1 Life course longitudinal growth and risk of knee osteoarthritis at age 53 years: evidence

2 from the 1946 British birth cohort study

- Katherine A. Staines^{1,2}., Rebecca Hardy³., Hasmik J. Samvelyan¹., Kate A. Ward⁴., Rachel
 Cooper⁵
- 5 1 School of Pharmacy and Biomolecular Sciences, University of Brighton, Brighton, UK
- 6 2 School of Applied Sciences, Edinburgh Napier University, Edinburgh UK
- 7 3 Cohort and Longitudinal Studies Enhancement Resources (CLOSER), UCL Institute of
- 8 Education, London, UK
- 9 4 MRC Lifecourse Epidemiology, Human Development and Health, University of
- 10 Southampton, Southampton, UK
- 11 5 Department of Sport and Exercise Sciences, Musculoskeletal Science and Sports Medicine
- 12 Research Centre, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK.
- 13 Katherine Staines: <u>k.staines@brighton.ac.uk</u>
- 14 Rebecca Hardy: <u>rebecca.hardy@ucl.ac.uk</u>
- 15 Hasmik Samvelyan: <u>h.samvelyan@brighton.ac.uk</u>
- 16 Kate Ward: <u>kw@mrc.soton.ac.uk</u>
- 17 Rachel Cooper: <u>R.Cooper@mmu.ac.uk</u>
- 18
- Corresponding author: Katherine A. Staines; School of Pharmacy and Biomolecular
 Sciences, University of Brighton, Brighton, BN2 4GJ; <u>k.staines@brighton.ac.uk</u>; 01273
 642094
- 22 **Running headline:** Life course growth and knee osteoarthritis
- 23

24 Abstract

25 *Objective*

To examine the relationship between height gain across childhood and adolescence with knee osteoarthritis in the MRC National Survey of Health and Development (NSHD).

28 Materials and methods

Data are from 3035 male and female participants of the NSHD. Height was measured at ages 2, 4, 6, 7, 11 and 15 years, and self-reported at ages 20 years. Associations between (i) height at each age (ii) height gain during specific life periods (iii) Super-Imposition by Translation And Rotation (SITAR) growth curve variables of height size, tempo and velocity, and knee osteoarthritis at 53 years were tested.

34 Results

In sex-adjusted models, estimated associations between taller height and decreased odds of knee osteoarthritis at age 53 years were small at all ages - the largest associations were an OR of knee osteoarthritis of 0.9 per 5cm increase in height at age 4, (95% CI 0.7-1.1) and an OR of 0.9 per 5cm increase in height, (95% CI 0.8-1.0) at age 6. No associations were found between height gain during specific life periods or the SITAR growth curve variables and odds of knee osteoarthritis.

41 *Conclusions*

42 There was limited evidence to suggest that taller height in childhood is associated with 43 decreased odds of knee osteoarthritis at age 53 years in this cohort. This work enhances our 44 understanding of osteoarthritis predisposition and the contribution of life course height to this.

45 Key words: osteoarthritis, SITAR, growth, life course, birth cohort

47 Introduction

Joint health is reliant upon the preservation of the articular cartilage and, its degradation is one 48 49 of the main hallmarks of the degenerative joint disease osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis, characterised by articular cartilage loss, subchondral bone thickening and osteophyte 50 51 formation, is a major health care burden throughout the world. It is estimated that worldwide 52 at least 10% of men and 18% of women aged over 60 years have symptomatic osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis causes much pain and disability, and yet its underlying molecular mechanisms 53 54 are not fully understood. Indeed, even the precipitating pathology remains a matter of debate 55 and we are still unable to identify those at most risk of developing the disease.

56 Our previous work in a spontaneous murine model of ageing-related osteoarthritis, the STR/Ort 57 mouse, revealed accelerated long bone growth, increased growth plate chondrocyte 58 differentiation, and widespread abnormal expression of chondrocyte markers in osteoarthritis-59 prone mice.[1] Furthermore, we revealed enriched growth plate bridging, indicative of 60 advanced and thus premature growth plate closure, in these mice.[1] Together this suggested 61 that osteoarthritis development is associated with an accelerated growth phenotype and 62 advanced pubertal onset.

Consistent with this finding, canine hip dysplasia (a hereditary predisposition to degenerative 63 osteoarthritis) is more common in certain breeds, in particular larger breeds which tend to grow 64 more rapidly.[2] However, associations between lifetime linear growth, i.e. height gain during 65 specific life periods up to the attainment of adult height, and knee osteoarthritis development 66 67 in human populations have, to our knowledge, not yet been studied. Previous epidemiological analyses of the Hertfordshire Cohort Study and the Medical Research Council National Survey 68 69 of Health and Development (MRC NSHD) have found associations between low birth weight 70 and high body mass index across life and increased risk of developing osteoarthritis.[3,4] This therefore suggests that life course size may predispose to osteoarthritis later in life. 71

Herein, we use one of these studies, the MRC NSHD, to examine the relationship between childhood and adolescent height growth and knee osteoarthritis at 53 years. Our aims were to: (1) test associations between height at different ages in early life and knee osteoarthritis in adulthood; (2) assess how patterns of height growth during childhood and adolescence are associated with knee osteoarthritis.

77 Method

78 *Study sample*

79 The MRC NSHD is a birth cohort study, which includes a nationally representative sample of 80 2815 men and 2547 women born in England, Scotland, and Wales during 1 week in March 81 1946. The cohort has been followed prospectively across life with outcome data for these analyses drawn from a data collection in 1999, when participants were 53 years old.[5] At 53, 82 3035 participants (1472 men, 1563 women) participated, the majority (n=2989) were 83 interviewed and examined in their own homes by research nurses with others completing a 84 85 postal questionnaire (n=46). The responding sample at age 53 is in most respects representative of the national population of a similar age.[6] The data collection at age 53 years received 86 87 ethical approval from the North Thames Multi-centre Research Ethics Committee, and written 88 informed consent was given by all respondents.

89 *Outcome – knee osteoarthritis*

During the home visit at age 53 years, trained nurses conducted clinical examinations of study participants' knees.[3] Based on these examinations, the American College of Rheumatology criteria for the clinical diagnosis of idiopathic knee osteoarthritis were used to identify those with knee pain in either knee on most days for at least 1 month in the last year prior to the examination in 1999, and at least two of the following: stiffness, crepitus, bony tenderness and bony enlargement.[7]

96 Height variables

97 Height was measured by nurses using standardised protocols at ages 2, 4, 7, 11, and 15 years, 98 and self-reported at age 20. Individual patterns of height growth during puberty were estimated using the SuperImposition by Translation and Rotation (SITAR) model of growth curve 99 100 analysis, as previously described by Cole et al.[9,10] The SITAR model estimates the mean 101 growth curve and three individual-specific parameters: size (reflecting differences in mean 102 height), tempo (reflecting differences in the timing of the pubertal growth spurt) and velocity 103 (reflecting differences in the duration of the growth spurt), each expressed relative to the mean 104 curve.

105 Covariates

Factors that may potentially confound the main associations of interest were selected *a priori* based on previous findings in the literature.[3] These were birth weight, father's occupational class in childhood (categorised as non-manual vs manual) and sporting ability at 13 years (categorised as above average, average, or below average according to teacher reports of their sporting ability). [11] [12] Weight was measured by nurses using standardised protocols at ages 2, 4, 7, 11, and 15 years, and self-reported at age 20.

112 Statistical analysis

To address the two main aims, we used logistic regression models to test associations between: (1) height at each age (aim 1); (2) conditional changes in height during specific life periods (early childhood: 2–4 years; late childhood: 4-7 years; childhood to adolescence: 7–15 years; adolescence to young adulthood: 15–20 years) (aim 2) and; (3) each SITAR height variable (aim 2) and odds ratios (ORs) of knee osteoarthritis. In models to address aim 2, we generated conditional changes in height by regressing each height measure on the earlier height measure for each sex and calculating the residuals.[13] The residuals were standardized (to have mean 120 0 and SD of 1) to ensure their comparability and these were included as the main independent 121 variables. In initial models, we formally tested for interactions between sex and each main independent variable and where no evidence of interaction was found based on statistical 122 123 significance (P<0.05), models were fitted with men and women combined and adjusted for sex. We also tested for deviations from linearity by including quadratic terms, but there was no 124 evidence of this. In each set of models we first adjusted for sex (where there was no evidence 125 of interaction), before then also adjusting for early life factors (birth weight + sporting ability 126 at 13 years + father's occupational class in childhood). In our final model, we adjusted for 127 128 weight at each age for aim 1, conditional weight gain (aim 2) and the SITAR weight variables (aim 2) to assess the contribution of weight during growth. To maximise statistical power, each 129 set of models were run on the sample with valid data for the outcome, the specified independent 130 131 variable and the covariates for that analysis. Data were analysed using Stata statistical software 132 (version SE 14.2).

133 Sensitivity analyses

134 To assess the potential impact of having to exclude those participants lost to follow-up before 135 age 53 years and with missing data, comparisons were made between those included and those excluded from the main analyses. In addition, the sex-adjusted analyses were rerun in the 136 137 maximum available samples including all available participants rather than being restricted to the sample with valid data on all measures. To assess the influence of potential secondary 138 osteoarthritis on our findings the main analyses were repeated after excluding those participants 139 with knee osteoarthritis who had reported ever seeing a doctor about an injury to the knee in 140 141 which osteoarthritis was diagnosed. Finally, sex stratified analyses were run.

142 **Results**

143 <u>Cohort characteristics</u>

A total of 1437 men and 1478 women had complete data on the SITAR parameters of height and knee osteoarthritis. Descriptive statistics are described in Table 1. In this sample, the percentage of individuals with knee osteoarthritis at 53 years of age was higher in women (13.1%) than in men (7.3%).

148 Life course height and knee osteoarthritis

In sex-adjusted models, estimated associations between taller height and decreased odds of knee osteoarthritis at age 53 years were small at all ages. For example, the largest associations were an OR of knee osteoarthritis of 0.9 per 5cm increase in height at age 4, (95% CI 0.7 to 1.1 (Model 1; Table 2) and an OR of 0.9 per 5cm increase in height, (95% CI 0.8 to 1.0) at age 6 (Table 2). With adjustment for early life confounding factors (Model 2) and weight (Model 3), these estimates decreased further (Table 2).

155 Height growth and knee osteoarthritis

No associations were found between height gains during any of the four periods assessed and odds of knee osteoarthritis at 53 years (Table 3). There was also no evidence of associations between height size, tempo or velocity (SITAR variables) and knee osteoarthritis at 53 years in models adjusted for sex and early life confounding factors (Models 1 & 2; Table 4). Increased SITAR height size and height tempo were marginally associated with lower odds of knee osteoarthritis at 53 years after additional adjustment SITAR weight size (Table 4).

162 <u>Sensitivity analyses</u>

Comparison of the characteristics of those individuals with complete data, vs those excluded are described in Tables S1.1 & S1.2. We found that higher proportions of those included were female (50.7% vs 49.3%; p<0.001; Tables S1.1 & S1.2). No significant differences were observed in height between ages 2 - 15 years but at age 20, those included reported shorter heights (169.5 cm vs 171.0 cm) and lower weights (64.0 kg vs 65.5 kg) than those excluded 168 (Table S1.1). When sex adjusted models were rerun on the maximum available samples including all available participants (Tables S2.1 - S2.3), there were no substantive differences 169 170 in findings. When we excluded those participants with potential secondary knee osteoarthritis 171 from our analyses, there were no substantive differences in associations between height (Table S3.1), conditional height gain (Table S3.2), or SITAR variables (Table S3.3) and primary knee 172 osteoarthritis at 53 years, compared with the main findings presented. Sex-stratified analyses 173 174 confirmed that there were consistent patterns of association in men and women (Tables S4.1 -175 4.3).

176 Discussion

In this nationally representative British birth cohort study, associations between greater height at ages 4 and 6 years and marginally lower odds knee osteoarthritis at age 53 were observed in sex-adjusted models, but these were attenuated after adjustment for early life factors. No associations were observed between height changes during early childhood, late childhood, childhood to adolescence or adolescence to young adulthood or SITAR parameters and knee osteoarthritis.

183 A major strength of our study is the availability of multiple prospectively ascertained 184 measurements of height throughout childhood and adolescence in the NSHD, together with the 185 already derived SITAR variables and measures of knee osteoarthritis in a relatively large sample of people in midlife.[9] This provided a unique opportunity to investigate the 186 187 associations between life course longitudinal growth and knee osteoarthritis at 53 years of age. Here we used two approaches to model growth and understand its relation to knee osteoarthritis 188 189 in later life. Firstly, we used a conditional change approach to enable us to determine whether 190 there are specific sensitive period/s of growth which may be associated with knee osteoarthritis. 191 This can be interpreted as the change in height size above or below that expected given earlier 192 height, and thus is useful in identifying accelerated or restricted growth.[14] We next chose the

193 SITAR growth curve model since it was previously shown to effectively summarise pubertal 194 growth based on three parameters of size, velocity and tempo.[9,10] A limitation of this 195 approach is the use of multiple models which increases the chance of a type I error. Also, as 196 in any longitudinal study, it is important to consider loss to follow-up over time and the impact 197 of this on research findings. Despite losses to follow-up between birth and age 53 years, which 198 may have introduced bias, comparisons with census data suggest that the respondent sample at 199 age 53 were still representative of the general population born in the UK at a similar time in most respects.[24] 200

201 Our previous work explored associations between growth dynamics and osteoarthritis onset in a spontaneous murine model of osteoarthritis, the STR/Ort mouse.[1] We revealed accelerated 202 203 long bone growth, aberrant expression of growth plate markers and enriched growth plate 204 bridging, indicative of advanced and thus premature growth cessation, in these osteoarthritis-205 prone mice.[1] Together this suggested that these accelerated growth dynamics in young 206 osteoarthritis-prone mice may underpin their osteoarthritis onset. However, whether these observations are unique to osteoarthritis in the STR/Ort mouse or are characteristic of human 207 208 osteoarthritis in general had yet to be established. This study suggests that in the NSHD, 209 associations between greater gains in height, indicative of accelerated growth, are not 210 associated with increased odds of knee osteoarthritis. Rather, the modest associations found 211 suggest the opposite. It is however important to note that this was examined in midlife when 212 the cohort are still relatively young, and osteoarthritis prevalence (7.3% in men; 13.1% in women) is lower than that seen currently in primary care at this age. It would therefore be of 213 214 interest to further examine these potential associations in older individuals.

Primary osteoarthritis is described as naturally occurring or ageing-related osteoarthritis, while secondary osteoarthritis is associated with other causes including trauma. Our previous findings in the STR/Ort mouse examined primary murine osteoarthritis [1] and therefore to examine the influence of secondary knee osteoarthritis on the patterns of height growth in the NSHD, we ran a sensitivity analysis in which we excluded individuals who had reported consulting a Doctor about a knee injury. However, whilst we found no substantive differences in findings, this highlights the need to examine the risk of osteoarthritis in aged individuals where primary knee osteoarthritis is more prevalent.

223 Our study extends a previous study examining this British birth cohort in which prolonged exposure to high BMI through adulthood increased risk of development of knee osteoarthritis 224 at age 53.[3] This is consistent with our sensitivity analyses in which adjustment for weight 225 strengthened the associations between SITAR height size and odds of knee osteoarthritis. Wills 226 227 et al., also found that BMI increases from childhood to adolescence (7-15 years) were positively associated with knee osteoarthritis, however this was in women only.[3] In our 228 229 analyses, we found no evidence of differences in association by sex. We did find that in our cohort with complete data, women had a higher prevalence of knee osteoarthritis, similar to 230 that reported previously in the NSHD, and in primary care.[3,15] Wills et al., concluded that 231 the excessive weight during this period may result in altered mechanical loading to the knee 232 joint. Similarly, it is likely that periods of accelerated growth will also impact on the 233 234 biomechanics of the joint. The shape of the hip joint is largely determined in childhood, and 235 previous studies have identified that in the NSHD, this is associated with (i) age of onset of 236 walking in infancy [16] (ii) higher BMI at all ages and greater gains in BMI [17] and (iii) 237 height, weight, BMI and BMD at ages 60-64 years.[18] Similarly, in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) cohort, hip shape in perimenopausal women is 238 associated with hip osteoarthritis susceptibility loci and may contribute to hip osteoarthritis 239 240 later in life.[19] Recent evidence in the ALSPAC cohort has also identified pubertal timing, as 241 reflected by height tempo, to be associated with hip shape.[20] Further, in the UK Biobank,

early menarche is associated with higher risk for osteoarthritis.[21] However these associationswere not observed in this study.

In conclusion, in this relatively large population-based cohort study, there was limited evidence to suggest that height in childhood is associated with odds of knee osteoarthritis at age 53 years. Further, there were no associations with height gain during specific periods of growth, or with the SITAR height growth variables. This work enhances our understanding of osteoarthritis predisposition and the contribution of life course height to this.

249 Acknowledgements

The authors thank all the participants of the MRC National Survey of Health and Development and all staff involved in data collection and data entry. The authors would also like to thank Dr Alex Ireland (Manchester Metropolitan University, UK) for his insightful discussions during the preparation of this manuscript.

Data used in this publication are available to bona fide researchers upon request to the NSHD
Data Sharing Committee via a standard application procedure. Further details can be found at
http://www.nshd.mrc.ac.uk/data. doi: 10.5522/NSHD/Q101

257 Author contributions

All authors contributed to the conception and design of the study, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content and the final approval of the version to be submitted. KS (k.staines@brighton.ac.uk) takes responsibility for the integrity of the work as a whole, from inception to finished article.

263 Role of funding source

The authors would like to acknowledge the Medical Research Council for funding to KS (MR/R022240/1). The funding source was not involved in the study design, collection, analysis

266	and interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to submit the						
267	manı	uscript for publication.					
268	Cont	flict of interest					
269	Ther	e are no conflicts of interest.					
270	Refe	rences					
271	1	Staines KA, Madi K, Mirczuk SM, et al. Endochondral Growth Defect and					
272		Deployment of Transient Chondrocyte Behaviors Underlie Osteoarthritis Onset in a					
273		Natural Murine Model. Arthritis Rheumatol 2016;68:880–91. doi:10.1002/art.39508					
274	2	Comhaire FH, Snaps F. Comparison of two canine registry databases on the prevalence					
275		of hip dysplasia by breed and the relationship of dysplasia with body weight and					
276		height. Am J Vet Res 2008;69:330-3. doi:10.2460/ajvr.69.3.330					
277	3	Wills AK, Black S, Cooper R, et al. Life course body mass index and risk of knee					
278		osteoarthritis at the age of 53 years: Evidence from the 1946 British birth cohort study.					
279		Ann Rheum Dis 2012;71:655-60. doi:10.1136/ard.2011.154021					
280	4	Clynes MA, Parsons C, Edwards MH, et al. Further evidence of the developmental					
281		origins of osteoarthritis: Results from the Hertfordshire Cohort Study. J Dev Orig					
282		Health Dis 2014;5:453-8. doi:10.1017/S2040174414000373					
283	5	Kuh D, Pierce M, Adams J, et al. Cohort Profile: Updating the cohort profile for the					
284		MRC National Survey of Health and Development: a new clinic-based data collection					
285		for ageing research. Int J Epidemiol 2011;40:e1-9. doi:10.1093/ije/dyq231					
286	6	Wadsworth M, Butterworth S, RH-S science &, et al. The life course prospective					
287		design: an example of benefits and problems associated with study longevity. Elsevier					
288	7	Altman R, Asch E, Bloch D, et al. Development of criteria for the classification and					

289		reporting of osteoarthritis. Classification of osteoarthritis of the knee. Diagnostic and
290		Therapeutic Criteria Committee of the American Rheumatism Association. Arthritis
291		<i>Rheum</i> 1986; 29 :1039–49.
292	8	Kuh D, Bassey EJ, Butterworth S, et al. Grip strength, postural control, and functional
293		leg power in a representative cohort of British men and women: associations with
294		physical activity, health status, and socioeconomic conditions. J Gerontol A Biol Sci
295		<i>Med Sci</i> 2005; 60 :224–31.
296	9	Cole T, Kuh D, Johnson W, et al. Using Super-Imposition by Translation And
297		Rotation (SITAR) to relate pubertal growth to bone health in later life: the Medical
298		Research Council (MRC) National Survey of Health and Development. Int J
299		Epidemiol 2016;45:dyw134. doi:10.1093/ije/dyw134
300	10	Cole TJ, Donaldson MDC, Ben-Shlomo Y. SITAR—a useful instrument for growth
301		curve analysis. Int J Epidemiol 2010; 39 :1558–66. doi:10.1093/ije/dyq115
302	11	Galobardes B, Shaw M, Lawlor DA, et al. Indicators of socioeconomic position (part
303		2). J Epidemiol Community Heal 2006;60:95–101. doi:10.1136/jech.2004.028092
304	12	Kuh DJ, Cooper C. Physical activity at 36 years: patterns and childhood predictors in a
305		longitudinal study. J Epidemiol Community Health 1992;46:114–9.
306	13	Wills AK, Hardy RJ, Black S, et al. Trajectories of overweight and body mass index in
307		adulthood and blood pressure at age 53: The 1946 British birth cohort study. J
308		Hypertens 2010;28:679-86. doi:10.1097/HJH.0b013e328335de7b
309	14	Hardy R, Ghosh AK, Deanfield J, et al. Birthweight, childhood growth and left
310		ventricular structure at age 60-64 years in a British birth cohort study. Int J Epidemiol
311		2016; 45 :1091–102. doi:10.1093/ije/dyw150
312	15	Bedson J, Jordan K, Croft P. The prevalence and history of knee osteoarthritis in

313 general practice: A case-control study. Fam Pract 2005;22:103-8. doi:10.1093/fampra/cmh700 314 315 16 Ireland A, Saunders FR, Muthuri SG, et al. Age at Onset of Walking in Infancy Is Associated With Hip Shape in Early Old Age. J Bone Miner Res 2019;34:455-63. 316 doi:10.1002/jbmr.3627 317 318 17 Muthuri SG, Saunders FR, Hardy RJ, et al. Associations between body mass index 319 across adult life and hip shapes at age 60 to 64: Evidence from the 1946 British birth cohort. Bone 2017;105:115-21. doi:10.1016/j.bone.2017.08.017 320 Pavlova A V., Saunders FR, Muthuri SG, et al. Statistical shape modelling of hip and 321 18 lumbar spine morphology and their relationship in the MRC National Survey of Health 322 and Development. J Anat 2017;231:248-59. doi:10.1111/joa.12631 323 19 Baird DA, Paternoster L, Gregory JS, et al. Investigation of the Relationship Between 324 Susceptibility Loci for Hip Osteoarthritis and Dual X-Ray Absorptiometry–Derived 325 326 Hip Shape in a Population-Based Cohort of Perimenopausal Women. Arthritis 327 *Rheumatol* 2018;**70**:1984–93. doi:10.1002/art.40584 20 Frysz M, Gregory JS, Aspden RM, et al. The effect of pubertal timing, as reflected by 328 height tempo, on proximal femur shape: Findings from a population-based study in 329 330 adolescents. Bone 2020;131. doi:10.1016/j.bone.2019.115179 331 21 Day FR, Elks CE, Murray A, et al. Puberty timing associated with diabetes, 332 cardiovascular disease and also diverse health outcomes in men and women: The UK Biobank study. Sci Rep 2015;5:1–12. doi:10.1038/srep11208 333 334 22 Hardy R, Kuh D, Whincup PH, et al. Age at puberty and adult blood pressure and body size in a British birth cohort study. J Hypertens 2006;24:59-66. 335 doi:10.1097/01.hjh.0000198033.14848.93 336

337	23	Kuh D, Muthuri SG, Moore A, et al. Pubertal timing and bone phenotype in early old
338		age: findings from a British birth cohort study. Int J Epidemiol 2016;45:1113-24.
339		doi:10.1093/ije/dyw131
340	24 W	adsworth MEJ, Butterworth SL, Hardy R, et al. The life course design: an example of
341		benefits and problems associated with study longevity. Social Science &
342		Medicine. 2003;57:2193-2205. doi: 10.1016/s0277-9536(03)00083-2.
343		
344		
345		
346		
347		
348		
349		
350		
351		
352		
353		
354		
355		
356		
357		
358		

Tables

		Men			Women		
		Ν	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD
Height 2 years	ars (cm)	1211	85.91	5.24	1197	84.72	4.57
Height 4 year	ars (cm)	1288	103.51	5.10	1307	102.84	5.05
Height 6 ye	ars (cm)	1238	114.46	5.25	1255	113.74	5.26
Height 7 year	ars (cm)	1249	120.35	5.65	1303	119.65	5.50
Height 11 ye	ears (cm)	1230	140.62	6.73	1257	141.16	6.94
Height 15 ye	ears (cm)	1135	162.04	8.86	1156	158.65	6.22
Height 20 ye	ears (cm)	1155	176.76	6.72	1231	162.62	6.24
Weight 2 ye	ears (kg)	1225	13.22	1.46	1244	12.61	1.49
Weight 4 ye	ears (kg)	1313	17.50	2.12	1338	17.00	2.16
Weight 6 ye	ears (kg)	1232	20.87	2.54	1267	20.34	2.61
Weight 7 ye	ears (kg)	1203	23.05	2.95	1257	22.56	3.17
Weight 11 y	ears (kg)	1221	34.28	5.96	1247	34.98	6.81
Weight 15 y	ears (kg)	1135	51.74	9.36	1151	51.84	8.28
Weight 20 y	ears (kg)	1155	70.59	9.27	1229	57.81	8.19
Birthweig	ht (kg)	1432	3.46	0.53	1473	3.32	0.48
		Ν	%		n	%	
Knee osteoarthrit	is at 53 years:	105	7.31		193	13.06	
Sporting shility of	Above average	235	18.98		220	17.31	
Sporting ability at	Average	793	64.05		902	70.97	
13 years:	Below average	210	16.96		149	11.72	
Father's	Manual	605	43.71		600	42.43	
occupational class	Non-manual	779	56.29		814	57.57	
in childhood:							

 Table 1: Characteristics of the sample from the MRC National Survey of Health and Development with complete data on the SITAR height parameters and the outcome, knee osteoarthritis.

Height (per 5cm)	n	Model	Odds ratio	95% CI	
	1986	1	0.96	0.82	1.12
2 years		2	0.98	0.84	1.14
		3	1.01	0.85	1.20
		1	0.85	0.74	0.98
4 years	2211	2	0.87	0.75	1.01
		3	0.88	0.74	1.04
	2116	1	0.89	0.78	1.02
6 years		2	0.91	0.79	1.05
		3	0.88	0.72	1.08
	2085	1	0.98	0.88	1.09
7 years		2	1.01	0.91	1.12
		3	1.02	0.89	1.18
		1	0.99	0.97	1.01
11 years	2259	2	1.00	0.98	1.02
		3	0.99	0.96	1.01

		1	0.96	0.87	1.06
15 years	2102	2	0.98	0.89	1.09
		3	0.90	0.79	1.02
	2082	1	0.93	0.83	1.04
20 years		2	0.95	0.85	1.07
		3	0.88	0.77	1.00

363**Table 2:** Associations between height (per 5cm) at different ages throughout childhood, adolescence364and young adulthood and odds ratios of knee osteoarthritis at age 53 years. Each set of models were365run on the sample with valid data for knee osteoarthritis, height at the specific age and the confounders.366Logistic regression Model 1: adjusted for sex; Model 2: further adjusted for birth weight, sporting367ability and Father's occupational class in childhood; Model 3: further adjusted for weight at each age.368Sex interactions: 2 years -p=0.7; 4 years -p=0.7; 6 years -p=1.0; 7 years -p=0.8; 11 years -p=0.7;36915 years -0.8; 20 years -p=0.09.

370

Conditional change	n	Model	Odds ratio	95% CI	
	1876	1	0.91	0.78	1.07
2 - 4 years		2	0.94	0.80	1.10
		3	0.91	0.77	1.08
	1689	1	0.94	0.80	1.10
4 - 7 years		2	0.95	0.81	1.11
		3	0.95	0.80	1.13
	1710	1	1.09	0.93	1.30
7 - 15 years		2	1.09	0.93	1.28
		3	0.99	0.83	1.18
	1611	1	1.05	0.89	1.23
15 - 20 years		2	1.05	0.90	1.24
		3	0.99	0.84	1.17

371 Table 3: Associations of conditional height gain (per standard deviation) during different periods of 372 growth (early childhood: 2–4 years; late childhood: 4-7 years; childhood to adolescence: 7–15 years; 373 adolescence to young adulthood: 15–20 years) with knee osteoarthritis at 53 years. Each set of models 374 were run on the sample with valid data for knee osteoarthritis, conditional height gain during each life 375 period, and the confounders. Logistic regression Model 1: adjusted for sex; Model 2: further adjusted for birth weight, sporting ability and Father's occupational class in childhood; Model 3: further 376 377 adjusted for weight at each age. Sex interactions: 2-4 years -p=0.2; 4-7 years -p=0.6; 7-15 years -p=0.6; 7 378 p=0.3; 15-20 years -p=0.1.

SITAR variable (n=2470)	Model	Odds ratio	95%	CI
	1	0.98	0.96	1.01
Size (cm)	2	0.99	0.97	1.01
	3	0.96	0.93	0.99
	1	1.00	0.98	1.02
Tempo (%)	2	0.99	0.98	1.01
	3	0.97	0.95	0.99
	1	1.00	0.99	1.01
Velocity (%)	2	1.00	0.99	1.02
	3	0.99	0.98	1.01

- **Table 4:** Associations between each parameter of the SITAR model of growth curve analysis (height size, tempo and velocity) and odds of knee osteoarthritis. Each set of models were run on the sample with valid data for knee osteoarthritis, each SITAR variable and the confounders. Logistic regression Model 1: adjusted for sex; Model 2: further adjusted for birth weight, sporting ability and Father's occupational class in childhood; Model 3: further adjusted for weight at each age. Sex interactions:
- size p = 0.5; tempo p = 0.8; velocity p = 0.8.