

# Variational calculations of vibrational energy levels for $XY_4$ molecules: II Bending states of methane.

Junkai Xie\*, Jonathan Tennyson†

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London,  
Gower St., London WC1E 6BT, U.K.

January 15, 2002

## Abstract

A variational method for calculating excited bending states of symmetric tetrahedral penta-atomic molecules is presented based on the use of Radau coordinates and Jacobi polynomials as the basis functions. Symmetry is used both to reduce the size of secular matrix to be diagonalized and to calculate potential energy matrix elements over a reduced grid of quadrature points. Methods of treating the redundant coordinate are investigated and fitting is found to be more effective than the use of Taylor expansions. Test results are presented for methane, for which stretch-bend coupling and contribution due to the redundant coordinate are found to be significant. Converged results are obtained for bending states significantly higher than considered in previous calculations. These states will be used as a basis for bending motions in a fully coupled stretch-bend calculation.

---

\*Permanent address: Department of Chemistry, Sichuan Normal University, Chengdu, 610066 P. R. China

†email: j.tennyson@ucl.ac.uk

# 1 Introduction

The vibration-rotation spectroscopy of tetrahedral  $XY_4$  molecules is of considerable interest but difficult to treat theoretically in regimes where the assumption of small amplitude vibrational motion is not reliable. We are interested in developing a systematic procedure for studying these systems using internal coordinates and variational procedures which have proved very successful for highly excited states of smaller molecules [1]. However the nine degrees of vibrational freedom means that complete variational calculations on five atom systems present a considerable computational challenge.

In the previous paper [2], henceforth referred to as I, we presented a formalism for treating the stretching motions in  $XY_4$  molecules and applied it to a number of hydrides. In this paper we address the problem of the bending motions in such systems. The approximate separation of low-frequency bends from high frequency stretches in molecules such as hydrocarbons has long been considered [3]. Our main reason for performing this separation is not so much to get results for the bend-only problem but to use the solutions of this problem to tackle the full stretch-bend problem.

The bend-only problem presents more of a challenge than the stretch-only one for a number of reasons. Most obviously there are five bending degrees of freedom rather than the four stretching modes. Furthermore the bending modes are coupled in ways that make them unsuitable for treatment using discrete variable representation (DVR) methods [5] which have proved highly successful in other circumstances [4]. Bending excitations generally lie at considerably lower energy than the stretches with the same number of quanta meaning that for a given total vibrational energy in the molecule, many more bending states have to be considered. Finally there is well-known problem with the redundant coordinate [6, 7, 8, 9, 10] which makes the use of symmetrized coordinates in the bending problem technically much more difficult than in the stretching case.

## 2 Theory

### 2.1 The Hamiltonian

As detailed in I, the Hamiltonian for the vibrational motions of an XY<sub>4</sub> system in Radau coordinates can conveniently be written

$$H = T_{str} + T_{ang} + V \quad (1)$$

$$T_{str} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_\alpha^2} \quad (2)$$

and

$$T_{ang} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j>i}^4 \left( \frac{1}{r_i^2} + \frac{1}{r_j^2} \right) \frac{\partial}{\sin \alpha_{ij} \partial \alpha_{ij}} \sin \alpha_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_{ij}} - \frac{\hbar^2}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{1}{r_i^2} \sum_{j \neq i}^4 \sum_{k \neq ij}^4 \csc \alpha_{ij} \csc \alpha_{ik} (\cos \alpha_{jk} - \cos \alpha_{ij} \cos \alpha_{ik}) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha_{ij} \partial \alpha_{ik}} \quad (3)$$

where  $\mu$  is the mass of atom Y,  $r_i$  is the Radau radial vector, and  $\alpha_{ij}$  is the angle between the vector  $\underline{r}_i$  and  $\underline{r}_j$ . In practice it is more convenient to transform the angular kinetic energy operator to a representation in cosine of the angles using  $\omega_{ij} = \cos \alpha_{ij}$ . This gives

$$T_{ang} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j>i}^4 \left( \frac{1}{r_i^2} + \frac{1}{r_j^2} \right) \frac{\partial}{\omega_{ij}} (1 - \omega_{ij}^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_{ij}} - \frac{\hbar^2}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{1}{r_i^2} \sum_{j \neq i}^4 \sum_{k \neq ij}^4 (\omega_{jk} - \omega_{ij} \omega_{ik}) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \omega_{ij} \partial \omega_{ik}}. \quad (4)$$

The bending kinetic energy operator (3) or (4) is defined in terms of six tetrahedral angles,  $\alpha_{ij}$ . Only five of these angles are linearly independent; this leads to the well-known redundancy condition which must be obeyed by the Hamiltonian. The redundancy condition in internal coordinates [7, 8, 9, 6] can conveniently be expressed as

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \omega_{12} & \omega_{13} & \omega_{14} \\ \omega_{12} & 1 & \omega_{23} & \omega_{24} \\ \omega_{13} & \omega_{23} & 1 & \omega_{34} \\ \omega_{14} & \omega_{24} & \omega_{34} & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0 \quad (5)$$

To utilize symmetry, we transform the Radau internal angular coordinate variables  $\omega_{ij}$ , to the Radau symmetry coordinates. The symmetrized Radau angular coordinates and the redundancy coordinate  $s_r$  are defined

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{2a} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}(2\omega_{12} - \omega_{13} - \omega_{14} - \omega_{23} - \omega_{24} + 2\omega_{34}) \\
s_{2b} &= \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{13} - \omega_{14} - \omega_{23} + \omega_{24}) \\
s_{4x} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\omega_{24} - \omega_{13}) \\
s_{4y} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\omega_{23} - \omega_{14}) \\
s_{4z} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\omega_{34} - \omega_{12}) \\
s_r &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(\omega_{12} + \omega_{13} + \omega_{14} + \omega_{23} + \omega_{24} + \omega_{34})
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where the redundancy coordinate is entirely determined by other five symmetric variables, i.e.  $s_r$  is a function of  $s_r(s_{2a}, s_{2b}, s_{4x}, s_{4y}, s_{4z})$ . Using the transformation (6), we can expand the redundancy condition (5) and obtain the redundancy equation in symmetrized coordinates. An expression for  $s_r$  can be determined by solving the redundancy equation,

$$s_r = A_0 + A_1 s_r + A_2 s_r^2 + A_3 s_r^3 + A_4 s_r^4 \tag{7}$$

where the coefficients  $A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4$  are functions of the other five symmetric coordinates  $s_{2a}, s_{2b}, s_{4x}, s_{4y}, s_{4z}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
A_0 &= \frac{s_{4x}^2}{8} + \frac{\sqrt{3}s_{2a}s_{4x}^2}{32} - \frac{3s_{2a}^2s_{4x}^2}{64} - \frac{3s_{2b}s_{4x}^2}{32} - \\
&\frac{3\sqrt{3}s_{2a}s_{2b}s_{4x}^2}{64} - \frac{9s_{4x}^4}{256} + \frac{s_{4y}^2}{8} + \\
&\frac{\sqrt{3}s_{2a}s_{4y}^2}{32} - \frac{3s_{2a}^2s_{4y}^2}{64} + \frac{3s_{2b}s_{4y}^2}{32} + \frac{3\sqrt{3}s_{2a}s_{2b}s_{4y}^2}{64} + \\
&\frac{9s_{4x}^2s_{4y}^2}{128} - \frac{9s_{4y}^4}{256} - \frac{9s_{4x}s_{4y}s_{4z}}{16\sqrt{2}} + \frac{s_{4z}^2}{8} - \frac{\sqrt{3}s_{2a}s_{4z}^2}{16} + \\
&\frac{3s_{2a}^2s_{4z}^2}{128} - \frac{9s_{2b}^2s_{4z}^2}{128} + \frac{9s_{4x}^2s_{4z}^2}{128} + \frac{9s_{4y}^2s_{4z}^2}{128} - \frac{9s_{4z}^4}{256}, \\
A_1 &= \frac{9s_{2a}^2}{16} - \frac{3\sqrt{3}s_{2a}^3}{32} + \frac{9s_{2b}^2}{16} +
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$$\frac{9\sqrt{3}s_{2a}s_{2b}^2}{32} + \frac{3s_{4x}^2}{32} - \frac{3\sqrt{3}s_{2a}s_{4x}^2}{32} + \frac{9s_{2b}s_{4x}^2}{32} + \frac{3s_{4y}^2}{32} - \frac{3\sqrt{3}s_{2a}s_{4y}^2}{32} - \frac{9s_{2b}s_{4y}^2}{32} + \frac{3s_{4z}^2}{32} + \frac{3\sqrt{3}s_{2a}s_{4z}^2}{16}, \quad (9)$$

$$A_2 = \frac{9}{4} - \frac{27s_{2a}^2}{64} - \frac{27s_{2b}^2}{64} - \frac{9s_{4x}^2}{64} - \frac{9s_{4y}^2}{64} - \frac{9s_{4z}^2}{64}, \quad (10)$$

$$A_3 = -\frac{27}{16}, \quad (11)$$

$$A_4 = \frac{27}{64}. \quad (12)$$

Using Mathematica to solving this equation yields an exact solution of the redundancy problem for the bending motion on  $XY_4$  systems in Radau coordinates. As (7) is a quartic equation, it actually has four solutions. However, inspection of these shows that only one solution is real,

$$s_r = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(C_3 - C_4 + \frac{B_3}{4C_3})^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (13)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} B_0 &= \frac{256}{9}(A_0 + A_1) + \frac{4096}{729} \\ B_1 &= 1024A_0 + \frac{4096}{27}A_1^2 + \frac{32768}{81}(A_1 - 2A_0)A_2 + \frac{524288}{19683}A_2^3 \\ B_2 &= 4 - \frac{128}{81}A_2 \\ B_3 &= 64 - \frac{512}{27}A_1 - \frac{1024}{27}A_2 \\ C_1 &= \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{B_1 + (-4B_0^3 + B_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ C_2 &= \frac{2^{\frac{1}{3}}B_0}{3C_1} \\ C_3 &= (B_2 + C_1 + C_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ C_4 &= 2B_2 - C_1 - C_2 \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

It should be noted that this solution does not correspond to the one given by Mladenović [10] which is complex for much of coordinate space apart from a region near the equilibrium configuration. Our final expression for  $s_r$  is fairly complicated but can easily be evaluated numerically. These numerical evaluations

will be used below to obtain approximation formula to represent the redundancy coordinate through fitting to an exact solution.

Considering the redundancy, the expression for the bending kinetic energy operator can be obtained with Mathematica using chain rule as

$$T_a / \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu}\right) = \sum_{ij} t_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_i \partial q_j} + \sum_i t_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \quad (15)$$

where  $q_i = s_{2a}, s_{2b}, s_{4x}, s_{4y}, s_{4z}$ . The term  $t_{ij}$  and  $t_i$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} t_{2a2a} &= \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{s_{2a}}{2\sqrt{3}} - \frac{s_{2a}^2}{4} - \frac{s_{4x}^2}{24} - \frac{s_{4y}^2}{24} - \frac{s_{4z}^2}{6} - \frac{s_r}{2\sqrt{6}}\right)\mu_a + \\ &\quad \left(\frac{-s_{4x}}{3\sqrt{2}} - \frac{s_{2a}s_{4x}}{2\sqrt{6}} + \frac{s_{4y}s_{4z}}{6}\right)\mu_x + \left(\frac{-s_{4y}}{3\sqrt{2}} - \frac{s_{2a}s_{4y}}{2\sqrt{6}} + \frac{s_{4x}s_{4z}}{6}\right)\mu_y + \\ &\quad \left(\frac{-(s_{4x}s_{4y})}{12} + \frac{s_{4z}}{6\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}s_{2a}s_{4z}}{3} + \frac{s_{2a}s_{4z}}{3\sqrt{6}}\right)\mu_z \\ t_{2b2b} &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{s_{2a}}{2\sqrt{3}} - \frac{s_{2b}^2}{4} - \frac{s_{4x}^2}{8} - \frac{s_{4y}^2}{8} + \frac{s_r}{2\sqrt{6}}\right)\mu_a + \\ &\quad \frac{s_{2b}s_{4x}\mu_x}{2\sqrt{2}} - \frac{s_{2b}s_{4y}\mu_y}{2\sqrt{2}} + \left(\frac{s_{4x}s_{4y}}{4} - \frac{s_{4z}}{2\sqrt{2}}\right)\mu_z \\ t_{4x4x} &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{s_{2a}^2}{24} + \frac{s_{2a}s_{2b}}{4\sqrt{3}} - \frac{s_{2b}^2}{8} - \frac{s_{4x}^2}{4} + \frac{s_{2a}s_r}{6\sqrt{2}} - \frac{s_{2b}s_r}{2\sqrt{6}} - \frac{s_r^2}{12}\right)\mu_a + \\ &\quad \left(\frac{-(s_{2a}s_{4x})}{2\sqrt{6}} + \frac{s_{2b}s_{4x}}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{s_{4x}s_r}{2\sqrt{3}}\right)\mu_x \\ t_{4y4y} &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{s_{2a}^2}{24} - \frac{s_{2a}s_{2b}}{4\sqrt{3}} - \frac{s_{2b}^2}{8} - \frac{s_{4y}^2}{4} + \frac{s_{2a}s_r}{6\sqrt{2}} + \frac{s_{2b}s_r}{2\sqrt{6}} - \frac{s_r^2}{12}\right)\mu_a + \\ &\quad \left(\frac{-(s_{2a}s_{4y})}{2\sqrt{6}} - \frac{s_{2b}s_{4y}}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{s_{4y}s_r}{2\sqrt{3}}\right)\mu_y \\ t_{4z4z} &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{s_{2a}^2}{6} - \frac{s_{4z}^2}{4} - \frac{s_{2a}s_r}{3\sqrt{2}} - \frac{s_r^2}{12}\right)\mu_a + \left(\frac{s_{2a}s_{4z}}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{s_{4z}s_r}{2\sqrt{3}}\right)\mu_z \\ t_{2a2b} &= \left(-\left(\frac{s_{2b}}{\sqrt{3}}\right) - \frac{s_{2a}s_{2b}}{2} + \frac{s_{4x}^2}{4\sqrt{3}} - \frac{s_{4y}^2}{4\sqrt{3}}\right)\mu_a + \\ &\quad \left(-\left(\frac{s_{4x}}{\sqrt{6}}\right) + \frac{s_{2a}s_{4x}}{2\sqrt{2}} - \frac{s_{2b}s_{4x}}{2\sqrt{6}} + \frac{s_{4y}s_{4z}}{2\sqrt{3}}\right)\mu_x + \\ &\quad \left(\frac{s_{4y}}{\sqrt{6}} - \frac{s_{2a}s_{4y}}{2\sqrt{2}} - \frac{s_{2b}s_{4y}}{2\sqrt{6}} - \frac{s_{4x}s_{4z}}{2\sqrt{3}}\right)\mu_y + \frac{s_{2b}s_{4z}\mu_z}{\sqrt{6}} \\ t_{2a4x} &= \left(\frac{-7s_{2a}s_{4x}}{12} + \frac{s_{2b}s_{4x}}{4\sqrt{3}} + \frac{s_{4x}s_r}{6\sqrt{2}}\right)\mu_a + \\ &\quad \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}s_{2a}}{3} - \frac{s_{2a}^2}{2\sqrt{6}} + \frac{s_{2b}}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{s_{2a}s_{2b}}{2\sqrt{2}} - \frac{s_{4x}^2}{2\sqrt{6}} - \frac{s_r}{6} + \frac{s_{2a}s_r}{2\sqrt{3}}\right)\mu_x + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \frac{-(s_{4x}s_{4y})}{2\sqrt{6}} + \frac{s_{4z}}{2\sqrt{3}} + \frac{s_{2a}s_{4z}}{6} - \frac{s_{2b}s_{4z}}{2\sqrt{3}} - \frac{s_{4z}s_r}{3\sqrt{2}} \right) \mu_y + \\
& \left( -\left( \frac{s_{4y}}{\sqrt{3}} \right) - \frac{s_{2a}s_{4y}}{12} + \frac{s_{2b}s_{4y}}{4\sqrt{3}} + \frac{s_{4x}s_{4z}}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{s_{4y}s_r}{6\sqrt{2}} \right) \mu_z \\
t_{2a4y} = & \left( \frac{-7s_{2a}s_{4y}}{12} - \frac{s_{2b}s_{4y}}{4\sqrt{3}} + \frac{s_{4y}s_r}{6\sqrt{2}} \right) \mu_a + \\
& \left( \frac{-(s_{4x}s_{4y})}{2\sqrt{6}} + \frac{s_{4z}}{2\sqrt{3}} + \frac{s_{2a}s_{4z}}{6} + \frac{s_{2b}s_{4z}}{2\sqrt{3}} - \frac{s_{4z}s_r}{3\sqrt{2}} \right) \mu_x + \\
& \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}s_{2a}}{3} - \frac{s_{2a}^2}{2\sqrt{6}} - \frac{s_{2b}}{\sqrt{6}} - \frac{s_{2a}s_{2b}}{2\sqrt{2}} - \frac{s_{4y}^2}{2\sqrt{6}} - \frac{s_r}{6} + \frac{s_{2a}s_r}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \mu_y + \\
& \left( -\left( \frac{s_{4x}}{\sqrt{3}} \right) - \frac{s_{2a}s_{4x}}{12} - \frac{s_{2b}s_{4x}}{4\sqrt{3}} + \frac{s_{4y}s_{4z}}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{s_{4x}s_r}{6\sqrt{2}} \right) \mu_z \\
t_{2a4z} = & \left( \frac{-5s_{2a}s_{4z}}{6} - \frac{s_{4z}s_r}{3\sqrt{2}} \right) \mu_a + \left( \frac{s_{4y}}{2\sqrt{3}} + \frac{s_{2a}s_{4y}}{6} - \frac{s_{4x}s_{4z}}{2\sqrt{6}} + \frac{s_{4y}s_r}{6\sqrt{2}} \right) \mu_x + \\
& \left( \frac{s_{4x}}{2\sqrt{3}} + \frac{s_{2a}s_{4x}}{6} - \frac{s_{4y}s_{4z}}{2\sqrt{6}} + \frac{s_{4x}s_r}{6\sqrt{2}} \right) \mu_y + \\
& \left( -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} - \frac{s_{2a}}{3\sqrt{2}} + \frac{s_{2a}^2}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{s_{4z}^2}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{s_r}{3} + \frac{s_{2a}s_r}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \mu_z \\
t_{2b4x} = & \left( \frac{s_{2a}s_{4x}}{4\sqrt{3}} - \frac{3s_{2b}s_{4x}}{4} - \frac{s_{4x}s_r}{2\sqrt{6}} \right) \mu_a + \\
& \left( -\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + \frac{s_{2a}}{\sqrt{6}} - \frac{s_{2a}s_{2b}}{2\sqrt{6}} + \frac{s_{2b}^2}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{s_{4x}^2}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{s_r}{2\sqrt{3}} + \frac{s_{2b}s_r}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \mu_x + \left( \frac{-(s_{4x}s_{4y})}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{s_{4z}}{2} \right) \mu_y + \\
& \left( \frac{-(s_{2a}s_{4y})}{4\sqrt{3}} + \frac{s_{2b}s_{4y}}{4} + \frac{s_{4y}s_r}{2\sqrt{6}} \right) \mu_z \\
t_{2b4y} = & \left( \frac{-(s_{2a}s_{4y})}{4\sqrt{3}} - \frac{3s_{2b}s_{4y}}{4} + \frac{s_{4y}s_r}{2\sqrt{6}} \right) \mu_a + \left( \frac{s_{4x}s_{4y}}{2\sqrt{2}} - \frac{s_{4z}}{2} \right) \mu_x + \\
& \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{s_{2a}}{\sqrt{6}} - \frac{s_{2a}s_{2b}}{2\sqrt{6}} - \frac{s_{2b}^2}{2\sqrt{2}} - \frac{s_{4y}^2}{2\sqrt{2}} - \frac{s_r}{2\sqrt{3}} + \frac{s_{2b}s_r}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \mu_y + \\
& \left( \frac{s_{2a}s_{4x}}{4\sqrt{3}} + \frac{s_{2b}s_{4x}}{4} - \frac{s_{4x}s_r}{2\sqrt{6}} \right) \mu_z \\
t_{2b4z} = & \frac{-(s_{2b}s_{4z}\mu_a)}{2} + \left( \frac{-s_{4y}}{2} + \frac{s_{2a}s_{4y}}{2\sqrt{3}} + \frac{s_{4x}s_{4z}}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{s_{4y}s_r}{2\sqrt{6}} \right) \mu_x + \\
& \left( \frac{s_{4x}}{2} - \frac{s_{2a}s_{4x}}{2\sqrt{3}} - \frac{s_{4y}s_{4z}}{2\sqrt{2}} - \frac{s_{4x}s_r}{2\sqrt{6}} \right) \mu_y + \\
& \left( \frac{s_{2b}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{s_{2a}s_{2b}}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{s_{2b}s_r}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \mu_z \\
t_{4x4y} = & \left( \frac{-(s_{4x}s_{4y})}{2} + \frac{s_{4z}}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \mu_a + \left( \frac{-(s_{2a}s_{4y})}{2\sqrt{6}} + \frac{s_{2b}s_{4y}}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{s_{4y}s_r}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \mu_x + \\
& \left( \frac{-(s_{2a}s_{4x})}{2\sqrt{6}} - \frac{s_{2b}s_{4x}}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{s_{4x}s_r}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \mu_y +
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
t_{4x4z} &= \left( \frac{S_{2a}}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{S_{2a}^2}{12} + \frac{S_{2b}^2}{4} + \frac{S_r}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{S_{2a}S_r}{3\sqrt{2}} - \frac{S_r^2}{6} \right) \mu_z \\
&+ \left( \frac{S_{4y}}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{S_{4x}S_{4z}}{2} \right) \mu_a + \left( \frac{-(S_{2a}S_{4z})}{2\sqrt{6}} + \frac{S_{2b}S_{4z}}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{S_{4z}S_r}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \mu_x + \\
&\left( \frac{-S_{2a}}{2\sqrt{3}} + \frac{S_{2a}^2}{6} - \frac{S_{2b}}{2} - \frac{S_{2a}S_{2b}}{2\sqrt{3}} + \frac{S_r}{\sqrt{6}} - \frac{S_{2a}S_r}{6\sqrt{2}} - \frac{S_{2b}S_r}{2\sqrt{6}} - \frac{S_r^2}{6} \right) \mu_y + \\
&\left( \frac{S_{2a}S_{4x}}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{S_{4x}S_r}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \mu_z \\
t_{4y4z} &= \left( \frac{S_{4x}}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{S_{4y}S_{4z}}{2} \right) \mu_a + \\
&\left( \frac{-S_{2a}}{2\sqrt{3}} + \frac{S_{2a}^2}{6} + \frac{S_{2b}}{2} + \frac{S_{2a}S_{2b}}{2\sqrt{3}} + \frac{S_r}{\sqrt{6}} - \frac{S_{2a}S_r}{6\sqrt{2}} + \frac{S_{2b}S_r}{2\sqrt{6}} - \frac{S_r^2}{6} \right) \mu_x + \\
&\left( \frac{-(S_{2a}S_{4z})}{2\sqrt{6}} - \frac{S_{2b}S_{4z}}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{S_{4z}S_r}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \mu_y + \left( \frac{S_{2a}S_{4y}}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{S_{4y}S_r}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \mu_z \\
t_{2a} &= -S_{2a}\mu_a - \frac{S_{4x}\mu_x}{\sqrt{6}} - \frac{S_{4y}\mu_y}{\sqrt{6}} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}S_{4z}\mu_z \\
t_{2b} &= -S_{2b}\mu_a + \frac{S_{4x}\mu_x}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{S_{4y}\mu_y}{\sqrt{2}} \\
t_{4x} &= -S_{4x}\mu_a + \left( -\frac{S_{2a}}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{S_{2b}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{S_r}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \mu_x \\
t_{4y} &= -S_{4y}\mu_a + \left( -\frac{S_{2a}}{\sqrt{6}} - \frac{S_{2b}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{S_r}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \mu_y \\
t_{4z} &= -S_{4z}\mu_a + \left( \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}S_{2a} + \frac{S_r}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \mu_z, \tag{16}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_a &= 1/\mu(r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2 + r_4^2) \\
\mu_x &= 1/\mu(r_1^2 - r_2^2 + r_3^2 - r_4^2) \\
\mu_y &= 1/\mu(r_1^2 - r_2^2 - r_3^2 + r_4^2) \\
\mu_z &= 1/\mu(r_1^2 + r_2^2 - r_3^2 - r_4^2) \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

This expression for the bending kinetic energy operator is exact but difficult to work with since the redundancy coordinate,  $s_r$ , appears regularly. To evaluate matrix elements involving this coordinate without approximation would require performing many numerical quadratures each as expensive as the integral over the potential. As discussed below, we therefore chose to approximate the contribution of this term.



Table 1: Basis sets for  $\nu_2$  angular motions.

basis functions	label	case
$ ab \rangle$	$A_1$ $m = 0$ $a = b$	I
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( ab \rangle +  ba \rangle)$	$A_1$ $m = 0$ $a \neq b$	II
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( ab \rangle -  ba \rangle)$	$A_2$ $m = 0$ $a \neq b$	II
$ ab \rangle$	$E_a$ $m \neq 0$	III
$ ba \rangle$	$E_b$ $m \neq 0$	III

## 2.2 Symmetry and basis functions

For the bending problem, we evaluate the kinetic energy matrix elements for each of five possible total symmetry types denoted in standard point group notation  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $E$ ,  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ . For a symmetrized basis set it is necessary to consider basis functions which satisfy the rules of Hougen, see the table 9 of ref. [11]. As in I, symmetrized functions can be divided into different types. There are three cases for the  $\nu_2$  mode and four for the  $\nu_4$  mode. As the angular basis is a product of the basis in each mode this gives 12 different basis types.

Table I illustrates the three cases for the  $\nu_2$  vibrations. The cases are classified by their value of the mode function of the quantum numbers  $m = \text{mod}(a - b, 3)$ , where  $|ab \rangle$  means  $a$  and  $b$  are the number of quanta in modes  $s_{2a}$  and  $s_{2b}$  respectively and we take  $a \geq b$ . It is simpler to define the four cases for the  $\nu_4$  mode, for which  $|def \rangle$  defines a basis state  $d$  quanta in  $s_{4x}$ ,  $e$  in  $s_{4y}$  and  $f$  in  $s_{4z}$ , and  $d \geq e \geq f$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Case A} & \quad |ddd \rangle \\
 \text{Case B} & \quad |dee \rangle \\
 \text{Case C} & \quad |ddf \rangle \\
 \text{Case D} & \quad |edf \rangle
 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

The total angular wavefunction is a sum over symmetry products of the basis function in the  $\nu_2$  and  $\nu_4$  [11]. For example, consider  $\nu_2$  case I and  $\nu_4$  case B, the total wavefunction with  $A_1$  total symmetry is given in terms of the vector

coupling coefficient of Halonen [7] as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|ab\rangle (|dee\rangle + |ede\rangle + |eed\rangle). \quad (19)$$

In this work we employ Jacobi polynomials to represent the angular motions.

$$|i\rangle = N_i(1-x)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(1+x)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}P_i^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x). \quad (20)$$

where  $N_i$  is a normalization constant, and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are variational parameters, which are determined for the system investigated.  $P_{\nu_i}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$  is a standard Jacobi polynomial. These polynomials are orthogonal with respect to the weight function  $(1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta$  on the domain  $[-1, 1]$ . The variable  $x$  is relate to symmetry coordinate  $q$  via the relationship  $x = \frac{q}{L}$ , where the scale factor  $L$  maps the symmetry coordinate domains into  $[-1, 1]$ .  $L = \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}, 2, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}$  for  $q = s_{2a}, s_{2b}, s_{4x}, s_{4y}, s_{4z}$  respectively.

### 2.3 The kinetic matrix elements

In the domain  $[-1, 1]$  of the Jacobi polynomial, the matrix elements of the angular kinetic operators can be computed exactly using quadrature. A complete matrix element expression for the kinetic operator with symmetrized basis functions can be constructed by computing the matrix elements of the three operators considered in turn below. In each case matrix elements can be computed exactly, to within the limits of rounding errors, using an appropriate Gauss-Jacobi quadrature scheme.

$$\langle \nu | \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(1-x^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} | \nu' \rangle = N_\nu N_{\nu'} \sum_{i=1}^M \omega_i D_\nu^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x_i) D_{\nu'}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x_i) \quad (21)$$

where

$$D_\nu^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = P_\nu^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) [(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})(1+x) - (\beta + \frac{1}{2})(1-x)] - 2(1-x^2)P_\nu^{(\alpha,\beta)}. \quad (22)$$

$x_i$  and  $\omega_i$  are the points and weights of  $M$ -point Gauss-Jacobi quadrature based on  $P_M^{(\alpha-1,\beta-1)}$  with  $M > \max(\nu, \nu') + 2$  [12].

Using the same quadrature scheme, the matrix elements of  $x^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$  can be obtained by

$$\langle \nu | x^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x} | \nu' \rangle = N_\nu N_{\nu'} \sum_{i=1}^M \omega_i B_\nu^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x_i) D_{\nu'}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x_i), \quad (23)$$

where

$$B_\nu^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = x^n P_\nu^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) \quad (24)$$

$$D_{\nu'}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = P_{\nu'}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) [(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})(1+x) - (\beta + \frac{1}{2})(1-x)] - 2(1-x^2) P_{\nu'}^{(\alpha, \beta)}. \quad (25)$$

Finally the matrix elements of  $x^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$  can be computed using  $M$ -point Gauss-Jacobi quadrature based on  $P_M^{(\alpha-2, \beta-2)}$  with  $M > \max(\nu, \nu') + 4$  using the expressions

$$\langle \nu | x^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} | \nu' \rangle = N_\nu N_{\nu'} \sum_{i=1}^N \omega_i D_\nu^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x_i) D_{\nu'}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x_i) \quad (26)$$

where

$$D_\nu^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = P_\nu^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) [(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})(1+x) - (\beta + \frac{1}{2})(1-x)] - 2(1-x^2) P_\nu^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) x^n \quad (27)$$

## 2.4 The potential matrix elements

There are two ways to evaluate the matrix elements of the different potential functions. The computationally most efficient method, as employed by Carter and Bowman in the normal coordinate program multimode [13] and by others, is to expand the potential energy function as a power series in the internal coordinates used in the calculation. For Radau symmetrized coordinate variables this means

$$V(s_{2a}, s_{2b}, s_{4x}, s_{4y}, s_{4z}) = \sum_{ijklm} C_{ijklm} s_{2a}^i s_{2b}^j s_{4x}^k s_{4y}^l s_{4z}^m. \quad (28)$$

With this form of  $V$ , the matrix elements are separable and can be computed by the Gaussian quadrature in each dimension using standard Gauss-Jacobi quadrature based on  $P_M^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  with  $M > \max(\nu, \nu')$ . This method is simple and quick but necessarily approximate.

To obtain a complete expression for the matrix elements it is necessary to use multi-dimensional quadratures. The full matrix elements over an arbitrary angular potential energy function can be evaluated using five dimensional quadrature.

Taking advantage of the symmetry of the basis but not the quadrature this gives an expression

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle abdef, \Gamma | V | a'b'd'e'f', \Gamma' \rangle = \\ & \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M_2} \sum_{\beta=1}^{M_2} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{M_4} \sum_{\delta=1}^{M_4} \sum_{\epsilon=1}^{M_4} \omega_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon} \Psi_{abdef}^{\Gamma}(\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon) \Psi_{a'b'd'e'f'}^{\Gamma'}(\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon) V(\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon) \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where  $\alpha\beta$  and  $\gamma\delta\epsilon$  represent points in quadrature of the  $s_2$  and  $s_4$  respectively and  $\omega_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon}$  is the corresponding products of weights. This approach is very demanding on both memory and CPU time. As in I, we therefore derived formulae for symmetrized quadrature which only requires evaluation of the potential function at the reduced set of unique points given by  $M_2 \geq \alpha \geq \beta \geq 1$  and  $M_4 \geq \gamma \geq \delta \geq \epsilon \geq 1$ . This complicates the expression for the potential matrix elements as this symmetrization mixes different components (denoted  $\Gamma_i$  below) for degenerate representations. The new expression is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle abdef, \Gamma | V | a'b'd'e'f', \Gamma' \rangle = \\ & \frac{1}{h} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M_2} \sum_{\beta=1}^{\alpha} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{M_4} \sum_{\delta=1}^{\gamma} \sum_{\epsilon=1}^{\delta} \omega'_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon} V(\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon) \sum_i^h \Psi_{abdef}^{\Gamma_i}(\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon) \Psi_{a'b'd'e'f'}^{\Gamma'_i}(\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon) \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where  $h$ , the degree of degeneracy, is 1, 2, 3 for A, E and F representations respectively. The new weight  $\omega'_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon}$  is related to the standard weight  $\omega_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon}$  by a factor,  $W$ , which depends on the number of symmetry related occurrences of the given geometry, see Table 2.

The new algorithm is not only more efficient in computer time, since it significantly reduces the number of potential evaluations, it also uses much less memory as fewer wavefunctions are stored in memory at the integration points. In practice the speed-up is a factor of between 3 to 12, depending on the details of the problem under investigation, and the overall memory requirement is reduced by a factor of more than 10.

Besides taking the maximum advantage of symmetry in the computation, our program also provides a way of fully treating the redundancy problem for  $XY_4$  systems. We have coded both methods of computing potential energy matrix elements. The more approximate, expansion based, method was used for most

Table 2: Weighting factor  $W$  for the possible combination of symmetrized angular grid points.

$\nu_2$ case	$\nu_4$ case	grid points	$W$
1	1	$\alpha\alpha\gamma\gamma\gamma$	1
1	2	$\alpha\alpha\gamma\gamma\epsilon$	3
1	3	$\alpha\alpha\gamma\delta\delta$	3
1	4	$\alpha\alpha\gamma\delta\epsilon$	6
2	1	$\alpha\beta\gamma\gamma\gamma$	2
2	2	$\alpha\beta\gamma\gamma\epsilon$	6
2	3	$\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\delta$	6
2	4	$\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon$	12

of our test calculations to save time but final answers presented below are based on evaluation of the complete angular potential of Schwenke and Partridge [14]. For testing proposes, we used an approximate Radau angular potential obtained from fitting from the global methane potential function of given by Schwenke and Partridge [14] to expansion in the form

$$V(s_{2a}, s_{2b}, s_{4x}, s_{4y}, s_{4z}) = C_{20000}(s_{2a}^2 + s_{2b}^2) + C_{00200}(s_{4x}^2 + s_{4y}^2 + s_{4z}^2). \quad (31)$$

## 3 Computational details

### 3.1 Redundancy

Redundancies in angular coordinates can occur whenever an atom is bonded to more than three other atoms (or more than two in a plane).  $XY_4$  molecules are prototypes for the redundancy problem which arises because only three independent vectors can be defined in ordinary three dimension space, so that one bond vector may always be written as a linear combination of the other three.

For tetrahedral molecules the relation between the internal vectors can be written using the determinant eq. (5). The six linear combinations of the six  $\omega_{ij}$  define the five symmetrized coordinate variables  $(s_{2a}, s_{2b}, s_{4x}, s_{4y}, s_{4z})$  and a redundancy coordinate variable  $s_r$ . The redundancy coordinate is entirely deter-

mined by the other five, i.e.  $s_r$  is a function  $s_r(s_{2a}, s_{2b}, s_{4x}, s_{4y}$  and  $s_{4z})$ , and it must transform with  $A_1$  symmetry.

There are a number of different approximate approaches to treating the redundancy problem for  $XY_4$  molecules. Halonen [7] expressed the six bond angles as a second-order power series expansion in the five symmetrized coordinates. Raynes *et al.* [8], derived an analytic approximation for  $s_r$  using a Taylor expansion in terms of the symmetrized coordinate variables. These two approaches have so far only been employed for low-lying vibrational states in  $XY_4$  molecules, for which no exact solution of the redundancy problem has been employed. Here we test the Taylor expansion method and a new procedure based on fitting expansion coefficient of  $s_r$ .

Through sixth-order the Taylor expansion for  $s_r$  can be written as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
s_r &= \sum_{n=1}^{15} A_n f_n \\
f_1 &= s_{4x}^2 + s_{4y}^2 + s_{4z}^2 \\
f_2 &= s_{4x} s_{4y} s_{4z} \\
f_3 &= s_{4x}^4 + s_{4y}^4 + s_{4z}^4 \\
f_4 &= (s_{2a}^2 + s_{2b}^2)(s_{4x}^2 + s_{4y}^2 + s_{4z}^2) \\
f_5 &= s_{4x}^2 s_{4y}^2 + s_{4x}^2 s_{4z}^2 + s_{4y}^2 s_{4z}^2 \\
f_6 &= (s_{2a}^3 - 3s_{2a} s_{2b}^2)(s_{4x}^2 + s_{4y}^2 + s_{4z}^2) \\
f_7 &= (s_{2a}^2 + s_{2b}^2) s_{4x} s_{4y} s_{4z} \\
f_8 &= s_{4x} s_{4y} s_{4z} (s_{4x}^2 + s_{4y}^2 + s_{4z}^2) \\
f_9 &= s_{4x}^2 s_{4y}^2 s_{4z}^2 \\
f_{10} &= (s_{4x}^2 + s_{4y}^2 + s_{4z}^2)(s_{4x}^4 + s_{4y}^4 + s_{4z}^4) \\
f_{11} &= (s_{2a}^2 + s_{2b}^2)(s_{4x}^4 + s_{4y}^4 + s_{4z}^4) \\
f_{12} &= (s_{2a}^2 + s_{2b}^2)(s_{4x}^2 s_{4y}^2 + s_{4x}^2 s_{4z}^2 + s_{4y}^2 s_{4z}^2) \\
f_{13} &= (s_{2a}^4 + s_{2b}^4 + 2s_{2a}^2 s_{2b}^2)(s_{4x}^2 + s_{4y}^2 + s_{4z}^2) \\
f_{14} &= s_{2a}(s_{2a}^2 - 3s_{2b}^2)(s_{2a}^2 + s_{2b}^2)(s_{4x}^2 + s_{4y}^2 + s_{4z}^2) \\
f_{15} &= s_{4x}^6 + s_{4y}^6 + s_{4z}^6, \tag{32}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $A_n$  are the coefficients of the expansion and  $f_n$  are basis functions which must have  $A_1$  symmetry. These expressions can be compared with those of Raynes *et al.*[8] whose fourth-order Taylor expansion contained ten terms. Through fourth-order our expression only contains five terms, ie  $n \leq 5$  in eq.(32), since the other lower order terms presented by Raynes *et al.* can be shown to make a zero contribution [16].

Table 3 gives numerical values for the coefficients obtained using Taylor expansions up to second-, fourth- and sixth-order. We actually tested Taylor expansions through tenth-order but found little sign of convergence in this series or our results obtained using them. An alternative approach was therefore adopted and it was decided to fit the  $A_n$  coefficients to computed values of our redundancy coordinate at the points defined by our numerical integration procedure. Table 3 also gives coefficients for our best fit, defined as the one which reproduced  $s_r$  with the smallest standard deviation,  $\sigma$ , for 1000 integration points located about the minimum of the potential. We tested a number of other fits but found our results to be fairly insensitive to the fit employed providing that it was reliable. It should be noted that the expansion coefficients given in Table 3 are molecule independent: although we explicitly consider methane here they should be appropriate for other  $XY_4$  tetrahedral molecules.

We have used the approximate expression of  $s_r$  in our expression for the symmetrized Radau angular kinetic operator (13) and tested the various approximations. Table 4 gives  $A_1$  symmetry energy levels for methane computed using the approximate expansion coefficients for  $s_r$  given in Table 3. The results show that in all cases the effect of the redundancy condition increases significantly with vibrational excitation. For states up to  $6000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  above the ground state, the redundancy condition has a rather minor ( $\sim 0.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) effect on the band origins. For higher states this effect can reach  $30 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Again the results obtained for the lower vibrational levels are fairly insensitive to the method of representing  $s_r$ , but for higher levels significant differences (up to  $10 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) occur between different methods. In general the sixth-order Taylor expansion appears to overestimate the contribution of  $s_r$ . We consider results obtained by fitting  $s_r$  to be much the

Table 3: Coefficients,  $A_n$ , of the expansion of the redundancy coordinates, see eq. (32).

order	Taylor expansion			fit
	2	4	6	6
$A_1$	0.088388	0.088388	0.088388	0.089289
$A_2$		0.353553	0.353553	-0.438848
$A_3$		-0.005064	-0.005064	0.023515
$A_4$		0.008286	0.008286	0.014551
$A_5$		0.174015	0.174015	0.004119
$A_6$			0.004510	-0.153037
$A_7$			0.198874	-0.138866
$A_8$			0.287262	0.225502
$A_9$			0.720486	0.065709
$A_{10}$			0.106242	-0.072155
$A_{11}$			0.003639	0.040529
$A_{12}$			0.116614	-0.062144
$A_{13}$			0.004714	0.547565
$A_{14}$			0.027063	-0.360509
$A_{15}$			-0.008703	-0.008703
$\sigma$	0.12191	0.084142	0.09125	0.019341



most reliable because this expansion reproduces  $s_r$  much more accurately.

## 3.2 Basis set convergence

Table 5 shows convergence of our calculations with increasing basis set size for an  $A_1$  symmetry calculation on methane. The energy levels of other symmetry show similar behaviour on the size of the highest Jacobi polynomial used in each one dimensional bending basis set,  $N$ . The results were computed using the  $M = N + 3$  rule [15] for numerical quadrature, meaning that for  $N = 20$  we used 23 quadrature points per coordinate, and using the fitted expression for  $s_r$ .

Table 5 shows that  $N$  should be at least 20 to get converged results for the higher bending states. With  $N = 20$ , the dimension of the  $A_1$  secular matrix is 2405. Comparing the  $N = 20$  and  $N = 18$  calculations shows that most energies below  $15500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , the lowest 100  $A_1$  bending states, are converged to within  $0.3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . There are 4 exceptions to this, all of which are shown in Table 5 ( $I = 66, 70, 72$  and  $74$ ), whose energies are only converged to within  $3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . For the lowest 60 states, which lie up  $10,000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  above the ground state,  $N = 18$  is sufficient to get good convergence. Tests showed similar convergence behaviour for calculations with  $A_2$ , E,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  symmetry.

## 4 Results

Using a Jacobi polynomials basis set defined by  $N = 18$  gives secular problems of dimension 1550, 1355, 2898, 4063 and 4235 for  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , E,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  symmetries respectively. This basis is sufficient to converge the results presented to within  $0.3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . These calculations used a fully coupled angular potential function, as a result they took one week on one processor of a 250 MHz DEC alpha DS20 workstation. It should be noted that potential evaluations were performed only for the  $A_1$  calculations and then reused for the other symmetries since they shared the same quadrature grid. The calculations were performed using the *ab initio* methane potential calculated by Schwenke and Partridge [14]. Table 6 only

Table 4: Absolute energies of the  $A_1$  bending vibrational state number  $I$  in  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  as a function of expansion used for the redundancy coordinate,  $s_r$ . Energies are given relative to the  $s_r = 0$  calculation, which neglects the redundancy condition.

order	$s_r = 0$	Taylor expansion			fit
	0	2	4	6	6
$I = 1$	3431.274	0.140	0.274	0.306	0.343
2	5984.535	0.454	0.916	1.177	1.039
3	6440.637	0.255	0.283	0.302	0.401
4	7222.189	1.112	1.610	1.942	2.137
5	7445.158	0.568	0.916	0.902	1.205
6	7896.552	0.210	0.281	0.303	0.302
7	8409.484	1.526	2.414	2.358	3.638
8	8489.778	1.236	2.245	3.269	2.339
9	8886.749	0.573	0.898	1.079	1.113
10	8955.060	0.668	0.952	1.191	1.175
11	9380.661	0.301	0.304	0.267	0.532
21	10949.659	2.883	4.832	7.928	4.499
31	11969.963	5.922	7.424	7.976	12.498
41	12789.834	1.318	2.843	2.491	3.827
51	13366.135	5.905	9.527	17.469	7.710
61	13982.622	2.891	4.417	4.089	6.366
71	14459.499	1.581	1.892	1.939	2.320
81	14743.727	5.818	7.348	11.539	8.779
91	15138.463	4.621	6.344	6.927	7.970
101	15463.334	2.802	4.199	5.867	4.930
121	16023.862	5.270	9.086	14.585	10.359
141	16610.863	5.325	4.525	5.983	5.194
161	17053.193	2.947	6.751	7.869	4.988
181	17468.922	1.299	6.444	8.723	7.754
201	17872.361	5.234	5.013	21.564	18.944
221	18176.821	12.735	16.334	18.637	21.182
241	18529.023	3.327	2.753	3.159	3.414
261	18867.521	17.947	20.188	19.422	20.635
281	19192.338	14.180	20.614	25.141	27.550
301	19396.017	12.982	16.545	21.171	24.859
321	19664.849	3.042	5.658	3.921	7.641
341	19891.067	-0.298	4.169	3.221	3.602
360	20145.897	3.860	19.458	15.1918	22.465

Table 5:  $A_1$  bending vibrational state number  $I$  in  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  as a function of one-dimensional basis set size,  $N$ . Results expansion used for the redundancy coordinate,  $s_r$ . Absolute energies are given for  $N = 20$ ; for  $N < 20$  results are given as the difference with the  $N = 20$  result.

$I$	20	18	17	16	15
1	3430.93	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11	9380.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
21	10945.11	0.01	0.04	0.05	0.14
31	11956.91	0.12	0.44	0.59	1.03
41	12785.98	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.07
51	13357.46	0.17	0.79	0.81	1.35
61	13976.08	0.03	0.15	0.18	0.65
66	14215.41	2.87	6.91	1.35	0.42
70	14317.19	2.17	7.71	6.61	12.38
71	14457.15	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.14
72	14463.83	1.65	4.78	3.95	10.37
75	14551.37	1.39	4.47	4.64	10.49
81	14732.99	0.39	1.56	1.81	4.51
91	15129.37	0.22	0.91	1.32	2.55
94	15232.15	0.08	0.41	0.36	2.34

considers pure bending states whose experimental band origins are known; a full set of calculated bending vibrational band origins for methane, covering all 5 symmetries, has been placed in the journal archive.

Schwenke and Partridge’s calculations [14], also given in Table 6, include full bend-stretch coupling. This is undoubtedly the major difference between their results and ours. It can be seen that for methane, as was already concluded from our stretch-only calculations presented in I, bend-stretch coupling produces significant shifts in the vibrational band origins. The importance of these stretch-bend coupling effects for methane means that little is to be gained from a direct comparison of our results to the experimental data. However we note that our method is capable of getting converged results over the entire range of energies for which experimental data are available, which extends to over  $25,000\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . This suggests that with the inclusion of stretch-bend coupling this procedure should be capable of addressing problems which cannot be tackled by other variational approaches.

## 5 Conclusions

We have developed a fully symmetrized, general variational method for treating the bend-only vibrational motions of  $XY_4$  systems. Particularly important for computational efficiency is our procedure for computing matrix elements over the potential energy surface by considering only the unique points on the surface. Our method includes explicit consideration of the redundancy coordinates, albeit with some approximation. We find that for methane including this redundancy condition accurately is essential for bending vibrational states lying  $6000\text{ cm}^{-1}$  or more above the ground state.

Test calculations performed on methane extend very considerably the range of bending states for which converged variational results have been obtained. Comparison of our results with previous low energy studies confirms our previous conclusion [2] that stretch-bend coupling is strong in methane. The results reported here for the bending motions, and in the previous paper [2] for the

Table 6: Band origins, in  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , of the bending vibrational states of methane using the potential energy surface of Schwenke and Partridge [14].

$\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3\nu_4$	observed	[14]	this work
0001	1310.76 [17]	1311.76	1288.59
0100	1533.33 [17]	1533.26	1443.12
0002	2587.04 [17]	2589.92	2451.29
0002	2614.26 [17]	2616.30	2542.08
0002	2624.62 [17]	2627.40	2720.34
0101	2830.32 [17]	2831.77	2792.49
0101	2846.08 [17]	2847.04	2868.45
0200	3063.65 [17]	3063.74	2916.06
0200	3065.14 [17]	3065.74	3255.06
0003	3870.49 [18]	3878.34	3726.43
0003	3909.18 [18]	3917.83	3927.77
0003	3920.50 [18]	3929.98	3773.67
0003	3930.50 [18]	3940.98	3836.44
0102	4104.61 [18]	4109.08	4065.97
0102	4128.72 [18]	4136.63	3894.45
0102	4133.02 [18]	4140.19	4205.02
0102	4142.86 [18]	4150.21	3987.77
0102	4151.22 [18]	4159.19	4126.59
0102	4161.87 [18]	4169.73	3947.02
0201	4348.70 [18]	4355.27	4374.71
0201	4363.59 [18]	4369.53	4395.11
0300	4592.00 [18]	4599.15	4649.99
0300	4595.27 [18]	4599.15	4640.74
0300	4595.45 [18]	4599.45	4696.99

stretching motion represent the first steps in our development of a general fully coupled, symmetrized, variational procedure for  $XY_4$  systems appropriate for treating much higher levels of vibrational excitation than have been considered so far. We are presently working on a general computer program to use these stretching and bending results as a basis for a fully coupled, nine-dimensional calculations; results of which will be reported in due course.

## Acknowledgements

We thank a number of people for helpful discussions during the course of this work including Paul Dando, Mark Law, Oleg Polyansky and David Schwenke. This work has been supported by a Joint Project grant from The Royal Society and by Sichuan Youth Science grants.

## References

- [1] Polyansky, O.L., Zobov, N.F., Viti, S., Tennyson, J., Bernath, P.F., and Wallace, L., 1997, *Science*, **277**, 346.
- [2] Xie J.K. and Tennyson, J., 2002, *Molec. Phys.*, **??**, ??.
- [3] Wilson, E.B. Jr., Decius, J.C., and Cross, P.C., 1980, *Molecular Vibrations*, (New York, Dover), section 4.8.
- [4] Light, J.C., and Carrington, T. Jr., 2000, *Adv. Chem. Phys.*, **114**, 263.
- [5] Tennyson, J., Henderson, J. R., and Fulton, N. G., 1995, *Computer Phys. Comms.*, **86**, 175.
- [6] Simanouti, T., 1949, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **17**, 245.
- [7] Halonen, L., 1997, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **106**, 831.
- [8] Raynes, W. T., Lazzeretti, P., Zanasi, R., Sadlej, A. J., and Fowler, P. W., 1987, *Molec. Phys.*, **60**, 509.

- [9] Wang, X. G., and Sibert III, E. L., 1999, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **111**, 4510.
- [10] Mladenovic, M., 2000, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **113**, 10525.
- [11] Hougen, J. T., 1977, *Intern. Rev. Sci.: Phys. Chem. 2*, **3**, 1.
- [12] Partridge, H., and Schwenke, D. W., 1997, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **102**, 4618.
- [13] Carter, S., and Bowman, J. M., 2000, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **104**, 2355.
- [14] Schwenke, D. W., and Partridge, H., 2001, *Spectrochim Acta A*, **57**, 887.
- [15] Tennyson, J., and Sutcliffe, B. T., 1982, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **77**, 4601.
- [16] Law, M. M, 2001, , , Private communication.
- [17] Venuti, E., Halonen, L. and Valle, R. G., 1999, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **110**, 7339.
- [18] Hilico, J. C., Robert, O., Loete, M., Toumi, S., Pine, A. S., and Brown, L. R., 2001, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, **208**, 1.