

Risk of bias domains

Study	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	Overall
Lammes et al. (2012) (63)	X	X	+	+	-	X
Ng et al. (2015) (14)	+	-	+	+	+	-
Park et al. (2018) (75)	+	+	+	+	+	+
Payette et al. (2002) (64)	-	X	+	+	-	X
Rydwik et al. (2008) (59)	X	X	+	+	-	X
Rydwik et al. (2010) (60)	X	X	+	+	-	X
Starr et al. (2016) (65)	-	X	X	+	+	X
Tieland and Dirks et al. (2012) (74)	+	+	+	+	+	+
Tieland and Van de Rest et al. (2012) (73)	+	+	+	+	+	+
Van de Rest et al. (2014) (71)	+	+	+	+	+	+
Van der Zwaluw et al. (2014) (72)	+	+	+	+	+	+
Wouters-Wesseling et al. (2005) (61)	+	+	+	+	-	-
Zak et al. (2009) (62)	-	+	+	+	+	-
Kim and Lee et al. (2013) (66)	+	X	+	+	+	X
Kwon et al. (2015) (70)	+	X	+	+	-	X
Smoliner et al. (2008) (57)	X	X	+	+	-	X
Bonnefoy et al. (2003) (67)	-	X	+	+	-	X
Chatterje et al. (2018) (69)	+	X	+	X	+	X
Otten et al. (2016) (68)	-	-	X	X	-	X

Domains:

- D1: Bias due to randomisation.
- D2: Bias due to deviations from intended intervention.
- D3: Bias due to missing data.
- D4: Bias due to outcome measurement.
- D5: Bias due to selection of reported result.

Judgement

- X High
- Some concerns
- +