammophis*  
2 *sibilans*. II. The fully formed chondrocranium. *J Morphol* **116**, 247–296.
- 3 **Kamal AM, Hammouda HG** (1965c) The development of the skull of *Psammophis*  
4 *sibilans*. III. The osteocranium of a late embryo. *J Morphol* **116**, 297–310.
- 5 **Khannoon ER, Evans SE** (2014) The embryonic development of the Egyptian cobra *Naja*  
6 *haje* (Squamata: Serpentes: Elapidae). *Acta Zool* **95**, 472–483
- 7 **Khannoon ER, Evans SE** (2015) The development of the skull of the Egyptian Cobra  
8 *Naja h. haje* (Squamata: Serpentes: Elapidae). *PLoS One* **10**, e0122185.
- 9 **Khannoon ER, Zahradnicek O** (2017) Postovipositional development of the sand snake  
10 *Psammophis sibilans* (Serpentes:Lamprophiidae) in comparison with other snake  
11 species. *Acta Zool* **98**, 144–153.
- 12 **Nomura T, Kawaguchi M, Ono K, Murakami Y.** (2013). Reptiles: a new model for brain  
13 evo-devo research. *J Exp Zool B* **320**, 57–73. 10.1002/jez.b.22484
- 14 **Parker WK** (1879) On the structure and development of the skull of the common snake  
15 (*Tropidonotus natrix*). *Philos T R Soc B* **169**, 385–417.
- 16 **Polachowski KM, Werneburg I** (2013) Late embryos and bony skull development in  
17 *Bothropoides jararaca* (Serpentes, Viperidae). *Zoology* **116**, 36–63.
- 18 **Rieppel O, Zaher H** (2001) The development of the skull in *Acrochordus granulatus*  
19 (Schneider) (Reptilia: Serpentes) with special consideration of the otico-occipital  
20 complex. *J Morphol* **249**, 252–266.
- 21 **Rieppel, O, Zaher H, Tchernov, E, and Polcyn MJ** (2003) The anatomy and  
22 relationships of *Haasiophis terrasanctus*, a fossil snake with well-developed hind-  
23 limbs from the mid-Cretaceous of the Middle East. *J Paleontol* **77**, 536–558.
- 24 **Scanlon, J** (2006) Skull of the large non-macrostromatan snake *Yurlunggur* from the  
25 Australian Oligo-Miocene. *Nature* **439**, 839-842.
- 26 **Vidal, N** (2002) Colubroid systematics: evidence for an early appearance of the venom  
27 apparatus followed by extensive evolutionary tinkering. *J Toxicol-Toxin Rev* **21**,  
28 21–41. Doi: 10.1081/TXR-120004740.

1 **Zaher, H., Rieppel, O** (2012) The skull of the Upper Cretaceous snake *Dinilysia*  
2 *patagonica* Smith-Woodward, 1901, and its phylogenetic position revisited. *Zool J*  
3 *Linn Soc* **164**, 194–238.

4  
5  
6  
7

#### **Abbreviations used in the figures**

An – angular  
Asc – anterior semicircular canal  
Bc.f – basicranial fenestra  
bp – basal plate  
c.a – clear region of occipital roof  
Col.bd – collagenous band  
Com – compound bone  
CN5 – trigeminal nerve  
Cr.s – crista sellaris  
D – dentary  
dvl- dorsal and ventral processes of  
laterosphenoid  
Ect – ectopterygoid  
Eg.tth – egg tooth  
En.s – endolymphatic sacs  
F.f – facial nerve foramen  
FenX – fenestra X  
Fr – frontal or frontal primordium  
Hy – hyoid  
icb – intercapsular bridge (tectum  
synoticum)  
icf – internal carotid foramen  
I.l.g - infralabial glands  
in.p – internal process of quadrate  
Jj – jaw joint  
J.o – Jacobson’s organ  
Lsph - laterosphenoid  
Ma – mandible  
Mc - macula  
Mk.c – Meckel’s cartilage  
Mx – maxilla  
Mx.f – maxillary fangs  
N - nasal

N.cp – nasal capsule  
no – notochord embedded in basal plate  
Occ.a – occipital arch (tectum posterius)  
Occ.r – Occipital roof  
Ot.c – otic capsule  
Op – opisthotic  
oss – ossification  
Pa – parietal  
Pa.fl – parietal flange  
Pal - palatine  
Pa.pr – palatine process of maxilla  
Pi.f – pituitary fenestra  
Pmx - premaxilla  
Po – postorbital  
Prfr – prefrontal  
Pfc – prefacial commissure  
PrO - prootic  
PrOn – prootic notch  
Pt – pterygoid  
Qu – quadrate bone/cartilage  
Qu-A – quadrate articular joint  
Rap – retroarticular process  
Smx – septomaxilla  
Soc - supraoccipital  
Sp – splenial  
St – supratemporal  
Sta – stapes  
S.l.g – supra labial glands  
Tr - trachea  
Tr.c – trabeculae cranii  
Tth – teeth or developing teeth  
U.o.r – unossified area in parietal roof  
Ve.g – venom gland

### Figure captions

Fig. 1 Histological sections through different stages of *Psammophis*. A, 20dpo embryo showing ossification around Meckel's cartilage in the lower jaw and also within the palate. B, Stage 5, 20 dpo (days post oviposition), embryo showing supratemporal ossification above the head of the quadrate, as well as the notochord embedded in the dorsal surface of the basal plate. C, 35 dpo embryo showing the development of Jacobson's organ and septomaxilla. D, 35 dpo embryo showing development of the laterosphenoid lateral to the trigeminal ganglion and thin sheet of dermal bone over the position of Fenestra X. Scale bar 1 mm

Fig. 2. Stage 6, 23 dpo, embryo in A, left lateral, B, left posterolateral, C, right ventrolateral, and D, left dorsolateral views, showing chondrocranium and early stages of ossification in the jaw and palate. Scale bar 1 mm

Fig. 3. Stage 6, 24 dpo embryo, in A, right lateral, B, right lateral enlargement of otic capsule, C, posterodorsal views, showing increased dermal ossification and the early stages of supraoccipital formation. (\*) This U-shaped edge is the underlying edge of the basicranial fenestra. Scale bar 1 mm

Fig. 4. Stage 7, 26 dpo embryo, in A, right lateral, B, left lateral otic capsule, and C, posterodorsal views. Scale bar 1 mm

Fig. 5. Stage 7, 29 dpo embryo, in A, right lateral, B, right anteroventrolateral, and C, posterior dorsal views. Scale bar 1 mm

Fig. 6. Stage 7/8, 31 dpo embryo, in A, right lateral, B, posterior dorsal, C, right ventrolateral, and D, right posterolateral views. The dotted oval in D encloses the dorsal and ventral components of the developing laterosphenoid. Scale bar 1 mm

Fig. 7. Stage 7/8, 32 dpo embryo, in A, left lateral, B, left lateral otic capsule, C, right ventrolateral, and D, posterior dorsal views. Scale bar 1 mm

Fig. 8. Stage 9, 38 dpo embryo in A, ventral, B, anterior dorsal, and C, posterodorsal views. Scale bar 1 mm

Fig. 9. Stage 9, 39 dpo embryo in A, left lateral, B, dorsal, C, left anterior lateral, D, left ventrolateral, and E, left dorsolateral views. Scale bar 1 mm

Fig. 10. Stage 10, 46 dpo embryo in A, right lateral, B, left dorsolateral of posterior skull, C, left ventrolateral of anterior skull, and D, right dorsolateral of anterior skull. Scale bar 1 mm

Fig. 11. Late stage 10, 49 dpo embryo in A, left dorsolateral, B, supraoccipital region, dorsolateral view, C, left anterodorsolateral view, D, right ventrolateral view showing development of labial glands. Scale bar 1 mm

Fig. 12. Late stage 10, pre-hatching, 50 dpo embryos. A, embryo 1 in right lateral view, and B-C, embryo 2 in B, dorsal and C, right ventrolateral views. Scale bar 1 mm