Letter to the Editor

Off-label use of Betnovate cream for the symptomatic management of erosive lichen planus

Stephen Porter, ¹ Valeria Mercadante, ¹ Stefano Fedele. ^{1, 2}

¹ University College London, UCL Eastman Dental Institute, 256 Gray's Inn Road London WC1X 8LD, UK

² NIHR University College London Hospitals, Biomedical Research Centre, London, UK

Sir,

We write in response to the letter published in the BDJ by Kanatas and Brotherton "Erosive lichen planus; BDJ 2014 216:545". Erosive and ulcerative lesions of oral lichen planus (OLP) can be persistent and painful (1); therapy is warranted when patients have painful disease that may adversely impact upon quality of life, but effective management of OLP can be challenging (2). The authors presented their recent preliminary experience with betamethasone valerate 0.05% cream (Betnovate®), which, they report, seems beneficial in controlling painful symptoms and worthy further investigations.

This is perhaps not unexpected, as a wide spectrum of corticosteroid formulations including mouthwashes, creams, ointments, sprays and intralesional injections have been reported in the treatment of symptomatic OLP (3-5). Nevertheless there remains a lack of well designed clinical trials in this field, with a relatively recent systematic review indicating that there is little robust evidence for the efficacy of any single treatment for the management of erosive OLP (6).

Although we are grateful to Dr Kanatas and Brotherton for their preliminary report on betamethasone therapy, we would like to highlight that comprehensive management of OLP can be a significantly more complex matter, as it encompasses a number of issues that go beyond the far too simple question of which topical corticosteroid is most effective in controlling painful symptoms of OLP.

For example, we would like to draw readers' attention to the medicolegal responsibility that falls on healthcare professionals when prescribing, dispensing and administering an agent outwith its licensed indication. It is important that clinicians inform patients of the off-label use of these agents and detail possible adverse side effects (7). Certainly patients should be carefully reviewed for such events.

Perhaps the most important aspect of OLP management remains its malignant potential and the associated increased risk of oral cancer development (8). A recent systematic review suggests that the overall prevalence of oral cancer in the OLP population (regardless of type, patient characteristics or mode of management of the disease) may be up to 3.5% (9). As the risk of oral squamous cell carcinoma in OLP is often unpredictable, the long-term monitoring of patients with OLP is a crucial issue so to attempt to identify and diagnose early dysplastic and malignant changes (10). Again there remains little robust evidence but perhaps long-term surveillance warrants shared care between the primary and secondary health care providers. Undoubtedly, despite the best efforts of attending clinicians, some patients with OLP will develop oral mucosal dysplasia or malignancy, although early diagnosis can lessen the need for complex therapy and may ultimately improve the prognosis of affected individuals.

Any patient with possible OLP should be initially referred to specialists to ensure that (i) the diagnosis is formally confirmed, (ii) appropriate treatment is provided and (iii) adequate and evidence-based information is given. Simply telling a patient that they are likely to have OLP is often unhelpful and sometimes they can become alarmed after surfing the World Wide Web. Patients' perspective and expectation are also important, as conversations regarding the chronic nature of the disease and associated increased risk of cancer may sometimes become difficult.

References:

- 1. Carbone M, Arduino PG, Carrozzo M, Gandolfo S, Argiolas MR, Bertolusso G, et al. Course of oral lichen planus: A retrospective study of 808 northern Italian patients. Oral Diseases. 2009;15(3):235-43.
- 2. Lodi G, Carrozzo M, Furness S, Thongprasom K. Interventions for treating oral lichen planus: A systematic review. British Journal of Dermatology. 2012;166(5):938-47.
- 3. Liu C, Xie B, Yang Y, Lin D, Wang C, Lin M, et al. Efficacy of intralesional betamethasone for erosive oral lichen planus and evaluation of recurrence: A randomized, controlled trial. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology. 2013;116(5):584-90.
- 4. Malhotra AK, Khaitan BK, Sethuraman G, Sharma VK. Betamethasone oral mini-pulse therapy compared with topical triamcinolone acetonide (0.1%) paste in oral lichen planus: A randomized comparative study. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 2008;58(4):596-602.
- 5. Hegarty AM, Hodgson TA, Lewsey JD, Porter SR. Fluticasone propionate spray and betamethasone sodium phosphate mouthrinse: A randomized crossover study for the treatment of symptomatic oral lichen planus. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 2002;47(2):271-9.
- 6. Cheng S, Kirtschig G, Cooper S, Thornhill M, Leonardi-Bee J, Murphy R. Interventions for erosive lichen planus affecting mucosal sites. Cochrane database of systematic reviews (Online). 2012;2.
- 7. Sommer S, Wilkinson SM, English JSC, Gawkrodger DJ, Green C, King CM, et al. Type-IV hypersensitivity to betamethasone valerate and clobetasol propionate: Results of a multicentre study. British Journal of Dermatology. 2002;147(2):266-9.
- 8. Van der Waal I. Oral potentially malignant disorders: Is malignant transformation predictable and preventable? Medicina Oral, Patologia Oral y Cirugia Bucal. 2014;19(4):e386-e90.
- 9. Fitzpatrick SG, Hirsch Sa Fau Gordon SC, Gordon SC. The malignant transformation of oral lichen planus and oral lichenoid lesions: a systematic review. 2014(1943-4723 (Electronic)).
- 10. Mignogna MD, Fedele S, Lo Russo L. Dysplasia/neoplasia surveillance in oral lichen planus patients: A description of clinical criteria adopted at a single centre and their impact on prognosis. Oral Oncology. 2006;42(8):819-24.