

1 **PASTUL questionnaire: a tool for self-assessment of scleroderma skin during the COVID-19**  
2 **pandemic**

3  
4 **Julia Spierings<sup>1,2</sup>, Voon H Ong<sup>1</sup>, Christopher P Denton<sup>1</sup>**

5  
6  
7 1. Centre for Rheumatology and Connective Tissue Diseases, Royal Free London NHS Foundation  
8 Trust, London, UK

9 2. Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, University Medical Centre Utrecht, Utrecht,  
10 The Netherlands

11  
12 **Corresponding author:** C.P. Denton, c.denton@ucl.ac.uk. Division of Medicine, Department of  
13 Inflammation, Centre for Rheumatology and Connective Tissue Diseases, Royal Free and University  
14 College Medical School, University College London, London, UK

15  
16  
17  
18 COVID-19 pandemic heralds the biggest challenge faced by health services worldwide and remote  
19 consultations are now widely implemented. Evaluation of skin involvement with modified Rodnan Skin  
20 Score (mRSS) is central in systemic sclerosis (SSc) as it is associated with internal organ  
21 manifestations and mortality, and an increase in mRSS requires attention in all SSc subsets [1]. To  
22 monitor skin activity remotely during this pandemic we developed the PASTUL (Patient self-  
23 Assessment of Skin Thickness in Upper Limb) questionnaire. The questionnaire specifies a grading of  
24 skin (normal (0), mild (1), moderate (2), severely (3) thickened) at eight sites corresponding to mRSS  
25 with maximum score assigned to each site [2].

26 We evaluated the PASTUL questionnaire on feasibility and validity in SSc patients who had  
27 either a remote or face-to-face appointment at the Royal Free Hospital London, United Kingdom.  
28 Informed consent was obtained, and patient instructions were provided.  
29 Scleroderma Skin Patient reported Outcome (SSPRO) [3], Scleroderma Health Assessment Disability  
30 Index (SHAQ-DI) [4], the Scleroderma Functional Score (SFS) [5] and the mRSS were collected to  
31 evaluate construct validity. The mRSS was done by an experienced rheumatologist without referring to  
32 the self-assessed score. Content validity was evaluated in a subgroup of patients by scoring  
33 relevance, clarity and practical difficulty of the PASTUL questionnaire on a 5-point Likert scale using  
34 ©SurveyMonkey software. Patients were also asked to do the assessment two weeks later and to  
35 record the time required to complete the self-assessment. Data were analysed using SPSS 25 (IBM).  
36 Construct validity was evaluated using Pearson's correlation coefficient. Test-retest reliability was  
37 estimated using intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC). Coefficients were interpreted as follows: 0-0.19  
38 = negligible, 0.2-0.39 = weak, 0.4-0.59 = moderate, 0.6-0.79 = strong, 0.8-1.0 = very strong.

39 130 patients were invited of which 104 (80%) completed all questionnaires. Mean age of  
40 participants was 57years (SD 12), 87% was female, 55 (53%) had limited cutaneous systemic  
41 sclerosis (lcSSc) and 49 (47%) diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis (dcSSc). PASTUL was  
42 completed by patients (86%) or a partner/relative (14%). For characteristics see online supplementary  
43 Table S1. Mean PASTUL score was 11 (SD 7), SHAQ 1.41 (SD 0.77), SFS 12.8 (SD 8.5) and SSPRO  
44 48 (SD 27). PASTUL strongly correlated with total SSPRO and SSPRO subdomain physical limitations

1 (r=0.60 and 0.62, respectively). 78 (75%) patients completed mRSS. PASTUL and mRSS total and  
2 mRSS of upper limbs were moderately correlated (r=0.56 and 0.58, respectively). Table 1 shows the  
3 correlations of PASTUL scores with other outcome measures.

4 Similar approaches have been reported of physician-directed mRSS and patients' assessment of full  
5 mRSS [6]. Here, we refined this approach with a simplified instrument scoring only the upper limbs but  
6 still demonstrated good correlations with key outcome measures. Interestingly, correlation between  
7 PASTUL and mRSS was stronger in lcSSc compared to dcSSc (r=0.53 vs 0.43) and when assessed  
8 by a partner/relative compared to patients themselves (r=0.90 vs 0.54). Test-retest reliability,  
9 assessed in 21 patients, was excellent (ICC of 0.93, p<0.001). Participants (N=21) scored relevance  
10 with a mean score of 4.0 out of 5.0 (SD 1.0), clarity of instructions 4.3 out of 5.0 (SD 0.7) and  
11 practicability with 4.1 out of 5.0 (SD 0.9). The mean time to do the self-assessment was 4 minutes (SD  
12 3).

13 As the pandemic continues, we need new ways to assess skin activity in our SSc patients.  
14 Correlations with SSPRO and mRSS support usefulness of PASTUL as an outcome measure.  
15 Moreover, our questionnaire empowers patients to help us delivering safe and effective care. Further  
16 research is needed to validate the PASTUL questionnaire in other groups, assess responsiveness,  
17 explore the role partners in assessment of skin and ways to implement PASTUL in daily practice.  
18

19 **Contributors:** Study design: all authors. Data collection: JS. Data analysis and interpretation: all  
20 authors. Statistical analysis: JS. Drafting of manuscript: all.

21 **Patient participation statement:** Patients were involved in the design of the PASTUL questionnaire.

22 **Funding:** JS is supported by travel awards from EUSTAR, EULAR and the Catharine van  
23 Tussenbroek Fonds.

24 **Competing interests:** None declared.

25 **Acknowledgments:** The authors would like to thank the patients who participated in this project. JS  
26 acknowledges EUSTAR, EULAR and Catharine van Tussenbroek Fonds for supporting her fellowship.  
27

## 28 29 **References**

- 30 1. Clements PJ, Hurwitz EL, Wong WK et al. Skin thickness score as a predictor and correlate of  
31 outcome in systemic sclerosis: High-dose versus low-dose penicillamine trial. *Arthritis Rheum.*  
32 2000;43(11):2445–54.
- 33 2. Furst DE, Clements PJ, Steen VD, et al. The modified rodnan skin score is an accurate  
34 reflection of skin biopsy thickness in systemic sclerosis. *J Rheumatol.* 1998; 25(1):84-88

- 1 3. Man A, Correa JK, Ziemek J et al. Development and validation of a patient-reported outcome  
2 instrument for skin involvement in patients with systemic sclerosis. *Ann Rheum Dis*.  
3 2017;76(8):1374–80.
- 4 4. Steen VD, Medsger TA. The value of the Health Assessment Questionnaire and special  
5 patient- generated scales to demonstrate change in systemic sclerosis patients over time.  
6 *Arthritis Rheum*. 1997;40(11):1984–91.
- 7 5. Silman A, Akesson A, Newman J et al. Assessment of functional ability in patients with  
8 scleroderma: A proposed new disability assessment instrument. *J Rheumatol*.1998;25(1):79–  
9 83.
- 10 6. Nagy Z, Bálint Z, Farkas H, et al. Establishment and partial validation of a patient skin self-  
11 assessment questionnaire in systemic sclerosis. *Rheumatology*. 2009;48(3):309–14.

12  
13  
14  
15  
16

**Table 1. Correlation of PASTUL score with other scleroderma outcome measures**

<b>Outcome measure</b>	<b>Pearson's correlation coefficient</b>	<b>P-value</b>
mRSS	0.56	<0.001
mRSS upper limbs	0.58	<0.001
SHAQ-DI	0.38	<0.001
SHAQ VAS scores		
- VAS pain	0.28	0.107
- VAS GI	0.10	0.239
- VAS breathing	0.17	0.236
- VAS RP	0.16	0.406
- VAS DU	0.26	0.466
- VAS Limitations	0.32	0.026
SFS	0.25	0.011
SSPRO	0.60	<0.001
SSPRO subdomains		
- Physical effects	0.59	<0.001
- Physical limitations	0.62	<0.001
- Emotional effects	0.48	<0.001
- Social effects	0.42	<0.001

17  
18 *DU, digital ulcers; GI, gastrointestinal; mRSS, modified Rodnan Skin Score; PASTUL, Patient self-*  
19 *Assessment of Skin Thickness in Upper Limb; RP, Raynaud's Phenomenon; SFS, Scleroderma*  
20 *functional score; SD, standard deviation; SHAQ-DI, Scleroderma Health Assessment Questionnaire*  
21 *Disability Index; SSPRO, Scleroderma Skin Patient-Reported Outcome; VAS, visual analogue score*  
22  
23  
24