Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency

Spatial, Temporal and Spatio-Temporal Patterns of Maritime Piracy

Elio Marchione and Shane D. Johnson Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency 2013 50: 504 originally published online 21 January 2013 DOI: 10.1177/0022427812469113

> The online version of this article can be found at: http://jrc.sagepub.com/content/50/4/504

> > Published by: **SAGE**

http://www.sagepublications.com

On behalf of: John Jay College of Criminal Justice, City University of New York

Additional services and information for Journal of Research in Crime and Delinguency can be found at:

Open Access: Immediate free access via SAGE Choice

Email Alerts: http://jrc.sagepub.com/cgi/alerts

Subscriptions: http://jrc.sagepub.com/subscriptions

Reprints: http://www.sagepub.com/journalsReprints.nav

Permissions: http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav

Citations: http://jrc.sagepub.com/content/50/4/504.refs.html

>> Version of Record - Sep 24, 2013 OnlineFirst Version of Record - Jan 21, 2013 What is This?

Article

Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency 50(4) 504-524 © The Author(s) 2013 Reprints and permission: sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/0022427812469113 jrcd.sagepub.com



Spatial, Temporal and Spatio-Temporal Patterns of Maritime Piracy

Elio Marchione¹ and Shane D. Johnson¹

Abstract

Objectives: To examine patterns in the timing and location of incidents of maritime piracy to see whether, like many urban crimes, attacks cluster in space and time. *Methods*: Data for all incidents of maritime piracy worldwide recorded by the National Geospatial Intelligence Agency are analyzed using time-series models and methods originally developed to detect disease contagion. *Results*: At the macro level, analyses suggest that incidents of pirate attacks are concentrated in five subregions of the earth's oceans and that the time series for these different subregions differ. At the micro level, analyses suggest that for the last 16 years (or more), pirate attacks appear to cluster in space and time suggesting that patterns are not static but are also not random. *Conclusions*: Much like other types of crime, pirate attacks cluster in space, and following an attack at one location the risk of others at the same location or nearby is temporarily elevated. The identification of such regularities has implications for the understanding of maritime piracy and for predicting the future locations of attacks.

Keywords

prevention, crime, victimization, quantitative research, research methods

¹UCL Department of Security and Crime Science, University College London, London

Corresponding Author:

Shane D. Johnson, UCL Department of Security and Crime Science, University College London, 35 Tavistock Square, London, WCIH 9EZ, England Email: shane.johnson@ucl.ac.uk

Introduction

Maritime piracy (hereafter, piracy) is not a new phenomenon, but until recently it was a rare offense. Rises in attacks and estimates to suggest that piracy may cost the global economy upward of \$7 billion (Ploch 2010) per annum has attracted attention from scholars, the military and the United Nations alike. However, there is currently a dearth of empirical research on this topic. Instead, much of the research is qualitative (e.g., O'Meara 2007) or descriptive (e.g., Abbot and Renwick 1999; Vagg 1995) in nature, or has focused on how international law applies to this type of crime (e.g., Hong and Ng 2010; Ploch 2010; Treves, 2009).

Most of the quantitative research concerned with piracy has been conducted by Political Scientists and has tended to focus on macro-level explanations for variation in the frequency of incidents off the coastlines of different states. Consequently, what research there is has generally used the country-year (the count of events off the coastline of a particular country) as the unit of analysis. This work indicates that the risk of piracy is not evenly distributed across the worlds oceans, and scholars (e.g., Daxecker and Prins 2012; Hastings 2009) have, for instance, examined the relationship between the stability of states—taken as an indicator of a country's likely ability to deal with the problem—and rates of maritime piracy off their coastlines. Such research suggests an association, with piracy being more prevalent off the coasts of weak states.

Like such studies, research concerned with patterns of urban crime originally focused on macro level explanations, with researchers examining trends at the country (e.g., Quetelet 1984) or city level (Shaw and McKay 1969). More recently (for a review, see Weisburd et al. 2009), however, scholars have increasingly focused on patterns at the micro level—both theoretically and empirically. In this article, we apply theories developed to explain spatial and space-time patterns of urban crime to the problem of maritime piracy and present associated empirical analyses. In the next section, we begin with a brief discussion of the pertinent literature as it applies to urban crime. We then discuss some of the existing research on maritime piracy and the particular context (policy and locational) within which such events occur. After deriving a set of expectations, we present a series of empirical analyses. Finally, we consider the theoretical and practical implications of the findings.

Space-Time Patterns of Urban Crime

In response to the observation that crime is not uniformly distributed in time (e.g., Falk 1952) or space (e.g., Cohen 1941; Quetelet 1984), ecological

theories of crime suggest that studying the intersection of the routine activities (Clarke and Cornish 1985) of potential crime targets, offenders, and those who might intervene to prevent crime, can contribute to the understanding of crime patterns. For example, crime pattern theory (e.g., Brantingham and Brantingham 1993) emphasizes how offender mobility and legitimate routine activity patterns shape offender awareness of particular places which, in turn, informs their awareness of criminal opportunities. Moreover, that crimes are most likely to occur where offender awareness overlaps with criminal opportunities they are capable of exploiting, and where the perceived benefits of crime outweigh the perceived effort and risks (e.g., Clarke and Cornish 1985).

Motivated by such theories, a substantial body of scholarship has focused upon the characteristics of the locations where crimes occur, using data aggregated to some meaningful geography such as census blocks. For such studies, the focus of enquiry regards the setting in which crimes occur. and this is often examined independently of when offenses happen. However, an emerging body of research demonstrates that as well as examining spatial patterns, there is considerable value in analyzing the space-time dynamics of crime. Motivated by the observation that some homes are burgled many times while others remain unvictimized, in the early work (for a review, see Farrell 1995), researchers focused on the crime of burglary and this work showed that when a house is burgled, the risk to that home is substantially elevated for a short period of time (e.g., Pease 1998). Two classes of theory have been proposed to explain such patterns. The first are theories of risk heterogeneity which suggest that, in the case of burglary, some homes are simply more attractive to offenders than others and are therefore repeatedly victimized. For this type of theory, the risk of victimization at any particular home is not expected to vary over time.

The second types of theories consider event dependency and propose that victimization increases the risk of future offenses at the same home. Studies conducted using qualitative (Ashton et al. 1998), statistical (Short et al. 2009), and computer simulation (e.g., Johnson 2008) approaches suggest that for repeat burglary victimization, both types of explanation have a part to play.

In terms of event dependency, the largely offered view is that at least some of the time offenders act like rationale agents (see Clarke and Cornish 1985) and are likely to return to homes for which the potential benefits are perceived to outweigh the associated effort and risks of so doing. Moreover, because conditions change over time, any return to the same property is expected to be swift. Extending this idea, more recent work has tested the hypothesis that if offenders are likely to return to a previously targeted home (swiftly) because the conditions were perceived to be acceptable, they should also target proximate homes in the near future as these will share at least some of the characteristics that made the burgled home an attractive target.

Using techniques originally developed to detect disease contagion, studies (e.g., Johnson et al. 2007; Johnson and Bowers 2004; Townsley, Homel, and Chaseling, 2003) have confirmed that the risk of burglary clusters in space-time, and does so more than would be expected if observed patterns could be explained entirely in terms of risk heterogeneity. Recently, studies have examined space-time patterns for a range of event types including street robbery (Grubesic and Mack 2008), shootings (Ratcliffe and Rengert 2008; Wyant et al. 2011), insurgent (e.g., Behlendorf, LaFree, and Legault 2011; Braithwaite and Johnson 2012; Townsley, Johnson, and Ratcliffe 2008), and counterinsurgent activity (Braithwaite and Johnson 2012). In all cases, confirmatory results have emerged, although the spatial and temporal scales over which space-time clustering occurs varies by event type; in part, reflecting the terrain over which different types of events occur. The theoretical explanations for anticipating observed patterns also vary, recognizing the dynamics likely to generate them. Despite the differences in likely theoretical explanations, commonalities also exist that may help explain documented patterns. These include the fact that people are affected by spatial and temporal constraints (Ratcliffe 2006) and, that the conditions that make a location conducive for an activity on one day, may change over time, or may be perceived as so doing.

Maritime Piracy

If such generic influences do play a role in explaining observed patterns across event types, then the theory and associated methods discussed should provide insight into other forms of crime, including those that do not occur in urban environments, such as maritime piracy. A common reading of the research on maritime piracy (O'Meara 2007) is that the primary motivation is financial gain. Consequently, it seems reasonable to suggest that pirates will seek to maximize the benefits of their activity whilst minimizing the risks and associated costs. If pirates do evaluate (however crudely) the costs and benefits of targeting particular locations, it seems reasonable to suggest that they would be more likely to select locations about which something is known. At any one time, pirates are unlikely to have up-to-date knowledge of the seas and the types of vessels they use (skiffs) have limited ranges

which reduces opportunities for reconnaissance.¹ Thus, just like burglars, pirates may be expected to return to locations they have previously been successful or for which the conditions are currently perceived to be conducive to piracy. Further, pirates may perceive that the accuracy of their knowledge—including merchant (or other vessels) use of particular shipping routes and their levels of protection—will decay over time, and so a quick return to previously targeted locations would be logical. However, targeting vessels at the exact same locations repeatedly is likely to lead to particular routes being avoided or pirates captured. Research suggests that pirates may not fear arrest per se (e.g., see Ploch 2010) due to the difficulties associated with prosecuting them (see below), but being detected incurs costs in terms of time and resources. Consequently, pirates would be expected to vary attack locations over time, but perhaps in a predictable manner—targeting locations not so far from previously attacked locations, at least some of the time.

Pirates may accrue financial rewards in many ways, but the two most common means are through the hijacking of cargo (see Hastings 2009) or ransoming of vessels and their crew. Considering interventions to reduce piracy, some are general strategies while others are aimed at specific types of attacks (e.g., kidnappings). General approaches include the use of legislation to deter piracy or to increase the likelihood of detection. Examples include the UN Security Council resolution (October 2008) which provided naval counterpiracy forces-previously limited to policing vessels in the open seas-with a legal basis to pursue pirates into Somali territorial waters (i.e., within 10 km of the coastline). However, even with extended powers, one problem that naval forces face is establishing that apprehended suspects were involved in piracy in such a way that would be admissible in a court of law. For instance, pirates aboard suspicious vessels may dispose of incriminating evidence before naval forces board them. Even when pirates are apprehended with weapons, or even hostages, they may be released.² In fact, many suspects are released at the point of apprehension, a practice referred to as catch and release.

An alternative legal approach intended to deter kidnappings was introduced as a UN sanction in 2008, and as a US presidential order in 2010. Both policies banned the payment of ransoms to individuals known to be involved in piracy, which was designed to deter the payment of ransoms. Problems have also been noted with such strategies. For instance, not all countries support them, making enforcement difficult, which may undermine the threat perceived by pirates or those from whom they demand payment. Moreover, a recent empirical analysis of daily counts of piracy off the coasts of Somalia and the Gulf of Aden provided no evidence as to the effectiveness of such policies (Shortland and Vothknecht 2011).

Other approaches focus on reducing opportunities for piracy, or of increasing the likelihood that pirates will be intercepted during an attack. For example, in August 2008, the Marine Security Patrol Area was set up in the Gulf of Aden by the Western Navies Combined Task force 150. This was followed in February 2009 by the establishment of the Internationally Recommended Transit Corridor, a transit lane off the Gulf of Aden through which ships are advised to travel. In both cases, naval resources patrol the areas and assist vessels in distress. However, the area that must be protected is vast, and resources are limited—in 2010, 30 ships were allocated to patrol a region of 2 million square miles (Chalk 2010). To aid in this endeavor, naval forces or potential target vessels could use radar to detect pirates, but this can be problematic as the small "skiffs" that pirates typically use (Chalk 2010) are difficult to detect using such means. Consequently, the ability to anticipate the timing and location of future attacks or the identification of regularities in patterns of attacks that might assist in the development of early warning systems would be extremely useful.

With this in mind, Caplan, Moreto, and Kennedy (2011) employ an approach known as Risk Terrain Modeling (RTM) to see if attacks locations can be predicted. Using a Geographical Information System (GIS), they generate a series of "spatial layers" that map out the characteristics of the environment thought to be conducive to piracy. Such layers include proximity to ports, shipping lanes, and so on, but do not include influences that vary over time, such as the timing and location of prior attacks. These layers are combined to generate a map that identifies locations where risk factors coalesce and hence where piracy may be most expected. The model is statistically significant at predicting attack locations and hence represents a promising approach. However, resources dedicated to counter piracy are limited and cannot patrol all areas at risk all of the time. Consequently, identifying regularities concerned with when attacks might occur as well as where they are most likely would be of clear value.

In their study, Shortland and Vothknecht (2011) use daily time-series data to examine how tidal conditions, such as the timing of Monsoons, affect piracy off the coastlines of Somalia and the Gulf of Aden. The risk to pirates is that the elevated wave amplitude associated with the Monsoon seasons (typically between Jan to March and June to August) may capsize their skiffs, making the conditions for piracy less appealing. Their analysis supports this proposition, and such knowledge may inform approaches to forecasting, but it does not provide an indication of where incidents might

take place. Of course, one might use the RTM approach to estimate which locations are most at risk and then use time-series data to predict when risks will be highest, but such an approach assumes that the risk of attack increases proportionately at all locations. This may be an unreasonable assumption and one that is examined here.

In this study, we first examine spatial patterns, predicting that incidents of piracy will be clustered. We then examine regularities in the monthly time series of pirate incidents in different regions of the oceans to test the hypothesis that patterns will vary, reflecting differences in local conditions. Finally, we test the hypothesis that pirate attacks will cluster in space *and* time.

Methods and Results

Data

Data concerning incidents of piracy for May 1978 to Jan 2012 were obtained from the National Geospatial Intelligence Agency's (NGIA, https://www1.nga.mil/Pages/default.aspx) Anti-Shipping Activity Messages database. The NGIA data come from a variety of sources including, but not limited to, the International Maritime Bureau and the International Maritime Organization. Incidents include successful and attempted attacks, as well as (for example) suspicious approaches. We consider all incidents in the current article because, we reason, that all events are important and will likely require a response. Moreover, for any incident of the kind recorded by the NGIA, the target will be a ship and the offender a pirate, and hence the analysis of all events is likely to inform understanding of pirate activity. For each incident, the location and date are recorded along with a description of the event. Prior to analysis, duplicate records were identified and removed, providing a sample of 5,715 recorded events for analysis.

Spatial Patterns

Figure 1 shows a kernel density map³ of recorded incidents for those parts of the world in which the majority of attacks occur. Some subregions, notably those off the coast of Somalia, the Gulf of Aden (between the Yemen and Somalia), the Gulf of Guinea (the West Coast of Africa), around the coasts of Sri Lanka (the Arabian Sea/Bay of Bengal) and Malaysia, experienced more incidents than do others; accounting for a total of 4,062 events. To determine whether the spatial clustering suggested by Figure 1 was statistically significant, we use the Moran's *I* statistic (Moran 1950). As a global



Figure 1. Kernel density map of incidents of Maritime Piracy, January 1985 to January 2012.

test of spatial autocorrelation, this is used to see whether areas with high (low) counts for a particular variable tend to cluster in space. The formula is as follows:

$$I = \frac{N}{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} W_{ij}} \cdot \frac{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} W_{ij}(X_{i} - \overline{X})(X_{j} - \overline{X})}{\sum_{i} (X_{i} - \overline{X})^{2}},$$

Where, N is the number of spatial units indexed by i and j

X is the variable of interest \overline{X} is the mean of X, and w_{ij} is a matrix of spatial weights indexed by *i* and *j*.

To compute the Moran's *I* statistic, it is necessary to divide the study area into areal units. The NGIA divide the world into 97 subregions, but these are too large to be useful in this case. Consequently, we generated a grid of equal sized cells and computed the Moran's *I* statistic for these. The null hypothesis is that patterns reflect complete spatial randomness (CSR) and so an expected distribution, generated under conditions of CSR is required to determine if the observed Moran's *I* value is meaningful. This distribution is generated using a permutation approach. To explain, the observed cell counts are randomly shuffled and a Moran's *I* statistic computed for the shuffled data. One permutation of the data is not particularly useful and so this process is repeated many times. As a full permutation will be virtually impossible, a Monte Carlo (MC) simulation is used to draw a random sample of (say) 99 from all possible permutations. This generates a distribution of expected Moran's *I* values that can be compared with the observed value. The (pseudo) probability of observing a value at least as large as that for the actual data can then be computed using the formula proposed by North, Curtis, and Sham (2002):

$$p = \frac{r+1}{n+1},$$

where, r is the number of permuted values at least as large as that observed, and n is the number of permutations.

As the cell size used was rather arbitrary, we conducted the analyses for cell dimensions from 50 km to 500 km. For obvious reasons, when computing the Moran's *I* values, those cells that entirely represented land (approximately 29 percent of the earth's surface) were excluded from analyses. For all cell sizes, the observed Moran's *I* value was statistically significant (all ps < .01), confirming that incidents of pirate activity cluster in space.⁴

Temporal Patterns

The above patterns are aggregated over the study period and mask temporal variation. Figure 2 shows the monthly time series for the five subregions—defined using the NGIA classification—with the highest overall counts of incidents for the period January 1985 to January 2012.

Changes in the rate of attacks (per unit time) toward the end of the series are quite startling for the first three subregions. However, the trends are by no means consistent. For instance, the region around Malaysia experienced the most incidents between 2000 and 2006, but not after 2007. More generally, when some subregions have unusually high counts of attacks per month, others do not. A simple bivariate analysis for the second half of the study period (in which 95 percent of the attacks occurred) supports this suggestion, with the median value ($r_p = .15$, p < .05) of the ten pair-wise correlation coefficients (range -0.08 to 0.42) computed across the five time series being low. Thus, at this level of aggregation, it appears that variations in monthly incidents of piracy from one subregion to the next are unlikely to be explained by a common factor.

As discussed, a variety of factors may influence the frequency of incidents per month, the simplest being seasonal variation in weather and other conditions. Here, we test whether there are seasonal trends in incident



Figure 2. Monthly time series for the five subregions with the highest numbers of attacks (vertical lines indicate the month of January).

counts and if these vary across subregions. This is interesting in its own right but if differences do exist, it will be important to take account of these in subsequent analyses. A number of approaches could be taken with the simplest being to compute and contrast the monthly counts for each subregion. However, as the data are for a time series, what happens in one month can effect what happens in the next, and thus too simple an approach may be misleading. Consequently, we use a simple time-series model. As the counts are low for some months, we use a Poisson model, using quasi-Poisson errors to reduce the risk of parameter standard errors being underestimated. Such a model can cope with the fact that the predicted values are bounded (they cannot not be less than zero) and that the variance for the time series is unlikely to be constant. The model used is as follows:

$$M_t = \exp\left(\rho \log \dot{M}_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{11} \beta_i X_t\right),\,$$

where M_t is the count of events in month *t*, the X_ts are binary variables, one for 11 of the months of the year, that indicate the month of the year to which an observation belongs (April is used as a reference category as this had the highest total account across all subregions), $\dot{M}_{t-1} = (0.5 + M_{t-1})$, and the β and ρ are parameters to be estimated using maximum likelihood estimation.

The results, shown as Table 1, suggest a number of things. First, for all subregions, sequential monthly counts are positively associated. And, that having controlled for the latter, there are some seasonal trends but that these differ across subregions. For example, relative to April, the frequency of incidents off the coast of Somalia is significantly lower for the periods December to February and June to August, periods that largely coincide with the Monsoon season. In contrast, for the Gulf of Guinea, no seasonal pattern is apparent. Taken together, the results so far discussed suggest that attacks cluster in space, in time and, insofar as different regions experience an elevated risk of incidents at different times, in space and time.

Space-Time Patterns of Pirate Attacks

A number of statistical methods have been developed to detect space-time clustering in a point pattern and we use two here. The Mantel (1967) test provides a single index that indicates whether space-time clustering is apparent. Here, it is used to see if space-time clustering is observed for each year for which data were available. The Knox (1964) test is used to examine the patterns in more detail. As there is considerable overlap for the two tests in computational terms, we describe them together, along with the results of the analyses. For both tests, each event is compared with every subsequent other and the distance (d_{ij}) and time (t_{ij}) between the $\frac{n \cdot (n-1)}{2}$ comparisons (where *n* is the number of events) calculated. The Mantel test statistic is computed using the equation below:

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (d_{ij} \cdot t_{ij})^{-1}, i \neq j.$$

For the variant of Knox (1964) test used here (see Johnson et al. 2007), instead of computing a single index of space-time clustering, the

Table I. Poisson Regression Model of Monthly Variation in Piracy Attacks for the Period Jan 1999 to Jan 2012	on Regres	ssion Mog	lel of M	onthly \	/ariation	in Piracy	Attacks f	or the P	eriod Jan	999 t(o Jan 201	2	
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Feb Mar May June	June	July	Aug	Sept	Aug Sept Oct	Nov	Nov Dec	Constant	Lag
Somalia	0.33**	0.32**	0.74	0.55*	0.32**	0.32**	0.26**	0.37*	1.35	I.03	0.29**	2.10**	2.41**
Gulf of Aden	0.90	0.61*	0.90	0.72	0.73	0.48*	0.77	0.88	0.81	0.64	0.80	2.01**	2.15**
Arabian Sea	0.87	1.19	<u>+</u>	1.21	1.02	1.03	0.85	1.06	1.44	0.99	1.31	I.80**	1.75**
Malaysia	0.47**	0.63**	0.71	0.87	0.61**	0.69*	0.76	0.70*	0.54**	0.94	0.82	3.24**	I.68**
Gulf of Guinea	I.36	0.66	0.93	0.98	1.10	I.08	0.78	I.05	0.87	0.97	10.1	I.83**	1.6I*
Note. Coefficients are exp ^β . ** こ 1 ***.このE	are exp ^β .												

*p < .l. **p < .05.

Downloaded from jrc.sagepub.com at University College London on August 1, 2014

distribution of the distances and times between attacks are summarized in a contingency table. The dimensions of the table, and bandwidths used, are at the discretion of the researcher but selected to allow a sensitive test of the hypothesis under investigation, and to reflect the terrain over which events occur. In the current study, we use spatial intervals of 10 km and temporal intervals of one week. The spatial intervals may seem a little large, but consider that at sea what would be a large distance in an urban area will be relatively small. Moreover, a sensitivity analysis suggests that—within reason—alternative bandwidths generate qualitatively identical results.

For both tests, it is necessary to compare the observed test statistic with that expected, generated assuming that the timing and location of events are independent (the null hypothesis). To generate the expected distribution, a MC simulation is used and for each iteration the dates on which events occurred are "shuffled," thereby making the time and locations of incidents independent across permutations. For each permutation, a new contingency table is populated, an M statistic generated, and the results compared with those for the observed distribution.

Previous research on space-time clustering has used data for a city or possibly a country. Here, we use data for the whole world and one issue with doing this is that generating the expected distribution by shuffling the dates in a completely random fashion may be unreasonable. This is because the time-series analysis indicated that there were different seasonal patterns across subregions. For this reason, when shuffling the dates, we do this within NGIA subregions to preserve any (local) seasonal trends apparent in the permuted data.

Figure 3 shows the p values—computed using 99 iterations of the MC simulation and the North et al. (2002) formula—for the Mantel statistic for all incidents worldwide. It also shows the count of incidents for each year. From 1997 onward, the Mantel values are statistically significant, showing the existence of space-time clustering for incidents of piracy.

The Mantel test is particularly suited to summarizing general patterns but provides little insight into precise ones. For this reason, we also use the Knox approach. In this case, rather than comparing a single test statistic to the expected distribution, the cell frequencies of the contingency table generated for the observed data are compared with those for the permuted data. Where the frequencies of the cells for event pairs that occur close to each other in space and time are consistently higher for the observed than the permuted data, space-time clustering is said to exist. To estimate the size of this effect, the observed frequency for each cell may be divided by the mean derived across all permutations (Johnson et al. 2007). Values above



Figure 3. *p* Values for the Mantel test statistics for each year and yearly counts of piracy attacks.

one indicate that the observed values exceeded expectation. The statistical significance of observed differences were calculated as described above.

Rather than presenting the results of the analyses for all years (which show similar patterns), Figure 4 shows the results for 2011. In the plot shown, each cell displays a Knox ratio for a particular space-time interval and the shading indicates whether the observed number of event pairs is equal to (Knox ratios of 1), exceeds (Knox values above 1), or is below expectation (Knox values below 1). The cells shaded are those that are statistically significant at the 0.01 level. This more conservative approach to significance testing was adopted as the plot summarizes so many test statistics. Like other crime types, it is clear that more events occur close to each



Figure 4. Knox ratios for attacks taking place in 2011 (shaded cells are statistically significant, p < .01).

other in space and time than would be expected if the timing and location of attacks were independent. That is, the Knox ratio in the bottom left of the plot is clearly much larger than any of the others. Moreover, there is evidence of a pattern of space-time decay as the next largest Knox ratio is for events that occurred within one week and 20 km of each other. For events that occurred within 2 weeks of each other, the Knox ratios for incidents that occurred nearest to each other are smaller than those for events that occurred within 1 week of each other. The Knox ratios for some of the other space-time intervals are also statistically significant, but the ratios are smaller and there is no clearly discernible pattern to these values. This is quite typical for studies of this kind (see Johnson et al. 2007).

Discussion

Despite the scale of Maritime piracy, there has been relatively little academic research concerned with the study of regularities in patterns of attacks. Informed by theories originally intended to explain crime in urban environments, the aim of the current study was to make a modest contribution in this respect. At the macro level, in line with other research, we observe that incidents of piracy are clustered in space. Seasonal patterns also appear to vary from one region to the next, possibly reflecting the impact that local weather patterns have on the opportunity for pirates to maneuver the oceans in the types of small boats they typically use. We also find that the general trends in the time series for the different subregions of the globe differ considerably. For instance, while the patterns are relatively stable over time in the waters around Malaysia (although they did reduce after 2007), off the coasts of Somalia, and the Gulf of Aden, there appears to be a tipping point around 2008 when the count of attacks per month abruptly rises from less than 10 to around 4 times that figure. In future research, it will be interesting to explore this from the perspective of complexity science using (for example) agent-based models (Gilbert and Bankes 2002) or analytic approaches that have been designed for the study of systems that display the type of nonlinear behavior observed here.

Considering the point patterns, we use an approach (see Johnson et al. 2007) that is gaining popularity in the study of urban crime to explore the space-time dynamics of pirate activity. Analyses conducted using two different tests suggest that, just like other types of crime, incidents of piracy cluster in space and time, and do so more than would be expected if their timing and location could be explained by the fact that some locations are more attractive to pirates than others. Our analysis takes account of the fact that we would expect some seasonal variation in the frequency of events and that such variation will likely differ by subregion. Simply put, the analyses suggest that following an incident at one location; a regularity that may usefully inform where and when (limited) antipiracy resources are deployed. The analyses conducted using the Mantel test suggest that this has been the case for the last 16 years.

Other quantitative research discussed in the introduction of this article (e.g., Hastings 2009) has taken a different approach to analysis, using (for example) event count regression models to examine the association between the count of attacks within the territorial waters of each country each year and a range of independent variables. For instance, Hastings

(2009) suggests that the conditions that will be conducive for some forms of piracy will vary across states (see also, Daxecker and Prins 2012). To elaborate, for hijackings to be profitable, it will be necessary for pirates either to use the cargo stolen or to trade it. Trading will, of course, be easier in countries where there is an economy that can absorb the stolen goods without attracting attention and where there is an infrastructure (e.g., roads, ports, etc.) to support the distribution of stolen items. For such research, the unit of analysis is the country-year, and the analyses ignore the space-time dynamics of attacks at a spatial and temporal resolution that might influence tactical responses and facilitate a detailed understanding of the phenomenon. While our analysis provides the latter, it does not examine the more long-term or time stable correlates of attacks, and so combining the two types of analytic approach would also seem to be a sensible next step.

As with any type of crime, it is important to note that the data analyzed are imperfect. In the case of urban environments, not all crime is reported (e.g., Van Dijk et al. 2007), and rates of reporting may vary over time or by country. In the case of maritime piracy, the data are not reported to a single agency and so there may be variation in the accuracy and type of data collected by different agencies. Moreover, what is counted as an "incident" may vary over time or by the agency recording it. In particular, with a concerted effort underway to reduce maritime piracy, incidents (successful or otherwise) may be recorded with increasing frequency and this should be considered.

Moreover, as has been suggested by advocates of situational crime prevention (Clarke 1980), there may be value in examining patterns for different types of events, such as kidnappings and hijackings, those that are conducted against different types of vessel (see Mejia, Cariou, and Wolff 2009), successes versus failed attempts, and so on. The fact that distinct patterns emerge from the analyses presented here suggests that the approach theoretical and analytical—is useful. However, determining if more precise patterns emerge for different types of attacks would be a useful next step. A related issue is that in the current article we analyzed all attacks worldwide for each year. An alternative strategy would be to aggregate the data over time but to focus on patterns in particular geographic regions. It may be that patterns vary by region and if so such analysis would be of value.

To conclude, maritime piracy is a substantial problem that impacts those directly affected as well as the global economy. In this article, we have examined how patterns of incidents have varied over time and have shown that there is a regularity to when and where they occur. The findings have immediate implications for attempts to reduce maritime piracy, but there is much more research to be done.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: To conduct this research, the authors received financial support from the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) under the grant ENFOLD-ing – Explaining, Modelling and Forecasting Global Dynamics, reference EP/H02185X/1.

Notes

- 1. Some pirate groups use skiffs to attack vessels but also use "mother ships" to tow the skiffs enabling them to cover larger ranges (see, BMP4 2011). However, even in such cases, we suggest that the logic presented here would still apply.
- For example, see: http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1375884/Pamperedpirates-Royal-Navy-seizes-17-armed-Somalis-gives-halal-meat-nicotine-patchessets-free.html (accessed February 15, 2012).
- 3. The kernel density map was generated using grid cells of 20 km \times 20 km and a quartic function. The bandwidth used for the quartic was 300 km and the density values were scaled to generate values (*d*) in the range 0 to 1. An equal ranges quintile classification system was used to visualize the data so that those cells that are shaded the lightest grey had density values 0.0 < d < 0.2, and those shaded black $0.8 \le d \le 1.0$.
- 4. The actual values of the Moran's *I* statistic are highly sensitive to the cell size used, and ranged from 0.16 to 0.27.

References

- Abbot, J., and N. Renwick. 1999. "Pirates? Maritime Piracy and Societal Security in Southeast Asia." *Global Change, Peace & Security* 11:7-24.
- Ashton, J., I. Brown, B. Senior, and K. Pease. 1998. "Repeat Victimisation: Offender Accounts." *International Journal of Risk Security and Crime Prevention* 3: 269-80.
- Behlendorf, B., G. LaFree, and R. Legault. 2011. "Microcycles of Violence: Evidence from Terrorist Attacks by ETA and the FMLN." *Journal of Quantitative Criminology* 28:1-27.
- BMP4. 2011. Best Management Practices for Protection against Somalia Based Piracy: Suggested Planning and Operational Practices for Ship Operators, and Masters of Ships Transiting the High Risk Area, Edinburgh (Scotland): Witherby Publishing Group Ltd.

- Braithwaite, A., and S. D. Johnson. 2012. "Space-time Modeling of Insurgency and Counterinsurgency in Iraq." *Journal of Quantitative Criminology* 28:31-48. doi: 10.1007/s10940-011-9152-8
- Brantingham, P. L., and P. J. Brantingham. 1993. "Environment, Routine and Situation: Toward a Pattern Theory of Crime." *Advances in Criminological Theory* 5:259-94.
- Caplan, J. M., W. D. Moreto, and L. W. Kennedy. 2011. "Forecasting Global Maritime Piracy Utilizing the Risk Terrain Modeling (RTM) Approach to Spatial Risk Assessment." Pp. 97-115 in *Crime and Terrorism Risk: Studies in Criminology and Criminal Justice*, edited by L. W. Kennedy and E. F. McGarrell. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Chalk, P. 2010. "Piracy off the Horn of Africa: Scope, Dimensions, Causes and Responses." *Brown Journal of World Affairs* 16:89-108.
- Clarke, R. V. 1980. "Situational Crime Prevention: Theory and Practice." British Journal of Criminology 20:136-47.
- Clarke, R. V., and D. B. Cornish. 1985. "Modeling Offenders' Decisions: A Framework for Research and Policy." *Crime & Justice* 6:147.
- Cohen, J. 1941. "The Geography of Crime." Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science 217:29-37.
- Daxecker, U., and B. Prins. 2012. "Insurgents of the Sea: Institutional and Economic Opportunities for Maritime Piracy." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* Forthcoming. doi: 10.1177/0022002712453709
- Falk, G. J. 1952. "Influence of the Seasons on the Crime Rate." *Journal of Criminal law, Criminology, and Police Science* 43:199.
- Farrell, G. 1995. "Preventing Repeat Victimization." Crime and Justice 19: 469-534.
- Gilbert, N., and S. Bankes. 2002. "Platforms and Methods for Agent-Based Modeling." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 99:7197-98.
- Grubesic, T. H., and E. A. Mack. 2008. "Spatio-Temporal Interaction of Urban Crime." *Journal of Quantitative Criminology* 24:285-306.
- Hastings, J. V. 2009. "Geographies of State Failure and Sophistication in Maritime Piracy Hijackings." *Political Geography* 28:213-23.
- Hong, N., and Ng, A. 2010. The international legal instruments in addressing piracy and maritime terrorism: A critical review. *Research in Transportation Economics*, 27:51-60.
- Johnson, S. D. 2008. "Repeat Burglary Victimisation: A Tale of Two Theories." Journal of Experimental Criminology 4:215-40.
- Johnson, S. D., W. Bernasco, K. J. Bowers, H. Elffers, J. Ratcliffe, G. Rengert, and M. Townsley. 2007. "Space-Time Patterns of Risk: A Cross National

Assessment of Residential Burglary Victimization." *Journal of Quantitative Criminology* 23:201-19.

- Johnson, S. D., and K. J. Bowers. 2004. "The Burglary as Clue to the Future." *European Journal of Criminology* 1:237.
- Knox G. 1964. Epidemiology of childhood leukaemia in northumberland and durham. *British Journal of Prevention and Social Medicine*, 18:17-24.
- Mantel, N. 1967. "The Detection of Disease Clustering and a Generalized Regression Approach." Cancer Research 27:209.
- Mejia, M. Q.Jr., P. Cariou, and F. C. Wolff. 2009. "Is Maritime Piracy Random?" *Applied Economics Letters* 16:891-95.
- Moran, P. A. P. 1950. Notes on Continuous Stochastic Phenomena. *Biometrika*, 37: 17-23.
- North, B., D. Curtis, and P. Sham. 2002. "A Note on the Calculation of Empirical P Values from Monte Carlo Procedures." *American Journal of Human Genetics* 71:439-41.
- O'Meara, R. M. 2007. "Maritime Piracy in the 21st Century: A Short Course for US Policy Makers." *Journal of Global Change and Governance* 1:1-9.
- Pease, K. 1998. Repeat victimization: taking stock. The Home Office Police Research Group Crime Detection and Prevention Series Paper 90. London: Home Office.
- Ploch, L. 2010. Piracy off the Horn of Africa. Darby, PA: DIANE Publishing.
- Quetelet, A. 1984. Adolphe Quetelet's Research on the Propensity for Crime at Different Ages. Cincinnati, OH: Anderson Publishing Company (Original work published 1831).
- Ratcliffe, J. 2006. A temporal constraint theory to explain opportunity-based spatial offending patterns. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, 43: 261.
- Ratcliffe, J. H., and G. F. Rengert. 2008. "Near-Repeat Patterns in Philadelphia Shootings." Security Journal 21:58-76.
- Shaw, Clifford R., and Henry D. McKay. 1969. Juvenile Delinquency and Urban Areas. Rev. ed. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Short, M., M. D'Orsogna, P. Brantingham, and G. Tita. 2009. "Measuring and Modeling Repeat and Near-Repeat Burglary Effects." *Journal of Quantitative Criminology* 25:325-39.
- Shortland, A., and M. Vothknecht. 2011. "Combating 'Maritime Terrorism' Off the Coast of Somalia." *European Journal of Political Economy* 27:S133-51.
- Townsley, M., R. Homel, and J. Chaseling. 2003. "Infectious Burglaries. A Test of the Near Repeat Hypothesis." *British Journal of Criminology* 43:615-33.
- Townsley, M., S. D. Johnson, and J. H. Ratcliffe. 2008. "Space Time Dynamics of Insurgent Activity in Iraq." *Security Journal* 21:139-46.

- Treves, T. 2009. "Piracy, Law of the Sea, and Use of Force: Developments off the Coast of Somalia." *European Journal of International Law* 20:399-414.
- Vagg, J. 1995. "Rough Seas?" British Journal of Criminology 35:63-80.
- Van Dijk, J. J. M., Manchin, R., van Kesteren, J. N., and Hideg, G. 2007. The Burden of Crime in the EU, A Comparative Analysis of the European Survey of Crime and Safety (EU ICS) 2005. Brussels: Gallup Europe.
- Weisburd, David, Gerben J. N. Bruinsma, and WimBernasco. 2009. Units of Analysis in Geographic Criminology: Historical Development, Critical Issues, and Open Questions. In Putting Crime in Its Place: Units of Analysis in Geographic Criminology, ed. David Weisburd, WimBernasco, and Gerben J. N. Bruinsma, 3-31. New York: Springer.
- Wyant, B. R., R. B. Taylor, J. H. Ratcliffe, and J. Wood. 2012. "Deterrence, Firearm Arrests, and Subsequent Shootings: A Micro-Level Spatio-Temporal Analysis." *Justice Quarterly* 29:524-45.

Author Biographies

Elio Marchione is a Research Fellow at UCL Department of Security and Crime Science, University College London, England. He has a PhD in Computational Sociology, an MSc in Agent-based computational Economics and a degree in Mechanical Engineering. By designing artificial societies or distributed intelligent systems enabled to produce novelty or emergent behavior, his work aims to produce tools for a rigorous understanding of social phenomena.

Shane D. Johnson is a Professor at the UCL Department of Security and Crime Science, University College London, England. He has a PhD and an MA in psychology and a BSc in computer science. He has particular interests in the spatial and temporal distribution of crime, complexity science, and evaluation methods.