

# 1 Mass balance of the Greenland Ice Sheet from 1992-2018

2 The IMBIE Team\*

## 3 Abstract

4 In recent decades the Greenland Ice Sheet has been a major contributor to global sea-level rise <sup>1,2</sup>,  
5 and it is expected to be so in the future <sup>3</sup>. Although increases in glacier flow <sup>4-6</sup> and surface melting  
6 <sup>7-9</sup> have been driven by oceanic <sup>10-12</sup> and atmospheric <sup>13,14</sup> warming, the degree and trajectory of  
7 today's imbalance remain uncertain. Here we compare and combine 26 individual satellite  
8 measurements of changes in the ice sheet's volume, flow and gravitational potential to produce a  
9 reconciled estimate of its mass balance. Although the ice sheet was close to a state of balance in  
10 the 1990's, annual losses have risen since then, peaking at  $335 \pm 62$  billion tonnes per year in 2011.  
11 In all, Greenland lost  $3800 \pm 339$  billion tonnes of ice between 1992 and 2018, causing mean sea-  
12 level to rise by  $10.6 \pm 0.9$  millimetres. Using three regional climate models, we show that reduced  
13 surface mass balance has driven  $1971 \pm 555$  billion tonnes (52 %) of the ice loss owing to increased  
14 meltwater runoff. The remaining  $1827 \pm 538$  billion tonnes (48 %) of ice loss was due to increased  
15 glacier discharge, which rose from  $41 \pm 37$  billion tonnes per year in the 1990's to  $87 \pm 25$  billion  
16 tonnes per year since then. Between 2013 and 2017, the total rate of ice loss slowed to  $217 \pm 32$   
17 billion tonnes per year, on average, as atmospheric circulation favoured cooler conditions <sup>15</sup> and as  
18 ocean temperatures fell at the terminus of Jakobshavn Isbræ <sup>16</sup>. Cumulative ice losses from  
19 Greenland as a whole have been close to the IPCC's predicted rates for their high-end climate  
20 warming scenario <sup>17</sup>, which forecast an additional 5 to 12 centimetres of global sea-level rise by 2100  
21 when compared to their central estimate.

## 22 Introduction

23 The Greenland Ice Sheet holds enough water to raise mean global sea level by 7.4 m <sup>18</sup>. Its ice flows to  
24 the oceans through a network of glaciers and ice streams <sup>19</sup>, each with a substantial inland catchment  
25 <sup>20</sup>. Fluctuations in the mass of the Greenland Ice Sheet occur due to variations in snow accumulation,  
26 meltwater runoff, ocean-driven melting, and iceberg calving. In recent decades, there have been  
27 marked increases in air <sup>21</sup> and ocean <sup>12</sup> temperatures and reductions in summer cloud cover <sup>22</sup> around  
28 Greenland. These changes have produced increases in surface runoff <sup>8</sup>, supraglacial lake formation <sup>23</sup>  
29 and drainage <sup>24</sup>, iceberg calving <sup>25</sup>, glacier terminus retreat <sup>26</sup>, submarine melting <sup>10,11</sup>, and ice flow <sup>6</sup>,  
30 leading to widespread changes in the ice sheet surface elevation, particularly near its margin (Figure  
31 1).

32 Over recent decades, ice losses from Greenland have made a significant contribution to global sea-  
33 level rise <sup>2</sup>, and model projections suggest that this imbalance will continue in a warming climate <sup>3</sup>.  
34 Since the early 1990's there have been comprehensive satellite observations of changing ice sheet  
35 velocity <sup>4,6</sup>, elevation <sup>27-29</sup> and, between 2002 and 2016, its changing gravitational attraction <sup>30,31</sup>, from  
36 which complete estimates of Greenland Ice Sheet mass balance are determined <sup>1</sup>. Prior to the 1990's,  
37 only partial surveys of the ice sheet elevation <sup>32</sup> and velocity <sup>33</sup> change are available. In combination  
38 with models of surface mass balance (the net difference between precipitation, sublimation and  
39 meltwater runoff) and glacial isostatic adjustment <sup>34</sup>, satellite measurements have shown a fivefold  
40 increase in the rate of ice loss from Greenland overall, rising from  $51 \pm 65$  Gt/yr in the early 1990's to  
41  $263 \pm 30$  Gt/yr between 2005 and 2010 <sup>1</sup>. This ice loss has been driven by changes in surface mass  
42 balance <sup>7,21</sup> and ice dynamics <sup>5,33</sup>. There was, however, a marked reduction in ice loss between 2013  
43 and 2018, as a consequence of cooler atmospheric conditions and increased precipitation <sup>15</sup>. While

44 the broad pattern of change across Greenland (Figure 1) is one of ice loss, there is considerable  
45 variability; for example, during the 2000's just 4 glaciers were responsible for half of the total ice loss  
46 due to increased discharge<sup>5</sup>, whereas many others contribute today<sup>33</sup>. Moreover, some neighbouring  
47 ice streams have been observed to speed up over this period while others slowed down<sup>35</sup>, suggesting  
48 diverse reasons for the changes that have taken place - including their geometrical configuration and  
49 basal conditions, as well as the forcing they have experienced<sup>36</sup>. In this study we combine satellite  
50 altimetry, gravimetry, and ice velocity measurements to produce a reconciled estimate of the  
51 Greenland Ice Sheet mass balance between 1992 and 2018, we evaluate the impact of changes in  
52 surface mass balance and uncertainty in glacial isostatic adjustment, and we partition the ice sheet  
53 mass loss into signals associated with surface mass balance and ice dynamics. In doing so, we extend  
54 a previous assessment<sup>1</sup> to include more satellite and ancillary data and to cover the period since 2012.

## 55 Data and Methods

56 We use 26 estimates of ice sheet mass balance derived from satellite altimetry (9 data sets), satellite  
57 gravimetry (14 data sets) and the input-output method (3 data sets) to assess changes in Greenland  
58 ice sheet mass balance. The satellite data were computed using common spatial<sup>20,37</sup> and temporal  
59 domains, and using a range of models to estimate signals associated with changes in surface mass  
60 balance and glacial isostatic adjustment. Satellite altimetry provides direct measurements of changing  
61 ice sheet surface elevation recorded at orbit crossing points<sup>32</sup>, along repeated ground tracks<sup>27</sup>, or  
62 using plane-fit solutions<sup>28</sup>, and the ice sheet mass balance is estimated from these measurements  
63 either by prescribing the density of the elevation fluctuation<sup>38</sup> or by making an explicit model-based  
64 correction for changes in firn height<sup>39</sup>. Satellite gravimetry measures fluctuations in the Earth's  
65 gravitational field as computed using either global spherical harmonic solutions<sup>30</sup> or using spatially-  
66 discrete mass concentration units<sup>31</sup>. Ice sheet mass changes are determined after making model-  
67 based corrections for glacial isostatic adjustment<sup>30</sup>. The input-output method uses model estimates  
68 of surface mass balance<sup>7</sup>, which comprises the input, and satellite observations of ice sheet velocity  
69 computed from radar<sup>6</sup> and optical<sup>40</sup> imagery combined with airborne measurements of ice thickness  
70<sup>33</sup> to compute changes in marine-terminating glacier discharge into the oceans, which comprises the  
71 output. The overall mass balance is the difference between input and output. Not all annual surveys  
72 of ice sheet discharge are complete, and sometimes regional extrapolations have to be employed to  
73 account for gaps in coverage<sup>33</sup>. Because they provide important ancillary data, we also assess 6  
74 models of glacial isostatic adjustment and 10 models of surface mass balance.

75 To compare and aggregate the individual satellite data sets, we first adopt a common approach to  
76 derive linear rates of ice sheet mass balance over 36-month intervals (see Methods). We then  
77 compute error-weighted averages of all altimetry, gravimetry, and input-output group mass trends,  
78 and we combine these into a single reconciled estimate of the ice sheet mass balance using error-  
79 weighting of the group trends. Uncertainties in individual rates of mass change are estimated as the  
80 root sum square of the linear model misfit and their measurement error, uncertainties in group rates  
81 are estimated as the root mean square of the contributing time-series errors, and uncertainties in  
82 reconciled rates are estimated as their root mean square error divided by the square root of the  
83 number of independent groups. Cumulative uncertainties are computed as the root sum square of  
84 annual errors, an approach that has been employed in numerous studies<sup>1,17,33,41</sup> and assumes that  
85 annual errors are not correlated over time. To improve on this assumption, it will be necessary to  
86 consider the covariance of the systematic and random errors present within each mass balance  
87 solution (see Methods).

## 88 Inter-comparison of satellite and model results

89 The satellite gravimetry and satellite altimetry data used in our assessment are corrected for the  
90 effects of glacial isostatic adjustment, although the correction is relatively small for altimetry as it  
91 appears as a change in elevation and not mass. The most prominent and consistent local signals of  
92 glacial isostatic adjustment among the 6 models we have considered are two instances of uplift  
93 peaking at about 5-6 mm/yr, one centered over northwest Greenland and Ellesmere Island, and one  
94 over northeast Greenland (see Methods and Extended Data Figure 3). Although some models identify  
95 a 2 mm/yr subsidence under large parts of the central and southern parts of the ice sheet, it is absent  
96 or of lower magnitude in others, which suggests it is less certain (Extended Data Table 1). The greatest  
97 difference among model solutions is at Kangerlussuaq Glacier in the southeast where a study <sup>42</sup> has  
98 shown that models and observations agree if a localized weak Earth structure associated with  
99 overpassing the Iceland hotspot is assumed; the effect is to offset earlier estimates of mass trends  
100 associated with glacial isostatic adjustment by about 20 Gt/yr. Farther afield, the highest spread  
101 between modelled uplift occurs on Baffin Island and beyond due to variations in regional model  
102 predictions related to the demise of the Laurentide Ice Sheet <sup>42</sup>. This regional uncertainty is likely a  
103 major factor in the spread across the ice-sheet-wide estimates. Nevertheless, at  $-3 \pm 20$  Gt/yr, the  
104 mass signal associated with glacial isostatic adjustment in Greenland shows no coherent substantive  
105 change and is negligible relative to reported ice sheet mass trends <sup>1</sup>.

106 There is generally good agreement between the models of Greenland Ice Sheet surface mass balance  
107 that we have assessed for determining mass input - particularly those of a similar class; for example,  
108 70% of all model estimated of runoff and accumulation fall within 1-sigma of their mean (see Methods  
109 and Extended Data Table 2). The exceptions are a global reanalysis with coarse spatial resolution that  
110 tends to underestimate runoff due to its poor delineation of the ablation zone, and a snow process  
111 model that tends to underestimate precipitation and to overestimate runoff in most sectors. Among  
112 the other 8 models, the average surface mass balance between 1980 and 2012 is  $361 \pm 40$  Gt/yr, with  
113 a marked negative trend over time (Extended Data Figure 4) mainly due to increased runoff <sup>7</sup>. At  
114 regional scale, the largest differences occur in the northeast, where two regional climate models  
115 predict significantly less runoff, and in the southeast, where there is considerable spread in  
116 precipitation and runoff across all models. All models show high temporal variability in surface mass  
117 balance components, and all models show that the southeast receives the highest net intake of mass  
118 at the surface due to high rates of snowfall originating from the Icelandic Low <sup>43</sup>. By contrast, the  
119 southwest, which features the widest ablation zone <sup>7</sup>, has experienced alternate periods of net surface  
120 mass loss and gain over recent decades, and has the lowest average surface mass balance across the  
121 ice sheet.

122 We assessed the consistency of the satellite altimetry, gravimetry, and input-output method estimates  
123 of Greenland Ice Sheet mass balance using common spatial and temporal domains (see Figure 2 and  
124 Methods). In general, there is close agreement between estimates determined using each approach,  
125 and the standard deviations of coincident altimetry, gravimetry, and input-output method annual  
126 mass balance solutions are 40, 30, and 22 Gt/yr, respectively (Extended Data Table 3). Once averages  
127 were formed for each technique, the resulting estimates of mass balance were also closely aligned  
128 (e.g. Extended Data Figure 6). For example, over the common period 2005 to 2015, the average  
129 Greenland Ice Sheet mass balance is  $-251 \pm 63$  Gt/yr and, by comparison, the spread of the altimetry,  
130 gravimetry, and input-output method estimates is just 24 Gt/yr (Extended Data Table 3). The  
131 estimated uncertainty of the aggregated mass balance solution (see Methods) is larger than the  
132 standard deviation of model corrections for glacial isostatic adjustment (20 Gt/yr for gravimetry) and  
133 for surface mass balance (40 Gt/yr), which suggests that their collective impacts have been adequately

134 compensated, and it is also larger than the estimated 30 Gt/yr mass losses from peripheral ice caps<sup>44</sup>,  
135 which are not accounted for in all individual solutions. In keeping with results from Antarctica<sup>41</sup>, rates  
136 of mass loss determined using the input-output method are the most negative, and those determined  
137 from altimetry are the least negative. However, the spread among the three techniques is 6 times  
138 lower for Greenland than it is for Antarctica<sup>41</sup>, reflecting differences in the ice sheet size, the  
139 complexity of the mass balance processes, and limitations of the various geodetic techniques.

## 140 Ice sheet mass balance

141 We aggregated the average mass balance estimates from gravimetry, altimetry and the input-output  
142 method to form a single, time-varying record (Figure 2) and then integrated these data to determine  
143 the cumulative mass lost from Greenland since 1992 (Figure 3). Although Greenland has been losing  
144 ice throughout most of the intervening period, the rate of loss has varied significantly. Between 1992  
145 and 2012, the rate of ice loss progressively increased, reaching a maximum of  $335 \pm 62$  Gt/yr in 2011,  
146 ahead of the extreme summertime surface melting that occurred in the following year<sup>14</sup>. Since 2012,  
147 however, the trend has reversed, with a progressive reduction in the rate of mass loss during the  
148 subsequent period. By 2018 – the last complete year of our survey – the annual rate of ice mass loss  
149 had reduced to  $111 \pm 71$  Gt/yr. The highly variable nature of ice losses from Greenland is a  
150 consequence of the wide range of physical processes that are affecting different sectors of the ice  
151 sheet<sup>16,28,35</sup>, which suggests that care should be taken when extrapolating sparse measurements in  
152 space or time. Although the rates of mass loss we have computed between 1992 and 2011 are 18 %  
153 less negative than those of a previous assessment, which included far fewer data sets<sup>1</sup>, the results are  
154 consistent given their respective uncertainties. Altogether, the Greenland Ice Sheet has lost  $3800 \pm$   
155  $339$  Gt of ice to the ocean since 1992, with roughly half of this loss occurring during the 6-year period  
156 between 2006 and 2012.

157 To determine the proportion of mass lost due to surface and ice dynamical processes, we computed  
158 the contemporaneous trend in Greenland Ice Sheet surface mass balance - the net balance between  
159 precipitation and ablation<sup>7</sup>, which is controlled by interactions with the atmosphere (Figure 3). In  
160 Greenland, recent trends in surface mass balance have been largely driven by meltwater runoff<sup>43</sup>,  
161 which has increased as the regional climate has warmed<sup>13</sup>. Because direct observations of ice sheet  
162 surface mass balance are too scarce to provide full temporal and spatial coverage<sup>45</sup>, regional  
163 estimates are usually taken from atmospheric models that are evaluated with existing observations.  
164 Our evaluation (see Methods) shows that the finer spatial resolution regional climate models produce  
165 consistent results, likely due to their ability to capture local changes in melting and precipitation  
166 associated with atmospheric forcing, and to resolve the full extent of the ablation zone<sup>46</sup>. We  
167 therefore compare and combine estimates of Greenland surface mass balance derived from three  
168 regional climate models; RACMO2.3p2<sup>46</sup>, MARv3.6<sup>21</sup> and HIRHAM<sup>9</sup>. To assess the surface mass  
169 change across the Greenland Ice Sheet between 1980 and 2018, we accumulate surface mass balance  
170 anomalies from each of the regional climate models (Extended Data Figure 7) and average them into  
171 a single estimate (Figure 3). Surface mass balance anomalies are computed with respect to the average  
172 between 1980 and 1990, which corresponds to a period of approximate balance<sup>8</sup> and is common to  
173 all models. In this comparison, all three models show that the Greenland Ice Sheet entered abruptly  
174 into a period of anomalously low surface mass balance in the late 1990's and, when combined, they  
175 show that the ice sheet lost  $1971 \pm 555$  Gt of its mass due to meteorological processes between 1992  
176 and 2018 (Table 1).

177 Just over half (52 %) of all mass losses from Greenland – and much of their short-term variability –  
178 have been due to variations in the ice sheet's surface mass balance and its indirect impacts on firn

179 processes. For example, between 2007 and 2012, 71 % of the total ice loss ( $193 \pm 37$  Gt/yr ) was due  
180 to surface mass balance, compared to 28 % ( $22 \pm 20$  Gt/yr) over the preceding 15 years and 58 %  
181 ( $139 \pm 38$  Gt/yr) since then (Table 1). The rise in the total rate of ice loss during the late-2000s  
182 coincided with warmer atmospheric conditions, which promoted several episodes of widespread  
183 melting and runoff<sup>14</sup>. The reduction in surface mass loss since then is associated with a shift of the  
184 North Atlantic Oscillation, which brought about cooler atmospheric conditions and increased  
185 precipitation along the southeastern coast<sup>15</sup>. Trends in the total ice sheet mass balance are not,  
186 however, entirely due to surface mass balance and, by differencing these two signals, we can estimate  
187 the total change in mass loss due to ice dynamical imbalance – i.e. the integrated, net mass loss from  
188 those glaciers whose velocity does not equal their long-term mean (Figure 3). Although this approach  
189 is indirect, it makes use of all the satellite observations and regional climate models included in our  
190 study, overcoming limitations in the spatial and temporal sampling of ice discharge estimates derived  
191 from ice velocity and thickness data. Our estimate shows that, between 1992 and 2018, Greenland  
192 lost  $1827 \pm 538$  Gt of ice due to the dynamical imbalance of glaciers relative to their steady state,  
193 accounting for 48 % of the total imbalance (Table 1). Losses due to increased ice discharge rose sharply  
194 in the early 2000's when Jakobshavn Isbræ<sup>10</sup> and several other outlet glaciers in the southeast<sup>47</sup> sped  
195 up, and the discharge losses are now four times higher than in the 1990's. For a period between 2002  
196 and 2007, ice dynamical imbalance was the major source of ice loss from the ice sheet as a whole,  
197 although the situation has since returned to be dominated by surface mass losses as several glaciers  
198 have slowed down<sup>16</sup>.

199 Despite a reduction in the overall rate of ice loss from Greenland between 2013 and 2018 (Figure 2),  
200 the ice sheet mass balance remained negative, adding  $10.6 \pm 0.9$  mm to global sea level since 1992.  
201 Although the average sea level contribution is  $0.42 \pm 0.08$  mm/yr, the five-year average rate varied by  
202 a factor 5 over the 25-year period, peaking at  $0.75 \pm 0.08$  mm/yr between 2007 and 2012. The  
203 variability in Greenland ice loss illustrates the importance of accounting for yearly fluctuations when  
204 attempting to close the global sea level budget<sup>2</sup>. Satellite records of ice sheet mass balance are also  
205 an important tool for evaluating numerical models of ice sheet evolution<sup>48</sup>. In their 2013 assessment,  
206 the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) predicted ice losses from Greenland due to  
207 surface mass balance and glacier dynamics under a range of scenarios, beginning in 2007<sup>17</sup> (Figure 4).  
208 Although ice losses from Greenland have fluctuated considerably during the 12-year period of overlap  
209 between the IPCC predictions and our reconciled time series, the total change and average rate ( $0.69$   
210 mm/yr) are close to the upper range predictions ( $0.72$  mm/yr), which implies a 47 to 124 mm of sea-  
211 level rise by the year 2100 above central estimates. The drop in ice losses between 2013 and 2018,  
212 however, shifted rates towards the lower end projections, and a longer period of comparison is  
213 required to establish whether the upper trajectory will continue to be followed. Even greater sea level  
214 contribution cannot be ruled out if feedbacks between the ice sheet and other elements of the climate  
215 system are underestimated by current ice sheet models<sup>3</sup>. Although the volume of ice stored in  
216 Greenland is a small fraction of that in Antarctica (12 %), its recent losses have been ~36 % higher<sup>41</sup>  
217 as a consequence of the relatively strong atmospheric<sup>13,14</sup> and oceanic<sup>10,11</sup> warming that has occurred  
218 in its vicinity, and its status as a major source of sea-level rise is expected to continue<sup>3,17</sup>.

## 219 Conclusions

220 We combine 26 satellite estimates of ice sheet mass balance and assess 10 models of ice sheet surface  
221 mass balance and 6 models of glacial isostatic adjustment, to show that the Greenland Ice Sheet lost  
222  $3800 \pm 339$  Gt of ice between 1992 and 2018. During the common period 2005 to 2015, the spread of  
223 mass balance estimates derived from satellite altimetry, gravimetry, and the input-output method is  
224  $24$  Gt/yr, or 10% of the estimated rate of imbalance. The rate of ice loss has generally increased over

225 time, rising from  $18 \pm 28$  Gt/yr between 1992 to 1997, peaking at  $270 \pm 27$  Gt/yr between 2007 and  
226 2012, and reducing to  $239 \pm 20$  Gt/yr between 2012 and 2017. Just over half ( $1971 \pm 555$  Gt, or 52 %)   
227 of the ice losses are due to reduced surface mass balance (mostly meltwater runoff) associated with  
228 changing atmospheric conditions<sup>13,14</sup>, and these changes have also driven the shorter-term temporal  
229 variability in ice sheet mass balance. Despite variations in the imbalance of individual glaciers<sup>4,5,33</sup>, ice  
230 losses due to increasing discharge from the ice sheet as a whole have risen steadily from  $41 \pm 37$  Gt/yr  
231 in the 1990's to  $87 \pm 25$  Gt/yr since then, and account for just under half of all losses (48 %) over the  
232 survey period.

233 Our assessment shows that estimates of Greenland Ice Sheet mass balance derived from satellite  
234 altimetry, gravimetry, and the input-output method agree to within 20 Gt/yr, that model estimates of  
235 surface mass balance agree to within 40 Gt/yr, and that model estimates of glacial isostatic adjustment  
236 agree to within 20 Gt/yr. These differences represent a small fraction (13 %) of the Greenland Ice  
237 Sheet mass imbalance and are comparable to its estimated uncertainty (13 Gt/yr). Nevertheless, there  
238 is still departure among models of glacial isostatic adjustment in northern Greenland. Spatial  
239 resolution is a key factor in the degree to which models of surface mass balance can represent ablation  
240 and precipitation at local scales, and estimates of ice sheet mass balance determined from satellite  
241 altimetry and the input-output method continue to be positively and negatively biased, respectively,  
242 compared to those based on satellite gravimetry (albeit by small amounts). More satellite estimates  
243 of ice sheet mass balance at the start (1990's) and end (2010's) of our record would help to reduce  
244 the dependence on fewer data during those periods; although new missions<sup>49,50</sup> will no doubt address  
245 the latter, further analysis of historical satellite data is required to address the former.

## 246 References

- 247 1. Shepherd, A. *et al.* A Reconciled Estimate of Ice-Sheet Mass Balance. *Science* **338**, 1183–1189  
248 (2012).
- 249 2. WCRP Global Sea Level Budget Group. Global sea-level budget 1993–present. *Earth System*  
250 *Science Data* **10**, 1551–1590 (2018).
- 251 3. Pattyn, F. *et al.* The Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets under 1.5 °C global warming. *Nature Clim*  
252 *Change* **8**, 1053–1061 (2018).
- 253 4. Moon, T., Joughin, I., Smith, B. & Howat, I. 21st-Century Evolution of Greenland Outlet Glacier  
254 Velocities. *Science* **336**, 576–578 (2012).
- 255 5. Enderlin, E. M. *et al.* An improved mass budget for the Greenland ice sheet. *Geophysical Research*  
256 *Letters* **41**, 866–872 (2014).
- 257 6. Rignot, E. & Kanagaratnam, P. Changes in the Velocity Structure of the Greenland Ice Sheet.  
258 *Science* **311**, 986–990 (2006).
- 259 7. Broeke, M. van den *et al.* Partitioning Recent Greenland Mass Loss. *Science* **326**, 984–986 (2009).

- 260 8. Trusel, L. D. *et al.* Nonlinear rise in Greenland runoff in response to post-industrial Arctic warming.  
261 *Nature* **564**, 104–108 (2018).
- 262 9. Lucas-Picher, P. *et al.* Very high resolution regional climate model simulations over Greenland:  
263 Identifying added value. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* **117**, (2012).
- 264 10. Holland, D. M., Thomas, R. H., de Young, B., Ribergaard, M. H. & Lyberth, B. Acceleration of  
265 Jakobshavn Isbræ triggered by warm subsurface ocean waters. *Nature Geoscience* **1**, 659–664  
266 (2008).
- 267 11. Seale, A., Christoffersen, P., Mugford, R. I. & O’Leary, M. Ocean forcing of the Greenland Ice Sheet:  
268 Calving fronts and patterns of retreat identified by automatic satellite monitoring of eastern  
269 outlet glaciers. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface* **116**, (2011).
- 270 12. Straneo, F. & Heimbach, P. North Atlantic warming and the retreat of Greenland’s outlet glaciers.  
271 *Nature* **504**, 36–43 (2013).
- 272 13. Hanna, E., Mernild, S. H., Cappelen, J. & Steffen, K. Recent warming in Greenland in a long-term  
273 instrumental (1881–2012) climatic context: I. Evaluation of surface air temperature records.  
274 *Environ. Res. Lett.* **7**, 045404 (2012).
- 275 14. Fettweis, X. *et al.* *Brief communication* ‘Important role of the mid-tropospheric atmospheric  
276 circulation in the recent surface melt increase over the Greenland ice sheet’. *The Cryosphere* **7**,  
277 241–248 (2013).
- 278 15. Bevis, M. *et al.* Accelerating changes in ice mass within Greenland, and the ice sheet’s sensitivity  
279 to atmospheric forcing. *PNAS* **116**, 1934–1939 (2019).
- 280 16. Khazendar, A. *et al.* Interruption of two decades of Jakobshavn Isbrae acceleration and thinning  
281 as regional ocean cools. *Nat. Geosci.* **12**, 277–283 (2019).
- 282 17. Church, J. A. *et al.* Sea Level Change. in *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis.*  
283 *Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel*  
284 *on Climate Change* (eds. Stocker, T. F. *et al.*) 1137–1216 (Cambridge University Press, 2013).  
285 doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.026.

- 286 18. Morlighem, M. *et al.* BedMachine v3: Complete Bed Topography and Ocean Bathymetry Mapping  
287 of Greenland From Multibeam Echo Sounding Combined With Mass Conservation. *Geophysical*  
288 *Research Letters* **44**, 11,051–11,061 (2017).
- 289 19. Joughin, I., Smith, B. E., Howat, I. M., Scambos, T. & Moon, T. Greenland flow variability from ice-  
290 sheet-wide velocity mapping. *Journal of Glaciology* **56**, 415–430 (2010).
- 291 20. Zwally, H. J., Giovinetto, M. B., Beckley, M. A. & Saba, J. L. Antarctic and Greenland drainage  
292 systems. (2012).
- 293 21. Fettweis, X. *et al.* Reconstructions of the 1900–2015 Greenland ice sheet surface mass balance  
294 using the regional climate MAR model. *The Cryosphere* **11**, 1015–1033 (2017).
- 295 22. Hofer, S., Tedstone, A. J., Fettweis, X. & Bamber, J. L. Decreasing cloud cover drives the recent  
296 mass loss on the Greenland Ice Sheet. *Science Advances* **3**, e1700584 (2017).
- 297 23. Leeson, A. A. *et al.* Supraglacial lakes on the Greenland ice sheet advance inland under warming  
298 climate. *Nature Climate Change* **5**, 51–55 (2015).
- 299 24. Palmer, S., McMillan, M. & Morlighem, M. Subglacial lake drainage detected beneath the  
300 Greenland ice sheet. *Nat Commun* **6**, 1–7 (2015).
- 301 25. Nick, F. M. *et al.* The response of Petermann Glacier, Greenland, to large calving events, and its  
302 future stability in the context of atmospheric and oceanic warming. *Journal of Glaciology* **58**, 229–  
303 239 (2012).
- 304 26. Joughin, I. *et al.* Ice-front variation and tidewater behavior on Helheim and Kangerdlugssuaq  
305 Glaciers, Greenland. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface* **113**, (2008).
- 306 27. Pritchard, H. D., Arthern, R. J., Vaughan, D. G. & Edwards, L. A. Extensive dynamic thinning on the  
307 margins of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets. *Nature* **461**, 971–975 (2009).
- 308 28. McMillan, M. *et al.* A high-resolution record of Greenland mass balance. *Geophysical Research*  
309 *Letters* **43**, 7002–7010 (2016).
- 310 29. Sandberg Sørensen, L. *et al.* 25 years of elevation changes of the Greenland Ice Sheet from ERS,  
311 Envisat, and CryoSat-2 radar altimetry. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **495**, 234–241 (2018).

- 312 30. Velicogna, I. & Wahr, J. Greenland mass balance from GRACE. *Geophysical Research Letters* **32**,  
313 (2005).
- 314 31. Luthcke, S. B. *et al.* Recent Greenland Ice Mass Loss by Drainage System from Satellite Gravity  
315 Observations. *Science* **314**, 1286–1289 (2006).
- 316 32. Zwally, H. J., Bindschadler, R. A., Brenner, A. C., Major, J. A. & Marsh, J. G. Growth of Greenland  
317 Ice Sheet: Measurement. *Science* **246**, 1587–1589 (1989).
- 318 33. Mougnot, J. *et al.* Forty-six years of Greenland Ice Sheet mass balance from 1972 to 2018. *PNAS*  
319 **116**, 9239–9244 (2019).
- 320 34. Lecavalier, B. S. *et al.* A model of Greenland ice sheet deglaciation constrained by observations of  
321 relative sea level and ice extent. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **102**, 54–84 (2014).
- 322 35. King, M. D. *et al.* Seasonal to decadal variability in ice discharge from the Greenland Ice Sheet. *The*  
323 *Cryosphere* **12**, 3813–3825 (2018).
- 324 36. Porter, D. F. *et al.* Identifying Spatial Variability in Greenland’s Outlet Glacier Response to Ocean  
325 Heat. *Front. Earth Sci.* **6**, (2018).
- 326 37. Rignot, E. & Mougnot, J. Ice flow in Greenland for the International Polar Year 2008–2009.  
327 *Geophysical Research Letters* **39**, (2012).
- 328 38. Sørensen, L. S. *et al.* Mass balance of the Greenland ice sheet (2003–2008) from ICESat data – the  
329 impact of interpolation, sampling and firn density. *The Cryosphere* **5**, 173–186 (2011).
- 330 39. Zwally, H. J. *et al.* Greenland ice sheet mass balance: distribution of increased mass loss with  
331 climate warming; 2003–07 versus 1992–2002. *Journal of Glaciology* **57**, 88–102 (2011).
- 332 40. Rosenau, R., Scheinert, M. & Dietrich, R. A processing system to monitor Greenland outlet glacier  
333 velocity variations at decadal and seasonal time scales utilizing the Landsat imagery. *Remote*  
334 *Sensing of Environment* **169**, 1–19 (2015).
- 335 41. The IMBIE Team. Mass balance of the Antarctic Ice Sheet from 1992 to 2017. *Nature* **558**, 219–  
336 222 (2018).

- 337 42. Khan, S. A. *et al.* Geodetic measurements reveal similarities between post–Last Glacial Maximum  
338 and present-day mass loss from the Greenland ice sheet. *Science Advances* **2**, e1600931 (2016).
- 339 43. Ettema, J. *et al.* Higher surface mass balance of the Greenland ice sheet revealed by high-  
340 resolution climate modeling. *Geophysical Research Letters* **36**, (2009).
- 341 44. Bolch, T. *et al.* Mass loss of Greenland’s glaciers and ice caps 2003–2008 revealed from ICESat  
342 laser altimetry data. *Geophysical Research Letters* **40**, 875–881 (2013).
- 343 45. Vernon, C. L. *et al.* Surface mass balance model intercomparison for the Greenland ice sheet. *The*  
344 *Cryosphere* **7**, 599–614 (2013).
- 345 46. Noël, B. *et al.* Modelling the climate and surface mass balance of polar ice sheets using RACMO2  
346 – Part 1: Greenland (1958–2016). *The Cryosphere* **12**, 811–831 (2018).
- 347 47. Howat, I. M., Joughin, I., Fahnestock, M., Smith, B. E. & Scambos, T. A. Synchronous retreat and  
348 acceleration of southeast Greenland outlet glaciers 2000–06: ice dynamics and coupling to  
349 climate. *Journal of Glaciology* **54**, 646–660 (2008).
- 350 48. Shepherd, A. & Nowicki, S. Improvements in ice-sheet sea-level projections. *Nature Climate*  
351 *Change* **7**, 672–674 (2017).
- 352 49. Markus, T. *et al.* The Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation Satellite-2 (ICESat-2): Science requirements,  
353 concept, and implementation. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **190**, 260–273 (2017).
- 354 50. Flechtner, F. *et al.* What Can be Expected from the GRACE-FO Laser Ranging Interferometer for  
355 Earth Science Applications? *Surv Geophys* **37**, 453–470 (2016).

356

## 357 [Supplementary Information](#)

This table is an excel spreadsheet
------------------------------------

<b>Supplementary Table 1</b> This table contains details of the satellite datasets used in this study.
--

358

## 359 [Acknowledgements](#)

360 This work is an outcome of the Ice Sheet Mass Balance Inter-Comparison Exercise (IMBIE) supported  
361 by the ESA Climate Change Initiative and the NASA Cryosphere Program. A.S. was additionally

362 supported by a Royal Society Wolfson Research Merit Award and the UK Natural Environment  
363 Research Council Centre for Polar Observation and Modelling.

364

## 365 Author Contributions

366 A.S. and E.I. designed and led the study. E.R., B.S., M.v.d.B., I.V. and P.W. led the input–output-  
367 method, altimetry, surface mass balance (SMB), gravimetry and glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA)  
368 experiments, respectively. G.K., S.N., T.P., T.Sc. provided additional supervision on glaciology, K.B.,  
369 A.H., I.J., M.E. and T.W. provided additional supervision on satellite observations, and N.S. provided  
370 additional supervision on GIA. G.M., M.E.P., and T.Sl. performed the mass balance data collation and  
371 analysis. T.Sl. performed the AR5 data analysis. P.W. and I.S. performed the GIA data analysis. M.v.W.  
372 and T.Sl. performed the SMB data analysis. A.S., E.I., K.B., M.E., N.G., A.H., H.K., M.M., I.O., I.S., T.Sl.,  
373 M.v.W., and P.W. wrote the manuscript; A.S. led the writing, E.I., K.B., M.E., and T.Sl. led the drafting  
374 and editing, M.v.W. led the SMB text, P.W. and I.S. led the GIA text, and N.G., A.H., H.K., M.M., and  
375 I.O. contributed elsewhere. A.S., K.B., H.K., G.M., M.E.P., I.S., S.B.S., T.Sl., P.W., and M.v.W. prepared  
376 the figures and tables, with particular focus on Fig. 1 (S.B.S), Fig. 3 (T.Sl.), Fig. 4 (T.Sl.), Extended Data  
377 Fig. 2 (K.B.), Extended Data Fig. 3 (P.W.), Extended Data Fig. 2 (M.v.W.), Extended Data Table 1 (P.W.  
378 and I.S.), Extended Data Table 2 (M.v.W.), and Supplementary Table 1 (H.K. and T.Sl.); G.M. and M.E.P.  
379 led the production of all other figures and tables. All authors participated in the data interpretation  
380 and commented on the manuscript.

381

## 382 Competing Interests

383 The authors declare no competing interests.

384

## 385 The IMBIE Team

386 Andrew Shepherd<sup>1\*</sup>, Erik Ivins<sup>2</sup>, Eric Rignot<sup>2,3</sup>, Ben Smith<sup>4</sup>, Michiel van den Broeke<sup>5</sup>, Isabella  
387 Velicogna<sup>2,3</sup>, Pippa Whitehouse<sup>6</sup>, Kate Briggs<sup>1</sup>, Ian Joughin<sup>4</sup>, Gerhard Krinner<sup>7</sup>, Sophie Nowicki<sup>8</sup>, Tony  
388 Payne<sup>9</sup>, Ted Scambos<sup>10</sup>, Nicole Schlegel<sup>2</sup>, Geruo A<sup>3</sup>, Cécile Agosta<sup>11</sup>, Andreas Ahlstrøm<sup>12</sup>, Greg  
389 Babonis<sup>13</sup>, Valentina R. Barletta<sup>14</sup>, Anders A. Bjørk<sup>15</sup>, Alejandro Blazquez<sup>16</sup>, Jennifer Bonin<sup>17</sup>, William  
390 Colgan<sup>12</sup>, Beata Csatho<sup>13</sup>, Richard Cullather<sup>18</sup>, Marcus E. Engdahl<sup>19</sup>, Denis Felikson<sup>8</sup>, Xavier Fettweis<sup>11</sup>,  
391 Rene Forsberg<sup>14</sup>, Anna E. Hogg<sup>1</sup>, Hubert Gallee<sup>7</sup>, Alex Gardner<sup>2</sup>, Lin Gilbert<sup>20</sup>, Noel Gourmelen<sup>21</sup>,  
392 Andreas Groh<sup>22</sup>, Brian Gunter<sup>23</sup>, Edward Hanna<sup>24</sup>, Christopher Harig<sup>25</sup>, Veit Helm<sup>26</sup>, Alexander  
393 Horvath<sup>27</sup>, Martin Horwath<sup>22</sup>, Shfaqat Khan<sup>14</sup>, Kristian K. Kjeldsen<sup>12,28</sup>, Hannes Konrad<sup>29</sup>, Peter L.  
394 Langen<sup>30</sup>, Benoit Lecavalier<sup>31</sup>, Bryant Loomis<sup>8</sup>, Scott Luthcke<sup>8</sup>, Malcolm McMillan<sup>32</sup>, Daniele Melini<sup>33</sup>,  
395 Sebastian Mernild<sup>34,35,36,37</sup>, Yara Mohajerani<sup>3</sup>, Philip Moore<sup>38</sup>, Ruth Mottram<sup>30</sup>, Jeremie Mougnot<sup>3,7</sup>,  
396 Gorka Moyano<sup>39</sup>, Alan Muir<sup>20</sup>, Thomas Nagler<sup>40</sup>, Grace Nield<sup>6</sup>, Johan Nilsson<sup>2</sup>, Brice Noël<sup>5</sup>, Ines  
397 Otosaka<sup>1</sup>, Mark E. Pattle<sup>39</sup>, W. Richard Peltier<sup>41</sup>, Nadège Pie<sup>42</sup>, Roelof Rietbroek<sup>43</sup>, Helmut Rott<sup>40</sup>, Louise  
398 Sandberg Sørensen<sup>14</sup>, Ingo Sasgen<sup>26</sup>, Himanshu Save<sup>42</sup>, Bernd Scheuchl<sup>3</sup>, Ernst Schrama<sup>44</sup>, Ludwig  
399 Schröder<sup>22,26</sup>, Ki-Weon Seo<sup>45</sup>, Sebastian B. Simonsen<sup>14</sup>, Thomas Slater<sup>1</sup>, Giorgio Spada<sup>46</sup>, Tyler  
400 Sutterley<sup>3</sup>, Matthieu Talpe<sup>2</sup>, Lev Tarasov<sup>31</sup>, Willem Jan van de Berg<sup>5</sup>, Wouter van der Wal<sup>44,47</sup>, Melchior  
401 van Wessem<sup>5</sup>, Bramha Dutt Vishwakarma<sup>48</sup>, David Wiese<sup>2</sup>, David Wilton<sup>49</sup>, Thomas Wagner<sup>50</sup>, Bert  
402 Wouters<sup>5,47</sup> & Jan Wuite<sup>40</sup>

403

404 <sup>1</sup>Centre for Polar Observation and Modelling, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK. <sup>2</sup>NASA Jet Propulsion  
405 Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA. <sup>3</sup>Department of Earth System  
406 Science, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA. <sup>4</sup>Department of Earth and Space Sciences, University  
407 of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA. <sup>5</sup>Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research, Utrecht University,  
408 Utrecht, The Netherlands. <sup>6</sup>Department of Geography, Durham University, Durham, UK. <sup>7</sup>Institute of  
409 Environmental Geosciences, Université Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, France. <sup>8</sup>Cryospheric Sciences  
410 Laboratory, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA. <sup>9</sup>School of Geographical  
411 Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK. <sup>10</sup>Earth Science and Observation Center, University of  
412 Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA. <sup>11</sup>Department of Geography, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium.  
413 <sup>12</sup>Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland, Copenhagen, Denmark. <sup>13</sup>Department of Geology,  
414 State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, USA. <sup>14</sup>DTU Space, National Space Institute,  
415 Technical University of Denmark, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark. <sup>15</sup>Department of Geosciences and  
416 Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark. <sup>16</sup>LEGOS,  
417 Université de Toulouse, Toulouse, France. <sup>17</sup>College of Marine Sciences, University of South Florida,  
418 Tampa, FL, USA. <sup>18</sup>Global Modeling and Assimilation Office, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center,  
419 Greenbelt, MD, USA. <sup>19</sup>ESA-ESRIN, Frascati, Italy. <sup>20</sup>Mullard Space Science Laboratory, University  
420 College London, Holmbury St Mary, UK. <sup>21</sup>School of Geosciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh,  
421 UK. <sup>22</sup>Institute for Planetary Geodesy, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany. <sup>23</sup>Daniel  
422 Guggenheim School of Aerospace Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA.  
423 <sup>24</sup>School of Geography, University of Lincoln, Lincoln, UK. <sup>25</sup>Department of Geosciences, University of  
424 Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA. <sup>26</sup>Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research,  
425 Bremerhaven, Germany. <sup>27</sup>Institute of Astronomical and Physical Geodesy, Technical University  
426 Munich, Munich, Germany. <sup>28</sup>GeoGenetics, Globe Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen,  
427 Denmark. <sup>29</sup>Deutscher Wetterdienst, Offenbach, Germany. <sup>30</sup>Danish Meteorological Institute,  
428 Copenhagen, Denmark. <sup>31</sup>Department of Physics and Physical Oceanography, Memorial University of  
429 Newfoundland, St. Johns, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada. <sup>32</sup>University of Lancaster, Lancaster,  
430 UK. <sup>34</sup>Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Roma, Italy <sup>34</sup>Nansen Environmental and Remote  
431 Sensing Centre, Bergen, Norway. <sup>35</sup>Faculty of Engineering and Science, Western Norway University of  
432 Applied Sciences, Sogndal, Norway. <sup>36</sup>Direction of Antarctic and Sub-Antarctic Programs, Universidad  
433 de Magallanes, Punta Arenas, Chile, <sup>37</sup>Geophysical Institute, University of Bergen, Norway. <sup>38</sup>School of  
434 Engineering, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK. <sup>39</sup>isardSAT, Barcelona, Spain. <sup>40</sup>ENVEO,  
435 Innsbruck, Austria. <sup>41</sup>Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. <sup>42</sup>Center  
436 for Space Research, University of Texas, Austin, TX, USA. <sup>43</sup>Institute of Geodesy and Geoinformation,  
437 University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany. <sup>44</sup>Department of Space Engineering, Delft University of  
438 Technology, Delft, The Netherlands. <sup>45</sup>Department of Earth Science Education, Seoul National  
439 University, Seoul, South Korea. <sup>46</sup>Dipartimento di Scienze Pure e Applicate, Università di Urbino "Carlo  
440 Bo", Italy. <sup>47</sup>Department of Civil Engineering, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands.  
441 <sup>48</sup>Geodetic Institute, University of Stuttgart, Stuttgart, Germany. <sup>49</sup>Department of Computer Science,  
442 University of Sheffield, UK. <sup>50</sup>NASA Headquarters, Washington D.C., USA.

443 \*Corresponding author: Andrew Shepherd [a.shepherd@leeds.ac.uk](mailto:a.shepherd@leeds.ac.uk)

## 444 Figure and Table Legends

445 **Figure 1 | Greenland Ice Sheet elevation change.** Rate of elevation change of the Greenland Ice Sheet  
446 determined from ERS, ENVISAT, and CryoSat-2 satellite radar altimetry (top row) and from the  
447 HIRHAM5 surface mass balance model (bottom row, ice equivalent), over successive five-year epochs  
448 (left to right; 1992-1997, 1997-2002, 2002-2007, 2007-2012, 2012-2017). Reproduced from the data  
449 in Ref <sup>29</sup>.

450

451 **Figure 2 | Greenland Ice Sheet mass balance.** Rate of mass change ( $dM/dt$ ) of the Greenland Ice Sheet  
452 as determined from the satellite-altimetry (red), input-output method (blue) and gravimetry (green)  
453 assessments included in this study. In each case,  $dM/dt$  is computed at annual intervals from time  
454 series of relative mass change using a three-year window. An average of estimates across each class  
455 of measurement technique is also shown for each year (black). The estimated  $1\sigma$ ,  $2\sigma$  and  $3\sigma$  ranges of  
456 the class average is shaded in dark, mid and light grey, respectively; 97 % of all estimates fall within  
457 the  $1\sigma$  range, given their estimated individual errors. The equivalent sea level contribution of the mass  
458 change is also indicated, and the number of individual mass-balance estimates collated at each epoch  
459 is shown below each chart entry.

460

461 **Figure 3 | Cumulative anomalies in Greenland Ice Sheet total mass, surface mass balance and ice**  
462 **dynamics.** The total change (dark blue) is determined as the integral of the average rate of ice sheet  
463 mass change (Figure 2). The change in surface mass balance (green) is determined from three regional  
464 climate models relative to their mean over the period 1980-1990. The change associated with ice  
465 dynamics (light blue) is determined as the difference between the change in total and surface mass.  
466 The estimated  $1\sigma$  uncertainties of the cumulative changes are shaded. The dotted line shows the result  
467 of a previous assessment <sup>1</sup>. The equivalent sea level contribution of the mass change is also indicated.  
468 Vertical lines mark consecutive five-year epochs since the start of our satellite record in 1992.

469

470 **Figure 4 | Observed and predicted sea level contribution due to Greenland Ice Sheet mass change.**  
471 The global sea-level contribution from Greenland Ice Sheet mass change according to this study (black  
472 line) and IPCC AR5 projections between 1992–2040 (left) and 2040–2100 (right) including upper (red),  
473 mid (orange), and lower (blue) estimates from the sum of modelled surface mass balance and rapid  
474 ice dynamical contributions. Darker coloured lines represent pathways from the five AR5 scenarios in  
475 order of increasing emissions: RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP6.0, SRES A1B and RCP8.5. Shaded areas represent  
476 the spread of AR5 emissions scenarios and the  $1\sigma$  estimated error on the IMBIE data. The bar chart  
477 plot (inset) shows the average annual rates of sea-level rise (in mm/yr) during the overlap period  
478 2007–2018 and their standard deviations. Cumulative AR5 projections have been offset to make them  
479 equal to the observational record at their start date (2007).

480

481 **Table 1 | Rates of Greenland Ice Sheet total, surface, and dynamical mass change.** Total rates were  
482 determined from all satellite measurements over various epochs, rates of surface mass change were  
483 determined from three regional climate models, and rates of dynamical mass change were  
484 determined as the difference. The period 1992–2011 is included for comparison to a previous  
485 assessment <sup>1</sup>, which reported a mass-balance estimate of  $-142 \pm 49$  Gt/yr based on far fewer data. The  
486 small differences in our updated estimate is due to our inclusion of more data and an updated  
487 aggregation scheme (see Methods). Errors are  $1\sigma$ .

488

489

490 Table 1

491

Region	1992-1997 (Gt/yr)	1997-2002 (Gt/yr)	2002-2007 (Gt/yr)	2007-2012 (Gt/yr)	2012-2017 (Gt/yr)	1992-2011 (Gt/yr)	1992-2018 (Gt/yr)
Total	-18 ± 28	-48 ± 35	-175 ± 30	-270 ± 27	-238 ± 29	-117 ± 16	-148 ± 13
Surface	26 ± 35	-15 ± 36	-78 ± 36	-193 ± 37	-139 ± 38	-57 ± 18	-76 ± 16
Dynamics	-43 ± 45	-33 ± 50	-97 ± 47	-77 ± 46	-100 ± 48	-60 ± 24	-73 ± 21

492

493

## 494 Methods

### 495 Data

496 In this assessment we analyse 5 groups of data: estimates of ice sheet mass-balance determined from  
497 3 distinct classes of satellite observations - altimetry, gravimetry and the input–output method (IOM)  
498 - and model estimates of surface mass balance (SMB) and glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA). Each  
499 dataset is computed following previously reported methods (based on references 28, 33, 38, 54 to 61,  
500 72, 87 to 120 and detailed in Supplementary Table 1) and, for consistency, they are aggregated within  
501 common spatial and temporal domains. Altogether, 26 separate ice sheet mass balance datasets were  
502 used - 9 derived from satellite altimetry, 3 derived from the input-output method, and 14 derived  
503 from satellite gravimetry - with a combined period running from 1992 to 2018 (Extended Data Figure  
504 1). We also assess 6 model estimates of GIA (Extended Data Table 1) and 10 model estimates of SMB  
505 (Extended Data Table 2).

### 506 Drainage Basins

507 We analyse mass trends using two ice sheet drainage basin sets (Extended Data Figure 2), to allow  
508 consistency with those used in the first IMBIE assessment <sup>1</sup>, and to evaluate an updated definition  
509 tailored towards mass budget assessments. The first set comprises 19 drainage basins delineated  
510 using surface elevation maps derived from ICESat-1 with a total area of 1,703,625 km <sup>2,20</sup>. The second  
511 drainage basin set is an updated definition considering other factors such as the direction of ice flow  
512 and includes 6 basins with a combined area of 1,723,300 km <sup>2,37</sup>. The two drainage basin sets differ by  
513 1% in area at the scale of the Greenland Ice Sheet, and this has a negligible impact on mass trends  
514 when compared to the estimated uncertainty of individual techniques.

### 515 Glacial isostatic adjustment

516 GIA - the delayed response of Earth's interior to temporal changes in ice loading - affects estimates of  
517 ice sheet mass balance determined from satellite gravimetry and, to a lesser extent, satellite altimetry  
518 <sup>51</sup>. Here, we compare 6 independent models of GIA in the vicinity of the Greenland Ice Sheet (Extended  
519 Data Table 1). The GIA model solutions we did consider differ for a variety of reasons, including  
520 differences in their physics, in their computational approach, in their prescriptions of solid Earth  
521 unloading during the last glacial cycle and their Earth rheology, and in the data sets against which they  
522 are evaluated. Although alternative ice histories (e.g. <sup>52</sup>) and mantle viscosities (e.g. <sup>53</sup>) are available,  
523 we restricted our comparison to those contributed to our assessment. No approach is generally  
524 accepted as optimal, and so we evaluate the models by computing the mean and standard deviation  
525 of their predicted uplift rates (Extended Data Figure 3). We also estimate the contribution of each  
526 model to gravimetric mass trends using a common processing approach <sup>41</sup> which puts special emphasis  
527 on the treatment of low spherical harmonic degrees in the GIA-related trends in the gravitational field.

528 The highest rates of GIA-related uplift occur in northern Greenland - though this region also exhibits  
529 marked variability among the solutions, as does the area around Kangerlussuaq Glacier to the  
530 southeast. Even though the model spread is high in northern Greenland, the signal in this sector is also  
531 consistently high in most solutions. However, none of the GIA models considered here fully captures  
532 all areas of high uplift present in the models, and so it is possible there is a bias towards low values in  
533 the average field across the ice sheet overall. The models yield an average adjustment for GRACE  
534 estimates of Greenland Ice Sheet mass balance of -3 Gt/yr, with a standard deviation of around 20  
535 Gt/yr. The spread is likely in part due to differences in the way each model accounts for GIA in North  
536 America which is ongoing and impacts western Greenland, and so care must be taken when estimating  
537 mass balance at basin scale. Local misrepresentation of the solid Earth response can also have a

538 relatively large impact stemming especially from lateral variations of solid-Earth properties<sup>42,54</sup>, and  
539 revisions of the current state of knowledge can be expected<sup>34</sup>.

#### 540 [Surface mass balance](#)

541 Here, ice-sheet SMB is defined as total precipitation minus sublimation, evaporation and meltwater  
542 runoff, i.e. the interaction of the atmosphere and the superficial snow and firn layers, for example  
543 through mass exchanges via precipitation, sublimation, and runoff, and through mass redistribution  
544 by snowdrift, melting, and refreezing. We compare 10 estimates of Greenland Ice Sheet SMB derived  
545 using a range of alternative approaches; 4 regional climate models (RCM's), 2 downscaled RCM's, a  
546 global reanalysis, 2 downscaled model reanalyses of climate data, and 1 gridded model of snow  
547 processes driven by climate model output (Extended Data Table 2).

548 Although SMB models of similar class tend to produce similar results, there are larger differences  
549 between classes – most notably the global reanalysis and the process model which lead to estimates  
550 of SMB that are significantly higher and lower than all other solutions, respectively. The regional  
551 climate model solutions agree well at the scale of individual drainage sectors, with the largest  
552 differences occurring in north-east Greenland (Extended Data Figure 4). The snow process model  
553 tends to underestimate SMB when compared to the other solutions we have considered in various  
554 sectors of the ice sheet, at times even yielding negative SMB, while the global reanalysis tends to  
555 overestimate it.

556 Across all models, the average SMB of the Greenland Ice Sheet between 1980 to 2012 is 351 Gt/yr and  
557 the standard deviation is 98 Gt/yr. However, the spread among the 8 RCM's and downscaled  
558 reanalyses is considerably smaller; these solutions lead to an average Greenland Ice Sheet SMB of 361  
559 Gt/yr with a standard deviation of 40 Gt/yr over the same period. By comparison, the global reanalysis  
560 and process model lead to ice sheet wide estimates of SMB that are significantly larger (504 Gt/yr)  
561 and smaller (125 Gt/yr) than this range, respectively. Model resolution is an important factor when  
562 estimating SMB and its components, as respective contributions where only the spatial resolution  
563 differed yield regional differences. Additionally, the underlying model domains were identified as a  
564 source of discrepancy in the case of the Greenland Ice Sheet, as some products would allocate the  
565 ablation area outside the given mask.

#### 566 [Individual estimates of ice sheet mass balance](#)

567 To standardise our comparison and aggregation of the 26 individual satellite estimates of Greenland  
568 Ice Sheet mass balance, we applied a common approach to derive rates of mass change from  
569 cumulative mass trends<sup>41</sup>. Rates of mass change were computed over 36-month intervals centred on  
570 regularly spaced (monthly) epochs within each cumulative mass trend time series, oversampling the  
571 individual time series where necessary. At each epoch, rates of mass change were estimated by fitting  
572 a linear trend to data within the surrounding 36-month time window using a weighted least-squares  
573 approach, with each point weighted by its measurement error. The associated mass trend  
574 uncertainties were estimated as the root sum square of the regression error and the measurement  
575 error. Time series were truncated by half the moving-average window period at the start and end of  
576 their period. The emerging rates of mass change were then averaged over 12-month periods to reduce  
577 the impact of seasonal cycles.

578 **Gravimetry** We include 14 estimates of Greenland Ice Sheet ice sheet mass balance determined from  
579 GRACE satellite gravimetry which together span the period 2003 to 2016 (Extended Data Figure 1). 10  
580 of the gravimetry solutions were computed using spherical harmonic solutions to the global gravity  
581 field and 4 were computed using spatially defined mass concentration units (Supplementary Table 1).  
582 An unrestricted range of alternative GIA corrections were used in the formation of the gravimetry

583 mass balance solutions based on commonly-adopted model solutions and their variants <sup>34,54–60</sup>  
584 (Supplementary Table 1). All of the gravimetry mass balance solutions included in this study use the  
585 same degree-1 coefficients to account for geocenter motion <sup>61</sup> and, although an alternative set is now  
586 available <sup>62</sup>, the estimated improvement in certainty is small in comparison to their magnitude and  
587 spread. There was some variation in the sampling of the individual gravimetry data sets, and their  
588 collective effective (weighted mean) temporal resolution is 0.08 years. Overall, there is good  
589 agreement between rates of Greenland Ice Sheet mass change derived from satellite gravimetry  
590 (Extended Data Figure 5); all solutions show the ice sheet to be in a state of negative mass balance  
591 throughout their survey periods, with mass loss peaking in 2011 and reducing thereafter. During the  
592 period 2005 to 2015, annual rates of mass change determined from satellite gravimetry differ by 97  
593 Gt/yr on average, and their average standard deviation is 30 Gt/yr (Extended Data Table 3).

594 **Altimetry** We include 9 estimates of Greenland Ice Sheet mass balance determined from satellite  
595 altimetry which together span the period 2004 to 2018 (Extended Data Figure 1). 3 of the solutions  
596 are derived from radar altimetry, 4 from laser altimetry, and 2 use a combination of both  
597 (Supplementary Table 1). The altimetry mass trends are also computed using a range of approaches,  
598 including crossovers, planar fits, and repeat track analyses. The laser altimetry mass trends are  
599 computed from ICESat-1 data as constant rates of mass change over their respective survey periods,  
600 while the radar altimetry mass trends are computed from EnviSat and/or CryoSat-2 data with a  
601 temporal resolution of between 1 and 72 months. In consequence, the altimetry solutions have an  
602 effective collective temporal resolution of 0.74 years. Mass changes are computed after making  
603 corrections for alternative sources of surface elevation change, including glacial isostatic and elastic  
604 adjustment, and firn height changes (see Supplementary Table 1). Despite the range of input data and  
605 technical approaches, there is good overall agreement between rates of mass change determined  
606 from the various satellite altimetry solutions (Extended Data Figure 5). All altimetry solutions show  
607 the Greenland Ice Sheet to be in a state of negative mass balance throughout their survey periods,  
608 with mass loss peaking in 2012 and reducing thereafter. During the period 2005 to 2015, annual rates  
609 of mass change determined from satellite altimetry differ by 111 Gt/yr on average, and, their average  
610 standard deviation is 40 Gt/yr (Extended Data Table 3). The greatest variance lies among the 4 laser  
611 altimetry mass balance solutions which range from -248 to -128 Gt/yr between 2004 and 2010; aside  
612 from methodological differences, possible explanations for this high spread include the relatively short  
613 period over which the mass trends are determined, the poor temporal resolution of these data sets,  
614 and the rapid change in mass balance occurring during the period in question.

615 **Input-Output Method** We include 3 estimates of Greenland Ice Sheet mass balance determined from  
616 the input-output method which together span the period 1992 to 2015 (Extended Data Figure 1).  
617 Although there are relatively few data sets by comparison to the gravimetry and altimetry solutions,  
618 the input-output data provide information on the partitioning of the mass change (surface processes  
619 and/or ice dynamics) cover a significantly longer period and are therefore an important record of  
620 changes in Greenland Ice Sheet mass during the 1990's. The input-output method makes use of a wide  
621 range of satellite imagery (e.g. <sup>6,40,63–68</sup>) combined with measurements of ice thickness (e.g. <sup>69</sup>) for  
622 computing ice sheet discharge (output), and several alternative SMB model estimates of snow  
623 accumulation (input) and runoff (output) (see Supplementary Table 1). 2 of the input-output method  
624 datasets exhibit temporal variability across their survey periods, and 2 provide only constant rates of  
625 mass changes. Although these latter records are relatively short, they are an important marker with  
626 which variances among independent estimates can be evaluated. The collective effective (weighted  
627 mean) temporal resolution of the input-output method data is 0.14 years, although it should be noted  
628 that in earlier years the satellite ice discharge component of the data are relatively sparsely sampled  
629 in time (e.g. <sup>70</sup>). There is good overall agreement between rates of mass change determined from the

630 input-output method solutions (Extended Data Figure 5). During the period 2005 to 2015, annual rates  
631 of mass change determined from the 4 input-output data sets differ by up to 47 Gt/yr on average, and  
632 their average standard deviation is 22 Gt/yr (Extended Data Table 3). These differences are  
633 comparable to the estimated uncertainty of the individual techniques and are also small relative to  
634 the estimated mass balance over the period in question. In addition to showing that the Greenland  
635 Ice Sheet was in a state of negative mass balance since 2000, with mass loss peaking in 2012 and  
636 reducing thereafter, the input-output method data show that the ice sheet was close to a state of  
637 balance prior to this period<sup>33</sup>.

### 638 [Aggregate estimate of ice sheet mass balance](#)

639 To produce an aggregate estimate of Greenland Ice Sheet mass balance, we combine the 14  
640 gravimetry, 9 altimetry, and 3 input-output method datasets to produce a single 26-year record  
641 spanning the period 1992 to 2018. First, we combine the gravimetry, altimetry, and the input-output  
642 method data separately into three time-series by forming an error-weighted average of individual  
643 rates of ice sheet mass change computed using the same technique (Extended Data Figure 6). At each  
644 epoch, we estimate the uncertainty of these time-series as the root mean square of their component  
645 time-series errors. We then combine the mass balance time-series derived from gravimetry, altimetry,  
646 and the input-output method to produce a single, aggregate (reconciled) estimate, computed as the  
647 error-weighted mean of mass trends sampled at each epoch. We estimated the uncertainty of this  
648 reconciled rate of mass balance as either the root mean square departure of the constituent mass  
649 trends from their weighted-mean or the root mean square of their uncertainties, whichever is larger,  
650 divided by the square root of the number of independent satellite techniques used to form the  
651 aggregate. Cumulative uncertainties are computed as the root sum square of annual errors, on the  
652 assumption that annual errors are not correlated over time. This assumption has been employed in  
653 numerous mass balance studies<sup>1,17,33,41</sup>, and its effect is to reduce cumulative errors by a factor 2.2  
654 over the 5-year periods we employ in this study (Table 1). If some sources of error are temporally  
655 correlated, the cumulative uncertainty may therefore be underestimated. In a recent study, for  
656 example, it is estimated that 30 % of the annual mass balance error is systematic<sup>71</sup>, and in this instance  
657 the cumulative error may be 37 % larger. On the other hand, the estimated annual error on aggregate  
658 mass trends reported in this study (61 Gt/yr) are 70% larger than the spread of the independent  
659 estimates from which they are combined (36 Gt/yr) (Extended Data Table 3), which suggests the  
660 underlying errors may be overestimated by a similar degree. A more detailed analysis of the  
661 measurement and systematic errors is required to improve the cumulative error budget.

662 During the period 2004 to 2015, when all three satellite techniques were in operation, there is good  
663 agreement between changes in ice sheet mass balance on a variety of timescales (Extended Data  
664 Figure 6). In Greenland, there are large annual cycles in mass superimposed on equally prominent  
665 interannual fluctuations as well as variations of intermediate (~5 years) duration. These signals are  
666 consistent with fluctuations in SMB that have been identified in meteorological records<sup>1,72</sup>, and are  
667 present within the time-series of mass balance emerging from all three satellite techniques, to varying  
668 degrees, according to their effective temporal resolution. For example, correlated seasonal cycles are  
669 apparent in the gravimetry and input-output method mass balance time series, because their effective  
670 temporal resolutions are sufficiently short (0.08 and 0.14 years, respectively) to resolve such changes.  
671 However, at 0.74 years, the effective temporal resolution of the altimetry mass balance time series is  
672 too coarse to detect cycles on sub-annual timescales. Nevertheless, when the aggregated mass  
673 balance data emerging from all three experiment groups are degraded to a common temporal  
674 resolution of 36 months, the time-series are well correlated ( $0.63 < r^2 < 0.80$ ) and, over longer periods,  
675 all techniques identify the marked increases in Greenland Ice Sheet mass loss peaking in 2012. During

676 the period 2005 to 2015, annual rates of mass change determined from all three techniques differ by  
677 up 148 Gt/yr on average, and their average standard deviation is 39 Gt/yr - a value that is small when  
678 compared to their estimated uncertainty (63 Gt/yr)(Extended Data Table 3).

679

## 680 Methods References

681 51. Wahr, J., Wingham, D. & Bentley, C. A method of combining ICESat and GRACE satellite data to  
682 constrain Antarctic mass balance. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* **105**, 16279–16294  
683 (2000).

684 52. Lambeck, K., Rouby, H., Purcell, A., Sun, Y. & Sambridge, M. Closing the sea level budget at the  
685 Last Glacial Maximum. *PNAS* **111**, 15861–15862 (2014).

686 53. Caron, L., Métivier, L., Greff-Lefftz, M., Fleitout, L. & Rouby, H. Inverting Glacial Isostatic  
687 Adjustment signal using Bayesian framework and two linearly relaxing rheologies. *Geophys J Int*  
688 **209**, 1126–1147 (2017).

689 54. Peltier, W. R., Argus, D. F. & Drummond, R. Space geodesy constrains ice age terminal  
690 deglaciation: The global ICE-6G\_C (VM5a) model. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*  
691 **120**, 450–487 (2015).

692 55. Paulson, A., Zhong, S. & Wahr, J. Inference of mantle viscosity from GRACE and relative sea level  
693 data. *Geophys J Int* **171**, 497–508 (2007).

694 56. Peltier, W. R. Global Glacial Isostasy and the Surface of the Ice-Age Earth: The ICE-5G (VM2) Model  
695 and GRACE. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* **32**, 111–149 (2004).

696 57. Simpson, M. J. R., Milne, G. A., Huybrechts, P. & Long, A. J. Calibrating a glaciological model of the  
697 Greenland ice sheet from the Last Glacial Maximum to present-day using field observations of  
698 relative sea level and ice extent. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **28**, 1631–1657 (2009).

699 58. A, G., Wahr, J. & Zhong, S. Computations of the viscoelastic response of a 3-D compressible Earth  
700 to surface loading: an application to Glacial Isostatic Adjustment in Antarctica and Canada.  
701 *Geophys J Int* **192**, 557–572 (2013).

- 702 59. Schrama, E. J. O., Wouters, B. & Rietbroek, R. A mascon approach to assess ice sheet and glacier  
703 mass balances and their uncertainties from GRACE data. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid*  
704 *Earth* **119**, 6048–6066 (2014).
- 705 60. Klemann, V. & Martinec, Z. Contribution of glacial-isostatic adjustment to the geocenter motion.  
706 *Tectonophysics* **511**, 99–108 (2011).
- 707 61. Swenson, S., Chambers, D. & Wahr, J. Estimating geocenter variations from a combination of  
708 GRACE and ocean model output. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* **113**, (2008).
- 709 62. Sun, Y., Riva, R. & Ditmar, P. Optimizing estimates of annual variations and trends in geocenter  
710 motion and J2 from a combination of GRACE data and geophysical models. *Journal of Geophysical*  
711 *Research: Solid Earth* **121**, 8352–8370 (2016).
- 712 63. Nagler, T., Rott, H., Hetzenecker, M., Wuite, J. & Potin, P. The Sentinel-1 Mission: New  
713 Opportunities for Ice Sheet Observations. *Remote Sensing* **7**, 9371–9389 (2015).
- 714 64. Mouginot, J., Rignot, E., Scheuchl, B. & Millan, R. Comprehensive Annual Ice Sheet Velocity  
715 Mapping Using Landsat-8, Sentinel-1, and RADARSAT-2 Data. *Remote Sensing* **9**, 364 (2017).
- 716 65. Joughin, I., Smith, B. E. & Howat, I. Greenland Ice Mapping Project: ice flow velocity variation at  
717 sub-monthly to decadal timescales. *The Cryosphere* **12**, 2211–2227 (2018).
- 718 66. Lemos, A. *et al.* Ice velocity of Jakobshavn Isbræ, Petermann Glacier, Nioghalvfjærdsfjorden, and  
719 Zachariæ Isstrøm, 2015–2017, from Sentinel 1-a/b SAR imagery. *The Cryosphere* **12**, 2087–2097  
720 (2018).
- 721 67. Joughin, I. *et al.* Continued evolution of Jakobshavn Isbrae following its rapid speedup. *Journal of*  
722 *Geophysical Research: Earth Surface* **113**, (2008).
- 723 68. Joughin, I., Abdalati, W. & Fahnestock, M. Large fluctuations in speed on Greenland’s Jakobshavn  
724 Isbræ glacier. *Nature* **432**, 608–610 (2004).
- 725 69. Gogineni, S. *et al.* Coherent radar ice thickness measurements over the Greenland ice sheet.  
726 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* **106**, 33761–33772 (2001).

- 727 70. Rignot, E. *et al.* Recent Antarctic ice mass loss from radar interferometry and regional climate  
728 modelling. *Nature Geosci* **1**, 106–110 (2008).
- 729 71. Shepherd, A. *et al.* Trends in Antarctic Ice Sheet Elevation and Mass. *Geophysical Research Letters*  
730 **46**, 8174–8183 (2019).
- 731 72. Wouters, B., Bamber, J. L., van den Broeke, M. R., Lenaerts, J. T. M. & Sasgen, I. Limits in detecting  
732 acceleration of ice sheet mass loss due to climate variability. *Nature Geoscience* **6**, 613–616  
733 (2013).
- 734 73. Rignot, E., Mouginot, J. & Scheuchl, B. Ice Flow of the Antarctic Ice Sheet. *Science* **333**, 1427–1430  
735 (2011).
- 736 74. Rignot, E., Mouginot, J. & Scheuchl, B. Antarctic grounding line mapping from differential satellite  
737 radar interferometry. *Geophysical Research Letters* **38**, (2011).
- 738 75. Langen, P. L., Fausto, R. S., Vandecrux, B., Mottram, R. H. & Box, J. E. Liquid Water Flow and  
739 Retention on the Greenland Ice Sheet in the Regional Climate Model HIRHAM5: Local and Large-  
740 Scale Impacts. *Front. Earth Sci.* **4**, (2017).
- 741 76. Martinec, Z. & Hagedoorn, J. The rotational feedback on linear-momentum balance in glacial  
742 isostatic adjustment. *Geophys J Int* **199**, 1823–1846 (2014).
- 743 77. Fretwell, P. *et al.* Bedmap2: improved ice bed, surface and thickness datasets for Antarctica. *The*  
744 *Cryosphere* **7**, 375–393 (2013).
- 745 78. Martinec, Z. Spectral–finite element approach to three-dimensional viscoelastic relaxation in a  
746 spherical earth. *Geophys J Int* **142**, 117–141 (2000).
- 747 79. Fleming, K. & Lambeck, K. Constraints on the Greenland Ice Sheet since the Last Glacial Maximum  
748 from sea-level observations and glacial-rebound models. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **23**, 1053–  
749 1077 (2004).
- 750 80. King, M. A., Whitehouse, P. L. & van der Wal, W. Incomplete separability of Antarctic plate rotation  
751 from glacial isostatic adjustment deformation within geodetic observations. *Geophys J Int* **204**,  
752 324–330 (2016).

- 753 81. Spada, G., Melini, D. & Colleoni, F. *Computational Infrastructure for Geodynamics*. (2018).
- 754 82. Noël, B. *et al.* Evaluation of the updated regional climate model RACMO2.3: summer snowfall  
755 impact on the Greenland Ice Sheet. *The Cryosphere* **9**, 1831–1844 (2015).
- 756 83. Noël, B. *et al.* A daily, 1 km resolution data set of downscaled Greenland ice sheet surface mass  
757 balance (1958–2015). *The Cryosphere* **10**, 2361–2377 (2016).
- 758 84. Gelaro, R. *et al.* The Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2  
759 (MERRA-2). *J. Climate* **30**, 5419–5454 (2017).
- 760 85. Wilton, D. J. *et al.* High resolution (1 km) positive degree-day modelling of Greenland ice sheet  
761 surface mass balance, 1870–2012 using reanalysis data. *Journal of Glaciology* **63**, 176–193 (2017).
- 762 86. Mernild, S. H., Liston, G. E., Hiemstra, C. A. & Christensen, J. H. Greenland Ice Sheet Surface Mass-  
763 Balance Modeling in a 131-Yr Perspective, 1950–2080. *J. Hydrometeor.* **11**, 3–25 (2010).
- 764 87. Bonin, J. & Chambers, D. Uncertainty estimates of a GRACE inversion modelling technique over  
765 Greenland using a simulation. *Geophys J Int* **194**, 212–229 (2013).
- 766 88. Blazquez, A. *et al.* Exploring the uncertainty in GRACE estimates of the mass redistributions at the  
767 Earth surface: implications for the global water and sea level budgets. *Geophys J Int* **215**, 415–430  
768 (2018).
- 769 89. Forsberg, R., Sørensen, L. & Simonsen, S. Greenland and Antarctica Ice Sheet Mass Changes and  
770 Effects on Global Sea Level. *Surv Geophys* **38**, 89–104 (2017).
- 771 90. Groh, A. & Horwath, M. The method of tailored sensitivity kernels for GRACE mass change  
772 estimates. in (2016).
- 773 91. Harig, C. & Simons, F. J. Mapping Greenland's mass loss in space and time. *PNAS* **109**, 19934–  
774 19937 (2012).
- 775 92. Luthcke, S. B. *et al.* Antarctica, Greenland and Gulf of Alaska land-ice evolution from an iterated  
776 GRACE global mascon solution. *Journal of Glaciology* **59**, 613–631 (2013).
- 777 93. Andrews, S. B., Moore, P. & King, M. A. Mass change from GRACE: a simulated comparison of  
778 Level-1B analysis techniques. *Geophys J Int* **200**, 503–518 (2015).

- 779 94. Save, H., Bettadpur, S. & Tapley, B. D. High-resolution CSR GRACE RL05 mascons. *Journal of*  
780 *Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* **121**, 7547–7569 (2016).
- 781 95. Seo, K.-W. *et al.* Surface mass balance contributions to acceleration of Antarctic ice mass loss  
782 during 2003–2013. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* **120**, 3617–3627 (2015).
- 783 96. Velicogna, I., Sutterley, T. C. & Broeke, M. R. van den. Regional acceleration in ice mass loss from  
784 Greenland and Antarctica using GRACE time-variable gravity data. *Geophysical Research Letters*  
785 **41**, 8130–8137 (2014).
- 786 97. Vishwakarma, B. D., Horwath, M., Devaraju, B., Groh, A. & Sneeuw, N. A Data-Driven Approach  
787 for Repairing the Hydrological Catchment Signal Damage Due to Filtering of GRACE Products.  
788 *Water Resources Research* **53**, 9824–9844 (2017).
- 789 98. Wiese, D. N., Landerer, F. W. & Watkins, M. M. Quantifying and reducing leakage errors in the JPL  
790 RL05M GRACE mascon solution. *Water Resources Research* **52**, 7490–7502 (2016).
- 791 99. Ivins, E. R. & James, T. S. Antarctic glacial isostatic adjustment: a new assessment. *Antarctic Science*  
792 **17**, 541–553 (2005).
- 793 100. Ivins, E. R. *et al.* Antarctic contribution to sea level rise observed by GRACE with improved GIA  
794 correction. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* **118**, 3126–3141 (2013).
- 795 101. Klemann, V. & Martinec, Z. Contribution of glacial-isostatic adjustment to the geocenter motion.  
796 *Tectonophysics* **511**, 99–108 (2011).
- 797 102. Rodell, M. *et al.* The Global Land Data Assimilation System. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.* **85**, 381–394  
798 (2004).
- 799 103. Döll, P., Kaspar, F. & Lehner, B. A global hydrological model for deriving water availability  
800 indicators: model tuning and validation. *Journal of Hydrology* **270**, 105–134 (2003).
- 801 104. Cheng, M., Tapley, B. D. & Ries, J. C. Deceleration in the Earth's oblateness. *Journal of Geophysical*  
802 *Research: Solid Earth* **118**, 740–747 (2013).
- 803 105. Balmaseda, M. A., Mogensen, K. & Weaver, A. T. Evaluation of the ECMWF ocean reanalysis  
804 system ORAS4. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* **139**, 1132–1161 (2013).

- 805 106. Pujol, M.-I. *et al.* DUACS DT2014: the new multi-mission altimeter data set reprocessed over 20  
806 years. *Ocean Science* **12**, 1067–1090 (2016).
- 807 107. Menemenlis, D. *et al.* ECCO2: High Resolution Global Ocean and Sea Ice Data Synthesis. *AGU Fall*  
808 *Meeting Abstracts* **2008**, OS31C-1292 (2008).
- 809 108. Dobslaw, H. *et al.* Simulating high-frequency atmosphere-ocean mass variability for dealiasing of  
810 satellite gravity observations: AOD1B RL05. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* **118**, 3704–  
811 3711 (2013).
- 812 109. Carrère, L. & Lyard, F. Modeling the barotropic response of the global ocean to atmospheric wind  
813 and pressure forcing - comparisons with observations. *Geophysical Research Letters* **30**, (2003).
- 814 110. Csatho, B. M. *et al.* Laser altimetry reveals complex pattern of Greenland Ice Sheet dynamics.  
815 *PNAS* **111**, 18478–18483 (2014).
- 816 111. Nilsson, J., Gardner, A., Sandberg Sørensen, L. & Forsberg, R. Improved retrieval of land ice  
817 topography from CryoSat-2 data and its impact for volume-change estimation of the Greenland  
818 Ice Sheet. *The Cryosphere* **10**, 2953–2969 (2016).
- 819 112. Gourmelen, N. *et al.* CryoSat-2 swath interferometric altimetry for mapping ice elevation and  
820 elevation change. *Advances in Space Research* **62**, 1226–1242 (2018).
- 821 113. Gunter, B. C. *et al.* Empirical estimation of present-day Antarctic glacial isostatic adjustment and  
822 ice mass change. *The Cryosphere* **8**, 743–760 (2014).
- 823 114. Helm, V., Humbert, A. & Miller, H. Elevation and elevation change of Greenland and Antarctica  
824 derived from CryoSat-2. *The Cryosphere* **8**, 1539–1559 (2014).
- 825 115. Kjeldsen, K. K. *et al.* Improved ice loss estimate of the northwestern Greenland ice sheet. *Journal*  
826 *of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* **118**, 698–708 (2013).
- 827 116. Felikson, D. *et al.* Comparison of Elevation Change Detection Methods From ICESat Altimetry Over  
828 the Greenland Ice Sheet. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing* **55**, 5494–5505  
829 (2017).

- 830 117. Andersen, M. L. *et al.* Basin-scale partitioning of Greenland ice sheet mass balance components  
831 (2007–2011). *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **409**, 89–95 (2015).
- 832 118. Colgan, W. *et al.* Greenland ice sheet mass balance assessed by PROMICE (1995–2015).  
833 *Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland Bulletin* **43**, (2019).
- 834 119. van Wessem, J. M. *et al.* Updated cloud physics in a regional atmospheric climate model improves  
835 the modelled surface energy balance of Antarctica. *The Cryosphere* **8**, 125–135 (2014).
- 836 120. Fettweis, X. *et al.* Estimating the Greenland ice sheet surface mass balance contribution to future  
837 sea level rise using the regional atmospheric climate model MAR. *The Cryosphere* **7**, 469–489  
838 (2013).

839  
840

## 841 Data availability

842 The aggregated Greenland Ice Sheet mass-balance data and estimated errors generated in this study  
843 are freely available at <http://imbie.org> and at the NERC Polar Data Centre. The code used to compute  
844 and aggregate rates of ice sheet mass change and their estimated errors are freely available at  
845 <https://github.com/IMBIE>.

## 846 Extended Data Legends

847 **Extended Data Figure 1 | Ice sheet mass balance data sets.** Participant datasets used in this  
848 study and their main contributors (a, top) and the number and class of data available in each  
849 calendar year (b, bottom). The interval 2003 to 2010 includes almost all datasets and is  
850 selected as the overlap period. Further details of the satellite observations used in this study  
851 are provided in Supplementary Table 1.  
852

853 **Extended Data Figure 2 | Greenland Ice Sheet drainage basins.** Basin used in this study,  
854 according to the definitions of ref <sup>20</sup> (a, left) and ref <sup>37</sup> (b, right).

855

856 **Extended Data Figure 3 | Modelled glacial isostatic adjustment in Greenland.** Bedrock uplift  
857 rates in Greenland averaged over the glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA) model solutions used  
858 in this study (a, left), as well as their standard deviation (b, right). Further details of the GIA  
859 models used in this study are provided in Extended Data Table 1. High rates of uplift and  
860 subsidence associated with the former Laurentide Ice Sheet are apparent to the southwest of  
861 Greenland.

862

863 **Extended Data Figure 4 | Surface mass balance of the Greenland Ice Sheet.** Time series of  
864 surface mass balance (SMB) in (a) NW, (b) SW, (c) NE, (d) CW, (e) SE and (f) NO Greenland Ice  
865 Sheet drainage basins (Extended Data Figure 2) <sup>73,74</sup>. Solid lines are annual averages of the  
866 monthly data (dashed lines). Further details of the SMB models used in this study are provided  
867 in Extended Data Table 2.

868

869 **Extended Data Figure 5 | Greenland Ice Sheet mass balance intra-comparison.** Individual  
870 rates of Greenland ice-sheet mass balance used in this study as determined from satellite  
871 altimetry (a, top), gravimetry (b, centre) and the input–output method (c, bottom). The light-  
872 grey shading shows the estimated  $1\sigma$  uncertainty relative to the ensemble average. The  
873 standard error of the mean solutions, per epoch, is shown in mid-grey.

874

875 **Extended Data Figure 6 | Greenland Ice Sheet mass balance inter-comparison.** Rate of  
876 Greenland Ice Sheet mass balance as derived from the three techniques of satellite radar and  
877 laser altimetry (red), input-output method (blue), and gravimetry (green), and their  
878 arithmetic mean (gray). The estimated uncertainty is also shown (light shading) and is  
879 computed as the root mean square of the component time-series errors.

880

881 **Extended Data Figure 7 | Cumulative Greenland Ice Sheet surface mass balance.** The  
882 cumulative surface mass change (lightest blue) determined from an average of the  
883 RACMO2.3p2 <sup>46</sup> (light blue), MARv3.6 <sup>21</sup> (mid-blue) and HIRHAM <sup>9</sup> (dark blue) regional climate  
884 models relative to their 1980-1990 means (see Methods). The estimated uncertainty of the  
885 average change is also shown (shaded area) is computed as the average of the uncertainties  
886 from each of the three models. RACMO2.3p2 uncertainties are based upon a comparison to  
887 in-situ observations <sup>33</sup>. MARv3.6 uncertainties are evaluated from the variability due to  
888 forcing from climate reanalyses <sup>21</sup>. HIRHAM uncertainties are estimated based on  
889 comparisons to in-situ accumulation and ablation data <sup>75</sup>. Cumulative uncertainties are  
890 computed as the root sum square of annual errors, on the assumption that these errors are  
891 not correlated over time <sup>17</sup>.

892

893 **Extended Data Table 1. Glacial Isostatic Adjustment models.** Details of Glacial Isostatic  
894 Adjustment (GIA) models used in this study.

895 †Regional changes in mass associated with the GIA signal determined by the contributor.

896 ‡Regional changes in mass associated with the GIA signal calculated as an indicative rate using  
897 spherical-harmonic degrees 3 to 90 and a common treatment of degree 2 <sup>76</sup>.

898 <sup>a</sup> Main reference publication(s).

899 <sup>b</sup> Model from main publication unless otherwise stated. Comma-separated values refer to  
900 properties of a radially varying (1D, one-dimensional) Earth model: the first value is  
901 lithosphere thickness (km), other values reflect mantle viscosity ( $\times 10^{21}$  Pa s) for specific layers;  
902 see relevant publication.

903 <sup>c</sup> GIA model details: SH=spherical harmonic (maximum degree indicated), FE=finite element,  
904 C=compressible, IC=incompressible, RF=rotational feedback, SG=self-gravitation, OL=ocean  
905 loading, 'x' = feature not included.

906 <sup>d</sup> RSL = relative sea-level data; GPS rates corrected for elastic response to contemporary ice  
907 mass change.

908 <sup>e</sup> Earth model taken from ref <sup>54</sup>

909 <sup>f</sup> Ice model taken from ref <sup>54</sup>

910 <sup>g</sup> Different to ICE-6G\_C in Antarctica, owing to the use of BEDMAP2 <sup>77</sup> topography.

911

912 **Extended Data Table 2. Surface mass balance models.** Details of the surface mass balance  
913 (SMB) models used in this study. <sup>a</sup> Main reference publication; additional references are  
914 provided in Supplementary Table 1. <sup>b</sup> SMB model class; regional climate model (RCM), global  
915 numerical analysis (GA), process model (PM). Native resolution (n) and downscaled (d)  
916 models are also identified. <sup>c</sup> Averages over the period 1980 to 2012 for the  
917 Greenland Ice Sheet excluding peripheral ice caps and using the drainage basins from ref <sup>37</sup>.

918

919 **Extended Data Table 3: Rate of Greenland Ice Sheet mass change, 2005-2015.** Estimates of  
920 ice-sheet mass balance from satellite altimetry, gravimetry the input–output method, and  
921 from all three groups during the period 2005 to 2015. Also shown are the average standard  
922 deviations (s.d.) and ranges of individual estimates within each group during the same period.  
923 \*No altimetry data in 2010.

