Direct Measurement of the W Production Charge Asymmetry in $p\bar{p}$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV

T. Aaltonen,²⁴ J. Adelman,¹⁴ T. Akimoto,⁵⁶ B. Álvarez González,^{12,t} S. Amerio,^{44b,44a} D. Amidei,³⁵ A. Anastassov,³⁹ T. Aaltonen,²⁴ J. Adelman,¹⁴ T. Akimoto,⁵⁶ B. Alvarez González,¹²⁴ S. Amerio,¹¹⁶ D. Amidei,⁵⁰ A. Anastassov,⁵⁷ A. Annovi,²⁰ J. Antos,¹⁵ G. Apollinari,¹⁸ A. Apresyan,⁴⁹ T. Arisawa,⁵⁸ A. Artikov,¹⁶ W. Ashmanskas,¹⁸ A. Attal,⁴ A. Aurisano,⁵⁴ F. Azfar,⁴³ P. Azzurri,^{47b,47a} W. Badgett,¹⁸ A. Barbaro-Galtieri,²⁹ V. E. Barnes,⁴⁹ B. A. Barnett,²⁶ V. Bartsch,³¹ G. Bauer,³³ P.-H. Beauchemin,³⁴ F. Bedeschi,^{47a} D. Beecher,³¹ S. Behari,²⁶ G. Bellettini,^{47b,47a} J. Bellinger,⁶⁰ D. Benjamin,¹⁷ A. Beretvas,¹⁸ J. Beringer,²⁹ A. Bhatti,⁵¹ M. Binkley,¹⁸ D. Bisello,^{44b,44a} I. Bizjak,^{31,y} R. E. Blair,² C. Blocker,⁷ B. Blumenfeld,²⁶ A. Bocci,¹⁷ A. Bodek,⁵⁰ V. Boisvert,⁵⁰ G. Bolla,⁴⁹ D. Bortoletto,⁴⁹ J. Boudreau,⁴⁸ A. Boveia,¹¹ B. Brau,^{11,b} A. Bridgeman,²⁵ L. Brigliadori,^{44a} C. Bromberg,³⁶ E. Brubaker,¹⁴ J. Budagov,¹⁶ H. S. Budd,⁵⁰ S. Burla,¹⁸ K. Burlat,¹⁸ G. Burlatt,^{44b,44a} B. Burger,²² A. Burgett,³⁴ K. L. Burger,¹⁷ Y. S. Budd,²⁵ S. Burke,¹⁸ K. Burkett,¹⁸ G. Busetto,^{44b,44a} P. Bussey,²² A. Buzatu,³⁴ K. L. Byrum,² S. Cabrera,^{17,v}
C. Calancha,³² M. Campanelli,³⁶ M. Campbell,³⁵ F. Canelli,^{14,18} A. Canepa,⁴⁶ B. Carls,²⁵ D. Carlsmith,⁶⁰ R. Carosi,^{47a}
S. Carrillo,^{19,o} S. Carron,³⁴ B. Casal,¹² M. Casarsa,¹⁸ A. Castro,^{6b,6a} P. Catastini,^{47c,47a} D. Cauz,^{55b,55a} V. Cavaliere,^{47c,47a} M. Cavalli-Sforza,⁴ A. Cerri,²⁹ L. Cerrito,^{31,p} S. H. Chang,²⁸ Y. C. Chen,¹ M. Chertok,⁸ G. Chiarelli,^{47a} G. Chlachidze,¹⁸ F. Chlebana,¹⁸ K. Cho,²⁸ D. Chokheli,¹⁶ J. P. Chou,²³ G. Choudalakis,³³ S. H. Chuang,¹ K. Chung,¹³ W. H. Chung,⁶⁰ Y. S. Chung,⁵⁰ T. Chwalek,²⁷ C. I. Ciobanu,⁴⁵ M. A. Ciocci,^{47c,47a} A. Clark,²¹ D. Clark,⁷ G. Compostella,^{44a} M. E. Convery,¹⁸ J. Cordelli,²⁰ G. Cortiana,^{44b,44a} C. A. Cox,⁸ D. J. Cox,⁸ F. Crescioli,^{47b,47a} C. Cuenca Almenar,^{8,v} J. Cuevas,^{12,t} R. Culbertson,¹⁸ J. C. Cully,³⁵ D. Dagenhart,¹⁸ M. Datta,¹⁸ T. Davies,²² P. de Barbaro,⁵⁰ S. De Cecco,^{52a} A. Deisher,²⁹ G. De Lorenzo,⁴ M. Dell'Orso,^{47b,47a} C. Deluca,⁴ L. Demortier,⁵¹ J. Deng,¹⁷ M. Deninno,^{6a} P. F. Derwent,¹⁸ G. P. di Giovanni,⁴⁵ C. Dionisi,^{52b,52a} B. Di Ruzza,^{55b,55a} J. R. Dittmann,⁵ M. D'Onofrio,⁴ M. Dennino, T.T. Derwent, C. T. di Glovanni, C. Donisi, D. Di Kuzza, J. K. Dittilani, M. D Gloffito, S. Donati, ^{47b,47a} P. Dong, ⁹ J. Donini, ^{44a} T. Dorigo, ^{44a} S. Dube, ¹ J. Efron, ⁴⁰ A. Elagin, ⁵⁴ R. Erbacher, ⁸ D. Errede, ²⁵ S. Errede, ²⁵ R. Eusebi, ¹⁸ H. C. Fang, ²⁹ S. Farrington, ⁴³ W. T. Fedorko, ¹⁴ R. G. Feild, ⁶¹ M. Feindt, ²⁷ J. P. Fernandez, ³² C. Ferrazza, ^{47d,47a} R. Field, ¹⁹ G. Flanagan, ⁴⁹ R. Forrest, ⁸ M. J. Frank, ⁵ M. Franklin, ²³ J. C. Freeman, ¹⁸ I. Furic, ¹⁹ M. Gallinaro, ^{52a} J. Galyardt, ¹³ F. Garberson, ¹¹ J. E. Garcia, ²¹ A. F. Garfinkel, ⁴⁹ K. Genser, ¹⁸ H. Gerberich, ²⁵ D. Gerdes, ³⁵ N. Gammaro, J. Garyardi, F. Garberson, J. E. Garcia, A. F. Garfinkel, ¹⁷ K. Genser, ¹⁹ H. Gerberich, ²⁰ D. Gerdes, ³⁰ A. Gessler, ²⁷ S. Giagu, ^{52b,52a} V. Giakoumopoulou, ³ P. Giannetti, ^{47a} K. Gibson, ⁴⁸ J. L. Gimmell, ⁵⁰ C. M. Ginsburg, ¹⁸ N. Giokaris, ³ M. Giordani, ^{55b,55a} P. Giromini, ²⁰ M. Giunta, ^{47b,47a} G. Giurgiu, ²⁶ V. Glagolev, ¹⁶ D. Glenzinski, ¹⁸ M. Gold, ³⁸ N. Goldschmidt, ¹⁹ A. Golossanov, ¹⁸ G. Gomez, ¹² G. Gomez-Ceballos, ³³ M. Goncharov, ³³ O. González, ³² I. Gorelov, ³⁸ A. T. Goshaw, ¹⁷ K. Goulianos, ⁵¹ A. Gresele, ^{44b,44a} S. Grinstein, ²³ C. Grosso-Pilcher, ¹⁴ R. C. Group, ¹⁸ U. Grundler, ²⁵ J. Guimaraes da Costa, ²³ Z. Gunay-Unalan, ³⁶ C. Haber, ²⁹ K. Hahn, ³³ S. R. Hahn, ¹⁸ E. Halkiadakis, ¹ B.-Y. Han, ⁵⁰ L. Y. Han, ⁵⁰ F. Happerker, ²⁰ K. Hahn, ³⁵ C. Haber, ²⁹ K. Hahn, ³⁴ S. F. Hahn, ¹⁸ E. Halkiadakis, ¹ B.-Y. Han, ⁴⁸ J. Guimaraes da Costa, ²⁵ Z. Gunay-Unalan, ³⁰ C. Haber, ²⁹ K. Hahn, ³⁵ S. R. Hahn, ¹⁸ E. Halkiadakis, ¹ B.-Y. Han, ⁵⁰ J. Y. Han, ⁵⁰ F. Happacher, ²⁰ K. Hara, ⁵⁶ D. Hare, ¹ M. Hare, ⁵⁷ S. Harper, ⁴³ R. F. Harr, ⁵⁹ R. M. Harris, ¹⁸ M. Hartz, ⁴⁸ K. Hatakeyama, ⁵¹ C. Hays, ⁴³ M. Heck, ²⁷ A. Heijboer, ⁴⁶ J. Heinrich, ⁴⁶ C. Henderson, ³³ M. Herndon, ⁶⁰ J. Heuser, ²⁷ S. Hewamanage, ⁵ D. Hidas, ¹⁷ C. S. Hill, ^{11,d} D. Hirschbuehl, ²⁷ A. Hocker, ¹⁸ S. Hou, ¹ M. Houlden, ³⁰ S.-C. Hsu, ²⁹ B. T. Huffman, ⁴³ R. E. Hughes, ⁴⁰ U. Husemann, ⁶¹ M. Hussein, ³⁶ J. Huston, ³⁶ J. Incandela, ¹¹ G. Introzzi, ^{47a} M. Iori, ^{52b,52a} A. Ivanov, ⁸ E. James, ¹⁸ D. Jang, ¹³ B. Jayatilaka, ¹⁷ E. J. Jeon, ²⁸ M. K. Jha, ^{6a} S. Jindariani, ¹⁸ W. Johnson, ⁸ M. Jones, ⁴⁹ K. K. Joo, ²⁸ S. Y. Jun, ¹³ J. E. Jung, ²⁸ T. R. Junk, ¹⁸ T. Kamon, ⁵⁴ D. Kar, ¹⁹ P.E. Karchin, ⁵⁹ Y. Kato, ^{42,m} R. Kephart, ¹⁸ J. Keung, ⁴⁶ V. Khotilovich, ⁵⁴ B. Kilminster, ¹⁸ D.H. Kim, ²⁸ H.S. Kim, ²⁸ H.W. Kim, ²⁸ J.E. Kim, ²⁸ M.J. Kim, ²⁰ S. B. Kim, ⁵⁶ S. H. Kim, ⁵⁰ Y.K. Kim, ¹⁴ N. Kimura, ⁵⁶ L. Kirsch, ⁷ S. Klimenko, ¹⁹ B. Knuteson, ³³ B. R. Ko, ¹⁷ K. Kondo, ⁵⁸ D. L. Kong, ²⁸ L. Konigeherg, ¹⁹ A. V. Kotwal, ¹⁷ M. Kreps, ²⁷ L. Krall, ⁴⁶ D. Krop, ¹⁴ N. Krumasek, ⁵ M. Kruea, ¹⁷ S. B. Kim,²⁶ S. H. Kim,³⁶ Y. K. Kim,¹⁴ N. Kimura,³⁶ L. Kirsch, ⁷ S. Klimenko,¹⁹ B. Knuteson,³⁵ B. R. Ko,¹⁷ K. Kondo,³⁶ D. J. Kong,²⁸ J. Konigsberg,¹⁹ A. Korytov,¹⁹ A. V. Kotwal,¹⁷ M. Kreps,²⁷ J. Kroll,⁴⁶ D. Krop,¹⁴ N. Krumnack,⁵ M. Kruse,¹⁷ V. Krutelyov,¹¹ T. Kubo,⁵⁶ T. Kuhr,²⁷ N. P. Kulkarni,⁵⁹ M. Kurata,⁵⁶ S. Kwang,¹⁴ A. T. Laasanen,⁴⁹ S. Lami,^{47a} S. Lammel,¹⁸ M. Lancaster,³¹ R. L. Lander,⁸ K. Lannon,^{40,s} A. Lath,¹ G. Latino,^{47c,47a} I. Lazzizzera,^{44b,44a} T. LeCompte,² E. Lee,⁵⁴ H. S. Lee,¹⁴ S. W. Lee,^{54,u} S. Leone,^{47a} J. D. Lewis,¹⁸ C.-S. Lin,²⁹ J. Linacre,⁴³ M. Lindgren,¹⁸ E. Lipeles,⁴⁶ A. Lister,⁸ D. O. Litvintsev,¹⁸ C. Liu,⁴⁸ T. Liu,¹⁸ N. S. Lockyer,⁴⁶ A. Loginov,⁶¹ M. Loreti,^{44b,44a} L. Lovas,¹⁵ D. Lucchesi,^{44b,44a} C. Luci,^{52b,52a} J. Lueck,²⁷ P. Lujan,²⁹ P. Lukens,¹⁸ G. Lungu,⁵¹ L. Lyons,⁴³ J. Lys,²⁹ R. Lysak,¹⁵ D. MacQueen,³⁴ R. Madrak,¹⁸ K. Maeshima,¹⁸ K. Makhoul,³³ T. Maki,²⁴ P. Maksimovic,²⁶ S. Malde,⁴³ S. Malik,³¹ C. Mareira,^{30,4} A. Marrenezliz,^{42,21} D. MacQueen, ⁵⁴ R. Madrak, ¹⁸ K. Maeshima, ¹⁸ K. Makhoul, ⁵³ T. Maki, ²⁴ P. Maksimovic, ²⁰ S. Malde, ⁴⁵ S. Malik, ⁵¹ G. Manca, ^{30,f} A. Manousakis-Katsikakis, ³ F. Margaroli, ⁴⁹ C. Marino, ²⁷ C. P. Marino, ²⁵ A. Martin, ⁶¹ V. Martin, ^{22,1} M. Martínez, ⁴ R. Martínez-Ballarín, ³² T. Maruyama, ⁵⁶ P. Mastrandrea, ^{52a} T. Masubuchi, ⁵⁶ M. Mathis, ²⁶ M. E. Mattson, ⁵⁹ P. Mazzanti, ^{6a} K. S. McFarland, ⁵⁰ P. McIntyre, ⁵⁴ R. McNulty, ^{30,k} A. Mehta, ³⁰ P. Mehtala, ²⁴ A. Menzione, ^{47a} P. Merkel, ⁴⁹ C. Mesropian, ⁵¹ T. Miao, ¹⁸ N. Miladinovic, ⁷ R. Miller, ³⁶ C. Mills, ²³ M. Milnik, ²⁷ A. Mitra, ¹ G. Mitselmakher, ¹⁹ H. Miyake, ⁵⁶ N. Moggi, ^{6a} C. S. Moon, ²⁸ R. Moore, ¹⁸ M. J. Morello, ^{47b,47a} J. Morlock, ²⁷ P. Movilla Fernandez, ¹⁸ J. Mülmenstädt, ²⁹ A. Mukherjee, ¹⁸ Th. Muller, ²⁷ R. Mumford, ²⁶ P. Murat, ¹⁸ M. Mussini, ^{6b,6a} J. Nachtman, ¹⁸ Y. Nagai, ⁵⁶ A. Nagano, ⁵⁶ J. Naganoma, ⁵⁶ K. Nakamura, ⁵⁶ I. Nakano, ⁴¹ A. Napier, ⁵⁷ V. Necula, ¹⁷ J. Nett, ⁶⁰ C. Neu, ^{46,w}

M. S. Neubauer,²⁵ S. Neubauer,²⁷ J. Nielsen,^{29,h} L. Nodulman,² M. Norman,¹⁰ O. Norniella,²⁵ E. Nurse,³¹ L. Oakes,⁴³ S. H. Oh,¹⁷ Y. D. Oh,²⁸ I. Oksuzian,¹⁹ T. Okusawa,⁴² R. Orava,²⁴ K. Osterberg,²⁴ S. Pagan Griso,^{44b,44a} E. Palencia,¹⁸ V. Papadimitriou,¹⁸ A. Papaikonomou,²⁷ A. A. Paramonov,¹⁴ B. Parks,⁴⁰ S. Pashapour,³⁴ J. Patrick,¹⁸ G. Pauletta,^{55b,55a} V. Papadimitriou, ¹⁶ A. Papaikonomou, ²⁷ A. A. Paramonov, ¹⁷ B. Parks, ¹⁶ S. Pashapour, ⁵¹ J. Patrick, ¹⁶ G. Pauletta, ^{556,554}
M. Paulini, ¹³ C. Paus, ³³ T. Peiffer, ²⁷ D. E. Pellett, ⁸ A. Penzo, ^{55a} T. J. Phillips, ¹⁷ G. Piacentino, ^{47a} E. Pianori, ⁴⁶ L. Pinera, ¹⁹ K. Pitts, ²⁵ C. Plager, ⁹ L. Pondrom, ⁶⁰ O. Poukhov, ^{16,a} N. Pounder, ⁴³ F. Prakoshyn, ¹⁶ A. Pronko, ¹⁸ J. Proudfoot, ² F. Ptohos, ^{18,j} E. Pueschel, ¹³ G. Punzi, ^{47b,47a} J. Pursley, ⁶⁰ J. Rademacker, ^{43,d} A. Rahaman, ⁴⁸ V. Ramakrishnan, ⁶⁰ N. Ranjan, ⁴⁹ I. Redondo, ³² P. Renton, ⁴³ M. Renz, ²⁷ M. Rescigno, ^{52a} S. Richter, ²⁷ F. Rimondi, ^{6b,6a} L. Ristori, ^{47a} A. Robson, ²² T. Rodrigo, ¹² T. Rodriguez, ⁴⁶ E. Rogers, ²⁵ S. Rolli, ⁵⁷ R. Roser, ¹⁸ M. Rossi, ^{55a} R. Rossin, ¹¹ P. Roy, ³⁴ A. Ruiz, ¹² J. Russ, ¹³ V. Rusu, ¹⁸ B. Rutherford, ¹⁸ H. Saarikko, ²⁴ A. Safonov, ⁵⁴ W. K. Sakumoto, ⁵⁰ O. Saltó, ⁴ L. Santi, ^{55b,55a} A. Rois, ^{47a} K. Sati, ^{47a} K. Sakumoto, ⁴⁵ D. Salti, ⁴⁵ D. S A. Kulz, J. Kuss, V. Kusu, D. Kutherfold, H. Saarikko, A. Sarohov, T. K. Sakahov, C. Saker, Z. Saker, Z. Saker, S. Sarkar, ^{52b,52a} L. Sartori, ^{47a} K. Sato, ¹⁸ A. Savoy-Navarro, ⁴⁵ P. Schlabach, ¹⁸ A. Schmidt, ²⁷ E. E. Schmidt, ¹⁸
 M. A. Schmidt, ¹⁴ M. P. Schmidt, ^{61,a} M. Schmitt, ³⁹ T. Schwarz, ⁸ L. Scodellaro, ¹² A. Scribano, ^{47c,47a} F. Scuri, ^{47a} A. Sedov, ⁴⁹ M. A. Schmidt, ⁷ M. P. Schmidt, ⁵⁶ M. Schmitt, ⁹ I. Schwarz, ² L. Scodellaro, ⁴⁷ A. Scribano, ⁴⁷⁰ F. Scuri, ⁴⁷ A. Sedov, ⁴⁷ S. Seidel, ³⁸ Y. Seiya, ⁴² A. Semenov, ¹⁶ L. Sexton-Kennedy, ¹⁸ F. Sforza, ^{47a} A. Sfyrla, ²⁵ S. Z. Shalhout, ⁵⁹ T. Shears, ³⁰ P. F. Shepard, ⁴⁸ M. Shimojima, ^{56,r} S. Shiraishi, ¹⁴ M. Shochet, ¹⁴ Y. Shon, ⁶⁰ I. Shreyber, ³⁷ A. Sidoti, ^{47a} P. Sinervo, ³⁴ A. Sisakyan, ¹⁶ A. J. Slaughter, ¹⁸ J. Slaunwhite, ⁴⁰ K. Sliwa, ⁵⁷ J. R. Smith, ⁸ F. D. Snider, ¹⁸ R. Snihur, ³⁴ A. Soha, ⁸ S. Somalwar, ¹ V. Sorin, ³⁶ J. Spalding, ¹⁸ T. Spreitzer, ³⁴ P. Squillacioti, ^{47c,47a} M. Stanitzki, ⁶¹ R. St. Denis, ²² B. Stelzer, ³⁴ O. Stelzer-Chilton, ³⁴ D. Stentz, ³⁹ J. Strologas, ³⁸ G. L. Strycker, ³⁵ D. Stuart, ¹¹ J. S. Suh, ²⁸ A. Sukhanov, ¹⁹ I. Suslov, ¹⁶ T. Suzuki, ⁵⁶ A. Taffard, ^{25,g} R. Takashima, ⁴¹ Y. Takeuchi, ⁵⁶ R. Tanaka, ⁴¹ M. Tecchio, ³⁵ P. K. Teng, ¹ K. Terashi, ⁵¹ L. There, ¹⁸ A. G. There, ²² G. A. There, ²⁵ F. There, ⁴⁶ P. Titter, ⁴⁶ P. Titter, ¹⁸ P. Suzuki, ⁵¹ L. Sterker, ³² G. There, ¹⁸ P. Suzuki, ⁵⁶ R. Tanaka, ⁴¹ M. Tecchio, ³⁵ P. K. Teng, ¹ K. Terashi, ⁵¹ L. Sterker, ⁴⁶ P. Titter, ⁴⁶ P. Titt T. Suzuki,⁵⁶ A. Taffard,^{25,g} R. Takashima,⁴¹ Y. Takeuchi,⁵⁶ R. Tanaka,⁴¹ M. Tecchio,³⁵ P. K. Teng,¹ K. Terashi,⁵¹ J. Thom,^{18,i} A. S. Thompson,²² G. A. Thompson,²⁵ E. Thomson,⁴⁶ P. Tipton,⁶¹ P. Ttito-Guzmán,³² S. Tkaczyk,¹⁸
D. Toback,⁵⁴ S. Tokar,¹⁵ K. Tollefson,³⁶ T. Tomura,⁵⁶ D. Tonelli,¹⁸ S. Torre,²⁰ D. Torretta,¹⁸ P. Totaro,^{55b,55a} S. Tourneur,⁴⁵ M. Trovato,^{47a} S.-Y. Tsai,¹ Y. Tu,⁴⁶ N. Turini,^{47c,47a} F. Ukegawa,⁵⁶ S. Vallecorsa,²¹ N. van Remortel,^{24,c} A. Varganov,³⁵ E. Vataga,^{47d,47a} F. Vázquez,^{19,o} G. Velev,¹⁸ C. Vellidis,³ M. Vidal,³² R. Vidal,¹⁸ I. Vila,¹² R. Vilar,¹² T. Vine,³¹ M. Vogel,³⁸ I. Volobouev,^{29,u} G. Volpi,^{47b,47a} P. Wagner,⁴⁶ R. G. Wagner,² R. L. Wagner,¹⁸ W. Wagner,^{27,x} J. Wagner-Kuhr,²⁷
T. Wakisaka,⁴² R. Wallny,⁹ S. M. Wang,¹ A. Warburton,³⁴ D. Waters,³¹ M. Weinberger,⁵⁴ J. Weinelt,²⁷ W. C. Wester III,¹⁸ B. Whitehouse,⁵⁷ D. Whiteson,^{46,g} A. B. Wicklund,² E. Wicklund,¹⁸ S. Wilbur,¹⁴ G. Williams,³⁴ H. H. Williams,⁴⁶ P. Wilson,¹⁸ B. L. Winer,⁴⁰ P. Wittich,^{18,i} S. Wolbers,¹⁸ C. Wolfe,¹⁴ T. Wright,³⁵ X. Wu,²¹ F. Würthwein,¹⁰ S. Xie,³³ A. Yagil,¹⁰ K. Yamamoto,⁴² J. Yamaoka,¹⁷ U. K. Yang,^{14,q} Y. C. Yang,²⁸ W. M. Yao,²⁹ G. P. Yeh,¹⁸ J. Yoh,¹⁸ K. Yorita,⁵⁸ T. Yoshida,^{42,n} G. B. Yu,⁵⁰ I. Yu,²⁸ S. S. Yu,¹⁸ J. C. Yun,¹⁸ L. Zanello,^{52b,52a} A. Zanetti,^{55a} X. Zhang,²⁵ Y. Zheng^{9,e} and S. Zucchelli^{6b,6a}

Y. Zheng,^{9,e} and S. Zucchelli^{6b,6a}

(CDF Collaboration)

¹Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan 11529, Republic of China

²Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA

³University of Athens, 157 71 Athens, Greece

⁴Institut de Fisica d'Altes Energies, Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona, E-08193, Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain

⁵Baylor University, Waco, Texas 76798, USA

^{6a}Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare Bologna, I-40127 Bologna, Italy

^{6b}University of Bologna, I-40127 Bologna, Italy

⁷Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts 02254, USA

⁸University of California, Davis, Davis, California 95616, USA

⁹University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90024, USA

¹⁰University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA

¹¹University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA

¹²Instituto de Fisica de Cantabria, CSIC-University of Cantabria, 39005 Santander, Spain

¹³Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, USA

¹⁴Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA

¹⁵Comenius University, 842 48 Bratislava, Slovakia; Institute of Experimental Physics, 040 01 Kosice, Slovakia

¹⁶Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, RU-141980 Dubna, Russia

¹⁷Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, USA

¹⁸Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510, USA

¹⁹University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611, USA

²⁰Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, I-00044 Frascati, Italy

²¹University of Geneva, CH-1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland

²²Glasgow University, Glasgow G12 800, United Kingdom

²³Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA

²⁴Division of High Energy Physics, Department of Physics, University of Helsinki and Helsinki Institute of Physics, FIN-00014, Helsinki, Finland ²⁵University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA ²⁶The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218, USA ²⁷Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Universität Karlsruhe, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany ²⁸Center for High Energy Physics: Kyungpook National University, Daegu 702-701, Korea; Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea; Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 440-746, Korea; Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information, Daejeon, 305-806, Korea; Chonnam National University, Gwangju, 500-757, Korea ²⁹Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA ³⁰University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZE, United Kingdom ³¹University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom ³²Centro de Investigaciones Energeticas Medioambientales y Tecnologicas, E-28040 Madrid, Spain ³³Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA ³⁴Institute of Particle Physics: McGill University, Montréal, Québec, Canada H3A 2T8; Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada V5A 1S6; University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 1A7; and TRIUMF, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6T 2A3 ³⁵University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA ³⁶Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA ³⁷Institution for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, ITEP, Moscow 117259, Russia ³⁸University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131, USA ³⁹Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA ⁴⁰The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA ⁴¹Okayama University, Okayama 700-8530, Japan ⁴²Osaka City University, Osaka 588, Japan ⁴³University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3RH, United Kingdom ^{44a}Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Padova-Trento, I-35131 Padova, Italy ^{44b}University of Padova, I-35131 Padova, Italy ⁴⁵LPNHE, Universite Pierre et Marie Curie/IN2P3-CNRS, UMR7585, Paris, F-75252 France ⁴⁶University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, USA ^{47a}Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare Pisa, I-56127 Pisa, Italy ^{47b}University of Pisa, I-56127 Pisa, Italy ⁴⁷cUniversity of Siena, I-56127 Pisa, Italy ^{47d}Scuola Normale Superiore, I-56127 Pisa, Italy ⁴⁸University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260, USA ⁴⁹Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, USA ⁵⁰University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627, USA ⁵¹The Rockefeller University, New York, New York 10021, USA ^{52a}Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Roma 1, I-00185 Roma, Italy ^{52b}Sapienza Università di Roma, I-00185 Roma, Italy ¹Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey 08855, USA ⁵⁴Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, USA ^{55a}Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare Trieste/Udine, I-34100 Trieste, Italy ^{55b}University of Trieste/Udine, I-33100 Udine, Italy ⁵⁶University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan ⁵⁷Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts 02155, USA ⁵⁸Waseda University, Tokyo 169, Japan ⁵⁹Wavne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48201, USA ⁶⁰University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA ⁶¹Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520, USA (Received 15 January 2009; published 6 May 2009) We present the first direct measurement of the W production charge asymmetry as a function of the W

boson rapidity y_W in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV. We use a sample of $W \rightarrow e\nu$ events in data from 1 fb⁻¹ of integrated luminosity collected using the CDF II detector. In the region $|y_W| < 3.0$, this measurement is capable of constraining the ratio of up- and down-quark momentum distributions in the proton more directly than in previous measurements of the asymmetry that are functions of the charged-lepton pseudorapidity.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.181801

PACS numbers: 13.38.Be, 13.85.Qk, 14.60.Cd, 14.70.Fm

At the Fermilab Tevatron, where $p\bar{p}$ collisions are produced at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, W^+ (W^-) bosons are created primarily by the interaction of u (d) quarks from the proton and \bar{d} (\bar{u}) quarks from the antiproton. Since u quarks carry, on average, a higher fraction of the proton's momentum than d quarks [1,2], the W^+ tends to be boosted along the proton beam direction, and the W^- tends to be boosted along the antiproton direction. The difference between the W^+ and W^- rapidity distributions results in a charge asymmetry

$$A(y_W) = \frac{d\sigma^+/dy_W - d\sigma^-/dy_W}{d\sigma^+/dy_W + d\sigma^-/dy_W},$$
(1)

where y_W is the W boson rapidity [3] and $d\sigma^{\pm}/dy_W$ is the differential cross section for W^+ or W^- boson production. The parton distribution functions (PDFs) describing the internal structure of the proton are constrained by measuring $A(y_W)$ [4].

Previous measurements [5–8] of the *W* charge asymmetry at the Tevatron were made as a function of the pseudorapidity η [3] of the leptons from decays of $W \rightarrow l\nu_l$ ($l = e, \mu$) since the *W* decay involves a neutrino whose longitudinal momentum is not determined experimentally. However, the lepton charge asymmetry is a convolution of the *W* production charge asymmetry and the V - Aasymmetry from *W* decays. These two asymmetries tend to cancel at large pseudorapidities ($|\eta| \ge 2.0$), and the convolution weakens and complicates the constraint on the proton PDFs.

In the measurement presented in this Letter, the complication is resolved by using additional information in the lepton transverse energy (E_T) and the missing transverse energy $(\not\!\!\!E_T)$ [3] on an event-by-event basis to measure the asymmetry as a function of the $|y_W|$ instead of the lepton $|\eta|$. This new analysis technique [9] gives the first direct measurement of the W production charge asymmetry using $W \rightarrow e\nu$ decays. We use data from 1 fb⁻¹ of integrated luminosity collected by the CDF II detector. The region of acceptance is $|y_W| < 3.0$, giving the new measurement an ability to improve proton PDF determinations for $0.002 \leq x \leq 0.8$, where x is the fraction of the proton momentum carried by u- or d-type quarks. This analysis is described in detail in Ref. [10].

The CDF II detector is described in detail elsewhere [11]. What follows is a brief description of the detector components needed to identify $W \rightarrow e\nu$ events, which are characterized by large missing transverse energy ($\not E_T$) and a track in the central drift chamber (COT) [12] or in the silicon tracking system (SVX) [13,14] that points to a cluster of energy in the electromagnetic (EM) calorimeters [15,16]. The SVX provides precise track measurements from eight radial layers of microstrip sensors. The COT provides additional tracking information from 96 layers of

wires. Tracks are measured inside a 1.4 T solenoidal magnetic field that allows electron charge determination from the curvature of the track. The COT allows track reconstruction in the range $|\eta| \leq 1.6$, while the SVX extends the capability up to $|\eta| \approx 2.8$. Outside the tracking system, EM and hadronic (HAD) calorimeters measure the energies of showering particles. The calorimeters are divided into two types: a central calorimeter with a fiducial region covering $|\eta| < 1.1$ and a forward calorimeter covering $1.2 < |\eta| < 3.5$.

We use two types of $W \rightarrow e\nu$ events, classified by the calorimeter section in which the electron is detected. The data are initially selected by an on-line event selection (trigger) system. The trigger for the central electrons requires an EM energy cluster with $E_T > 18$ GeV and a matching track with $p_T > 9$ GeV. The forward trigger, designed specifically for W candidates, requires an EM energy cluster with $E_T > 15$ GeV.

For central electrons, we require off-line event selection including an isolated energy cluster in the region $|\eta| < 1.1$ with $E_T > 25$ GeV and Iso(0.4) < 4.0 GeV. The isolation Iso(0.4) is defined as the calorimeter energy contained within a cone of radius $R = \sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2} = 0.4$ [3] around the electron direction excluding the energy associated with the electron. A more detailed description of the central electron selection can be found in Ref. [17]. The forward electrons are selected by requiring an isolated energy cluster with $E_T > 20$ GeV, the ratio of energy detected in the HAD and EM calorimeters to be less than 0.05. The tracks are reconstructed using COT information in the region $|\eta| < 1.6$, while at higher $|\eta|$ tracks are reconstructed using the SVX detectors alone. In order to reduce the charge misidentification and backgrounds, additional requirements for the forward tracks are imposed such as requiring the extrapolated charged-particle position to be consistent with the position measured in the calorimeter. Candidate $W \rightarrow e\nu$ events are required to have exactly one e^{\pm} as well as $\not\!\!\!E_T > 25$ GeV. The final $W \rightarrow e\nu$ data sample contains 537 858 events with a central electron and 176941 forward electron events. To evaluate the detector acceptance and resolution for $W \rightarrow$ $e\nu$ events, we use the PYTHIA [18] event generator followed by the CDF detector simulation.

We determine the neutrino's longitudinal momentum, within a twofold ambiguity, by constraining the $e\nu$ mass to be that of the *W* boson. This ambiguity can be resolved on a statistical basis from the known V - A decay distribution using the decay angle between the electron and the proton in the *W* rest frame θ^* and from the W^+ and W^- production cross sections as a function of *W* rapidity $(d\sigma^{\pm}/dy_W)$. To do this we assign a weighting factor to the two rapidity solutions, depending on the charge of the *W* boson $w_{1,2}^{\pm}$:

$$w_{1,2}^{\pm} = \frac{P_{\pm}(\cos\theta_{1,2}^{*}, y_{1,2}, p_{T}^{W})\sigma^{\pm}(y_{1,2})}{P_{\pm}(\cos\theta_{1}^{*}, y_{1}, p_{T}^{W})\sigma^{\pm}(y_{1}) + P_{\pm}(\cos\theta_{2}^{*}, y_{2}, p_{T}^{W})\sigma^{\pm}(y_{2})},$$
(2)

where

$$P_{\pm}(\cos\theta^*, y_W, p_T^W) = (1 \mp \cos\theta^*)^2 + Q(y_W, p_T^W)(1 \pm \cos\theta^*)^2.$$
(3)

The \pm signs indicate the *W* charge, and the indices 1 and 2 are for the two *W* rapidity solutions. The differential cross section as a function of y_W is determined using a next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) QCD calculation [19] using the Martin-Roberts-Stirling-Thorne (MRST) 2006 NNLO PDFs [20]. The ratio of the $(1 + \cos\theta^*)^2$ to $(1 - \cos\theta^*)^2$ angular distributions $Q(y_W, p_T^W)$ in Eq. (3) is determined by the quark versus antiquark composition of the proton using the event generator MC@NLO [21]. This ratio is evaluated as a function of y_W and the *W* transverse momentum p_T^W . Although the weighting factor given by Eq. (2) depends primarily on the W^+ and W^- cross sections, it does have some weak dependence on the input *W* charge asymmetry. Therefore, this method requires us to iterate the procedure to eliminate this dependence.

Correct charge identification is crucial for the measurement of the charge asymmetry measurement, because it directly affects the yield for a particular charge and y_W and is corrected for on an event-by-event basis. The charge misidentification rate (charge MisID) is measured as a function of η using $Z \rightarrow ee$ events where both electrons are identified as having the same charge sign. The misidentification rate ranges from $(0.18 \pm 0.05)\%$ for $|\eta| < 1.1$ to $(17.26 \pm 2.02)\%$ for $|\eta| > 2.04$.

The $A(y_W)$ values are corrected for the backgrounds to $W \rightarrow e\nu$ candidates. We consider $W \rightarrow \tau\nu$, where the τ decays leptonically to an electron plus neutrinos, as contributing to the signal and is included in the overall signal

acceptance. The background fractions due to $Z \rightarrow e^+e^$ events where one of the electrons is not reconstructed and mimics a neutrino are $(0.59 \pm 0.02)\%$ for central electrons and $(0.54 \pm 0.03)\%$ for forward electrons. The small contamination from the $Z \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ process is found to be $(0.10 \pm 0.01)\%$ for both central and forward electrons. The background from misidentified jets (QCD) is estimated by fitting the isolation distribution of electrons. Electrons in the calorimeter are characterized by having most of their energy deposited within an isolation cone centered on the electron, while jets may have significant energy deposits outside this cone. The QCD background fraction for central and forward electrons are $(1.21 \pm 0.21)\%$ and $(0.67 \pm 0.18)\%$, respectively.

The scale and resolution of the electromagnetic calorimeter energy and the missing transverse energy can affect the measured W rapidity and thus the asymmetry measurement. The EM calorimeter energy scale and resolution are tuned in the simulation to reproduce the $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ mass peak in data. The uncertainties on the energy scale and resolution for central electrons are measured to be $\pm 0.05\%$ and $\pm 0.07\%$, respectively; for forward electrons they are $\pm 0.3\%$ and $\pm 0.8\%$, respectively. The hadronic showering, the boson recoil energy, and the underlying event energy of the hadronic calorimeter energy measurement play important roles in determining the $\not\!\!\!E_T$. The simulation for the calorimeter deposition in $W \rightarrow e\nu$ events is tuned to provide the best possible match with data, including its dependence on η . The uncertainty on the transverse recoilenergy scale is $\pm 0.3\%$ and $\pm 1.4\%$ for central and forward electrons, respectively.

We also investigate potential sources of a charge bias and η dependence in the kinematic and geometrical ac-

TABLE I. Statistical and systematic uncertainties for the W production charge asymmetry. All values are $\times 10^{-2}$ and show the correlated uncertainties for both positive and negative rapidities.

$ y_W $	Charge MisID	Backgrounds	Energy scale & resolution	Recoil model	Electron trigger	Electron ID	PDFs	Stat.
0.0-0.2	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.11	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.31
0.2-0.4	0.01	0.09	0.04	0.22	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.32
0.4-0.6	0.02	0.11	0.06	0.22	0.13	0.17	0.15	0.33
0.6-0.8	0.03	0.15	0.07	0.34	0.14	0.30	0.22	0.32
0.8 - 1.0	0.03	0.20	0.07	0.42	0.11	0.47	0.24	0.34
1.0 - 1.2	0.04	0.18	0.08	0.33	0.09	0.69	0.27	0.38
1.2-1.4	0.05	0.18	0.15	0.67	0.06	0.78	0.28	0.43
1.4–1.6	0.04	0.14	0.14	1.10	0.04	0.85	0.28	0.50
1.6-1.8	0.08	0.12	0.26	0.92	0.03	0.89	0.29	0.55
1.8-2.05	0.22	0.13	0.31	0.82	0.06	0.80	0.34	0.62
2.05-2.3	0.44	0.21	0.53	0.59	0.17	0.85	0.42	0.83
2.3-2.6	0.45	0.19	0.62	0.40	0.27	0.86	0.50	1.10
2.6-3.0	0.14	0.10	0.60	0.43	0.28	0.65	0.53	2.30



FIG. 1 (color online). The measured *W* production charge asymmetry and predictions from (a) NLO CTEQ6.1 and (b) NNLO MRST 2006, with their associated PDF uncertainties.

ceptance of the event (estimated with simulated data) and efficiencies of the trigger and the electron identification (measured with data). The trigger efficiencies for the central and forward electrons are measured using data from independent triggers. We find the trigger efficiencies do not depend on charge but do depend on the η and E_T of the electron. The average trigger efficiencies for the central and forward electrons are $(96.1 \pm 1.0)\%$ and $(92.5 \pm$ 0.3)%, respectively. Electron identification and track matching efficiencies (ID) are measured in data and simulation using the $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ channel.

The choice of PDF sets has an effect on the shape of the $d\sigma^{\pm}/dy_W$ distribution, as well as on the ratio of quarks and antiquarks in the angular decay distribution. We use the 40 CTEQ6.1 error PDF sets [22] and redetermine the $d\sigma^{\pm}/dy_W$ production cross section and the angular distribution of $\cos\theta^*$ for each error PDF set.

As expected, the data are found to be invariant under *CP* transformations $A(y_W) = -A(-y_W)$, the two sets of points are in statistical agreement, and so we combine the $A(y_W)$ bins with the complementary $-A(-y_W)$ bins in order to improve the precision of this measurement. We quote the statistical combination of $A(y_W)$ with $-A(-y_W)$, using the best linear unbiased estimate method [23], accounting for all correlations for both positive and negative y_W bins. The

TABLE II. The *W* production charge asymmetry with total systematic and statistical uncertainties.

$ y_W $	$\langle y_W \rangle$	$A(y_W)$	$\sigma_{ m sys}$	$\sigma_{ m sys+stat}$
0.0-0.2	0.10	0.020	± 0.001	± 0.003
0.2–0.4	0.30	0.057	± 0.003	± 0.004
0.4–0.6	0.50	0.081	± 0.004	± 0.005
0.6–0.8	0.70	0.117	± 0.006	± 0.006
0.8 - 1.0	0.89	0.146	± 0.007	± 0.008
1.0 - 1.2	1.09	0.204	± 0.008	± 0.010
1.2-1.4	1.29	0.235	± 0.011	± 0.012
1.4–1.6	1.49	0.261	± 0.014	± 0.015
1.6-1.8	1.69	0.303	± 0.014	± 0.014
1.8 - 2.05	1.91	0.355	± 0.013	± 0.014
2.05 - 2.3	2.15	0.436	± 0.013	±0.016
2.3-2.6	2.40	0.537	± 0.014	± 0.018
2.6-3.0	2.63	0.642	±0.012	±0.026

statistical correlation coefficient between bins is found to be <0.05. Table I summarizes the statistical and systematic uncertainties on $A(|y_W|)$.

The measured asymmetry $A(|y_W|)$, which combines the positive and negative y_W bins, is shown in Fig. 1. Also shown are the predictions of a NNLO QCD calculation using the MRST 2006 NNLO PDF sets and a NLO QCD calculation using the CTEQ6.1 NLO PDF sets, which are in agreement with the measured asymmetry. Values of $A(y_W)$ and the total uncertainty for each $|y_W|$ bin are listed in Table II. Since this measurement depends on the width of the W, in particular, for the highest y_W bin, the bin centers account for the W rapidity and W mass range accepted in each bin. We correct the bin centers to the value of $\langle |y_W| \rangle$ (average of W^+ and W^- rapidities) for which the asymmetry is equal to the one for a fixed W mass of 80.403 GeV/ c^2 .

In conclusion, using a new analysis technique we report the first direct measurement of the W boson charge asymmetry from run II of the Tevatron, using data from 1 fb⁻¹ of integrated luminosity taken with the CDF II detector. Since the total uncertainties are smaller than the uncertainties coming from PDFs, as is also shown in Ref. [9], this direct measurement of the asymmetry is more sensitive to the ratio of d/u momentum distributions in the proton at high x than previous lepton charge asymmetry measurements. This result is therefore expected to improve the precision of the global PDF fits.

We thank R.S. Thorne and W.J. Stirling for useful discussions on the theoretical predictions. We thank the Fermilab staff and the technical staffs of the participating institutions for their vital contributions. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy and National Science Foundation; the Italian Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare; the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan; the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of

Canada; the National Science Council of the Republic of China; the Swiss National Science Foundation; the A. P. Sloan Foundation; the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung, Germany; the Korean Science and Engineering Foundation and the Korean Research Foundation; the Science and Technology Facilities Council and the Royal Society, United Kingdom; the Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et Physique des Particules/CNRS; the Russian Foundation for Basic Research; the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, and Programa Consolider-Ingenio 2010, Spain; the Slovak R&D Agency; and the Academy of Finland.

^aDeceased.

- ^bVisitor from University of Massachusetts Amherst, Amherst, MA 01003, USA.
- ^cVisitor from Universiteit Antwerpen, B-2610 Antwerp, Belgium.
- ^dVisitor from University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TL, United Kingdom.
- ^eVisitor from Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100864, China.
- ^fVisitor from Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Cagliari, 09042 Monserrato (Cagliari), Italy.
- ^gVisitor from University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697, USA.
- ^hVisitor from University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA.
- ¹Visitor from Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA.
- ^jVisitor from University of Cyprus, Nicosia CY-1678, Cyprus.
- ^kVisitor from University College Dublin, Dublin 4, Ireland. ¹Visitor from University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, United Kingdom.
- ^mVisitor from University of Fukui, Fukui City, Fukui Prefecture, Japan 910-0017.
- ⁿVisitor from Kinki University, Higashi-Osaka City, Japan 577-8502.
- ^oVisitor from Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico D.F., Mexico.
- ^PVisitor from Queen Mary, University of London, London, E1 4NS, United Kingdom.
- ^qVisitor from University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom.
- ^rVisitor from Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki, Japan.
- ^sVisitor from University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556, USA.
- ^tVisitor from University de Oviedo, E-33007 Oviedo, Spain.
- ^uVisitor from Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79609, USA.
- ^vVisitor from IFIC(CSIC-Universitat de Valencia), 46071 Valencia, Spain.

^wVisitor from University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904, USA.

^xVisitor from Bergische Universität Wuppertal, 42097 Wuppertal, Germany.

^yOn leave from J. Stefan Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia.

- A.D. Martin, W.J. Stirling, and R.G. Roberts, Phys. Rev. D 50, 6734 (1994).
- [2] H.L. Lai et al., Phys. Rev. D 51, 4763 (1995).
- [4] E. L. Berger, F. Halzen, C. S. Kim, and S. Willenbrock, Phys. Rev. D 40, 83 (1989); 40, 3789 (1989).
- [5] F. Abe *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 5754 (1998).
- [6] D. Acosta *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 71, 051104 (2005).
- [7] V. M. Abazov *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 77, 011106(R) (2008).
- [8] V. M. Abazov *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 211801 (2008).
- [9] A. Bodek, Y. Chung, B.-Y. Han, K. McFarland, and E. Halkiadakis, Phys. Rev. D 77, 111301(R) (2008).
- [10] B.-Y. Han, Ph.D. thesis, University of Rochester [FERMILAB-THESIS-2008-15, 2008].
- [11] D. Acosta *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 71, 032001 (2005).
- [12] T. Affolder *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **526**, 249 (2004).
- [13] A. Sill *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 447, 1 (2000).
- [14] A. Affolder *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 453, 84 (2000).
- [15] L. Balka *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 267, 272 (1988).
- [16] M. Albrow *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 480, 524 (2002).
- [17] A. Abulencia *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), J. Phys. G 34, 2457 (2007).
- [18] T. Sjöstrand *et al.*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **135**, 238 (2001).
- [19] C. Anastasiou, L. Dixon, K. Melnikov, and F. Petriello, Phys. Rev. D 69, 094008 (2004).
- [20] A.D. Martin, W.J. Stirling, R.S. Thorne, and G. Watt, Phys. Lett. B 652, 292 (2007).
- [21] S. Frixione and B. R. Webber, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2002) 029; S. Frixione, P. Nason, and B. R. Webber, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2003) 007.
- [22] D. Stump et al., J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2003) 046.
- [23] L. Lyons, D. Gibaut, and P. Clifford, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 270, 110 (1988).