## Measurement of the Ratios of Branching Fractions $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to D_s^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-)/\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to D^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-)$ and $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to D_s^- \pi^+)/\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to D^- \pi^+)$

 A. Abulencia,<sup>23</sup> J. Adelman,<sup>13</sup> T. Affolder,<sup>10</sup> T. Akimoto,<sup>55</sup> M. G. Albrow,<sup>16</sup> D. Ambrose,<sup>16</sup> S. Amerio,<sup>43</sup> D. Amidei,<sup>34</sup> A. Anastassov,<sup>52</sup> K. Anikeev,<sup>16</sup> A. Annovi,<sup>18</sup> J. Antos,<sup>1</sup> M. Aoki,<sup>55</sup> G. Apollinari,<sup>16</sup> J.-F. Arguin,<sup>33</sup> T. Arisawa,<sup>57</sup> A. Artikov,<sup>14</sup> W. Ashmanskas,<sup>16</sup> A. Attal,<sup>8</sup> F. Azfar,<sup>42</sup> P. Azzi-Bacchetta,<sup>43</sup> P. Azzurri,<sup>46</sup> N. Bacchetta,<sup>43</sup> W. Badgett,<sup>16</sup> A. Barbaro-Galtieri,<sup>28</sup> V. E. Barnes,<sup>48</sup> B. A. Barnett,<sup>24</sup> S. Baroiant,<sup>7</sup> V. Bartsch,<sup>30</sup> G. Bauer,<sup>32</sup> F. Bedeschi,<sup>46</sup> S. Behari,<sup>24</sup> A. Barbaro-Galtieri,<sup>25</sup> V. E. Barnes,<sup>48</sup> B. A. Barnett,<sup>24</sup> S. Baroiant,<sup>7</sup> V. Bartsch,<sup>50</sup> G. Bauer,<sup>52</sup> F. Bedeschi,<sup>40</sup> S. Behari,<sup>24</sup> S. Belforte,<sup>54</sup> G. Bellettini,<sup>46</sup> J. Bellinger,<sup>59</sup> A. Belloni,<sup>32</sup> D. Benjamin,<sup>15</sup> A. Beretvas,<sup>16</sup> J. Beringer,<sup>28</sup> T. Berry,<sup>29</sup> A. Bhatti,<sup>50</sup> M. Binkley,<sup>16</sup> D. Bisello,<sup>43</sup> R. E. Blair,<sup>2</sup> C. Blocker,<sup>6</sup> B. Blumenfeld,<sup>24</sup> A. Bocci,<sup>15</sup> A. Bodek,<sup>49</sup> V. Boisvert,<sup>49</sup> G. Bolla,<sup>48</sup> A. Bolshov,<sup>32</sup> D. Bortoletto,<sup>48</sup> J. Boudreau,<sup>47</sup> A. Boveia,<sup>10</sup> B. Brau,<sup>10</sup> L. Brigliadori,<sup>5</sup> C. Bromberg,<sup>35</sup> E. Brubaker,<sup>13</sup> J. Budagov,<sup>14</sup> H. S. Budd,<sup>49</sup> S. Budd,<sup>23</sup> S. Budroni,<sup>46</sup> K. Burkett,<sup>16</sup> G. Busetto,<sup>43</sup> P. Bussey,<sup>20</sup> K. L. Byrum,<sup>2</sup> S. Cabrera,<sup>15</sup> M. Campanelli,<sup>19</sup> M. Campbell,<sup>34</sup> F. Canelli,<sup>16</sup> A. Canepa,<sup>48</sup> S. Carillo,<sup>17</sup> D. Carlsmith,<sup>59</sup> R. Carosi,<sup>46</sup> M. Casarsa,<sup>54</sup> A. Castro,<sup>5</sup> P. Catastini,<sup>46</sup> D. Cauz,<sup>54</sup> M. Cavalli-Sforza,<sup>3</sup> A. Cerri,<sup>28</sup> L. Cerrito,<sup>42</sup> S. H. Chang,<sup>27</sup> Y. C. Chen,<sup>1</sup> M. Chertok,<sup>7</sup> G. Chiarelli,<sup>46</sup> G. Chlachidze,<sup>14</sup> F. Chlebana,<sup>16</sup> I. Cho,<sup>27</sup> K. Cho,<sup>27</sup> D. Chokheli,<sup>14</sup> J. P. Chou,<sup>21</sup> G. Chu, and S. Chiarelli,<sup>46</sup> G. Chlachidze,<sup>14</sup> F. Chlebana,<sup>16</sup> I. Cho,<sup>27</sup> K. Cho,<sup>27</sup> D. Chokheli,<sup>14</sup> J. P. Chou,<sup>21</sup> S. Chu,<sup>44</sup> S. Chu,<sup>49</sup> S. Chu,<sup>44</sup> S. Cho,<sup>44</sup> S. Cho,<sup>44</sup> S. Cho,<sup>44</sup> S. Cho,<sup>44</sup> S. Cho,<sup>44</sup> S. H. Chang,<sup>45</sup> S. Chu,<sup>44</sup> S. Chu,<sup>45</sup> S. Chu,<sup>46</sup> S. Cho,<sup>46</sup> S. Chu,<sup>46</sup> S. Y. C. Chen,<sup>1</sup> M. Chertok,<sup>7</sup> G. Chiarelli,<sup>46</sup> G. Chlachidze,<sup>14</sup> F. Chlebana,<sup>16</sup> I. Cho,<sup>27</sup> K. Cho,<sup>27</sup> D. Chokheli,<sup>14</sup> J. P. Chou,<sup>21</sup> G. Choudalakis,<sup>32</sup> S. H. Chuang,<sup>59</sup> K. Chung,<sup>12</sup> W. H. Chung,<sup>59</sup> Y. S. Chung,<sup>49</sup> M. Ciljak,<sup>46</sup> C. I. Ciobanu,<sup>23</sup> M. A. Ciocci,<sup>46</sup> A. Clark,<sup>19</sup> D. Clark,<sup>6</sup> M. Coca,<sup>15</sup> G. Compostella,<sup>43</sup> M. E. Convery,<sup>50</sup> J. Conway,<sup>7</sup> B. Cooper,<sup>35</sup> K. Copic,<sup>34</sup> M. Cordelli,<sup>18</sup> G. Cortiana,<sup>43</sup> F. Crescioli,<sup>46</sup> C. Luenca Almenar,<sup>7</sup> J. Cuevas,<sup>11</sup> R. Culbertson,<sup>16</sup> J. C. Cully,<sup>34</sup> D. Cyr,<sup>59</sup> S. DaRonco,<sup>43</sup> S. D'Auria,<sup>20</sup> T. Davies,<sup>20</sup> M. D'Onofrio,<sup>3</sup> D. Dagenhart,<sup>6</sup> P. de Barbaro,<sup>49</sup> S. De Cecco,<sup>51</sup> A. Deisher,<sup>28</sup> G. De Lentdecker,<sup>49</sup> M. Dell'Orso,<sup>46</sup> F. Delli Paoli,<sup>43</sup> L. Demortier,<sup>50</sup> J. Deng,<sup>15</sup> M. Deninno,<sup>5</sup> D. De Pedis,<sup>51</sup> P. F. Derwent,<sup>16</sup> G. P. Di Giovanni,<sup>44</sup> C. Dionisi,<sup>51</sup> B. Di Ruzza,<sup>54</sup> J. R. Dittmann,<sup>4</sup> P. DiTuro,<sup>52</sup> C. Dörr,<sup>25</sup> S. Donati,<sup>46</sup> M. Donega,<sup>19</sup> P. Dong,<sup>8</sup> J. Donini,<sup>43</sup> T. Dorigo,<sup>43</sup> S. Dube,<sup>52</sup> J. Efron,<sup>39</sup> R. Erbacher,<sup>7</sup> D. Errede,<sup>23</sup> S. Errede,<sup>23</sup> R. Eusebi,<sup>16</sup> H. C. Fang,<sup>28</sup> S. Farrington,<sup>29</sup> I. Fedorko,<sup>46</sup> W. T. Fedorko,<sup>13</sup> R. G. Feild,<sup>60</sup> M. Feindt,<sup>25</sup> J. P. Fernandez,<sup>31</sup> R. Field,<sup>17</sup> G. Elanagan <sup>48</sup> A. Foland <sup>21</sup> S. Forrester <sup>7</sup> G. W. Foster <sup>16</sup> M. Franklin <sup>21</sup> I. C. Freeman <sup>28</sup> L. Euric,<sup>13</sup> M. Gallinaro,<sup>50</sup> H. C. Fang,<sup>26</sup> S. Farrington,<sup>27</sup> I. Fedorko,<sup>16</sup> W. T. Fedorko,<sup>16</sup> R. G. Feild,<sup>36</sup> M. Feindt,<sup>25</sup> J. P. Fernandez,<sup>37</sup> R. Field,<sup>17</sup> G. Flanagan,<sup>48</sup> A. Foland,<sup>21</sup> S. Forrester,<sup>7</sup> G. W. Foster,<sup>16</sup> M. Franklin,<sup>21</sup> J. C. Freeman,<sup>28</sup> I. Furic,<sup>13</sup> M. Gallinaro,<sup>50</sup> J. Galyardt,<sup>12</sup> J. E. Garcia,<sup>46</sup> F. Garberson,<sup>10</sup> A. F. Garfinkel,<sup>48</sup> C. Gay,<sup>60</sup> H. Gerberich,<sup>23</sup> D. Gerdes,<sup>34</sup> S. Giagu,<sup>51</sup> P. Giannetti,<sup>46</sup> A. Gibson,<sup>28</sup> K. Gibson,<sup>47</sup> J. L. Gimmell,<sup>49</sup> C. Ginsburg,<sup>16</sup> N. Giokaris,<sup>14</sup> M. Giordani,<sup>54</sup> P. Giromini,<sup>18</sup> M. Giunta,<sup>46</sup> G. Giurgiu,<sup>12</sup> V. Glagolev,<sup>14</sup> D. Glenzinski,<sup>16</sup> M. Gold,<sup>37</sup> N. Goldschmidt,<sup>17</sup> J. Goldstein,<sup>42</sup> G. Gomez,<sup>11</sup> G. Gomez-Ceballos,<sup>11</sup> M. Goncharov,<sup>53</sup> O. González,<sup>31</sup> I. Gorelov,<sup>37</sup> A. T. Goshaw,<sup>15</sup> K. Goulianos,<sup>50</sup> A. Gresele,<sup>43</sup> M. Griffiths,<sup>29</sup> S. Grinstein,<sup>21</sup> C. Grosso-Pilcher,<sup>13</sup> R. C. Group,<sup>17</sup> U. Grundler,<sup>23</sup> J. Guimaraes da Costa,<sup>21</sup> M. Griffiths,<sup>29</sup> S. Grinstein,<sup>21</sup> C. Grosso-Pilcher,<sup>13</sup> R. C. Group,<sup>17</sup> U. Grundler,<sup>23</sup> J. Guimaraes da Costa,<sup>21</sup>
Z. Gunay-Unalan,<sup>35</sup> C. Haber,<sup>28</sup> K. Hahn,<sup>32</sup> S. R. Hahn,<sup>16</sup> E. Halkiadakis,<sup>52</sup> A. Hamilton,<sup>33</sup> B.-Y. Han,<sup>49</sup> J. Y. Han,<sup>49</sup>
R. Handler,<sup>59</sup> F. Happacher,<sup>18</sup> K. Hara,<sup>55</sup> M. Hare,<sup>56</sup> S. Harper,<sup>42</sup> R. F. Harr,<sup>58</sup> R. M. Harris,<sup>16</sup> M. Hartz,<sup>47</sup>
K. Hatakeyama,<sup>50</sup> J. Hauser,<sup>8</sup> A. Heijboer,<sup>45</sup> B. Heinemann,<sup>29</sup> J. Heinrich,<sup>45</sup> C. Henderson,<sup>32</sup> M. Herndon,<sup>59</sup> J. Heuser,<sup>25</sup>
D. Hidas,<sup>15</sup> C. S. Hill,<sup>10</sup> D. Hirschbuehl,<sup>25</sup> A. Hocker,<sup>16</sup> A. Holloway,<sup>21</sup> S. Hou,<sup>1</sup> M. Houlden,<sup>29</sup> S.-C. Hsu,<sup>9</sup>
B. T. Huffman,<sup>42</sup> R. E. Hughes,<sup>39</sup> U. Husemann,<sup>60</sup> J. Huston,<sup>35</sup> J. Incandela,<sup>10</sup> G. Introzzi,<sup>46</sup> M. Iori,<sup>51</sup> Y. Ishizawa,<sup>55</sup>
A. Ivanov,<sup>7</sup> B. Iyutin,<sup>32</sup> E. James,<sup>16</sup> D. Jang,<sup>52</sup> B. Jayatilaka,<sup>34</sup> D. Jeans,<sup>51</sup> H. Jensen,<sup>16</sup> E. J. Jeon,<sup>27</sup> S. Jindariani,<sup>17</sup>
M. Jones,<sup>48</sup> K. K. Joo,<sup>27</sup> S. Y. Jun,<sup>12</sup> J. E. Jung,<sup>27</sup> T. R. Junk,<sup>23</sup> T. Kamon,<sup>53</sup> P.E. Karchin,<sup>58</sup> Y. Kato,<sup>41</sup> Y. Kemp,<sup>25</sup>
R. Kephart,<sup>16</sup> U. Kerzel,<sup>25</sup> V. Khotilovich,<sup>53</sup> B. Kilminster,<sup>39</sup> D.H. Kim,<sup>27</sup> H.S. Kim,<sup>27</sup> J. E. Kim,<sup>27</sup> M.J. Kim,<sup>12</sup>
S. B. Kim,<sup>27</sup> S. H. Kim,<sup>55</sup> Y. K. Kim,<sup>13</sup> N. Kimura,<sup>55</sup> L. Kirsch,<sup>6</sup> S. Klimenko,<sup>17</sup> M. Klute,<sup>32</sup> B. Knuteson,<sup>32</sup> B. R. Ko,<sup>15</sup>
K. Kondo,<sup>57</sup> D.J. Kong,<sup>27</sup> J. Konigsberg,<sup>17</sup> A. Korytov,<sup>17</sup> A. V. Kotwal,<sup>15</sup> A. Kovalev,<sup>45</sup> A. C. Kraan,<sup>45</sup> J. Kraus,<sup>23</sup>
I. Kravchenko,<sup>32</sup> M. Kreps,<sup>25</sup> J. Kroll,<sup>45</sup> N. Krumnack,<sup>4</sup> M. Kruse,<sup>15</sup> V. Krutelyov,<sup>10</sup> T. Kubo,<sup>55</sup> S. E. Kuhlmann,<sup>2</sup>
T. Kuhr,<sup>25</sup> Y. Kusakabe,<sup>57</sup> S. Kwang,<sup>13</sup> A. T. Laasanen,<sup>48</sup> S. Lai,<sup>33</sup> S. Lammi,<sup>46</sup> S. Lammel,<sup>16</sup> M. Lancaster,<sup>30</sup> R. L. Lander,<sup>7</sup>
K. Lannon,<sup>39</sup> A. Lath,<sup>52</sup> G. Latino,<sup>46</sup> I. Lazzizzera,<sup>43</sup> T. LeCompte,<sup>2</sup> J. Lee,<sup>49</sup> J. Lee,<sup>27</sup> Y. J. Lee,<sup>53</sup> R. Lefèvre,<sup>3</sup> N. Leonardo,<sup>32</sup> S. Leone,<sup>46</sup> S. Levy,<sup>13</sup> J. D. Lewis,<sup>16</sup> C. Lin,<sup>60</sup> C. S. Lin,<sup>16</sup> M. C. Marino,<sup>25</sup> C. P. Marino,<sup>23</sup> A. Martin,<sup>60</sup> M. Martin,<sup>20</sup> V. Martin,<sup>20</sup> M. Martínez,<sup>3</sup> T. Maruyama,<sup>55</sup> P. Mastrandrea,<sup>51</sup> T. Masubuchi,<sup>55</sup> H. Matsunaga,<sup>55</sup> M. E. Mattson,<sup>58</sup> R. Mazini,<sup>33</sup> P. Mazzanti,<sup>5</sup> K. S. McFarland,<sup>49</sup> P. McIntyre,<sup>53</sup> R. McNulty,<sup>29</sup> A. Mehta,<sup>29</sup> P. Mehtala,<sup>22</sup> S. Menzemer,<sup>11</sup> A. Menzione,<sup>46</sup> P. Merkel,<sup>48</sup> C. Mesropian,<sup>50</sup> A. Messina,<sup>35</sup> T. Miao,<sup>16</sup> N. Miladinovic,<sup>6</sup> J. Miles,<sup>32</sup> R. Miller,<sup>35</sup> C. Mills,<sup>10</sup> M. Milnik,<sup>25</sup> A. Mitra,<sup>1</sup> G. Mitselmakher,<sup>17</sup> A. Miyamoto,<sup>26</sup> S. Moed,<sup>19</sup> N. Moggi,<sup>5</sup> B. Mohr,<sup>8</sup> R. Moore,<sup>16</sup> M. Morello,<sup>46</sup> P. Movilla Fernandez,<sup>28</sup> J. Mülmenstädt,<sup>28</sup> A. Mukherjee,<sup>16</sup>

Th. Muller,<sup>25</sup> R. Mumford,<sup>24</sup> P. Murat,<sup>16</sup> J. Nachtman,<sup>16</sup> A. Nagano,<sup>55</sup> J. Naganoma,<sup>57</sup> I. Nakano,<sup>40</sup> A. Napier,<sup>56</sup>
 V. Necula,<sup>17</sup> C. Neu,<sup>45</sup> M. S. Neubauer,<sup>9</sup> J. Nielsen,<sup>28</sup> T. Nigmanov,<sup>47</sup> L. Nodulman,<sup>2</sup> O. Norniella,<sup>3</sup> E. Nurse,<sup>30</sup> S. H. Oh,<sup>15</sup>
 Y. D. Oh,<sup>27</sup> I. Oksuzian,<sup>17</sup> T. Okusawa,<sup>41</sup> R. Oldeman,<sup>29</sup> R. Orava,<sup>22</sup> K. Osterberg,<sup>22</sup> C. Pagliarone,<sup>46</sup> E. Palencia,<sup>11</sup>
 V. Papadimitriou,<sup>16</sup> A. A. Paramonov,<sup>13</sup> B. Parks,<sup>39</sup> S. Pashapour,<sup>33</sup> J. Patrick,<sup>16</sup> G. Pauletta,<sup>54</sup> M. Paulini,<sup>12</sup> C. Paus,<sup>32</sup>
 D. E. Pellett, 7 A. Penzo,<sup>54</sup> T. J. Phillips,<sup>15</sup> G. Piacentino,<sup>46</sup> J. Piedra,<sup>44</sup> L. Pinera,<sup>17</sup> K. Pitts,<sup>23</sup> C. Plager,<sup>8</sup> L. Pondrom,<sup>59</sup>
 X. Portell,<sup>3</sup> O. Poukhov,<sup>14</sup> N. Pounder,<sup>42</sup> F. Prakoshyn,<sup>14</sup> A. Pronko,<sup>16</sup> J. Proudfoot,<sup>7</sup> F. Penton,<sup>42</sup> M. Rescigno,<sup>51</sup>
 S. Richter,<sup>25</sup> F. Rimondi,<sup>5</sup> L. Ristori,<sup>46</sup> A. Robson,<sup>20</sup> T. Rodrigo,<sup>11</sup> E. Rogers,<sup>23</sup> S. Rolli,<sup>56</sup> R. Roser,<sup>16</sup> M. Rossi,<sup>54</sup>
 R. Rossin,<sup>17</sup> A. Ruiz,<sup>11</sup> J. Ruus,<sup>12</sup> V. Rusu,<sup>13</sup> H. Saarikko,<sup>22</sup> S. Sabik,<sup>33</sup> A. Safonov,<sup>53</sup> W. K. Sakumoto,<sup>40</sup> G. Salamanna,<sup>51</sup>
 O. Saltó,<sup>3</sup> D. Saltzberg,<sup>8</sup> C. Sánchez,<sup>3</sup> L. Santi,<sup>54</sup> S. Sarkar,<sup>51</sup> L. Sartori,<sup>46</sup> M. Schmitt,<sup>38</sup> T. Schwarz,<sup>11</sup> A. L. Scottl<sup>10</sup>
 A. Scribano,<sup>46</sup> F. Scuri,<sup>46</sup> A. Sedov,<sup>48</sup> S. Seidel,<sup>37</sup> Y. Seiya,<sup>41</sup> A. Semenov,<sup>14</sup> L. Sexton-Kennedy,<sup>16</sup> A. Sfyrla,<sup>19</sup>
 M. D. Shapiro,<sup>28</sup> T. Shears,<sup>29</sup> P. F. Shepard,<sup>47</sup> D. Sherman,<sup>21</sup> M. Shimojima,<sup>55</sup> M. Shochet,<sup>13</sup> Y. Shon,<sup>59</sup> I. Shreyter,<sup>36</sup>
 A. Sidoti,<sup>46</sup> P. Sinervo,<sup>33</sup> A. Staveris-Polykalas,<sup>46</sup> R. St. Denis,<sup>60</sup> D. Stelzer,<sup>8</sup> O. Stelzer-Chilton,<sup>42</sup> D. Stertz,<sup>38</sup>
 J. Strologas,<sup>37</sup> D. Stuart,<sup>10</sup> J. S. Suh,<sup>47</sup> A. Suhanov,<sup>17</sup> H. Sun,<sup>56</sup> J. Sugalding, <sup>16</sup> F. Spinella,<sup>46</sup> T. Spreitzer,<sup>31</sup>
 P. Squillacioti,<sup>46</sup> M. Stanirizki,<sup>60</sup> A. Staveris-Polykalas,<sup>46</sup> R. St. Denis,<sup>50</sup> M. Stalerer,<sup>8</sup> O. Stelzer-Chilton,<sup>42</sup>

## (CDF Collaboration)

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan 11529, Republic of China

<sup>2</sup>Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA

<sup>3</sup>Institut de Fisica d'Altes Energies, Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona, E-08193, Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain

<sup>4</sup>Baylor University, Waco, Texas 76798, USA

<sup>5</sup>Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, University of Bologna, I-40127 Bologna, Italy

<sup>6</sup>Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts 02254, USA

<sup>7</sup>University of California, Davis, Davis, California 95616, USA

<sup>8</sup>University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90024, USA

<sup>9</sup>University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA

<sup>10</sup>University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA

<sup>11</sup>Instituto de Fisica de Cantabria, CSIC-University of Cantabria, 39005 Santander, Spain

<sup>12</sup>Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, USA

<sup>13</sup>Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA

<sup>14</sup>Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, RU-141980 Dubna, Russia

<sup>15</sup>Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, USA

<sup>16</sup>Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510, USA

<sup>17</sup>University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611, USA

<sup>18</sup>Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, I-00044 Frascati, Italy

<sup>19</sup>University of Geneva, CH-1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland

<sup>20</sup>Glasgow University, Glasgow G12 8QQ, United Kingdom

<sup>21</sup>Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA

<sup>22</sup>Division of High Energy Physics, Department of Physics, University of Helsinki and Helsinki Institute of Physics,

FIN-00014, Helsinki, Finland

<sup>23</sup>University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA

<sup>24</sup>The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218, USA

<sup>25</sup>Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Universität Karlsruhe, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

<sup>26</sup>High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan

<sup>27</sup>Center for High Energy Physics: Kyungpook National University, Taegu 702-701, Korea;

Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea;

and SungKyunKwan University, Suwon 440-746, Korea

<sup>28</sup>Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

<sup>29</sup>University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZE, United Kingdom

<sup>30</sup>University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom

<sup>31</sup>Centro de Investigaciones Energeticas Medioambientales y Tecnologicas, E-28040 Madrid, Spain

<sup>32</sup>Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA

<sup>33</sup>Institute of Particle Physics: McGill University, Montréal, Canada H3A 2T8;

and University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada M5S 1A7

<sup>34</sup>University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA

<sup>35</sup>Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA

<sup>36</sup>Institution for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, ITEP, Moscow 117259, Russia

<sup>37</sup>University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131, USA

<sup>38</sup>Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA

<sup>39</sup>The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA

<sup>40</sup>Okayama University, Okayama 700-8530, Japan

<sup>41</sup>Osaka City University, Osaka 588, Japan

<sup>42</sup>University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3RH, United Kingdom

<sup>43</sup>University of Padova, Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Padova-Trento, I-35131 Padova, Italy

<sup>44</sup>LPNHE, Universite Pierre et Marie Curie/IN2P3-CNRS, UMR7585, Paris, F-75252 France

<sup>45</sup>University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, USA

<sup>46</sup>Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare Pisa, Universities of Pisa, Siena and Scuola Normale Superiore, I-56127 Pisa, Italy

<sup>47</sup>University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260, USA

<sup>48</sup>Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, USA

<sup>49</sup>University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627, USA

<sup>50</sup>The Rockefeller University, New York, New York 10021, USA

<sup>51</sup>Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Roma 1, University of Rome "La Sapienza," I-00185 Roma, Italy

<sup>52</sup>Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey 08855, USA

<sup>53</sup>Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, USA

<sup>54</sup>Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, University of Trieste/Udine, Italy

<sup>55</sup>University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan

<sup>56</sup>Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts 02155, USA

<sup>57</sup>Waseda University, Tokyo 169, Japan

<sup>58</sup>Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48201, USA

<sup>59</sup>University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA

<sup>60</sup>Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520, USA

(Received 16 October 2006; published 6 February 2007)

Using 355 pb<sup>-1</sup> of data collected by the CDF II detector in  $p\bar{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$  TeV at the Fermilab Tevatron, we study the fully reconstructed hadronic decays  $B_{(s)}^0 \rightarrow D_{(s)}^- \pi^+$  and  $B_{(s)}^0 \rightarrow D_{(s)}^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ . We present the first measurement of the ratio of branching fractions  $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow D^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-) = 1.05 \pm 0.10(\text{stat}) \pm 0.22(\text{syst})$ . We also update our measurement of  $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \pi^+)/\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow D^- \pi^+)$  to  $1.13 \pm 0.08(\text{stat}) \pm 0.23(\text{syst})$ , improving the statistical uncertainty by more than a factor of 2. We find  $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \pi^+) = [3.8 \pm 0.3(\text{stat}) \pm 1.3(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-3}$  and  $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-) = [8.4 \pm 0.8(\text{stat}) \pm 3.2(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-3}$ .

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.061802

PACS numbers: 13.25.Hw, 14.40.Nd

Hadronic *B* meson decays provide important information on both weak and hadronic interactions of heavy flavored mesons. The dominant hadronic decay modes of the *B* meson involve tree-level diagrams where the  $b \rightarrow c$ transition leads to a charmed meson and a virtual *W* boson, which often emerges as a charged  $\pi$ ,  $\rho$ , or  $a_1(1260)$  meson [1]. The measurement of the ratios of branching fractions  $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to D_s^- \pi^+ [\pi^+ \pi^-])/\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to D^- \pi^+ [\pi^+ \pi^-])$  [2] reveals information about *B* decay mechanisms. One can attempt to separate the contributions of various processes in  $B^0 \to D^- \pi^+$  decay and then predict the  $B_s^0 \to D_s^- \pi^+$ branching fraction using SU(3) [3,4] and further estimate flavor SU(3) symmetry breaking effects [5], which can be sizable [6]. The ratios of branching fractions are expected to be close to 1 if the flavor SU(3) is a valid approximation and the contribution of the subleading diagram in the  $B^0 \rightarrow D^- \pi^+ \lceil \pi^+ \pi^- \rceil$  decay is small.

In this Letter, we present the first measurement of the ratio of branching fractions  $R(D3\pi) = \mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)/\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow D^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)$  using  $D_s^-$  decays to  $\phi\pi^-$ ,  $K^{*0}K^-$ , and  $\pi^-\pi^-\pi^+$  and  $D^-$  decays to  $K^+\pi^-\pi^-$ . We also update our previous [7] measurement of the ratio  $R(D\pi) = \mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^-\pi^+)/\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow D^-\pi^+)$ . We measure the ratios of branching fractions because most of the systematic uncertainties cancel due to the similarity of final state kinematics. The measurement is performed using a sample of inclusive heavy flavor decays, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 355 pb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\bar{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$  TeV.

The components of the CDF II detector relevant for this analysis are briefly described below. A more complete description can be found elsewhere [8]. Charged particle tracks are reconstructed in the pseudorapidity range  $|\eta| \leq$ 1.0, where  $\eta$  is defined as  $-\ln(\theta/2)$ , and  $\theta$  represents the angle between the particle and the proton beam direction [9]. Tracks are reconstructed from hits in the silicon microstrip detector (SVX) and the central outer tracker (COT). Both detectors are inside a 1.4 T solenoidal magnetic field. The SVX detector is composed of L00 (a single layer of silicon placed close to the beam pipe), SVX II (five cylindrical layers of double-sided sensors), and ISL (outermost layer of silicon), providing up to 8 coordinate measurements in the  $r-\phi$  view [10]. Surrounding the SVX is the COT, an open cell drift chamber with 96 layers of sense wires [11]. A sample rich in charm and beauty hadrons is selected by a three-level displaced track trigger. At level 1, tracks are reconstructed in the COT by the track trigger processor (XFT) [12]. The trigger requires two tracks with transverse momenta  $p_T > 2 \text{ GeV}/c$  and the scalar sum  $p_{T1} + p_{T2} > 4.0 \text{ GeV}/c$ . The level 2 silicon vertex tracker [13] associates SVX II  $r-\phi$  position measurements with XFT tracks, providing a precise measurement of the track impact parameter  $(d_0)$ , i.e., the distance of closest approach of the track helix to the beam axis in the transverse plane. Decays of heavy flavor particles are identified by requiring two tracks with 0.12 mm  $< d_0 <$ 1 mm and an opening angle in the transverse plane  $2^{\circ} <$  $|\Delta \phi| < 90^{\circ}$ . A requirement  $L_{xy} > 0.2$  mm is also applied, where  $L_{xy}$  is defined as the distance in the transverse plane from the beam line to the two-track vertex projected onto the two-track momentum vector. The level 3 trigger performs a full event reconstruction applying selection similar to levels 1 and 2 on offline quality quantities.

*B* candidate reconstruction starts with a collection of tracks. No particle identification is explicitly used, and tracks are assumed to be either a pion or a kaon to match the reconstruction hypothesis. A set of unique track combinations making the  $\phi$ ,  $K^{*0}$ ,  $D^-$ ,  $D_s^-$ , and *B* candidates is formed. The track combinations reconstructed in 3 dimen-

sions must be consistent with forming a vertex, and combinations that fall outside a wide mass window around the mass of the respective meson are rejected.

The Monte Carlo simulation is an essential part of this analysis. It is used to optimize the selection cuts, model signal, and background and to study the trigger and reconstruction efficiency. We generate single *B* hadrons with the program BGENERATOR [14]. The *B*-hadron decays are simulated with EVTGEN [15]. This package has been extensively tuned by experiments at the Y(4S) resonance and reflects the measured properties of *B* and *D* meson decays.

The selection requirements used to reject combinatorial background are optimized by maximizing  $S/\sqrt{S+B}$  for each mode individually. The number of signal events (S) is derived from a Monte Carlo simulation of the CDF II detector and trigger. The number of background events  $(\mathcal{B})$  is estimated using data in the high-mass sideband interval  $m(B) + 10\sigma(B)$  to  $m(B) + 16\sigma(B)$ , where m(B)is the fitted mass and  $\sigma(B) \approx 15 \text{ MeV}/c^2$  is the width of the signal peak. This sideband represents the combinatoric background underneath the signal peak. Selection requirements include cuts on the impact parameter of the B meson, the  $\chi^2_{r-\phi}$  [16] of the B vertex fit in the transverse plane, the  $p_T$  of the pion from the B decay in  $B^0_{(s)} \to D^-_{(s)} \pi^+$ , and a minimum  $p_T$  requirement of the tracks for decays with 6 tracks in the final state. We exploit the narrow  $\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-$  resonance and  $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$  resonance to suppress background by requiring 1010 MeV/ $c^2 < m(\phi) < 1029$  MeV/ $c^2$ and 840 MeV/ $c^2 < m(K^{*0}) < 940$  MeV/ $c^2$ . There are also requirements on  $L_{xy}/\sigma(L_{xy})$ —the significance of the measurement of  $L_{xy}$  for B and D vertices.

The assumptions on the relative contributions of resonant  $a_1$  and  $\rho \pi$  and nonresonant  $\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$  in the  $B^0 \rightarrow D^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$  signal affect  $R(D3\pi)$  because Monte Carlo simulation shows that their reconstruction efficiencies differ by as much as 5%. We find that the contributions of the  $\rho \pi$  and nonresonant  $\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$  decays are small. The  $\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$  mass distributions were compared in data between  $B^0$  and  $B_s^0$  mesons and are compatible within statistics, as shown in Fig. 1. The resonant fractions in  $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$  and  $B^0 \rightarrow D^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$  decays are assumed to be identical.

To extract  $R(D3\pi)$  [or, equivalently,  $R(D\pi)$ ], we use the following formula:

$$R(D3\pi) = \frac{f_d}{f_s} \frac{\epsilon(B^0)}{\epsilon(B_s^0)} \frac{\mathcal{B}(D^-)}{\mathcal{B}(D_s^-)} \frac{N(B_s^0)}{N(B^0)},\tag{1}$$

where  $N(B_s^0)$  and  $N(B^0)$  are the measured signal yields,  $\epsilon(B^0)/\epsilon(B_s^0)$  is the ratio of trigger and reconstruction efficiencies extracted from Monte Carlo simulation,  $f_d/f_s$  is the ratio of *b* quark fragmentation fractions into  $B^0$  and  $B_s^0$ mesons, and  $\mathcal{B}(D^-)/\mathcal{B}(D_s^-)$  is the ratio of the world average values for branching fractions of  $D^-$  and  $D_s^$ mesons into the reconstructed final states [17].



FIG. 1 (color online). Comparison of the sideband subtracted mass spectrum of  $\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$  from  $B^0$  and  $B_s^0$  decays. Only the  $D_s^- \rightarrow \phi \pi$  channel is used for  $B_s^0$ . The  $B^0$  histogram is normalized to  $B_s^0$ .

The yields  $N(B_s^0)$  and  $N(B^0)$  are extracted from the mass spectra in Fig. 2 using a binned likelihood fit and are summarized in Table I. In the normalization modes  $B^0 \rightarrow D^- \pi^+$  and  $B^0 \rightarrow D^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$ , we observe  $8098 \pm 114$ (stat) and  $3288 \pm 76$ (stat) signal candidates, respectively. The signal peaks are modeled with a sum of two Gaussians with the same mean values but different widths. The combinatorial background is modeled with a sum of an exponential function and a constant. The shapes of other physics backgrounds are modeled using Monte Carlo simulation, and their parametrization is fixed in the fits to the mass spectra.

There are several backgrounds whose mass distributions peak near the signal region and must be subtracted. They are the Cabibbo-suppressed decays  $B_{(s)}^0 \rightarrow D_{(s)}^- K^+ \pi^- \pi^+$  and misreconstructed baryon decays  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+$  and  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ . The ratio of Cabibbo-suppressed  $B_{(s)}^0 \rightarrow D_{(s)}^- K^+$  background to the corresponding signal was fixed to the world average ratio of branching fractions [17]. The ratio of Cabibbo-suppressed  $B_{(s)}^0 \rightarrow D_{(s)}^- K^+ \pi^- \pi^+$  decay to the signal is fixed to  $|V_{us}|^2/|V_{ud}|^2 \approx 0.05$ . The fraction of  $\Lambda_b$  background was fixed using the recent CDF measurement of  $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-)/\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow D^- \pi^+)$  [18]. In all of the cases, the ratios are corrected for the relative trigger and reconstruction efficiencies.

In the case of the  $B_s^0 \to D_s^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$  decay, there is a reflection from  $B^0 \to D^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ . If one of the pions from a  $D^- \to K^+ \pi^- \pi^-$  decay is reconstructed as a kaon, a peak is produced under the  $B_s^0$  signal region. These events contribute in the  $B_s^0 \to D_s^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ ,  $D_s^- \to K^{*0}K^-$  decay because the  $K^{*0}$  resonance is broad. The fraction of  $B^0 \to D^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$  events under  $B_s^0 \to D_s^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$  events under  $B_s^0 \to D_s^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$  events under the  $B_s^0 \to D_s^- (K^{*0}K^-)\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$  mass distribution is estimated to be 141 ± 6(stat). The systematic uncertainty assigned due to the  $B^0 \to D^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$  back-



FIG. 2 (color online). Mass spectra for (a)  $B_s^0 \to D_s^- \pi^+$ , (b)  $B_s^0 \to D_s^- (\phi \pi^-) \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ , (c)  $B_s^0 \to D_s^- (K^{*0}K^-) \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ , (d)  $B_s^0 \to D_s^- (\pi^- \pi^- \pi^+) \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ , (e)  $B^0 \to D^- \pi^+$ , and (f)  $B^0 \to D^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ . The "other physics" category corresponds to the inclusive  $B \to D_s^- X$  and  $B \to D^- X$  decays.

TABLE I. Summary of event yields, ratios of efficiencies, and individual branching ratio measurements. The uncertainties listed on the yield and the ratio of efficiencies are statistical only.  $\mathcal{B}(D^-)/\mathcal{B}(D_s^-)$  is the ratio of  $\mathcal{B}(D^- \to K^+\pi^-\pi^-)$  to the corresponding branching fraction of the  $D_s^-$  meson  $[\mathcal{B}(D_s^- \to \phi\pi^-, \phi \to K^-K^+), \mathcal{B}(D_s^- \to K^{*0}K^-, K^{*0} \to K^-\pi^+), \text{ or } \mathcal{B}(D_s^- \to \pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)]$ .

stationing fraction of the B		φ <i>#</i> , φ = n = n ), 2	5(2) II II , II	
Decay	Yield	$\epsilon(B_s^0)/\epsilon(B^0)$	$\mathcal{B}(D^-)/\mathcal{B}(D_s^-)$	$f_s/f_d \times BR(B_s^0)/BR(B^0)$
$\overline{D^s(\phi\pi^-)\pi^+}$	$494 \pm 28$	$0.913\pm0.004$	$4.40\pm0.59$	$0.292 \pm 0.020(\text{stat}) \pm 0.012(\text{syst})$
$D^s(\phi\pi^-)\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$	$160 \pm 17$	$0.814 \pm 0.010$	$4.40\pm0.59$	$0.263 \pm 0.029(\text{stat}) \pm 0.018(\text{syst})$
$D^{-}_{s}(K^{*}K^{-})\pi^{+}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$	$90 \pm 17$	$0.352\pm0.009$	$3.80\pm0.77$	$0.274 \pm 0.053(\text{stat}) \pm 0.030(\text{syst})$
$D_s^-(\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$	49 ± 11	$0.397\pm0.009$	$7.80\pm1.50$	$0.293 \pm 0.067(\text{stat}) \pm 0.021(\text{syst})$

ground subtraction is dominant in this channel and is a part of the " $B_s^0$  fit model" (see Table II). For  $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$  with  $D_s^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^-$ , the corresponding  $B^0$ background fraction is very small due to the narrow width of the  $\phi$ . The contamination of the double charm  $B^0 \rightarrow D^- D_s^+$ , with  $D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$ , is estimated to be  $\approx 1\%$  of the  $B^0 \rightarrow D^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$  signal and is subtracted from the measured yield. The contamination of  $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- D_s^+$  in the  $B_s^0$  signal is found to be negligible. Applying a cut on the mass of three pions in the  $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$  decay around the mass of the  $D_s^-$  meson does not change the measured yields.

The systematic uncertainty on the ratio of efficiencies  $\epsilon(B_s^0)/\epsilon(B^0)$  comes from various physics sources. In all cases, the systematics were estimated by observing the change in the ratio of efficiencies when the effect was considered. The effect of the choice of B meson spectrum used by Monte Carlo simulation was determined by reweighting the Monte Carlo events with  $p_T$  spectrum based on next-to-leading order calculations [14] to match the  $p_T$ spectrum measured at CDF [19]. To estimate the systematic uncertainty due to B and D lifetimes, we varied the assumed lifetime of B and D meson in signal Monte Carlo simulation within world average values [17]. The composition systematic applies to the decay  $B_s^0 \rightarrow$  $D_s^-(\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^+\pi^-$  only and is due to the limited knowledge of the resonances in  $D_s^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$  decay. We assign a systematic uncertainty due to the unknown resonance structure of the  $\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$  system in  $B^0_{(s)} \rightarrow$  $D_{(s)}^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$  decay by varying the fraction of the  $a_1$ component in both  $B^0$  and  $B^0_s$  signal Monte Carlo simulation in a range consistent with the observed shape. Systematic uncertainties due to the fit model are estimated by comparing the fitted yields after changing the mass range in which the fit is performed and also by varying the parameters of functions describing the backgrounds. The systematic uncertainties are summarized in Table II.

The results of the measurements for  $R(D3\pi)$  are summarized in Table I. To average the results of the measurements by the expected yield, we use Eq. (1), where  $N(B_s^0)$  is a sum of the yields in three  $B_s^0$  channels and  $\epsilon(B_s^0)$  is a linear combination of Monte Carlo efficiencies multiplied by the ratio of the branching fraction of  $D_s^-$  decay in a given channel and  $\mathcal{B}(D_s^- \to \phi\pi^-)$ . In the averaging pro-

cedure, small interference in the Dalitz plot between  $D_s^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^-$  and  $D_s^- \rightarrow K^{*0}K^-$  contributions was ignored. Using  $f_s/f_d = 0.259 \pm 0.038$  [17], we obtain:

$$R(D3\pi) = 1.05 \pm 0.10(\text{stat}) \pm 0.07(\text{syst}) \pm 0.14(\text{br})$$
$$\pm 0.15(\text{pr}).$$

The (br) and (pr) uncertainties refer to the uncertainty on the *D* meson branching fractions and the ratio of fragmentation fractions  $f_s/f_d$ , respectively.

Using Eq. (1) and the input from Table I, we obtain:

$$R(D\pi) = 1.13 \pm 0.08(\text{stat}) \pm 0.05(\text{syst}) \pm 0.15(\text{br})$$
  
  $\pm 0.17(\text{pr}).$ 

The measurement of  $R(D\pi)$  is consistent with the previous CDF measurement [7] and supersedes that result with a statistical uncertainty reduced by a factor of 2. This measurement is consistent within uncertainties with the theoretical prediction of  $1.05 \pm 0.24$  [3]. The agreement indicates the smallness of the flavor SU(3) breaking terms and limits the amplitude and phase difference of the subleading diagram (*W*-exchange) with respect to the tree diagram in the  $B^0 \rightarrow D^-\pi^+$  decay.

In conclusion, we have presented the first measurement of the ratio of branching fractions  $R(D3\pi)$ . We also have measured the ratio of branching fractions  $R(D\pi)$ , improving the statistical uncertainty by more than a factor of 2.

TABLE II. Summary of the relative systematics uncertainties on  $R(D\pi)$  and  $R(D3\pi)$ . The range of values appearing in the second column reflects the differences in the fit systematic of the three  $B_s^0$  decays.

	Syst. und	certainty[%]
Effect	$B \rightarrow D\pi$	$B \rightarrow D3\pi$
$B p_T$ spectrum	±3.0	±3.0
$B_s^0$ lifetime	$\pm 2.1$	$\pm 2.1$
$3\pi$ resonance structure	•••	$\pm 2.5$
$D_s^- \rightarrow 3\pi$ composition	•••	±3.0
Trigger simulation	$\pm 1.2$	$\pm 1.1$
$B^0$ fit model	$\pm 0.5$	±1.3
$B_s^0$ fit model	$\pm 1.5$	$\pm(3.6-9.3)$
Total	±4.2	$\pm (6.7 - 10.9)$

Using the world average values for  $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to D^- \pi^+)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to D^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$  [17], we find  $\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to D^-_s \pi^+) =$ [3.8 ± 0.3(stat) ± 1.3(syst)] × 10<sup>-3</sup> and  $\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to D^-_s \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-) =$  [8.4 ± 0.8(stat) ± 3.2(syst)] × 10<sup>-3</sup>.

We thank the Fermilab staff and the technical staffs of the participating institutions for their vital contributions. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy and National Science Foundation; the Italian Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare; the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan; the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada; the National Science Council of the Republic of China; the Swiss National Science Foundation; the A.P. Sloan Foundation; the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung, Germany; the Korean Science and Engineering Foundation and the Korean Research Foundation; the Particle Physics and Astronomy Research Council and the Royal Society, United Kingdom; the Russian Foundation for Basic Research; the Comisión Interministerial de Ciencia y Tecnología, Spain; in part by the European Community's Human Potential Programme under Contract No. HPRN-CT-2002-00292; and the Academy of Finland.

- [1] H.J. Lipkin, Phys. Lett. B 515, 81 (2001).
- [2] Reference to the charge-conjugate decays is implied here and throughout the text.
- [3] P. Colangelo and R. Ferrandes, Phys. Lett. B 627, 77 (2005).

- [4] A. K. Leibovich, Z. Ligeti, I. W. Stewart, and M. B. Wise, Phys. Lett. B 586, 337 (2004).
- [5] C.S. Kim, S. Oh, and C. Yu, Phys. Lett. B 621, 259 (2005).
- [6] M. Beneke et al., Nucl. Phys. B591, 313 (2000).
- [7] A. Abulencia *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 191801 (2006).
- [8] D. Acosta *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 71, 032001 (2005).
- [9] CDF II uses a cylindrical coordinate system in which  $\phi$  is an azimuthal angle, *r* is the radius from the symmetry axis, *y* points up, and *z* points in the proton beam direction with the origin at the center of the detector. The transverse plane is the plane perpendicular to the *z* axis.
- [10] A. Sill *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 447, 1 (2000).
- [11] T. Affolder *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **526**, 249 (2004).
- [12] E.J. Thomson *et al.*, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. **49**, 1063 (2002).
- [13] W. Ashmaskas *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **518**, 532 (2004).
- [14] P. Nason, S. Dawson, and R. K. Ellis, Nucl. Phys. B303, 607 (1988); B327, 49 (1989).
- [15] D. Langle, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 462, 152 (2001).
- [16]  $\chi^2_{r-\phi}$  is a  $\chi^2$ -like goodness-of-fit quantity using only the track parameters in the transverse plane.
- [17] W.-M. Yao *et al.* (Particle Data Group), J. Phys. G 33, 1 (2006).
- [18] A. Abulencia et al. (CDF Collaboration), hep-ex/0601003.
- [19] D. Acosta *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 71, 032001 (2005).