## Supplementary Appendix for:

**No change in neurodevelopment at 11-years after extremely preterm birth** Neil Marlow, Yanyan Ni, Rebecca Lancaster, Emmi Suonpera, Marialivia Bernardi, Amanda Fahy, Jennifer Larsen, Jayne Trickett, John R Hurst, Joan Morris, Dieter Wolke, Samantha Johnson.

## METHODS

**Recruitment of controls** Following parental consent, we approached the headteacher of the child's school for permission to visit the school for one full day and perform the assessments. Headteachers were asked to identify three children of the same age (±3 months) and sex from the same school class as the EP child to act as potential controls. From these, one was selected at random to participate and parental consent was sought. Control children were not recruited if they were born preterm. If consent was not obtained, a second, randomly selected child of the two remaining on the list was invited to participate or failing that the third. Where schools identified multiple controls with parental consent, we included them in our assessment. Where it proved impossible to gain access to the school, or at parent request, we performed assessments at home using identical techniques. In these cases, we asked the parent of the EP child to identify a classmate of the same sex whose family we could approach to invite them to participate in the study. For children attending a special educational needs (SEN) school or unit, controls were not recruited, as previously. Children were principally examined at school (81%), the remainder being home visits.

**Consent** Each child received a study information leaflet and provided written assent for the assessment. Each was evaluated by a trained clinical assessor and a psychologist.

**General Cognitive assessment** In EPICure2 we assessed general cognitive ability or IQ using the Mental Processing Index (MPI) of the Kaufman Assessment Battery for Children 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition (KABC-II; Pearson, London 2004), and attainment in reading and mathematics using the composite scores on the Wechsler Individual Achievement Test 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition (WIAT-II<sup>UK</sup>; Pearson, London 2005). In EPICure, we had used the first edition of the Kaufman Assessment Battery for Children to assess IQ, but this was superseded in 2004 by the KABC-II. Attainment in reading and mathematics was assessed using the same test in both cohorts. Children who were unable to complete the KABC or WIAT-II due to severe cognitive impairment were assigned a score one point below the basal test score (KABC score=39 (n=15); KABC-II score=42 (n=4)). Substitutions were not made for children who failed to complete the test for other reasons (e.g., refusal, lack of attention or cooperation, sensory impairment).

## Contents

- Page 2: Table S1: Representative status of EPICure and EPICure2 cohorts at 11 years (a) versus whole sample (b) versus dropouts
- Page 3: Table S2: Individual neurosensory components at 11 years in the two EPICure cohorts
- Page 5: Table S3: Cognitive Function and Academic Attainment in the two EPICure cohorts rates of impaired scores using control group data to classify outcome for IQ, Reading and Mathematics
- Page 6: Table S4: IQ and educational attainment scores by gestational age in the two EPICure cohorts
- Page 7: Table S5: Variables used for multiple imputations, type of variable, model used to predict missing data, and percentage of values missing for each variable included in the imputation model.

TableS1: Representative status of EPICure and EPICure2 cohorts at 11 years (a) versus whole

sample (b) versus dropouts. EPICure data refer to births in England only.

(a)	EPICu	re 1995		EPICure2 2006	
	Whole sample survivors at 2.5y	Evaluated sample at 11 years	Whole samp survivors evaluated at	le Evaluated sampl at 11 years 22-25	e Evaluated sample w at 11 years 22-26w
	n=260	n=176	n=584	n=112	N=200
Gestational age					
26w					44% (88/200)
25w	59% (153/260)	56% (99/176)	58% (341/58	62% (69/112)	36% (69/200)
24w	32% (83/260)	33% (58/176)	30% (177/58	25% (28/112)	14% (28/200)
<24w	9% (24/260)	11% (19/176)	11% (66/584	4) 13% (15/112)	8% (15/200)
Birthweight (g)	748	746	735	740	810
mean (r)	(480 - 1040)	(480 - 1040)	(449 - 1125	) (479 - 1059)	(479 -1195)
Birthweight z	-0.2 (-2.4 to 2.1)	-0.1 (-2.4 to 2.1)	-0.2 (-2.8 to 2	2.7)	
scores mean (r)	[n=259]*	[n=175]*	[n=581]*	-0.2 (-2.2 to 2.0)	-0.2 (-2.5 to 2.0)
Male sex	49% (127/260)	46% (80/176)	48% (280/58	50% (56/112)	50% (100/200)
Multiple birth	26% (67/260)	29% (51/176)	22% (129/58	24% (27/112)	25% (49/200)
Maternal age	28.5	28.8	29.4	30.8	30.7
mean (r)	(14.0 to 43.0)	(14.0 to 43.0)	(15.0 to 54.0	0) (16.0 to 54.0)	(13.0 to 54.0)
	[n=259]	[n=175]			
Index of multiple depriv	vation (IMD)				
at birth			4.2 (1.0 to 10	0.0) 4.3 (1.0 to 10.0)	4.5 (1.0 to 10.0)
mean (r)			[n=581]	[n=111]	[n=198]
at 11y		5.1(1.0 to 10.0)		4.9(1.0 to 10.0)	5.2 (1.0 to 10.0)
mean (r)		[n=174]		[n=111]	[n=195]
(b)		EPICure 1995		EPICure2	2006
	2.5y sample r evaluated at 1	not 2.5y sample 11y at 11	e evaluated years	3y sample not evaluated at 11 years	<b>3y sample evaluated at</b> 11 years
	n=84	n=1	.76	n=472	n=112
Gestational age					
25	w 64% (54/84	) 56% (9	9/176)	58% (272/472)	62% (69/112)
24	w 30% (25/84	) 33% (5	8/176)	32% (149/472)	25% (28/112)
<24	w 6% (5/84)	11% (1	9/176)	11% (51/472)	13% (15/112)
Birthweight (g) mean (r)	752 (530 to 99	97) 746 (480	to 1040)	734 (449 to 1125)	739 (479 to 1059)
Birthweight	-0.2(-2.1 to 1	.4) -0.1(-2.4	to 2.1)	-0.3(-2.8 to 2.7)	-0.2(-2.2 to 2.0)
z scores mean (r)	,	, [n=1	.75]	[n=469]	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Male sex	56% (47/84	) 46% (8	0/176)	48% (224/472)	50% (56/112)
Multiple birth	19% (16/84	) 29% (5	1/176)	22% (102/472)	24% (27/112)
Maternal age	27.8 (16.0 to 4	3.0) 28.8 (14.0	) to 43.0)	29.0 (15.0 to 51.0)	30.8 (16.0 to 54.0)
Index of multiple depriv	vation (IMD)				
				4.2(1 to 10)	4.3(1 to 10)
at birth mean (r)				[n=470]	[n=111]
		5.1(1 1	to 10)		4.9(1 to 10)
at 11y mean (r)		[n=1	.74]		[n=111]

\* one child in each group born at 22 weeks of gestation for which no z-score available

Table S2: Individual neurosensory	v com	ponents at 2	11	years in	the	two	EPICure	cohort
-----------------------------------	-------	--------------	----	----------	-----	-----	---------	--------

	22.26 wooks			
	EPICure 1995 N=176	EPICure2 2006 N=112		EPICure2 2006 N=200
Motor function			Р*	
No CP or GMFCS /MACS 1 GMFCS /MACS = 2 GMFCS /MACS 3-5 By gestational week	81% (143/176) 8% (14/176) 11% (19/176)	80% (90/112) 14% (16/112) 5% (6/112)	0.963	86% (171/200) 10% (20/200) 5% (9/200)
26w				
No CP or GMFCS /MACS 1 GMFCS /MACS = 2 GMFCS /MACS 3-5	-	- -		92% (81/88) 5% (4/88) 3% (3/88)
25w				
No CP or GMFCS /MACS 1 GMFCS /MACS = 2 GMFCS /MACS 3-5	83% (82/99) 10% (10/99) 7% (7/99)	84% (58/69) 10% (7/69) 6% (4/69)	0.817	84% (58/69) 10% (7/69) 6% (4/69)
24w				
No CP or GMFCS /MACS 1 GMFCS /MACS = 2 GMFCS /MACS 3-5	79% (46/58) 5% (3/58) 16% (9/58)	79% (22/28) 21% (6/28) - (0/28)	0.785	79% (22/28) 21% (6/28) - (0/28)
23w & under				
No CP or GMFCS /MACS 1 GMFCS /MACS = 2 GMECS /MACS 3-5	79% (15/19) 5% (1/19) 16% (3/19)	67% (10/15) 20% (3/15) 13% (2/15)	0.530	67% (10/15) 20% (3/15) 13% (2/15)
Vision disability – All	10/0 (0/ 10/	10/0 (2/10/		10/0 (2/ 10)
No/mild	96% (161/176)	87% (97/112)	0.202	89% (178/200)
Moderate	7% (12/176) 2% (3/176)	13% (14/112)		11% (21/200) 1% (1/200)
By gestational week	278 (37 17 37	1/0 (1) 112)		178 (1/200)
No/mild	-	-		92% (81/88)
Moderate	-	-		8% (7/88)
2514	-	-		070 (0788)
No/mild Moderate	97% (96/99) 3% (3/99)	86% (59/69) 15% (10/69)	0.006	86% (59/69) 15% (10/69)
Severe	- (0/99)	- (0/69)		- (0/69)
24w	(-//	(-,,		(0) 00 )
No/mild	86% (50/58)	89% (25/28)	0.673	89% (25/28)
Moderate Severe	12% (7/58) 2% (1/58)	11% (3/28) - (0/28)		11% (3/28) - (0/28)
23w & under				
No/mild	79% (15/19)	87% (13/15)	0.565	87% (13/15)
Moderate Severe	11% (2/19) 11% (2/19)	7% (1/15) 7% (1/15)		7% (1/15) 7% (1/15)

\* Wilcoxon rank sum test

(cont)

		22-25 v	22-26 weeks		
		EPICure 1995 N=176	EPICure2 2006 N=112		EPICure2 2006 N=200
Hearing	g disability – All				
	No/mild	95% (166/175)	92% (103/112)	0.332	92% (183/200)
	Moderate	5% (8/175)	8% (9/112)		9% (17/200)
	Severe	1% (1/175)	- (0/112)		- (0/200)
By gest 26w	ational week				
	No/mild	-	-		91% (80/88)
	Moderate	-	-		9% (8/88)
	Severe	-	-		- (0/88)
25w					
	No/mild	96% (95/99)	93% (64/69)	0.365	93% (64/69)
	Moderate	4% (4/99)	7% (5/69)		7% (5/69)
	Severe	- (0/99)	- (0/69)		- (0/69)
24w					
	No/mild	93% (54/58)	86% (24/28)	0.288	86% (24/28)
	Moderate	5% (3/58)	14% (4/28)		14% (4/28)
	Severe	2% (1/58)	- (0/28)		- (0/28)
23w &	under				
	No/mild				
		94% (17/18)	100% (15/15)	0.361	100% (15/15)
	Moderate	6% (1/18)	- (0/15)		- (0/15)
	Severe	- (0/18)	- (0/15)		- (0/15)

\* Wilcoxon rank sum test

Table S3: Cognitive Function and Academic attainment in the two EPICure cohorts – rates of impaired scores using control group data to classify outcome in IQ, Reading and Mathematics

	22-25 weeks				
	EPICure 1995	EPICure2 2006		EPICure2 2006	
	N=176	N=112		N=200	
Cognitive Impairment <sup>o</sup>			P*		
None ( <u>&gt;</u> -1SD)	30% (53/176)	37% (41/112)	0.796	45% (89/200)	
Mild (<-1 to -2 SD)	29% (51/176)	26% (29/112)		27% (54/200)	
Moderate (<-2 to -3SD)	26% (45/176)	13% (14/112)		11% (22/200)	
Severe (<-3SD)	15% (27/176)	25% (28/112)		18% (35/200)	
By gestational week					
26w					
No/mild	-	-		83% (73/88)	
Moderate	-	-		9% (8/88)	
Severe	-	-		8% (7/88)	
25w					
No/mild	65% (64/99)	70% (48/69)	0.946	70% (48/69)	
Moderate	26% (26/99)	10% (7/69)		10% (7/69)	
Severe	9% (9/99)	20% (14/69)		20% (14/69)	
24w					
No/mild	50% (29/58)	68% (19/28)	0.274	68% (19/28)	
Moderate	26% (15/58)	7% (2/28)		7% (2/28)	
Severe	24% (14/58)	25% (7/28)		25% (7/28)	
23w & under					
No/mild	58% (11/19)	20% (3/15)	0.032	20% (3/15)	
Moderate	21% (4/19)	33% (5/15)		33% (5/15)	
Severe					
	21% (4/19)	47% (7/15)		47% (7/15)	
Reading Impairment <sup>®</sup>					
None (>-1SD)	48% (82/171)	56% (60/108)	0.128	61% (119/195)	
Mild (<-1 to -2 SD)	19% (33/171)	23% (25/108)		23% (44/195)	
Moderate (<-2 to -3SD)	12% (21/171)	5% (5/108)		4% (8/195)	
Severe (<-3SD)	21% (35/171)	17% (18/108)		12% (24/195)	
Mathematics Impairment <sup>®</sup>					
None (>-1SD)	28% (49/173)	36% (39/110)	0.133	41% (81/197)	
Mild (<-1 to -2 SD)	27% (46/173)	29% (32/110)		29% (57/197)	
Moderate (<-2 to -3SD)	19% (33/173)	14% (15/110)		14% (27/197)	
Severe (<-3SD)	26% (45/173)	22% (24/110)		16% (32/197)	

\*Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test

𝕫 2006 impairment cut-offs:

 Cognition
 (control mean 103 sd 12): none >=91; mild 79-90; moderate 67-78; severe <=66</td>

 Reading (control mean 103 sd 11): none >=92; mild 81-91; moderate 70-80; severe <=69</th>

 Mathematics
 (control mean 109 sd 16): none >=93; mild 77-92; moderate 61-76; severe <=60</td>

1995 impairment cut-offs:

 Cognition
 (control mean 104 sd 11): none >=93; mild 82-92; moderate 71-81; severe <=70</td>

 Reading (control mean 98 sd 12): none >=86; mild 74-85; moderate 62-73; severe <=61</th>

 Mathematics
 (control mean 99 sd 15): none >=84; mild 69-83; moderate 54-68; severe <=53</td>

	EPICure 1995	EPICure2 2006	1005 va 200	)C	1005 va 1	1005 110 2006		
	(N=176)	(N=112)	1995 VS 200	0	1995 VS 2	006		
Cognitive Standa	ardized Scores							
MPC	mean (SD)	mean (SD)	Unadjusted $\Delta$	Ρ	Adjusted $\Delta$	Ρ		
<24w	82 (23) [n=18]	68 (18) [n=15]	14 (-1, 29)	0.07	15 (-3, 34)	0.1		
24w	78 (20) [n=58]	81 (18) [n=28]	-3 (-12, 6)	0.5	-4 (-12, 5)	0.4		
25w	86 (16) [n=98]	85 (19) [n=69]	1 (-4, 7)	0.7	0 (-5, 6)	0.9		
26w	n/a	90 (17) [n=88]	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Z scores								
<24w	-2.0 (2.1) [n=18]	-2.9 (1.5) [n=15]	0.9 (-0.4, 2.2)	0.2	1.1 (-0.6, 2.7)	0.2		
24w	-2.4 (1.8) [n=58]	-1.9 (1.5) [n=28]	-0.5 (-1.3, 0.3)	0.2	-0.6 (-1.3, 0.2)	0.1		
25w	-1.7 (1.4) [n=98]	-1.5 (1.6) [n=69]	-0.1 (-0.6, 0.3)	0.6	-0.2 (-0.7, 0.3)	0.4		
26w	n/a	-1.1 (1.5) [n=88]	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Reading Z scores	5							
<24w	-1.7 (1.9) [n=17]	-2.4 (2.5) [n=14]	0.7 (-0.9, 2.4)	0.4	0.9 (-1.2, 3.0)	0.4		
24w	-2.0 (1.8) [n=58]	-1.3 (2.0) [n=27]	-0.7 (-1.6, 0.1)	0.1	-0.7 (-1.6, 0.1)	0.09		
25w	-1.3 (1.6) [n=96]	-1.1 (1.9) [n=67]	-0.1 (-0.7, 0.4)	0.6	-0.1 (-0.7, 0.4)	0.6		
26w	n/a	-0.6 (1.6) [n=87]	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Mathematics Z s	cores							
<24w	-2.2 (1.5) [n=18]	-2.6 (1.6) [n=14]	0.4 (-0.7, 1.6)	0.5	0.6 (-0.9, 2.0)	0.4		
24w	-2.2 (1.5) [n=58]	-2.0 (1.6) [n=27]	-0.2 (-0.9, 0.5)	0.5	-0.2 (-0.9, 0.5)	0.5		
25w	-1.7 (1.3) [n=97]	-1.3 (1.7) [n=69]	-0.4 (-0.9, 0.0)	0.08	-0.5 (-1.0, -0.1)	0.03		
26w	n/a	-1.1 (1.5) [n=87]	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		

## Table S4: IQ and educational attainment scores by gestational age in the two EPICure cohorts

<sup>\*</sup>Multiple linear regression models were used to adjust for BW z score, male sex, multiple birth, maternal age and IMD

 $\Delta:$  Difference in means and 95%Cl

Table S5: Variables used for multiple imputations, type of variable, model used to predict missing data, and percentage of values missing for each variable included in the imputation model.

Cohort	Variable	Type of variable	Model used to predict missing data	Percentage of values missing
2006	Perinatal variables			
	Birth weight Gestational age Male sex Any postnatal steroids Maternal age Enteral feeding begun by day 7 Breast milk at discharge CRIB II score Worst cerebral ultrasound scan Treated retinopathy Severe bronchopulmonary dysplasia In oxygen at 40 weeks Laparoscopy for necrotizing enterocolitis	Continuous Continuous Binary Binary Continuous Binary Continuous Binary Binary Binary Binary Binary Binary	No missing data No missing data No missing data No missing data Linear regression Binary logistic regression No missing data Binary logistic regression No missing data Binary logistic regression Binary logistic regression	0% 0% 0% 0.1% (1/1031) 0.1% (1/1031) 0.3% (3/1031) 0% 0.5% (5/1031) 0% 0.1% (1/1031) 10.6% (109/1031) 0%
	IMD at birth	Continuous	Linear regression	0.9% (9/1031)
	Variables at 3 years			
	Head circumference Feeding difficulties Cognitive score Cerebral palsy Cognitive disability Neurodevelopmental disability	Continuous Binary Continuous Binary Three- category Four-category	Linear regression Binary logistic regression Linear regression Binary logistic regression Multinomial logistic regression Multinomial logistic	45.0% (464/1031) 44.1% (455/1031) 44.1% (455/1031) 44.1% (455/1031) 44.1% (455/1031) 44.1% (455/1031)
	Variables at 11 years		regression	
	Head circumference Cognitive score Cognitive disability Neurodevelopmental disability	Continuous Continuous Three- category Three- category	Linear regression Linear regression Multinomial logistic regression Multinomial logistic regression	84.8% (874/1031) 80.6% (831/1031) 80.6% (831/1031) 80.6% (831/1031)
1995	Perinatal variables			
	Birth weight Gestational age Male sex Any breast milk Bronchopulmonary dysplasia Primiparous In oxygen at 40 weeks Antepartum Hemorrhage Enteral feeding begun by day 7 Necrotizing enterocolitis Any antenatal steroids Any postnatal steroids	Continuous Continuous Binary Binary Binary Binary Binary Binary Binary Binary Binary Binary	No missing data No missing data No missing data No missing data No missing data Binary logistic regression No missing data Binary logistic regression Binary logistic regression No missing data No missing data Binary logistic regression	0% 0% 0% 0% 0% (1/309) 0% 1.6% (5/309) 2.6% (8/309) 0% 0.6%(2/309) 0.3% (1/309)

Worst cerebral ultrasound scan	Binary	Binary logistic regression	0.3% (1/309)
Variables at 2.5 years			
Socio-economic status Head circumference Feeding difficulties Cognitive score Neurodevelopmental disability	Binary Continuous Binary Continuous Three- category	Binary logistic regression Linear regression Binary logistic regression Linear regression Multinomial logistic regression	12.3% (38/309) 10.0% (31/309) 8.4% (26/309) 19.4% (60/309) 8.4% (26/309)
Variables at 6 years			
Socio-economic status Head circumference Severe neurodevelopmental disability	Binary Continuous Binary	Binary logistic regression Linear regression Binary logistic regression	29.4% (91/309) 22.7% (70/309) 22.0% (68/309)
Variables at 11 years			
Head circumference Cognitive impairment	Continuous Three- category	Linear regression Multinomial logistic regression	29.8% (92/309) 29.8% (92/309)
Neurodevelopmental disability	Three- category	Multinomial logistic regression	29.1% (90/309)

All variables were included in the predictors of all imputation models, except the variables concerned by imputation. N=1031 survivors at 3 years for EPICure 2006; N=309 survivors at 2.5 years for EPICure 1995.