

Search for a W' Boson Decaying to a Top and Bottom Quark Pair in 1.8 TeV $p\bar{p}$ Collisions

- D. Acosta,¹⁴ T. Affolder,²⁵ H. Akimoto,⁵¹ M. G. Albrow,¹³ D. Ambrose,³⁷ D. Amidei,²⁸ K. Anikeev,²⁷ J. Antos,¹ G. Apollinari,¹³ T. Arisawa,⁵¹ A. Artikov,¹¹ T. Asakawa,⁴⁹ W. Ashmanskas,¹⁰ F. Azfar,³⁵ P. Azzi-Bacchetta,³⁶ N. Bacchetta,³⁶ H. Bachacou,²⁵ W. Badgett,¹³ S. Bailey,¹⁸ P. de Barbaro,⁴¹ A. Barbaro-Galtieri,²⁵ V. E. Barnes,⁴⁰ B. A. Barnett,²¹ S. Baroiant,⁵ M. Barone,¹⁵ G. Bauer,²⁷ F. Bedeschi,³⁸ S. Behari,²¹ S. Belforte,⁴⁸ W. H. Bell,¹⁷ G. Bellettini,³⁸ J. Bellinger,⁵² D. Benjamin,¹² J. Bensinger,⁴ A. Beretvas,¹³ J. Berryhill,¹⁰ A. Bhatti,⁴² M. Binkley,¹³ D. Bisello,³⁶ M. Bishai,¹³ R. E. Blair,² C. Blocker,⁴ K. Bloom,²⁸ B. Blumenfeld,²¹ S. R. Blusk,⁴¹ A. Bocci,⁴² A. Bodek,⁴¹ G. Bolla,⁴⁰ A. Bolshov,²⁷ Y. Bonushkin,⁶ D. Bortoletto,⁴⁰ J. Boudreau,³⁹ A. Brandl,³¹ C. Bromberg,²⁹ M. Brozovic,¹² E. Brubaker,²⁵ N. Bruner,³¹ J. Budagov,¹¹ H. S. Budd,⁴¹ K. Burkett,¹⁸ G. Busetto,³⁶ K. L. Byrum,² S. Cabrera,¹² P. Calafiura,²⁵ M. Campbell,²⁸ W. Carithers,²⁵ J. Carlson,²⁸ D. Carlsmith,⁵² W. Caskey,⁵ A. Castro,³ D. Cauz,⁴⁸ A. Cerri,³⁸ L. Cerrito,²⁰ A. W. Chan,¹ P. S. Chang,¹ P. T. Chang,¹ J. Chapman,²⁸ C. Chen,³⁷ Y. C. Chen,¹ M.-T. Cheng,¹ M. Chertok,⁵ G. Chiarelli,³⁸ I. Chirikov-Zorin,¹¹ G. Chlachidze,¹¹ F. Chlebana,¹³ L. Christofek,²⁰ M. L. Chu,¹ J. Y. Chung,³³ W.-H. Chung,⁵² Y. S. Chung,⁴¹ C. I. Ciobanu,³³ A. G. Clark,¹⁶ M. Coca,³⁸ A. P. Colijn,¹³ A. Connolly,²⁵ M. Convery,⁴² J. Conway,⁴⁴ M. Cordelli,¹⁵ J. Cranshaw,⁴⁶ R. Culbertson,¹³ D. Dagenhart,⁴ S. D'Auria,¹⁷ S. De Cecco,⁴³ F. DeJongh,¹³ S. Dell'Agnello,¹⁵ M. Dell'Orso,³⁸ S. Demers,⁴¹ L. Demortier,⁴² M. Deninno,³ D. De Pedis,⁴³ P. F. Derwent,¹³ T. Devlin,⁴⁴ C. Dionisi,⁴³ J. R. Dittmann,¹³ A. Dominguez,²⁵ S. Donati,³⁸ M. D'Onofrio,³⁸ T. Dorigo,³⁶ I. Dunietz,¹³ N. Eddy,²⁰ K. Einsweiler,²⁵ E. Engels, Jr.,³⁹ R. Erbacher,¹³ D. Errede,²⁰ S. Errede,²⁰ R. Eusebi,⁴¹ Q. Fan,⁴¹ H.-C. Fang,²⁵ S. Farrington,¹⁷ R. G. Feild,⁵³ J. P. Fernandez,⁴⁰ C. Ferretti,³⁸ R. D. Field,¹⁴ I. Fiori,³ B. Flaughier,¹³ L. R. Flores-Castillo,³⁹ G. W. Foster,¹³ M. Franklin,¹⁸ J. Freeman,¹³ J. Friedman,²⁷ Y. Fukui,²³ I. Furic,²⁷ S. Galeotti,³⁸ A. Gallas,³² M. Gallinaro,⁴² T. Gao,³⁷ M. Garcia-Sciveres,²⁵ A. F. Garfinkel,⁴⁰ P. Gatti,³⁶ C. Gay,⁵³ D. W. Gerdes,²⁸ E. Gerstein,⁹ S. Giagu,⁴³ P. Giannetti,³⁸ K. Giolo,⁴⁰ M. Giordani,⁵ P. Giromini,¹⁵ V. Glagolev,¹¹ D. Glenzinski,¹³ M. Gold,³¹ J. Goldstein,¹³ G. Gomez,⁸ M. Goncharov,⁴⁵ I. Gorelov,³¹ A. T. Goshaw,¹² Y. Gotra,³⁹ K. Goulianios,⁴² C. Green,⁴⁰ A. Gresele,³⁶ G. Grim,⁵ C. Grossi-Pilcher,¹⁰ M. Guenther,⁴⁰ G. Guilliam,²⁸ J. Guimaraes da Costa,¹⁸ R. M. Haas,¹⁴ C. Haber,²⁵ S. R. Hahn,¹³ E. Halkiadakis,⁴¹ C. Hall,¹⁸ T. Handa,¹⁹ R. Handler,⁵² F. Happacher,¹⁵ K. Hara,⁴⁹ A. D. Hardman,⁴⁰ R. M. Harris,¹³ F. Hartmann,²² K. Hatakeyama,⁴² J. Hauser,⁶ J. Heinrich,³⁷ A. Heiss,²² M. Hennecke,²² M. Herndon,²¹ C. Hill,⁷ A. Hocker,⁴¹ K. D. Hoffman,¹⁰ R. Hollebeek,³⁷ L. Holloway,²⁰ S. Hou,¹ B. T. Huffman,³⁵ R. Hughes,³³ J. Huston,²⁹ J. Huth,¹⁸ H. Ikeda,⁴⁹ J. Incandela,⁷ G. Introzzi,³⁸ M. Iori,⁴³ A. Ivanov,⁴¹ J. Iwai,⁵¹ Y. Iwata,¹⁹ B. Iyutin,²⁷ E. James,²⁸ M. Jones,³⁷ U. Joshi,¹³ H. Kambara,¹⁶ T. Kamon,⁴⁵ T. Kaneko,⁴⁹ M. Karagoz Unel,³² K. Karr,⁵⁰ S. Kartal,¹³ H. Kasha,⁵³ Y. Kato,³⁴ T. A. Keaffaber,⁴⁰ K. Kelley,²⁷ M. Kelly,²⁸ R. D. Kennedy,¹³ R. Kephart,¹³ D. Khazins,¹² T. Kikuchi,⁴⁹ B. Kilminster,⁴¹ B. J. Kim,²⁴ D. H. Kim,²⁴ H. S. Kim,²⁰ M. J. Kim,⁹ S. B. Kim,²⁴ S. H. Kim,⁴⁹ T. H. Kim,²⁷ Y. K. Kim,²⁵ M. Kirby,¹² M. Kirk,⁴ L. Kirsch,⁴ S. Klimenko,¹⁴ P. Koehn,³³ K. Kondo,⁵¹ J. Konigsberg,¹⁴ A. Korn,²⁷ A. Korytov,¹⁴ K. Kotelnikov,³⁰ E. Kovacs,² J. Kroll,³⁷ M. Kruse,¹² V. Krutelyov,⁴⁵ S. E. Kuhlmann,² K. Kurino,¹⁹ T. Kuwabara,⁴⁹ N. Kuznetsova,¹³ A. T. Laasanen,⁴⁰ N. Lai,¹⁰ S. Lami,⁴² S. Lammel,¹³ J. Lancaster,¹² K. Lannon,²⁰ M. Lancaster,²⁶ R. Lander,⁵ A. Lath,⁴⁴ G. Latino,³¹ T. LeCompte,² Y. Le,²¹ J. Lee,⁴¹ S. W. Lee,⁴⁵ N. Leonardo,²⁷ S. Leone,³⁸ J. D. Lewis,¹³ K. Li,⁵³ C. S. Lin,¹³ M. Lindgren,⁶ T. M. Liss,²⁰ J. B. Liu,⁴¹ T. Liu,¹³ Y. C. Liu,¹ D. O. Litvintsev,¹³ O. Lobban,⁴⁶ N. S. Lockyer,³⁷ A. Loginov,³⁰ J. Loken,³⁵ M. Loreti,³⁶ D. Lucchesi,³⁶ P. Lukens,¹³ S. Lusin,⁵² L. Lyons,³⁵ J. Lys,²⁵ R. Madrak,¹⁸ K. Maeshima,¹³ P. Maksimovic,²¹ L. Malferri,³ M. Mangano,³⁸ G. Manca,³⁵ M. Mariotti,³⁶ G. Martignon,³⁶ M. Martin,²¹ A. Martin,⁵³ V. Martin,³² J. A. J. Matthews,³¹ P. Mazzanti,³ K. S. McFarland,⁴¹ P. McIntyre,⁴⁵ M. Menguzzato,³⁶ A. Menzione,³⁸ P. Merkel,¹³ C. Mesropian,⁴² A. Meyer,¹³ T. Miao,¹³ R. Miller,²⁹ J. S. Miller,²⁸ H. Minato,⁴⁹ S. Miscetti,¹⁵ M. Mishina,²³ G. Mitselmakher,¹⁴ Y. Miyazaki,³⁴ N. Moggi,³ E. Moore,³¹ R. Moore,²⁸ Y. Morita,²³ T. Moulik,⁴⁰ M. Mulhearn,²⁷ A. Mukherjee,¹³ T. Muller,²² A. Munar,³⁸ P. Murat,¹³ S. Murgia,²⁹ J. Nachtman,⁶ V. Nagaslaev,⁴⁶ S. Nahn,⁵³ H. Nakada,⁴⁹ I. Nakano,¹⁹ R. Napora,²¹ C. Nelson,¹³ T. Nelson,¹³ C. Neu,³³ M. S. Neubauer,²⁷ D. Neuberger,²² C. Newman-Holmes,¹³ C.-Y. P. Ngan,²⁷ T. Nigmanov,³⁹ H. Niu,⁴ L. Nodulman,² A. Nomerotski,¹⁴ S. H. Oh,¹² Y. D. Oh,²⁴ T. Ohmoto,¹⁹ T. Ohsugi,¹⁹ R. Oishi,⁴⁹ T. Okusawa,³⁴ J. Olsen,⁵² W. Orejudos,²⁵ C. Pagliarone,³⁸ F. Palmonari,³⁸ R. Paoletti,³⁸ V. Papadimitriou,⁴⁶ D. Partos,⁴ J. Patrick,¹³ G. Paulette,⁴⁸ M. Paulini,⁹ T. Pauly,³⁵ C. Paus,²⁷ D. Pellett,⁵ A. Penzo,⁴⁸ L. Pescara,³⁶ T. J. Phillips,¹² G. Piacentino,³⁸ J. Piedra,⁸ K. T. Pitts,²⁰ A. Pompos,⁴⁰ L. Pondrom,⁵² G. Pope,³⁹ T. Pratt,³⁵ F. Prokoshin,¹¹ J. Proudfoot,² F. Ptahos,¹⁵ O. Pukhov,¹¹ G. Punzi,³⁸ J. Rademacker,³⁵ A. Rakitine,²⁷ F. Ratnikov,⁴⁴ D. Reher,²⁵ A. Reichold,³⁵ P. Renton,³⁵ M. Rescigno,⁴³ A. Ribon,³⁶ W. Riegler,¹⁸ F. Rimondi,³ L. Ristori,³⁸ M. Riveline,⁴⁷ W. J. Robertson,¹² T. Rodrigo,⁸ S. Rolli,⁵⁰ L. Rosenson,²⁷ R. Roser,¹³ R. Rossin,³⁶ C. Rott,⁴⁰

- A. Roy,⁴⁰ A. Ruiz,⁸ D. Ryan,⁵⁰ A. Safonov,⁵ R. St. Denis,¹⁷ W. K. Sakumoto,⁴¹ D. Saltzberg,⁶ C. Sanchez,³³ A. Sansoni,¹⁵ L. Santi,⁴⁸ S. Sarkar,⁴³ H. Sato,⁴⁹ P. Savard,⁴⁷ A. Savoy-Navarro,¹³ P. Schlabach,¹³ E. E. Schmidt,¹³ M. P. Schmidt,⁵³ M. Schmitt,³² L. Scodellaro,³⁶ A. Scott,⁶ A. Scribano,³⁸ A. Sedov,⁴⁰ S. Seidel,³¹ Y. Seiya,⁴⁹ A. Semenov,¹¹ F. Semeria,³ T. Shah,²⁷ M. D. Shapiro,²⁵ P. F. Shepard,³⁹ T. Shibayama,⁴⁹ M. Shimojima,⁴⁹ M. Shochet,¹⁰ A. Sidoti,³⁶ J. Siegrist,²⁵ A. Sill,⁴⁶ P. Sinervo,⁴⁷ P. Singh,²⁰ A. J. Slaughter,⁵³ K. Sliwa,⁵⁰ F. D. Snider,¹³ R. Sniur,²⁶ A. Solodsky,⁴² J. Spalding,¹³ T. Speer,¹⁶ M. Spezziga,⁴⁶ P. Sphicas,²⁷ F. Spinella,³⁸ M. Spiropulu,¹⁰ L. Spiegel,¹³ J. Steele,⁵² A. Stefanini,³⁸ J. Strologas,²⁰ F. Strumia,¹⁶ D. Stuart,⁷ A. Sukhanov,¹⁴ K. Sumorok,²⁷ T. Suzuki,⁴⁹ T. Takano,³⁴ R. Takashima,¹⁹ K. Takikawa,⁴⁹ P. Tamburello,¹² M. Tanaka,⁴⁹ B. Tannenbaum,⁶ M. Tecchio,²⁸ R. J. Tesarek,¹³ P. K. Teng,¹ K. Terashi,⁴² S. Tether,²⁷ A. S. Thompson,¹⁷ E. Thomson,³³ R. Thurman-Keup,² P. Tipton,⁴¹ S. Tkaczyk,¹³ D. Toback,⁴⁵ K. Tollefson,²⁹ A. Tollestrup,¹³ D. Tonelli,³⁸ M. Tonnesmann,²⁹ H. Toyoda,³⁴ W. Trischuk,⁴⁷ J. F. de Troconiz,¹⁸ J. Tseng,²⁷ D. Tsybychev,¹⁴ N. Turini,³⁸ F. Ukegawa,⁴⁹ T. Unverhau,¹⁷ T. Vaiciulis,⁴¹ J. Valls,⁴⁴ E. Vataga,³⁸ S. Vejcik III,¹³ G. Velev,¹³ G. Veramendi,²⁵ R. Vidal,¹³ I. Vila,⁸ R. Vilar,⁸ I. Volobouev,²⁵ M. von der Mey,⁶ D. Vucinic,²⁷ R. G. Wagner,² R. L. Wagner,¹³ W. Wagner,²² N. B. Wallace,⁴⁴ Z. Wan,⁴⁴ C. Wang,¹² M. J. Wang,¹ S. M. Wang,¹⁴ B. Ward,¹⁷ S. Waschke,¹⁷ T. Watanabe,⁴⁹ D. Waters,²⁶ T. Watts,⁴⁴ M. Weber,²⁵ H. Wenzel,²² W. C. Wester III,¹³ B. Whitehouse,⁵⁰ A. B. Wicklund,² E. Wicklund,¹³ T. Wilkes,⁵ H. H. Williams,³⁷ P. Wilson,¹³ B. L. Winer,³³ D. Winn,²⁸ S. Wolbers,¹³ D. Wolinski,²⁸ J. Wolinski,²⁹ S. Wolinski,²⁸ M. Wolter,⁵⁰ S. Worm,⁴⁴ X. Wu,¹⁶ F. Würthwein,²⁷ J. Wyss,³⁸ U. K. Yang,¹⁰ W. Yao,²⁵ G. P. Yeh,¹³ P. Yeh,¹ K. Yi,²¹ J. Yoh,¹³ C. Yosef,²⁹ T. Yoshida,³⁴ I. Yu,²⁴ S. Yu,³⁷ Z. Yu,⁵³ J. C. Yun,¹³ L. Zanello,⁴³ A. Zanetti,⁴⁸ F. Zetti,²⁵ and S. Zucchelli³

(CDF Collaboration)

¹*Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan 11529, Republic of China*²*Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439*³*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, University of Bologna, I-40127 Bologna, Italy*⁴*Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts 02254*⁵*University of California at Davis, Davis, California 95616*⁶*University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90024*⁷*University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106*⁸*Instituto de Fisica de Cantabria, CSIC–University of Cantabria, 39005 Santander, Spain*⁹*Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15218*¹⁰*Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637*¹¹*Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, RU-141980 Dubna, Russia*¹²*Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708*¹³*Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510*¹⁴*University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611*¹⁵*Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, I-00044 Frascati, Italy*¹⁶*University of Geneva, CH-1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland*¹⁷*Glasgow University, Glasgow G12 8QQ, United Kingdom*¹⁸*Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138*¹⁹*Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima 724, Japan*²⁰*University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801*²¹*The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218*²²*Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Universität Karlsruhe, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany*²³*High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan*²⁴*Center for High Energy Physics, Kyungpook National University, Taegu 702-701; Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742; and SungKyunKwan University, Suwon 440-746, Korea*²⁵*Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720*²⁶*University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom*²⁷*Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139*²⁸*University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109*²⁹*Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824*³⁰*Institution for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, ITEP, Moscow 117259, Russia*³¹*University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131*³²*Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208*³³*The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210*³⁴*Osaka City University, Osaka 588, Japan*³⁵*University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3RH, United Kingdom*

³⁶*Università di Padova, Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Padova, I-35131 Padova, Italy*³⁷*University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104*³⁸*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, University and Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, I-56100 Pisa, Italy*³⁹*University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260*⁴⁰*Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907*⁴¹*University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627*⁴²*Rockefeller University, New York, New York 10021*⁴³*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Roma, University di Roma I, "La Sapienza," I-00185 Roma, Italy*⁴⁴*Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey 08855*⁴⁵*Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843*⁴⁶*Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas 79409*⁴⁷*Institute of Particle Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto M5S 1A7, Canada*⁴⁸*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, University of Trieste, Udine, Italy*⁴⁹*University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan*⁵⁰*Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts 02155*⁵¹*Waseda University, Tokyo 169, Japan*⁵²*University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706*⁵³*Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520*

(Received 8 October 2002; published 28 February 2003)

We report the results of a search for a W' boson produced in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 1.8 TeV using a 106 pb^{-1} data sample recorded by the Collider Detector at Fermilab. We observe no significant excess of events above background for a W' boson decaying to a top and bottom quark pair. In a model where this boson would mediate interactions involving a massive right-handed neutrino (ν_R) and have standard model strength couplings, we use these data to exclude a W' boson with mass between 225 and 536 GeV/c^2 at 95% confidence level for $M_{W'} \gg M_{\nu_R}$ and between 225 and 566 GeV/c^2 at 95% confidence level for $M_{W'} < M_{\nu_R}$.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.081802

PACS numbers: 13.85.Rm, 14.70.Pw

The search for additional forces in nature has focused on identifying particle physics phenomena not predicted by the strong, electromagnetic, and weak forces. These are described by the standard model using a local gauge theory that accounts for each interaction using a vector boson force carrier [1]. Evidence for a new force could come from observation of the corresponding force carrier. There are a number of extensions to the standard model that predict the existence of a new charged vector boson, generically known as a W' boson. The most common extensions are left-right symmetric [2], in that they presume that the W' boson mediates right-handed interactions, in the same way that the standard model W boson mediates only left-handed interactions.

Previous searches for new charged vector bosons with couplings to quarks and leptons have set model dependent limits on the new boson mass and on its cross section times branching ratio. Searches using the decay mode $W' \rightarrow e\nu_e$ exclude a W' boson with mass $< 754 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ at 95% C.L. [3,4], while similar searches considering the decay mode $W' \rightarrow \mu\nu_\mu$ have excluded a W' boson with mass $< 660 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ at 95% C.L. [5]. The most stringent single limit comes from a search combining both of these leptonic channels and excludes a W' boson with mass $< 786 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ at 95% C.L. [3]. These mass limits all assume that the new vector boson's couplings to leptonic final states will be given by the standard model, with the additional assumption that the mass of the neutrino pro-

duced in the leptonic decay of the W' is much less than the mass of the W' boson itself. A search that avoids any assumptions regarding the neutrino mass has involved the decay mode $W' \rightarrow q\bar{q}'$, where the quarks are observed as high-energy jets, but is background limited and only excludes W' bosons with $300 < M_{W'} < 420 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ at 95% C.L. [6]. Indirect searches studying, for example, the Michel spectrum in μ decay have resulted in more model-independent limits with less sensitivity [7].

In this Letter, we present the results of a new search for a W' boson decaying to a top quark-bottom quark pair, i.e., $W' \rightarrow t\bar{b}$. Although this search is only sensitive to W' bosons with mass above the $t\bar{b}$ kinematic threshold of approximately $200 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, it is relatively free of background compared to the $W' \rightarrow q\bar{q}'$ decay mode because of the signature from the top quark decay $t \rightarrow Wb$. Furthermore, the interpretation of the data is less sensitive to assumptions regarding the right-handed neutrino sector or the leptonic couplings of the W' boson [8]. We use a data sample of $106 \pm 4 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ of 1.8 TeV $p\bar{p}$ collisions recorded by the Collider Detector at Fermilab (CDF) detector during 1992–1995.

The CDF detector is described in detail elsewhere [9]. The detector has a charged particle tracking system immersed in a 1.4 T solenoidal magnetic field coaxial with the $p\bar{p}$ beams. The tracking system is surrounded by segmented electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters measuring the flow of energy associated with particles

that interact hadronically or electromagnetically out to $|\eta|$ of 4.2 [10]. Electron candidates with $|\eta| < 1.0$ are identified using the observed calorimeter energy deposition and the presence of a charged track consistent with the calorimeter information. A set of charged particle detectors outside the calorimeter is used to identify muon candidates with $|\eta| < 1.0$.

We search for those events that are consistent with $W' \rightarrow t\bar{b}$ with the top quark decaying to final states including either $e\nu_e b$ or $\mu\nu_\mu b$. The primary selection criteria is identical to an earlier study searching for single top quark production [11]. Candidate events are identified in the CDF trigger system by the requirement of at least one electron or muon candidate with $p_T > 18 \text{ GeV}/c$. The event sample is subsequently refined after full event reconstruction by requiring an electron or muon candidate with $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}/c$ and that the missing transverse energy in the event, \cancel{E}_T , be greater than 20 GeV. We reject events that are identified as dilepton candidates arising from top quark pair production ($t\bar{t}$) [12], and reject other dilepton candidates as described in Ref. [11]. To select events with at least two bottom quark candidates, we require either two or three jets with transverse energy $E_T > 15 \text{ GeV}$ and $|\eta| < 2.0$, where the jets are defined using a fixed-cone clustering algorithm employing a cone size of $R \equiv \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2} = 0.4$. The jet transverse energies are corrected for the effects of jet fragmentation, calorimeter nonuniformities and energy flow from the rest of the event [13]. We require that at least one of the jets be identified as a b quark candidate using displaced secondary vertex information from the silicon vertex detector [14]. This selection results in 57 candidate events.

We use a PYTHIA Monte Carlo calculation [15] and a CDF detector simulation to determine the expected number of candidate events we would observe in this data sample as a function of W' boson mass. We require the W' boson to have a right-handed coupling to the $t\bar{b}$ final state, we set the top quark mass to $175 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ and we assume that the top quark always decays to a Wb final state. We expect negligible signal yield differences between right-handed and left-handed couplings. We use the MRS(G) parton distribution functions [16] to model the momentum distribution of the initial state partons. We assume two scenarios on the mass of the right-handed

neutrino (M_{ν_R}) that couples to the W' : $M_{W'} \gg M_{\nu_R}$ and $M_{W'} < M_{\nu_R}$. We use a next-to-leading-order calculation to estimate the production cross section [17]; the increase in cross section over the leading-order prediction ranges from a factor of 1.50 at $M_{W'} = 225 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ to 1.26 at $M_{W'} = 600 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. The efficiency times acceptance in both the electron and muon channels for our event selection is 9% for $M_{W'} = 225 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, increases to 12% for $M_{W'} = 300 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, and is approximately constant for masses up to $600 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. The corresponding efficiency times acceptance for the τ lepton channel, where this lepton decays to an energetic muon or electron, is a factor of 6 to 10 smaller. We will not attempt to interpret our data for $M_{W'} < 225 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ as the acceptance calculation become increasingly uncertain as one nears the $t\bar{b}$ kinematic threshold. The production cross section times branching ratio and the expected number of signal events as a function of $M_{W'}$ are shown in Table I. Over a wide range of W' boson masses, we would expect to see significant numbers of events contributing to our candidate sample.

We identified three sources that comprise the dominant background contributions to this search: the pair production of top quarks, single top quark production, and the associated QCD production of W bosons with one or more heavy quarks ($Wb\bar{b}$ and $Wc\bar{c}$ where c is the charm quark). We have investigated other possible background sources and find them to be individually insignificant. Using the predicted $t\bar{t}$ production cross section of $5.1 \pm 0.9 \text{ pb}$ [18], we estimate the $t\bar{t}$ background using a PYTHIA Monte Carlo calculation to be 15.0 ± 4.0 observed events. We use the methods described in Ref. [11] to estimate the single top quark contribution to be 3.9 ± 0.9 observed events. The largest single background contribution comes from the associated QCD production of W bosons with heavy quarks. We employ the technique described in an earlier report [14] to estimate these, taking into account the different event selection requirements, and find a total expected background contribution of 15.6 ± 3.0 events. Other sources of background, including events not containing a heavy quark jet, dilepton final states, and events with misidentified lepton candidates are predicted to give rise to 13.6 ± 2.9 events. We thus expect 48 ± 6 candidate events from background processes. This is in reasonable agreement with the 57 candidate events observed, and we

TABLE I. The production cross section times branching fraction and the number of expected events for different W' masses and different assumptions regarding the right-handed neutrino sector.

$M_{W'}$ (GeV/c^2)	$M_{W'} \gg M_{\nu_R}$		Events	$M_{W'} < M_{\nu_R}$	
	$\sigma \cdot \mathcal{B}(W' \rightarrow t\bar{b})$ (pb)	$\sigma \cdot \mathcal{B}(W' \rightarrow t\bar{b})$ (pb)		$\sigma \cdot \mathcal{B}(W' \rightarrow t\bar{b})$ (pb)	Events
225	53.4		116	77.2	168
300	37.4		115	52.1	161
400	13.3		43	18.0	58
500	4.38		14	5.87	19
600	1.43		4.5	1.89	5.9

conclude we have no significant evidence for W' boson production.

To set a limit on the W' mass, we employ the invariant mass distribution of the $Wb\bar{b}$ final state as that provides more information about possible W' production than the number of candidate events alone. We reconstruct the momentum of the neutrino along the beam axis (p_z) by constraining the invariant mass of the lepton-neutrino pair to equal the W boson mass of $80.22 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ [19]. This generally provides two solutions, and we select the solution with the smaller value of $|p_z|$ as that is more likely correct given the central nature of the W' production mechanism. If the solution has an imaginary component, we use only the real component. The resulting $Wb\bar{b}$ mass distribution for our 57 candidate event sample is shown in Fig. 1 and is compared with the expected mass distribution for a W' boson with $M_{W'} = 500 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ and for the sum of the background processes.

To estimate the size of the potential signal contribution, we perform an unbinned maximum likelihood fit to both the number of observed events and the observed mass distribution, allowing for both a signal and background contribution for different values of $M_{W'}$ ranging from 225 to $600 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. We use a fitting technique identical to that employed in Ref. [11], where we model the expected mass distribution as a sum of a signal component with size $\beta_{W'}$, and three background components with sizes $\beta_{t\bar{t}}$, β_{st} , and β_{nt} for the backgrounds from $t\bar{t}$ production, single top quark production, and sources not containing a top quark, respectively. These

parameters are normalized so that they equal unity when the fit results in the number of observed events predicted from each individual source. With this normalization, we can interpret

$$\beta_{W'} = \frac{\sigma \cdot \mathcal{B}(W' \rightarrow t\bar{b})}{\sigma \cdot \mathcal{B}(W' \rightarrow t\bar{b})_{SM}}, \quad (1)$$

where the denominator is the expected production cross section times branching fraction for the W' boson assuming standard model couplings. Since the latter depends on the nature of the right-handed neutrino, we express our results using the two scenarios described earlier. We include in the likelihood Gaussian constraints on the expected number of events from the three background sources. The results of the fit are presented in Table II.

We set Bayesian 95% C.L. upper limits on the relative contribution of a W' boson by constructing a posterior distribution $f(\beta_{W'})$ for each fixed value of $M_{W'}$ by maximizing the likelihood function for fixed values of $\beta_{W'}$ and multiplying the resulting function by a flat prior distribution for $\beta_{W'}$. We then convolute $f(\beta_{W'})$ with two Gaussian prior distributions to take into account the systematic uncertainties that affect the number of expected background or signal events and the shape of the resulting invariant mass distribution. The largest uncertainties arise from our uncertainty in b quark tagging efficiency (11%), in the lepton selection efficiency (10%) and in the parton distribution functions (between 4% and 11%). We are also sensitive to the value of the top quark mass; its current uncertainty of $\pm 5 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ [20] results in a systematic uncertainty on the acceptance of 15% at $M_{W'} = 225 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, 8% at $M_{W'} = 250 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, and $\leq 4\%$ for higher masses. The systematic uncertainties from all effects total approximately 20% for W' boson masses ranging from $M_{W'} = 225 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ to $600 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. To set a 95% C.L. upper limit on $\beta_{W'}$, we integrate the posterior distribution $f(\beta_{W'})$. A frequentist calculation of this limit yields consistent results.

The results of the fit and this limit-setting procedure are summarized in Table II and plotted in Fig. 2. We can




FIG. 1. The $Wb\bar{b}$ mass spectrum of the candidate events after constraining the lepton-neutrino invariant mass to the W boson mass. The distribution expected from the production of a W' boson with a mass of $500 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ is illustrated by the dashed curve. The distribution expected from the background processes is shown by the solid curve.

TABLE II. The fit results for the number of events arising from W' production, normalized to the expected number of events for a given W' mass, and the Bayesian 95% C.L. upper limit on this fraction for the two different assumptions on the mass of the right-handed neutrino.

$M_{W'}$ (GeV/c^2)	$M_{W'} \gg M_{\nu_R}$		$M_{W'} < M_{\nu_R}$	
	Fit	Upper limit	Fit	Upper limit
225	$0.04^{+0.07}_{-0.04}$	0.20	$0.03^{+0.05}_{-0.03}$	0.14
300	$0.07^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$	0.21	$0.05^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$	0.15
400	$0.09^{+0.13}_{-0.09}$	0.38	$0.06^{+0.09}_{-0.06}$	0.27
500	$0.06^{+0.25}_{-0.06}$	0.70	$0.05^{+0.18}_{-0.05}$	0.53
600	$0.31^{+0.51}_{-0.29}$	1.74	$0.23^{+0.38}_{-0.22}$	1.32




FIG. 2. The upper limits on the W' boson production cross section as a function of the W' boson mass. Limits are shown for the case $M_{W'} \gg M_{\nu_R}$ (solid) and $M_{W'} < M_{\nu_R}$ (dashed). The intercepts at $[\sigma B(W' \rightarrow tb)]/[\sigma B(W' \rightarrow tb)_{SM}] = 1$ correspond to the 95% C.L. limits on the W' boson mass with standard model strength couplings.

exclude a W' boson at 95% C.L. with masses $225 < M_{W'} < 536 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ for $M_{W'} \gg M_{\nu_R}$ and $225 < M_{W'} < 566 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ assuming $M_{W'} < M_{\nu_R}$.

In summary, we have searched for the production of a new heavy vector gauge boson in 1.8 TeV $p\bar{p}$ collisions and decaying into the $t\bar{b}$ final state. We see no evidence for a signal above the expected background contributions. We use a fit of the final state invariant mass distribution to exclude a W' boson with $225 < M_{W'} < 536 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ for $M_{W'} \gg M_{\nu_R}$ and $225 < M_{W'} < 566 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ for $M_{W'} < M_{\nu_R}$. This is the first study made of this production and decay process, and we expect that it will be an effective search signature for higher mass W' bosons that might be produced at future higher energy and higher luminosity colliders.

We thank the Fermilab staff and the technical staff at the participating institutions for their essential contributions to this research. This work is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy and the National Science Foundation; the Italian Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare; the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada; the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan; the National Science Council of the Republic of China; the Swiss National Science Foundation; the A. P. Sloan Foundation; the Bundesministerium fuer Bildung und Forschung, Germany; the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation (KoSEF); the Korea Research Foundation; and the Comision Interministerial de Ciencia y Tecnologia, Spain.

- [1] S. L. Glashow, Nucl. Phys. **22**, 579 (1961); S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19**, 1264 (1967); A. Salam, in *Elementary Particle Theory*, edited by N. Svartholm (Almqvist and Wiksell, Stockholm, 1968), p. 367.
- [2] J. C. Pati and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 275 (1974); R. N. Mohapatra and J. C. Pati, Phys. Rev. D **11**, 2558 (1975); G. Senjaovic and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D **12**, 1502 (1975).
- [3] CDF Collaboration, T. Affolder *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 231803 (2001).
- [4] D0 Collaboration, S. Abachi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 3271 (1996).
- [5] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 5716 (2000).
- [6] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **55**, R5263 (1997).
- [7] A. Jodidio *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **34**, 1967 (1986); **37**, 237(E) (1988); J. Imazato *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 877 (1992).
- [8] Jonathan L. Rosner and Eiichi Takasugi, Phys. Rev. D **42**, 241 (1990).
- [9] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **271**, 387 (1988); D. Amidei *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **350**, 73 (1994); CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 4784 (1995); P. Azzi *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **360**, 137 (1995).
- [10] We use a coordinate system where θ is the polar angle to the proton beam, ϕ is the azimuthal angle about this beam axis, and η is the pseudorapidity defined as $-\ln \tan(\theta/2)$. Missing transverse energy, \not{E}_T , is defined as the magnitude of $-\sum_i E_T^i \hat{n}_i$, where \hat{n}_i is a unit vector in the azimuthal plane that points from the beam line to the i th calorimeter tower.
- [11] CDF Collaboration, D. Acosta *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 091102 (2002).
- [12] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2779 (1997).
- [13] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 1448 (1992).
- [14] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3819 (1997).
- [15] T. J. Sjöstrand, Comput. Phys. Commun. **82**, 74 (1994). We use PYTHIA Version 5.7 in our calculations.
- [16] A. D. Martin, R. G. Roberts, and W. J. Stirling, Phys. Lett. B **354**, 155 (1995).
- [17] Z. Sullivan, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 075011 (2002).
- [18] R. Bonciani *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **B529**, 424 (1998). We fold into the authors' prediction of the top quark mass uncertainty to arrive at an uncertainty of $\pm 18\%$ in this estimate.
- [19] Particle Data Group, R. M. Barnett *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 1 (1996).
- [20] See, for example, L. Demortier, R. Hall, R. Hughes, B. Klima, R. Roser, and M. Strovink, Fermilab Report No. FERMILAB-TM-2084, 1999.