Effect of remote ischaemic conditioning on platelet reactivity and endogenous fibrinolysis in ST-elevation myocardial infarction- a substudy of the CONDI-2/ERIC-PPCI randomised controlled trial

Short title: Effect of remote ischaemic preconditioning on platelet reactivity and fibrinolysis in PPCI

Prof. Diana A Gorog MD, PhD, FRCP^{1,2,3} Dr Mohamed Farag MB, BS, PhD^{2,4}, Dr Nikolaos Spinthakis MB BS, MRCP^{2,3}, Prof. Derek M Yellon DSc⁵, Prof. Hans Erik Bøtker DMSci⁶, Prof. Rajesh K. Kharbanda MB, BCh, PhD⁷, Prof. Derek J Hausenloy MBChB PhD FRCP⁸

- 1. National Heart & Lung Institute, Imperial College, London, United Kingdom
- 2. Postgraduate Medical School, University of Hertfordshire, Hertfordshire, United Kingdom
- 3. Department of Cardiology, East and North Hertfordshire NHS Trust, Hertfordshire, United Kingdom
- 4. Cardiology Department, Royal Papworth Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Papworth Everard, Cambridge, United Kingdom
- 5. The Hatter Cardiovascular Institute, University College London, London, United Kingdom
- 6. Aarhus University Hospital, Department of Cardiology, Aarhus N, Denmark.
- 7. Oxford Heart Centre, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Trust, Oxford, United Kingdom; Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom.
- 8. The Hatter Cardiovascular Institute, University College London, London, UK;; Cardiovascular & Metabolic Disorders Program, Duke-National University of Singapore Medical School, Singapore; National Heart Research Institute Singapore, National Heart Centre, Singapore; Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University Singapore, Singapore; Cardiovascular Research Center, College of Medical and Health Sciences, Asia University, Taiwan; Tecnologico de Monterrey, Centro de Biotecnologia-FEMSA, Nuevo Leon, Mexico.

Word count 5531

Correspondence:

Prof. Diana A Gorog MB BS, MD, PhD, FRCP National Heart and Lung Institute Dovehouse Street London SW3 6LR United Kingdom Tel +44 (0)207 034 8934; Fax +44 (0)207 0348935 d.gorog@imperial.ac.uk

© The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of [Cardiology.](mailto:d.gorog@imperial.ac.uk)

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited .

Abstract

Background: Remote ischaemic conditioning (RIC) has been shown to reduce myocardial infarct size in animal models of myocardial infarction. Platelet thrombus formation is a critical determinant of outcome in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). Whether the beneficial effects of RIC are related to thrombotic parameters is unclear. **Methods and Results:** In a substudy of the Effect of Remote Ischaemic Conditioning on clinical outcomes in STEMI patients undergoing Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (ERIC-PPCI) trial, we assessed the effect of RIC on thrombotic status. Patients presenting with STEMI were randomised to immediate RIC consisting of an automated autoRICTM cuff on the upper arm inflated to 200mmHg for 5 minutes and deflated for 5 minutes for 4 cycles (n=53) or sham (n=47). Venous blood was tested at presentation, discharge (48 h) and 6-8 weeks, to assess platelet reactivity, coagulation and endogenous fibrinolysis using the Global Thrombosis Test and thromboelastography (TEG). Baseline thrombotic status was similar in the 2 groups. At discharge, there was some evidence that the time to *in vitro* thrombotic occlusion under high shear stress was longer with RIC compared to sham $(454\pm105s \text{ vs. } 403\pm105s; \text{ mean difference } 50.1s; 95\% \text{ confidence interval } [CI] 93.7-$ 6.4, P=0.025), but this was no longer apparent at 6-8 weeks. There was no difference in clot formation or endogenous fibrinolysis between the study arms at any time-point.

Conclusion: RIC may reduce platelet reactivity in the first 48h post-STEMI. Further research is needed to delineate mechanisms through which RIC may reduce platelet reactivity, and whether it may improve outcomes in patients with persistent high on-treatment platelet reactivity.

Word count: 259 words

Translational Perspective

ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) results from coronary thrombosis. Remote ischaemic conditioning (RIC) can reduce infarct size in animals, but its effects on thrombus formation are unclear. We show that in patients with STEMI, the time to *in vitro* thrombotic occlusion was longer in patients receiving RIC than sham at 48h, but this was no longer apparent at 6-8 weeks. There was no difference in coagulation or endogenous fibrinolysis between RIC and sham groups. The short-term reduction in platelet reactivity by RIC may be useful in individuals with enhanced platelet reactivity to reduce the propensity for further thrombosis.

Word count 97

Abbreviations

- $ADP = adenosine diphosphate$
- DAPT = dual antiplatelet medication
- GTT = Global Thrombosis Test
- IP C = ischaemic preconditioning
- IR = ischaemia -reperfusion
- IRI = ischaemia -reperfusion injury
- $LT = lysis time$
- $OT =$ occlusion time
- PPCI = primary percutaneous coronary intervention
- RIC = remote ischaemic preconditioning
- STEMI = ST -segment elevation myocardial infarction
- TEG = thromboelast ography

Introduction

The cause of ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) is most commonly the disruption of a coronary atheromatous plaque, leading to local thrombosis, and culminating in arterial occlusion. The outcome of such a prothrombotic stimulus is determined by the magnitude of the thrombotic response, balanced against the effectiveness of the endogenous fibrinolytic enzymes in overcoming lasting vessel occlusion.¹ Treatment of STEMI patients with primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PPCI) aims to rapidly restore coronary flow, improve myocardial salvage and reduce infarct size. However, reperfusion has also been associated with consequent downstream myocardial reperfusion injury, which may further compound the deleterious effects of the antecedent period of ischaemia.²⁻⁴ Measures to ameliorate the thrombotic response and reduce ischaemic-reperfusion injury (IRI) have been proposed to reduce infarct size.^{1,3–5}

Ischaemic preconditioning (IPC) refers to the ability of brief, cyclic periods of ischaemia and reperfusion (IR) to render the myocardium more resistant to a subsequent ischaemic insult. In animal models, IPC has been shown to reduce infarct size and to enhance recovery of contractile function of the myocardial region at risk.⁶ Remote ischaemic conditioning (RIC) involves the application of one or more brief cycles of IR to a "remote" organ (such as the arm or leg) and in animal models, has been shown to reduce infarct size and IRI.^{7–9} Application of RIC in humans by repeated inflation and deflation of a blood pressure cuff on the upper arm has been shown to reduce the extent of perioperative myocardial injury in patients undergoing cardiac surgery in smaller studies,¹⁰ although it did not improve clinical outcomes in large studies. 11,12 Compared to standard care, the use of RIC in patients undergoing PPCI has been associated with reduction in myocardial injury and increased myocardial salvage, without definitive reduction in infarct size or improvement in survival. $13,14$

The exact mechanism through which RIC potentially confers cardioprotection in STEMI is still not fully understood.^{15,16} Proposed mechanisms include generation of an endogenous substance such as adenosine, bradykinin or other factor, which activates a neural pathway; mediation by an endogenous substance generated in the remote organ which enters the blood stream to affect cardioprotection; or through a systemic protective response, suppressing inflammation and apoptosis.^{15,16} Additionally, IPC has been linked to favourable effects on thrombotic markers. In a canine model, IPC was accompanied by down-regulation of plateletfibrinogen binding and formation of neutrophil-platelet aggregates.¹⁷ In stable CAD, remote ischaemia was shown to induce protection against an exercise-related increase in platelet reactivity18 and reduced ADP-stimulated platelet aggregation. In patients undergoing radiofrequency ablation for atrial fibrillation, RIC reduced platelet activation and platelet reactivity.¹⁹ Since platelet reactivity, activation of coagulation and endogenous fibrinolytic pathways are important drivers and determinants of the outcome of myocardial infarction,²⁰ and may play a role in $IRI₁²¹$ we hypothesised that the benefit of RIC in STEMI may be mediated through anti-thrombotic effects. The aim of this study was to determine whether RIC improves thrombotic status in patients with STEMI undergoing PPCI.

Study design and population

We undertook a substudy of the Effect of Remote Ischaemic Conditioning on clinical outcomes in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction patients undergoing Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (ERIC-PPCI) multicentre, randomised, single-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT02342522).²² Patients with chest pain and suspected ST-segment elevation on the electrocardiogram (ECG) were screened for possible inclusion. Patients were included if they were older than 18 years of age, had STsegment elevation on ECG, were eligible for PPCI and gave consent. Exclusion criteria were previous coronary artery bypass graft surgery, myocardial infarct within the previous 30 days, left bundle branch block on ECG, treatment with therapeutic hypothermia, conditions precluding use of remote ischaemic conditioning (paresis of upper limb or presence of an arteriovenous shunt), and life expectancy of less than 1 year due to a non-cardiac pathology. All patients recruited to ERIC-PPCI in a single centre at the Lister Hospital, East & North Hertfordshire NHS Trust, were included in the substudy. The study was approved by the National Research Ethics Service and was conducted in accordance with the principles of Good Clinical Practice and the trial conformed to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients provided initial verbal assent before randomisation, which was followed by written informed consent.

Trial treatment protocol

The trial protocol and main clinical results have been previously published.^{22,23} In brief, patients were randomised in a 1:1 ratio to active treatment with RIC or control treatment with sham RIC (Figure 1). Randomisation was performed via a secure website using random

permuted blocks. Patients randomised to the interventional arm received RIC protocol using the automated AutoRIC cuff device (CellAegis Devices, Toronto, ON, Canada), comprising of four alternating cycles of cuff inflation to 200 mm Hg for 5 min and deflation for 5 min. The control group received a sham simulated RIC. The PPCI procedure was performed according to standard clinical care and PPCI operators and patients were blinded to treatment allocation. Study team members collecting the data and assessing outcomes were masked to treatment allocation.

All patients received 300 mg aspirin orally and 600 mg clopidogrel or 180 mg ticagrelor orally, and standard weight-adjusted heparin intravenously prior to PPCI. Dual antiplatelet therapy was continued in all patients throughout the substudy.

Blood sampling technique

Blood samples were taken at three time points: 1) baseline upon arrival to the cardiac catheterisation laboratory (day 0), prior to heparin or glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor administration and before PPCI, 2) at clinical stabilisation, just prior to hospital discharge, and 3) at 6-8 weeks follow-up. The first blood samples were taken from a 6-F radial or femoral sheath, after the administration of dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) but before treatment with unfractionated heparin. Prior to insertion, the sheaths were flushed with normal saline, avoiding the use of heparinised saline prior to the first blood draw. The second and subsequent blood samples were taken from an antecubital vein using an 18-G butterfly cannula, taking care to avoid prolonged tourniquet time. All samples were taken using a 2 syringe technique, which involved using the first 5 ml blood for routine blood tests, and the subsequent sample for assessment of thrombotic status.

Global Thrombosis Test (GTT)

The GTT (Thromboquest Ltd., London, UK) assesses both platelet reactivity (occlusion time, OT) and endogenous fibrinolysis (lysis time, LT) from a 4 ml native, non-anticoagulated blood sample. The instrument was positioned in the cardiac catheterisation laboratory. After the blood sample was obtained, it was introduced into the GTT cartridge within 15 seconds of withdrawal and the automated measurement begun. The principle of the GTT has been previously described in detail.^{24,25} The instrument assesses firstly the time taken to form an occlusive thrombus under high shear (occlusion time, OT; sec), a marker of platelet reactivity. Shorter OT represents enhanced platelet reactivity. The arrest of flow due to the formation of an occlusive platelet thrombus, is followed by a short stabilisation period, after which the instrument records the time required for spontaneous restart flow due to endogenous thrombolysis of the thrombus formed in the first phase (lysis time, LT; sec). Longer LT represent less effective endogenous fibrinolysis.

Thromboelastography (TEG)

Blood was also tested using the TEG thromboelastograph (TEG 5000 Hemostasis Analyser system, Haemonetics, UK). Two tests were carried out per patient in parallel; whole blood (without the addition of any modifiers) and whole blood plus kaolin (Haemonetics, Watford, UK). Whole blood testing was performed immediately after sampling, whereas whole blood plus kaolin was performed within 4 minutes of sampling. The TEG generates a characteristic curve of thrombus formation and lysis with several indices, and definition of these is shown in Table 1. 26

The endpoint of the substudy was thrombotic status as measured by GTT and TEG parameters, in the RIC compared to the sham arms, at discharge and at 6-8 weeks. The primary combined endpoint of the main study was cardiac death or hospitalisation for heart failure at 12 months and these results have been published.²²

Data collection and follow-up

Patient case-notes were checked throughout the course of the index admission, to allow contemporaneous data collection. Patients were followed up at 6-8 weeks in person including final blood draw for thrombotic status assessment.

Statistical analysis

In this pilot, hypothesis-generating substudy, we aimed to compare thrombotic status within groups (between patients on admission and at discharge and follow-up) and between groups (between RIC and sham). For a main trial designed with 90% power and two-sided 5% significance, it is recommended that a pilot trial sample size of at least 20 per treatment arm is needed for estimated small (0.2) standardised effect size,²⁷ which was speculated from earlier studies.²⁵ Therefore, a study of 100 patients (50 per treatment arm) was felt to be of sufficient size to produce meaningful results. Data are presented as mean and standard deviation (when normally distributed) or median and inter-quartile range [IQR] (nonnormally distributed). Normality was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Differences in thrombotic variables at differing time-points in the group as a whole were assessed using paired-t-tests and Mann-Whitney U test. Difference between RIC and sham groups at any

individual time-point were assessed using ANCOVA. Analyses were performed with Stata version 11.2 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Between February 2016 and March 2018, 100 patients with STEMI were enrolled into the substudy, and randomised to RIC ($n=53$) or sham RIC ($n=47$) (Supplementary Figures 1 and Table 1). The main ERIC-PPCI study results have already been published.²² Baseline clinical characteristics are shown in Table 2 and baseline haematological and biochemical profiles in Table 3. There were no patients with atrial fibrillation or patients taking oral anticoagulation included in this substudy. Angiographic, interventional and echocardiographic patient characteristics are shown in Table 4. The RIC and sham groups were well matched for all aforementioned characteristics. In particular, there was no significant difference in either peri-procedural or post-PPCI antithrombotic treatment allocation between the treatment arms.

Global Thrombosis Test (GTT) results

In the whole cohort (n=100), OT increased from baseline to hospital discharge $(338\pm129s \text{ vs.})$ 430 ± 107 s, p<0.001) and further increased at 6-8 weeks (baseline vs. 6-8 weeks 338 ± 129 s vs. 493±132s, p<0.001)(Figure 2A).

Baseline OT was similar in the RIC and sham groups, with mean difference 19.65s (95% confidence interval [CI] 69.41-70.36) (Table 5, Figure 3). However, there was some evidence that OT at hospital discharge was prolonged in RIC group compared to sham $(454\pm105s$ vs. 403 \pm 105s; mean difference 50.1s; 95% CI 6.4-93.7, P = 0.025), but this was less apparent at

6-8 weeks follow-up (538±142s vs. 511±142s, mean difference 27.5s; 95%CI 102.5- 47.5, P=0.818) (Table 5, Figure 3).

Distribution of LT at the prespecified time points is shown in Figure 2-B. There was no evidence for a difference in LT between the two study arms at any of the time points (Figure 4 and Table 5).

Thromboelastography (TEG) results

There was no evidence for a difference in any of the TEG indices using whole blood with or without kaolin between the two study arms at any of the time points, either with respect to coagulation parameters or indices of clot lysis (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

In this small, hypothesis generating substudy, in the group as a whole, OT was higher at discharge compared to admission, presumably reflecting reduction in platelet reactivity, due to onset of action of DAPT. However, although baseline thrombotic status at presentation was similar in patients in both RIC and sham RIC groups, patients receiving RIC exhibited significantly longer OT, representative of reduced platelet reactivity, at the time of hospital discharge compared to patients treated with sham RIC. This is, to our knowledge, the first time that RIC has been linked to reduced occlusive thrombus formation under high-shear stress, in the setting of STEMI in humans.

The encouraging results of this substudy contrast with the neutral results of the main CONDI-2/ERIC-PPCI trial, in which no difference was seen between the RIC and the control groups with respect to the combined primary endpoint of cardiac death or hospitalisation for heart

failure at 12 months (HR 1.10; 95% CI 0.91–1.32; $P = 0.32$), demonstrating that RIC, applied as an adjunct to PPCI, did not improve clinical outcomes in STEMI patients. The discrepancy between the findings of our small substudy and the main trial may simply be due to the play of chance in a small sample. However, if these results are real, and RIC results in reduced platelet reactivity at 48h post-PPCI, it would not be surprising if this in fact had no effect on outcomes. The reduction in platelet reactivity at 48h may be too late to influence reperfusion and infarct size, or to favourably impact on any reperfusion injury following PPCI. This might indicate that earlier application of such RIC may have improved outcomes, although in the main CONDI-2/ERIC-PPCI trial, there were no differences in clinical outcomes whether RIC was performed in the ambulance or in hospital. Another consideration is that platelet reactivity is a strong determinant of ischaemic outcomes, in particular in the highest risk patients. Although acute stent thrombosis is likely multifactorial in aetiology, it has been been related in part to enhanced platelet reactivity, and so it is possible that a beneficial effect in reducing platelet reactivity could reduce the occurrence of acute stent thrombosis, although there was no signal for this in the main CONDI-2/ERIC-PPCI trial, where the occurrence of myocardial infarction at 30 days was similar in the RIC and sham arms. The CONDI-2/ERIC-PPCI trial excluded many patients with anterior STEMI, since these often exhibit left bundle branch block, and patients with cardiogenic shock who were unable to give consent. Patients with cardiogenic shock are not only at very high cardiovascular risk with 30-50% risk of death or recurrent ischaemic events over the subsequent 30 days, but shock can also limit the effectiveness of orally-administered antithrombotic medications due to delayed drug administration, reduced gastrointestinal blood flow and motility, delayed gastric emptying and gastrointestinal absorption²⁹- so these patients may have the most to gain from approaches that reduce platelet reactivity. Since the effect on platelet reactivity was no longer apparent at 6-8 weeks, this may explain the lack of effect on long term ischaemic outcomes.

Whilst current guidelines advocate use of the more potent $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitors ticagrelor and prasugrel in patients with $STEMI₁³⁰$ this also comes at a greater price of bleeding. Clopidogrel continues to be used in a significant number of ACS patients in high income countries, 31 and also for financial reasons in low income countries. 32 Up to a third of ACS patients demonstrate inadequate platelet inhibition in response to clopidogrel.³³ This is explained in part by polymorphisms in the gene encoding the hepatic enzyme *CYP2C19*, which transforms clopidogrel to its active metabolite, that can result in 5-12% variation in platelet inhibition.³⁴ There is ethnic variation in the prevalence of the loss-of-function *CYP2C19* 618G>A*2 allele, affecting some 30% of Caucasians and 50% of East Asians.³³ Homozygotes for the *CYP2C19*2* and less common *CYP2C19*3* LoF alleles are poor metabolizers, and heterozygotes are intermediate metabolizers of clopidogrel, with high-on clopidogrel platelet reactivity and increased risk of adverse cardiovascular events, including AMI and stent thrombosis.^{35–37} The association of *CYP2C19* genotype with increased cardiovascular risk appears greatest in those undergoing PCI, and the risk is greater in Asians than in whites.³⁸ Enhancing platelet inhibition with RIC in patients who are receiving clopidogrel may be particularly advantageous in such patients.

Possible mechanisms

A possible mechanism underlying the beneficial effects of RIC is a direct effect on arterial thrombus formation. In humans, marked platelet activation has been demonstrated in patients presenting with acute coronary syndrome^{39,40} and platelets have an important role not only in epicardial coronary thrombosis, but also in the pathophysiology of IRI and IPC. $41-43$

The relationship between RIC and platelet activation is less well explored in patients, with most knowledge derived from animal studies and healthy volunteers. In rats, RIC reduced

arterial thrombus formation and embolization under direct visualisation by microscopy following femoral arterial injury⁴⁴ and in rodent hearts *ex vivo*, the extent of myocardial injury following IR injury is was directly related to the activation status of platelets, with reduced infarct size in mice treated with platelet-poor plasma. ⁴² Platelet-derived microparticles may mediate RIC, since platelet microparticles isolated from rats receiving RIC reduced the extent of cerebral infarction when transfused into recipient rats.⁴⁵ In dogs subjected to coronary IR injury, IPC attenuated platelet activation and aggregation^{17,46} and was abolished by pre-treatment with an adenosine antagonist, linking preconditioning with platelet thrombus formation. 46

Studies in healthy individuals support the concept that RIC inhibits platelet activation. In healthy volunteers, the increase in the circulating concentration of platelet–monocyte aggregates associated with acute IR injury was abolished by RIC.⁴⁷ In normal volunteers, RIC of forearm reduced expression of neutrophil CD11b and platelet–neutrophil complexes. ⁴⁸ Studies in patients with cardiovascular disease are limited. In patients with stable coronary disease, RIC attenuated platelet activation in response to adenosine diphosphate (ADP) and exercise¹⁸ and in patients with claudication, warm-up (a phenomenon akin to IPC) prior to exercise attenuated the exercise-induced increase in platelet–neutrophil and platelet–leukocyte activation.⁴⁹ In patients undergoing ablation for atrial fibrillation, RIPC reduced platelet activation in response to ADP, including the formation of monocyteplatelet aggregates.¹⁹ Other studies found that intermittent upper arm IR reduced platelet activation and aggregation in response to ADP in patients with stable angina undergoing angiography or elective angioplasty. 50

If the effect of RIC is marked in animals, in healthy volunteers and patients with stable cardiovascular disease, why not in patients with myocardial infarction? A key difference

between these cohorts, is that patients with myocardial infarction receive DAPT comprising of aspirin and a P2Y₁₂ inhibitor as part of standard of care.³⁰ In healthy male volunteers, pretreatment with aspirin did not influence the effect of RIC on platelet aggregation and turnover.⁵¹ However, preclinical studies indicate that $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitors may have direct cardioprotective effects independent of inhibition of platelet-mediated thrombosis. In animal studies, $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitors were shown to reduce infarct size in rabbits, rats and nonhuman primates.^{52–55} Furthermore, although P2Y₁₂ inhibitors proposed to act on cardiomyocytes and upregulate cardioprotective signaling in a manner analogous to IPC,⁵⁶ these drugs failed to reduce infarct size in buffer-perfused hearts, indicating that blood, and specifically platelets, are required to confer cardioprotection.^{54,57} There are however some data supporting the concept that clopidogrel may reduce infarct size through the attenuation of reperfusion injury and the protective effect appeared to add to the benefit afforded by ischaemic postconditioning .55,58 It is therefore possible that the benefits of RIC in STEMI may be attenuated by $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitor treatment^{59,60} and one can postulate that RIC may confer greater cardioprotection in patients with persistent high on-treatment platelet reactivity. The lack of effect of RIC on markers of coagulation in TEG are not altogether surprising. Although RIC in patients with subarachnoid haemorrhage appeared to prolong the prothrombin time and international normalised ratio after at least 4 sessions, values remained within normal range. 56

We did not observe an effect of RIC on *in vitro* endogenous fibrinolysis. In patients with STEMI, pre-infarction angina (thought to provide IPC) was associated with a significant reduction in the time to achieve thrombolysis-induced reperfusion.⁶¹ This was confirmed in animal studies where recombinant tissue-type plasminogen activator -induced thrombolysis was significantly shortened in animals that received brief antecedent IPC.⁶² Our findings of a lack of effect of RIC on fibrinolysis is supported by a study in healthy subjects, where IRI

was shown to induce fibrinolytic dysfunction evidenced by reduced tissue plasminogen activator release that could not be prevented by local IPC or RIC.⁶³ However, global tests of fibrinolysis, such as performed here, and which give better assessment of global fibrinolytic status than factorial measures such as tissue-plasminogen activator and plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 levels, ²⁰ have not been studies in either animal or human studies.

Limitations

An important limitation of our study is the small sample size. Any observed differences over time or between groups could be due to the play of chance. Furthermore, the exact timeline of effect of RIC on thrombotic status is difficult to conclude, due to the paucity of sampling times. Although a weakness of our study is that mechanistically, we cannot elucidate the cause of the reduced platelet reactivity in patients with RIC, a strength of our work is that we used tests of global thrombotic status, assessing whole blood and in particular, nonanticoagulated blood at high-shear, akin to that in a stenosed coronary vessel, making the findings *in vitro* much more physiologically-relevant, than tests on anticoagulated blood at low shear. With respect to the timing of RIC, a recent meta-analysis showed that RIC protocols that are conducted predominantly before the initiation of reperfusion as opposed to protocols with frequent RIC cycles conducted after reperfusion, conferred more cardioprotection.⁶⁴ Although in the ERIC-PPCI study, the start of RIC was before reperfusion, the whole protocol was not always complete before the reperfusion occurred. Upstream start of RIC earlier in the pathway may have improved the outcomes.

Conclusions

Compared to sham treatment, there is a suggestion that RIC may exert a favourable effect on global thrombotic status in patients with STEMI undergoing PPCI, likely through a favourable effect on platelet reactivity. Further research is needed to delineate mechanisms through which RIC may attenuate thrombus formation at high shear stress, and to identify patients who may benefit most from this approach.

Acknowledgement and funding

The ERIC-PPCI trial was funded by a British Heart Foundation Clinical Study Grant (CS/14/3/31002) and a University College London Hospital/University College London Biomedical Research Clinical Research grant. The CONDI-2 trial was funded by Danish Innovation Foundation grants (11-108354 and 11-115818), Novo Nordisk Foundation (NNF13OC0007447), and Trygfonden (109624). DJH was supported by the British Heart Foundation (FS/10/039/28270), National Institute for Health Research University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre, Duke-National University Singapore Medical School, Singapore Ministry of Health's National Medical Research Council under its Clinician Scientist-Senior Investigator scheme (NMRC/CSA-SI/0011/2017) and its Collaborative Centre Grant scheme (NMRC/CGAug16C006), and the Singapore Ministry of Education Academic Research Fund Tier 2 (MOE2016-T2-2-021). HEB was supported by the Novo Nordisk Foundation (NNF14OC0013337, NNF15OC0016674). RKK is supported by the Oxford NIHR Biomedical Centre. The research was also supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) infrastructure at Leeds. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health. This article is based upon the work of COST Action EU-CARDIOPROTECTION (CA16225) and supported by COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology).

Disclosures

DAG reports institutional grants from Bristol-Myers Squibb/Pfizer and Bayer; and speaker's bureau fees from Astra-Zeneca. DAG is related through family to a company director in Thromboquest Ltd., which manufactures the Global Thrombosis Test, but neither she, nor her spouse, nor children have financial involvement or equity interest in, and have received no financial assistance, support, or grants from Thromboquest Ltd. Thromboquest Ltd. had no involvement in design, conduct, or finance of this study and the instrument and disposables were obtained through a normal commercial transaction. RKK and HEB are shareholders in CellAegis. All other authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

1. Gorog DA, Lip G. Impaired Spontaneous/Endogenous Fibrinolytic Status as New Cardiovascular Risk Factor? JACC Review Topic of the Week. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2019;**74**:1366–1375.

2. Hausenloy DJ, Yellon DM. Ischaemic conditioning and reperfusion injury. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2016;**13**:193–209.

3. Heusch G, Gersh BJ. The pathophysiology of acute myocardial infarction and strategies of protection beyond reperfusion: a continual challenge. *Eur Heart J* 2017;**38**:774-784.

4. Hausenloy DJ, Botker H, Engstrom T, Erlinge D, Heusch G, Ibanez B, Kloner RA, Ovize M, Yellon DM, Garcia-Dorado D. Targeting reperfusion injury in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: trials and tribulations. *Eur Heart J* 2017; **38**:935-941.

5. Davidson SM, Ferdinandy P, Andreadou I, Bøtker H, Heusch G, Ibáñez B, Ovize M, Schulz R, Yellon DM, Hausenloy DJ, Garcia-Dorado D. Multitarget Strategies to Reduce Myocardial Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2019;**73**:89–99.

6. Hausenloy DJ, Barrabes JA, Bøtker H, Davidson SM, Lisa F, Downey J, Engstrom T, Ferdinandy P, Carbrera-Fuentes HA, Heusch G, Ibanez B, Iliodromitis EK, Inserte J, Jennings R, Kalia N, Kharbanda R, Lecour S, Marber M, Miura T, Ovize M, Perez-Pinzon MA, Piper H, Przyklenk K, Schmidt M, Redington A, Ruiz-Meana M, Vilahur G, Vinten-Johansen J, Yellon DM, Garcia-Dorado D. Ischaemic conditioning and targeting reperfusion injury: a 30 year voyage of discovery. *Basic Res Cardiol* 2016;**111**:70.

7. Heusch G, Bøtker H, Przyklenk K, Redington A, Yellon D. Remote Ischemic Conditioning. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2015;**65**:177–195.

8. Schmidt M, Smerup, Konstantinov I, Shimizu, Li J, Cheung M, White P, Kristiansen SB, Sorensen K, Dzavik V, Redington AN, Kharbanda RK. Intermittent peripheral tissue ischemia during coronary ischemia reduces myocardial infarction through a K ATP dependent mechanism: first demonstration of remote ischemic preconditioning. *Am J Physiol-Heart Circ* 2007;**292**:H1883–H1890.

9. Hausenloy DJ, Yellon DM. Remote ischaemic preconditioning: underlying mechanisms and clinical application. *Cardiovasc Res* 2008;**79**:377–386.

10. Thielmann M, Kottenberg E, Kleinbongard P, Wendt D, Gedik N, Pasa S, Price V, Tsagakis K, Neuhäuser M, Peters J, Jakob H, Heusch G. Cardioprotective and prognostic effects of remote ischaemic preconditioning in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery: a single-centre randomised, double-blind, controlled trial. *Lancet* 2013;**382**:597–604.

11. Meybohm P, Bein B, Brosteanu O, Cremer J, Gruenewald M, Stoppe C, Coburn M, Schaelte G, Böning A, Niemann B, Roesner J, Kletzin F, Strouhal U, Reyher C, Laufenberg-Feldmann R, Ferner M, Brandes IF, Bauer M, Stehr SN, Kortgen A, Wittmann M, Baumgarten G, Meyer-Treschan T, Kienbaum P, Heringlake M, Schön J, Sander M, Treskatsch S, Smul T, Wolwender E, Schilling T, Fuernau G, Hasenclever D, Zacharowski K; RIPHeart Study Collaborators. A Multicenter Trial of Remote Ischemic Preconditioning

for Heart Surgery. *N Engl J Med* 2015;**373**:1397–1407.

12. Hausenloy DJ, Candilio L, Evans R, Ariti C, Jenkins DP, Kolvekar S, Knight R, Kunst G, Laing C, Nicholas J, Pepper J, Robertson S, Xenou M, Clayton T, Yellon DM. ERICCA Investigators. Remote Ischemic Preconditioning and Outcomes of Cardiac Surgery. *N Engl J Med* 2015;**373**:1408–1417.

13. Munk K, Andersen N, Schmidt M, Nielsen S, Terkelsen C, Sloth E, Bøtker H, Nielsen T, Poulsen S. Remote Ischemic Conditioning in Patients With Myocardial Infarction Treated With Primary Angioplasty. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2010;**3**:656–662.

14. Bøtker H, Kharbanda R, Schmidt MR, Bøttcher M, Kaltoft AK, Terkelsen CJ, Munk K, Andersen NH, Hansen TM, Trautner S, Lassen J, Christiansen E, Krusell LR, Kristensen SD, Thuesen L, Nielsen SS, Rehling M, Sørensen H, Redington AN, Nielsen TT. Remote ischaemic conditioning before hospital admission, as a complement to angioplasty, and effect on myocardial salvage in patients with acute myocardial infarction: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2010;**375**:727–734.

15. Lim S, Hausenloy D. Remote Ischemic Conditioning: From Bench to Bedside. *Front Physiol* 2012;**3**:27.

16. Kleinbongard P, Skyschally A, Heusch G. Cardioprotection by remote ischemic conditioning and its signal transduction. *Pflugers Archiv European J Physiology* 2016;**469**:159–181.

17. Linden M, Whittaker P, Frelinger A, Barnard MR, Michelson AD, Przyklenk K. Preconditioning ischemia attenuates molecular indices of platelet activation‐ aggregation. *J Thromb Haemost* 2006;**4**:2670–2677.

18. Battipaglia I, Scalone G, Milo M, Franco A, Lanza GA, Crea F. Upper arm intermittent ischaemia reduces exercise-related increase of platelet reactivity in patients with obstructive coronary artery disease. *Heart* 2011;**97**:1298.

19. Stazi A, Scalone G, Laurito M, Milo M, Pelargonio G, Narducci M, Parrinello R, Figliozzi S, Bencardino G, Perna F, Lanza GA, Crea F. Effect of Remote Ischemic Preconditioning on Platelet Activation and Reactivity Induced by Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation. *Circulation* 2014;**129**:11–17.

20. Okafor ON, Gorog DA. Endogenous Fibrinolysis An Important Mediator of Thrombus Formation and Cardiovascular Risk. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2015;**65**:1683–1699.

21. Schanze N, Bode C, Duerschmied D. Platelet Contributions to Myocardial Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury. *Front Immunol* 2019;**10**:1260.

22. Hausenloy DJ, Kharbanda RK, Møller U, Ramlall M, Aarøe J, Butler R, Bulluck H, Clayton T, Dana A, Dodd M, Engstrom T, Evans R, Lassen J, Christensen E, Garcia-Ruiz J, Gorog DA, Hjort J, Houghton RF, Ibanez B, Knight R, Lippert FK, Lønborg JT, Maeng M, Milasinovic D, More R, Nicholas JM, Jensen L, Perkins A, Radovanovic N, Rakhit RD, Ravkilde J, Ryding AD, Schmidt MR, Riddervold IS, Sørensen HT, Stankovic G, Varma M, Webb I, Terkelsen JC, Greenwood JP, Yellon DM, Bøtker HE, on behalf of the CONDI-

2/ERIC-PPCI Investigators. Effect of remote ischaemic conditioning on clinical outcomes in patients with acute myocardial infarction (CONDI-2/ERIC-PPCI): a single-blind randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2019;**394**:1415-1424

23. Hausenloy DJ, Kharbanda R, Schmidt M, Møller U, Ravkilde J, Jensen L, Engstrøm T, Ruiz J, Radovanovic N, Christensen EF, Sørensen H, Ramlall M, Bulluck H, Evans R, Nicholas J, Knight R, Clayton T, Yellon DM, Bøtker H. Effect of remote ischaemic conditioning on clinical outcomes in patients presenting with an ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Eur Heart J* 2015;**36**:1846–1848.

24. Sharma S, Farrington K, Kozarski R, Christopoulos C, Niespialowska-Steuden M, Moffat D, Gorog DA. Impaired thrombolysis: a novel cardiovascular risk factor in end-stage renal disease. *Eur Heart J* 2013;**34**:354–363.

25. Farag M, Spinthakis N, Gue YX, Srinivasan M, Sullivan K, Wellsted D, Gorog DA. Impaired endogenous fibrinolysis in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention is a predictor of recurrent cardiovascular events: the RISK PPCI study. *Eur Heart J* 2019;**40**:295–305.

26. Whiting D, DiNardo JA. TEG and ROTEM: Technology and clinical applications. *Am J Hematol* 2014;**89**:228–232.

27. Whitehead AL, Julious SA, Cooper CL, Campbell MJ. Estimating the sample size for a pilot randomised trial to minimise the overall trial sample size for the external pilot and main trial for a continuous outcome variable. *Stat Methods Med Res* 2016;**25**:1057–1073.

28. Moses MA, Addison PD, Neligan PC, Ashrafpour H, Huang N, McAllister SE, Lipa JE, Forrest CR, Pang CY. Inducing late phase of infarct protection in skeletal muscle by remote preconditioning: efficacy and mechanism. *Am J Physiol-Reg Integr Comp Physiol* 2005;**289**:R1609–R1617.

29. Chapman MJ, Nguyen NQ, Fraser RJ. Gastrointestinal motility and prokinetics in the critically ill. *Curr Op Crit Care* 2007;**13**:187–194.

30. Ibanez B, James S, Agewall S, Antunes MJ, Bucciarelli-Ducci C, Bueno H, Caforio A, Crea F, Goudevenos JA, Halvorsen S, Hindricks G, Kastrati A, Lenzen MJ, Prescott E, Roffi M, Valgimigli M, Varenhorst C, Vranckx P, Widimský P, ESC. 2017 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation: The Task Force for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J* 2018;**39**:119–177.

31. Marcucci R, Patti G, Calabrò P, Gori A, Grossi G, Cirillo P, Pengo V, Gresele P, Pignatelli P, Antonucci E, Mario C di, Valente S, Palareti G. Antiplatelet treatment in acute coronary syndrome patients: Real-world data from the START-Antiplatelet Italian Registry. *Plos One* 2019;**14**:e0219676.

32. Patel A, Vidula M, Kishore SP, Vedanthan R, Huffman MD. Building the Case for Clopidogrel as a World Health Organization Essential Medicine. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual* 33. Gorog GD and Geisler T. Platelet Inhibition in Acute Coronary Syndrome and Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: Insights from the Past and Present. *Thromb Haemost* 2020;**120**:1–14. (published online ahead of print https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0040-1702920)

34. Shuldiner AR, O'Connell JR, Bliden KP, Gandhi A, Ryan K, Horenstein RB, mcott C, Pakyz R, Tantry US, Gibson Q, Pollin TI, Post W, Parsa A, Mitchell BD, Faraday N, Herzog W, Gurbel PA. Association of cytochrome P450 2C19 genotype with the antiplatelet effect and clinical efficacy of clopidogrel therapy. *JAMA* 2009;**302**:849–857.

35. Mega JL, Close SL, Wiviott SD, Shen L, Hockett RD, Brandt JT, Walker JR, Antman EM, Macias W, Braunwald E, Sabatine MS. Cytochrome p-450 polymorphisms and response to clopidogrel. *N Engl J Med* 2009;**360**:354–362.

36. Simon T, Verstuyft C, Mary-Krause M, Quteineh L, Drouet E, Méneveau N, Steg PG, Ferrières J, Danchin N, Becquemont L. Genetic determinants of response to clopidogrel and cardiovascular events. *N Engl J Med* 2009;**360**:363–375.

37. Mega JL, Simon T, Collet J-PP, Anderson JL, Antman EM, Bliden K, Cannon CP, Danchin N, Giusti B, Gurbel P, Horne BD, Hulot J-SS, Kastrati A, Montalescot G, Neumann F-JJ, Shen L, Sibbing D, Steg P, Trenk D, Wiviott SD, Sabatine MS. Reduced-function CYP2C19 genotype and risk of adverse clinical outcomes among patients treated with clopidogrel predominantly for PCI: a meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2010;**304**:1821–1830.

38. Sorich M, Rowland A, McKinnon RA, Wiese MD. CYP2C19 genotype has a greater effect on adverse cardiovascular outcomes following PCI and in Asian populations treated with clopidogrel: a meta-analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Genetics* 2014;**7**:895-902.

39. Langford E, Wainwright R, Martin J. Platelet Activation in Acute Myocardial Infarction and Unstable Angina Is Inhibited by Nitric Oxide Donors. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 1996;**16**:51–55.

40. Trip MD, Cats V, Capelle F van, Vreeken J. Platelet Hyperreactivity and Prognosis in Survivors of Myocardial Infarction. *N Engl J Med* 1990;**322**:1549–1554.

41. Gawaz M. Role of platelets in coronary thrombosis and reperfusion of ischemic myocardium. *Cardiovasc Res* 2004;**61**:498–511.

42. Xu Y, Huo Y, Toufektsian M-C, Ramos SI, Ma Y, Tejani AD, French BA, Yang Z. Activated platelets contribute importantly to myocardial reperfusion injury. *Am J Physiol-Heart Circ Physiol* 2006;**290**:H692–H699.

43. Mirabet M, Garcia-Dorado D, Inserte J, Barrabés JA, Lidón R-M, Soriano B, Azevedo M, Padilla F, Agulló L, Ruiz-Meana M, Massaguer A, Pizcueta P, Soler-Soler J. Platelets activated by transient coronary occlusion exacerbate ischemia-reperfusion injury in rat hearts. *Am J Physiol-Heart Circ Physiol* 2002;**283**:H1134–H1141.

44. Ropke D, Hjortdal V, Toft G, Jensen M, Kristensen S. Remote ischemic preconditioning reduces thrombus formation in the rat. *J Thromb Haemost* 2012;**10**:2405–2406.

45. Shan L, Li J, Zu L, Niu C, Ferro A, Zhang Y, Zheng L, Ji Y. Platelet‐ Derived Microparticles are Implicated in Remote Ischemia Conditioning in a Rat Model of Cerebral Infarction. *CNS Neurosci Ther* 2013;**19**:917–925.

46. Hata K, Whittaker P, Kloner RA, Przyklenk K. Brief Antecedent Ischemia Attenuates Platelet-Mediated Thrombosis in Damaged and Stenotic Canine Coronary Arteries. *Circulation* 1998;**97**:692–702.

47. Pedersen CN, Cruden NL, Schmidt MR, Lau C, Botker HE, Kharbanda RK, Newby DE. Remote ischemic preconditioning prevents systemic platelet activation associated with ischemia–reperfusion injury in humans. *J Thromb Haemost* 2011;**9**:404–407.

48. Kharbanda RK, Peters M, Walton B, Kattenhorn M, Mullen M, Klein N, Vallance P, Deanfield J, MacAllister R. Ischemic Preconditioning Prevents Endothelial Injury and Systemic Neutrophil Activation During Ischemia-Reperfusion in Humans In Vivo. *Circulation* 2001;**103**:1624–1630.

49. Pasupathy S, Naseem K, Homer‐ Vanniasinkam S. Effects of warm‐ up on exercise capacity, platelet activation and platelet–leucocyte aggregation in patients with claudication. *Brit J Surg* 2005;**92**:50–55.

50. Lanza G, Stazi A, Villano A, Torrini F, Milo M, Laurito M, Flego D, Aurigemma C, Liuzzo G, Crea F. Effect of Remote Ischemic Preconditioning on Platelet Activation Induced by Coronary Procedures. *Am J Cardiol* 2016;**117**:359–365.

51. Rise N, Kristiansen J, Hvas A-M, Grove EL, Würtz M, Neergaard-Petersen S, Kristensen S. Effect of remote ischaemic conditioning on platelet aggregation and platelet turnover. *J Thromb Thrombolysis* 2018;**46**:528–533.

52. Yang X-M, Liu Y, Cui L, Yang X, Liu Y, Tandon N, Kambayashi J, Downey JM, Cohen MV. Platelet P2Y12 Blockers Confer Direct Postconditioning-Like Protection in Reperfused Rabbit Hearts. *J Cardiovasc Pharm T* 2013;**18**:251–262.

53. Yang X-M, Liu Y, Cui L, Yang X, Liu Y, Tandon N, Kambayashi J, Downey JM, Cohen MV. Two Classes of Anti-Platelet Drugs Reduce Anatomical Infarct Size in Monkey Hearts. *Cardiovasc Drug Ther* 2013;**27**:109–115.

54. Bell R, Sivaraman V, Kunuthur S, Cohen M, Downey J, Yellon D. Cardioprotective Properties of the Platelet P2Y12 Receptor Inhibitor, Cangrelor: Protective in Diabetics and Reliant Upon the Presence of Blood. *Cardiovasc Drug Ther* 2015;**29**:415–418.

55. Kleinbongard P, Bøtker H, Ovize M, Hausenloy DJ, Heusch G. Co‐ morbidities and co‐ medications as confounders of cardioprotection—Does it matter in the clinical setting? *Brit J Pharmacol* 2020; https://doi.org/10.1111/bph.14839,

56. Mayor F, Bilgin-Freiert A, Connolly M, Katsnelson M, Dusick JR, Vespa P, Koch S, Gonzalez NR. Effects of Remote Ischemic Preconditioning on the Coagulation Profile of Patients With Aneurysmal Subarachnoid Hemorrhage: A Case-Control Study. *Neurosurgery* 2013;**73**:808–815.

57. Cohen MV, Yang X-M, White J, Yellon DM, Bell RM, Downey JM. Cangrelor-Mediated Cardioprotection Requires Platelets and Sphingosine Phosphorylation. *Cardiovasc Drug Ther* 2016;**30**:229–232.

58. Roubille F, Lairez O, Mewton N, Rioufol G, Ranc S, Sanchez I, Cung T, Elbaz M, Piot C, Ovize M. Cardioprotection by clopidogrel in acute ST-elevated myocardial infarction patients: a retrospective analysis. *Basic Res Cardiol* 2012;**107**:275.

59. Cohen MV, Downey JM. Combined Cardioprotectant and Antithrombotic Actions of Platelet P2Y12 Receptor Antagonists in Acute Coronary Syndrome. *J Cardiovasc Pharm T* 2013;**19**:179–190.

60. Przyklenk K, Whittaker P. Ischemic Conditioning Attenuates Platelet-Mediated Thrombosis. *J Cardiovasc Pharm T* 2017;**22**:391–396.

61. Andreotti F, Pasceri V, Hackett DR, Davies GJ, Haider AW, Maseri A. Preinfarction Angina as a Predictor of More Rapid Coronary Thrombolysis in Patients with Acute Myocardial Infarction. *N Engl J Med* 1996;**334**:7–12.

62. Przyklenk K, Whittaker P. Brief Antecedent Ischemia Enhances Recombinant Tissue Plasminogen Activator–Induced Coronary Thrombolysis by Adenosine-Mediated Mechanism. *Circulation* 2000;**102**:88–95.

63. Pedersen CM, Barnes G, Schmidt MR, Bøtker H, Kharbanda RK, Newby DE, Cruden

NL. Ischaemia–reperfusion injury impairs tissue plasminogen activator release in man. *Eur Heart J* 2012;**33**:1920–1927.

64. Haller PM, Vargas KG, Haller MC, Piackova E, Wojta J, Gyöngyösi M, Gersh BJ, Kiss A, Podesser BK, Huber K. Remote ischaemic conditioning for myocardial infarction or elective PCI: systematic review and meta-analyses of randomised trials. *Eur Hear J Acute Cardiovasc Care* 2018;2048872618784150.

Figure 1. ERIC-PPCI study flowchart

Flowchart in black represents the ERIC-PPCI main study, whereas in blue represents the thrombosis substudy. Blood samples were taken at three time points, 1) baseline upon arrival to the catheterisation laboratory and at randomisation 2) at clinical stabilisation, just prior to hospital discharge, and 3) at 6-8 weeks follow-up.

PIS: patient information sheet, SAEs: serious adverse events, NSAEs: non-serious adverse events

Figure 2. Distribution of OT and LT at the pre-specified time points

 $OT=$ occlusion time, $LT=$ lysis time. *P<0.01 compared to baseline. OT at baseline vs. discharge (paired t-test: mean difference 92s, [95%CI 66.61-117.57], p<0.001). OT at baseline vs. 30 days (Mann-Whitney U test: mean difference 193s, [95%CI 158.29-229.61], p<0.001).

Figure 3. Distribution of OT at the pre-specified time points between the study arms

Occlusion time (OT) was significantly prolonged at hospital discharge in RIC group compared to sham RIC group. $*$ Comparison between RIC and sham, P < 0.05. \dagger difference within group compared to baseline P<0.001. Comparison made using ANCOVA.

Figure 4. Distribution of LT at the pre-specified time points between the study arms There was no significant difference in lysis time (LT) between the two study arms at any time point. Comparison made using ANCOVA.

759

Table 2 . Baseline Patient Characteristics

Values are mean ± standard deviation or n (%). Renal insufficiency was defined as creatinine levels >177 μmol/L. Prior statin, aspirin or $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitor use defined as regular statin, aspirin or $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitor use before hospitalisation. Family history of premature IHD was defined as a diagnosis of IHD in a first-degree relative under the age of 60.

ACE: angiotensin-converting enzyme, BMI: body mass index, CVA: cerebrovascular accident, IHD: ischaemic heart disease, MI: myocardial infarction, PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention, PVD: peripheral vascular disease, TIMI: Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction.

Values are mean ± standard deviation, except * where values are median [IQR]. aPTT: activated partial thromboplastin time; LDL: low-density lipoprotein; PT: prothrombin time. All values measured at presentation, except peak troponin T.

Normal values: haemoglobin 130-180 g/L (males) and 115-165 g/L (females); haematocrit 40-52% (males) and 36-47% (females); neutrophil count $2-7.5 \times 10^9$ /L; platelet count 150-400 $\times 10^9$ /L; serum albumin 34-54 g/L; serum sodium 135-145 mmol/L, creatinine 60-110 μmol/L (males) and 45-90 μmol/L (females); troponin T <14 ng/L (Elecsys high-sensitivity assay, Roche Diagnostics); fibrinogen 2–4 g/L; PT 11-13.5 seconds; aPTT 25-35 seconds; total cholesterol ≤4.0 mmol/L; LDL cholesterol ≤2.0 mmol/L; high sensitivity C-reactive protein 0–3 mg/l.

Table 4. Angiographic, Interventional and Echocardiographic Patient Characteristics

Values are median [IQR] or n (%), except * where values are mean ± standard deviation. Left ventricular function was assessed by echocardiography prior to hospital discharge.

DES: drug eluting stent, EF: ejection fraction, GPI: glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor, LAD: left anterior descending coronary artery, MI: myocardial infarction, PPCI: primary percutaneous coronary intervention, TIMI: Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction.

Door to first device time was the time interval between the arrival of a patient at the hospital and the time of first intracoronary device use (defined as time of first balloon or stent inflation; or use of thrombectomy or angioplasty wire if these re-established flow). Call to device time was the time interval between the first call for help and first device time. Pain to device time was the time interval between the onset of symptoms and the first intracoronary device use.

Values are median [IQR] except * where are mean ± standard deviation.

LT: lysis time, OT: occlusion time. For explanation of abbreviation of TEG indices, see Table 2.

