## Controlling the coassembly of highly amphiphilic block copolymers with a hydrolytic sol by solvent exchange

Stefan Guldin,<sup>a</sup> Morgan Stefik,<sup>b</sup> Hiroaki Sai,<sup>c,†</sup> Ulrich Wiesner<sup>c</sup> and Ullrich Steiner,<sup>d,\*</sup>

Received 14th Jan 2015, Accepted 20th Feb 2015 First published on the web 20th Feb 2015 DOI: 10.1039/C5RA00836K

The coassembly of an inorganic sol by organic structuredirecting agents is a widely established technique for solution processed mesoporous metal oxides. Block copolymers with highly amphiphilic blocks are promising candidates for fast and robust material assembly into a range of periodic nano-morphologies. The high polarity difference is however an inherent challenge for the simultaneous processing of hydrophilic and hydrophobic components. Here, we show that a solvent exchange through the evaporation of the initial volatiles and redissolution in an azeotrope mixture of polar and apolar solvents with a common high boiling point allows the evolution of a micellar solution towards block copolymer-dictated structures near thermodynamic equilibrium, with controlled access to inorganic cylinders, lamellae and and organic cylinders within a continuous inorganic matrix.

Four requirements have to be fulfilled in order to reach equilibrium morphologies in blends of block copolymers with precursor sols during solvent evaporation: (I) the guest material has to exhibit selective interaction with one block of the polymer, <sup>1</sup> (II) the inorganic material has to disperse into small units comparable to the size of the polymer chain, <sup>2</sup> (III) the kinetics of the gelation process has to be slow compared to the duration of polymer phase separation, <sup>3</sup> and (IV) a common solvent has to dissolve all components and evaporate sufficiently slowly to allow polymer self-assembly to equillibrate.<sup>4</sup>

While these conditions were soon met for the coassembly of silica-type materials, <sup>5,6</sup> full structure control for metal oxidebased materials remains challenging. For TiO<sub>2</sub>, high chemical reactivity and multiple stable coordination states of the titanium precursor greatly limit the control over the kinetics of the sol-gel reaction. The fast hydrolysis of titanium alkoxide Ti(OR)<sub>4</sub>, by a factor of  $10^5$  compared to Si(OR)<sub>4</sub>, often impedes the block copolymer self-assembly.<sup>7</sup> Routes to decelerate the gelation of the inorganic sol include the addition of stabilising ligands, low pH or non-hydrolytic synthesis.<sup>8</sup> Usually an oversupply of aqueous HCl is added in order to maintain sol stability during block copolymer-directed material assembly.<sup>9</sup> The coassembly of TiO<sub>2</sub> by the widely studied block copolymer poly(ethylene oxide-*block*-propylene oxide-*block*-ethylene oxide) (Pluronic) is now well established in the bulk and in thin films,<sup>10,11</sup> but most approaches suffer from long processing protocols and limited structure control. In principle, polymer blocks with a high interaction parameter  $\chi$  are expected to be beneficial for fast and robust material synthesis by quickly driving self-assembly towards thermodynamic equilibrium. This should provide access to spherical, cylindrical, gyroid and lamellar morphologies in a range of metal oxide ceramics.

Alternatives to Pluronic, such as poly(ethylene-cobutylene-block-ethylene oxide) (KLE), poly(isoprene-blockethylene oxide) (PI-b-PEO), poly(styrene-block-ethylene oxide) (PS-b-PEO) or poly(ethylene oxide-block-butadiene*block*-ethylene oxide) (PEO-*b*-PB-*b*-PEO) have shown promising results in terms of larger pore sizes and temperature stability of the final material, but full structure-control for high TiO<sub>2</sub> loadings is currently missing.<sup>12-18</sup> Block copolymers with a large  $\chi$ -value consist of strongly dissimilar chemical block, which poses a major challenge in terms of their solubility. Blending block copolymer solutions with excess water arising from the acid-stabilised TiO<sub>2</sub> sol gives rise to microemulsions from which the volatile components evaporate with differing rates, leading to kinetically trapped morphologies that are far from thermodynamic equilibrium. A somewhat more elaborate route that circumvents this problem is a BCP-templating approach, where a BCP film is equilibrated and one of the blocks is removed to yield a mesoporous template that is subsequently backfilled with the inorganic material. 19-21

We have recently introduced an additional step for the fabrication of PI-*b*-PEO-directed porous  $TiO_2$  films involving drying and redissolution of the block copolymer-sol mixture, which resulted in a greatly improved film quality and pore regularity.<sup>22,23</sup> Here, we show that the redissolution of the asmade hybrid material in an azeotropic mixture of polar and apolar solvents with similar high boiling points allows the evolution from a micellar solution towards block copolymerdictated structures near the thermodynamic equilibrium, with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, University College London, Torrington Place, London, WC1E 7JE. UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina, 29208, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Department of Materials Science & Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Adolphe Merkle Institute, University of Fribourg, Chemin des Verdiers, 1700 Fribourg, CH. Email: ullrich.steiner@unifr.ch

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Present address: Simpson Querrey Institute for BioNanotechnology (SQI), Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA.



**Fig. 1** Schematic of the fabrication route. (*a*) An acid-stabilised hydrolytic  $\text{TiO}_2$  sol and a PI-*b*-PEO block copolymer are mixed in THF. (*b*) The block copolymer forms micelles with PI cores that are preserved during evaporation of all volatile components. (*c*) Redissolution of this material in an azeotrope mixture of polar and apolar solvents with a common high boiling point overcomes micellisation and enables the evolution of the block copolymer-sol blend towards thermodynamic equilibrium.

controlled access to inorganic cylinders, lamellae and hexagonal ceramic morphologies.

Our method is schematically shown in Fig. 1. A titaniumcontaining sol is prepared by the addition of 0.69 ml HCl (37%) to 1 ml titanium ethoxide (purum) under vigorous stirring.<sup>9</sup> Depending on the inorganic to organic weight ratio, an appropriate amount of inorganic sol is subsequently mixed with 0.1 g PI-b-PEO block copolymer (molecular weight  $M_{\rm n} = 33.5 \,\rm kg \,\,mol^{-1}$ , 23 wt% PEO ( $\approx 18.3 \,\rm vol\%$ ), polydispersity index PDI = 1.03) dissolved in 7 ml of tetrahydrofuran (THF). For a weight ratio of 1:1 between the resulting TiO<sub>2</sub> and the amount of polymer in solution, 0.87 ml sol was added to 0.1 g BCP. The large amount of excess water arising from the acid-stabilisation of the metal oxide sol and high chemical dissimilarity of the hybrid components leads to a micellisation of the PI-block which is maintained during the evaporation of all volatile components. The dried material is subsequently redissolved in an azeotrope solvent mixture of 1-butanol (polar) and toluene (apolar) with a common high boiling point of  $T_{\rm B} = 105.6 \,^{\circ}{\rm C}.^{24}$  This solution is then dried under a glass dome at 40 °C. The reduction of excess water during the first evaporation step and the good solubility of all components in the high  $T_{\rm B}$  solvent mixture in the second cycle are essential for the slow evolution of microphase separation during solvent evaporation. Note that both evaporation cycles are required. Mixing of the  $TiO_2$  sol directly with an azeotrope solution of block copolymer leads to precipitation of the solute due to the high amount of water in the initial acid-stabilised hydrolytic sol.

The resulting morphology of a self-assembled hybrid material is shown in the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images in Fig. 2. All bulk samples were cross-sectioned by cryo-ultramicrotomy to allow TEM imaging. The one-stage evaporation procedure, where a mixed solution was slowly



**Fig. 2** Effect of redissolution on bulk coassembly of PI-*b*-PEO & TiO<sub>2</sub> from a precursor sol. TEM images of samples (*a*) without and (*b*) with the described redissolving step under otherwise identical experimental conditions. The scale bars represent 200 nm. (*c*) Radially integrated SAXS patterns of both samples. The vertical lines correspond to the expected peak positions for a micellar array (black) and hexagonally packed cylinders (red).

dried in a Petri dish at 40 °C under a glass dome led to a micellar arrangement as shown in Fig. 2a. This morphology is similar to those reported for the KLE system.<sup>25</sup> The effect of a two-stage process, with evaporation of primary volatiles and azeotrope redissolution, is shown in Fig. 2b. An inverse hexagonal morphology with a matrix of PEO-TiO<sub>2</sub> containing PI cylinders was identified. Different projections of the cylinders are visible in the image plane, clearly distinguishing this morphology from the micellar array of Fig. 2a. The average cylinder-to-cylinder spacing determined by electron microscopy was  $28 \pm 3$  nm. This observation is supported by small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) data, shown in Fig. 2c. While the radially integrated scattering pattern of the one-stage evaporated sample is consistent with a closepacked micellar arrangement of pores (exhibiting an appreciable degree of polydispersity), the reflection peaks of the redissolved sample is clearly shifted and consistent with the allowed positions for an arrangement of hexagonally packed cylinders. A characteristic length scale of  $d = 2\pi/q = 52$ nm can be derived from scattering pattern of the micellar array while the SAXS data after solvent exchange corresponds to a cylinder-to-cylinder spacing of  $d = 4\pi/\sqrt{3}q = 38$  nm.<sup>26</sup> This is a convincing indication that the evaporation of primary volatiles and redissolution resulted in improved solubility of the polymer-sol blend, which in combination with greatly decelerated evaporation kinetics led to a microphase separated hybrid material in close resemblance with the block copolymer morphology near thermodynamic equilibrium.



**Fig. 3** Self-assembly in the hybrid composite. (a, b) TEM images of PI-*b*-PEO / TiO<sub>2</sub> samples. The specimen were cast from inorganic to organic mixing ratios of (a) 1:3 and (b) 1:2, which led to two different morphological arrangements, TiO<sub>2</sub>-rich cylinders in an organic matrix and TiO<sub>2</sub> lamellae, respectively. The scale bars represent 500 nm. (c, d) Corresponding radially integrated SAXS spectra. The expected peak positions for (c) a hexagonal arrangement of cylinders and (d) lamellae are indicated as black lines, calculated from the position of the first reflection peak.

The versatility of this approach is demonstrated in Fig. 3, where the mixing ratio of the block copolymer to titaniaprecursor in the initial solution was varied. The TEM images reveal that a 1:3 ratio (defined by the mass of the resulting TiO<sub>2</sub> after calcination to the mass of polymer in solution) led to the formation of TiO<sub>2</sub>-rich cylinders surrounded by a PEO matrix, with a characteristic spacing of  $44 \pm 7$  nm. The vol% of the PI block used in this study was 82%, indicating that the incorporated TiO<sub>2</sub> must have swollen the PEO-rich phase to drive the hydrophilic block into a hexagonal arrangement of cylinders.<sup>27</sup> The observed morphology is consistent with SAXS data in Fig. 3c, having a cylinder-to-cylinder spacing of 36 nm. The predicted reflection peak positions for a hexagonal morphology are indicated with black lines. Increasing the inorganic weight fraction to 1:2 resulted in a morphology resembling a lamellar arrangement of the components with a characteristic spacing of  $43 \pm 2$  nm, shown in Fig. 3b. This is in line with SAXS where the observed peaks are consistent with the allowed reflections for a lamellar phase having a layer spacing of 36 nm. A further increase of the TiO<sub>2</sub> weight fraction to 2:1 led to an inverse hexagonal morphology as discused previously (Fig. 2). In fact, the presented two-step procedure enabled high inorganic loading up to mass ratios of 5:1.

We observed a 17-19% difference between the characteristic spacings determined by TEM analysis compared to SAXS analysis. Where values of 36 nm, 36 nm and 38 nm were determined for the 1:3, 1:2 and 2:1 ratios by SAXS, analysis of the TEM images led to values of  $44 \pm 7$   $43 \pm 2$  nm, and  $28 \pm 3$  nm, respectively (see Supporting Information). The reasons for these deviations include off-axis imaging of the

lamellae, stretching the apparent spacing observed by TEM. Symmetry considerations exclude this cause for the measured regions of the hexagonal and inverse hexagonal morphologies. Our observations are consistent with earlier reports specifying microtome-induced distortion and PI reflow after microtoming as likely causes of these differences.<sup>6,28</sup>

The results presented in Figs. 2 and 3 illustrate the potential of introducing a redissolution step after partial evaporation of the primary volatiles. The success of this approach depends, however, on the appropriate timing for evaporation and redissolution. If the condensation reaction arrests the material during the first evaporation step, it will no longer redissolve. On the other hand, interrupting the primary evaporation process too early may cause a deterioration of the structure formation process by residual water. In experimental practise, the appropriate timing proved relatively robust. The primary evaporation process was stopped when a sudden increase in viscosity inhibited further flow of residual solvent in the Petri dish.

In an earlier publication by Garcia *et al.* the effects of the block copolymer composition as well as the inorganic to organic ratio were discussed in detail for a PI-*b*-PEO aluminosilicate sol mixture, where the kinetics of the sol-gel chemistry are somewhat less challenging.<sup>29</sup> A ternary phase diagram with resulting equilibrium morphologies as a function of the volume ratio of PI, PEO and inorganic content was presented. Consistent with this previous publication, we have observed that gradually changing the inorganic to organic weight ratio ratio from 1:4 to 9:1 led to changes of the resulting sample morphologies, from inorganic cylinders, to lamellae, and finally an inverse hexagonal morphology. We anticipate that the presented approach of redissolution will enable the generation of a similar phase diagram for PI-*b*-PEO / TiO<sub>2</sub>.

In summary, we present a powerful method to compatibilise the coassembly of a non-water soluble block copolymer with hydrolytic sol-gel chemistry. The introduction of a solvent exchange step enabled the surmounting of kinetic barriers from trapped solution phases. As a result, hybrid material arrangement of hydrophobic PI and hydrophilic PEO/TiO<sub>2</sub> closely resemble the corresponding block copolymer melt morphologies at thermodynamic equilibrium. The variation of the inorganic guest and the polymer host mixing ratio in solution enabled access to a range of morphologies including hexagonal TiO<sub>2</sub>-rich cylinders in an organic matrix, TiO<sub>2</sub> lamellae and a TiO<sub>2</sub> inverse hexagonal matrix.

This publication is based on work supported by the National Science Foundation (DMR-1409105). S.G. is grateful for support by the German National Academy of Sciences Leopoldina, Fellowship LPDS2012-13. MS acknowledges startup funds from the University of South Carolina.

## References

- 1 P. Simon, R. Ulrich, H. Spiess and U. Wiesner, *Chemistry Of Materials*, 2001, **13**, 3464–3486.
- 2 S. Warren, F. Disalvo and U. Wiesner, *Nature Materials*, 2007, 6, 156– 161.
- 3 J. Fan, S. W. Boettcher and G. D. Stucky, *Chemistry Of Materials*, 2006, 18, 6391–6396.

- 4 D. Grosso, F. Cagnol, G. Soler-Illia, E. Crepaldi, H. Amenitsch, A. Brunet-Bruneau, A. Bourgeois and C. Sanchez, *Advanced Functional Materials*, 2004, 14, 309–322.
- 5 D. Zhao, J. Feng, Q. Huo, N. Melosh, G. Fredrickson, B. Chmelka and G. Stucky, *Science*, 1998, **279**, 548–552.
- 6 M. Templin, A. Franck, A. DuChesne, H. Leist, Y. Zhang, R. Ulrich, V. Schädler and U. Wiesner, *Science*, 1997, 278, 1795–1798.
- 7 C. Brinker and G. Scherer, *Sol-Gel Science: The Physics and Chemistry* of *Sol-Gel Processing*, Academic Press, 1st edn., 1990.
- 8 S. Boettcher, J. Fan, C.-K. Tsung, Q. Shi and G. Stucky, Accounts Of Chemical Research, 2007, 40, 784–792.
- 9 P. Alberius, K. Frindell, R. Hayward, E. Kramer, G. Stucky and B. Chmelka, *Chemistry Of Materials*, 2002, **14**, 3284–3294.
- 10 E. Crepaldi, G. Soler-Illia, D. Grosso, F. Cagnol, F. Ribot and C. Sanchez, Journal Of The American Chemical Society, 2003, 125, 9770–9786.
- 11 C. Sanchez, C. Boissière, D. Grosso, C. Laberty and L. Nicole, *Chemistry Of Materials*, 2008, 20, 682–737.
- 12 B. Smarsly, D. Grosso, T. Brezesinski, N. Pinna, C. Boissière, M. Antonietti and C. Sanchez, *Chemistry Of Materials*, 2004, 16, 2948–2952.
- 13 J. Lee, M. Orilall, S. Warren, M. Kamperman, F. DiSalvo and U. Wiesner, *Nature Materials*, 2008, 7, 222–8.
- 14 J. Zhang, Y. Deng, D. Gu, S. Wang, L. She, R. Che, Z.-S. Wang, B. Tu, S. Xie and D. Zhao, Advanced Energy Materials, 2011, 1, 241–248.
- 15 E. Ortel, A. Fischer, L. Chuenchom, J. Polte, F. Emmerling, B. Smarsly and R. Kraehnert, *Small*, 2012, 8, 298–309.
- 16 Y. Deng, J. Wei, Z. Sun and D. Zhao, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 4054– 4070.
- 17 J. Hwang, C. Jo, K. Hur, J. Lim, S. Kim and J. Lee, *Journal Of The American Chemical Society*, 2014, **136**, 16066–16072.
- 18 D. Fattakhova-Rohlfing, A. Zaleska and T. Bein, *Chemical Reviews*, 2014, 114, 9487–9558.
- 19 B. W. Boudouris, C. D. Frisbie and M. A. Hillmyer, *Macromolecules*, 2008, 41, 67–75.
- 20 K. Sivanandan, T. Chatterjee, N. Treat, E. J. Kramer and C. J. Hawker, Macromolecules, 2010, 43, 233–241.
- 21 S. Vignolini, N. A. Yufa, P. S. Cunha, S. Guldin, I. Rushkin, M. Stefik, K. Hur, U. Wiesner, J. J. Baumberg and U. Steiner, *Advanced Materials*, 2012, 24, OP23–OP27.
- 22 S. Guldin, S. Hüttner, P. Tiwana, M. Orilall, B. Ülgüt, M. Stefik, P. Docampo, M. Kolle, G. Divitini, C. Ducati, S. Redfern, H. Snaith, U. Wiesner, D. Eder and U. Steiner, *Energy & Environmental Science*, 2011, 4, 225–233.
- 23 S. Guldin, M. Kolle, M. Stefik, R. Langford, D. Eder, U. Wiesner and U. Steiner, Advanced Materials, 2011, 23, 3664–3668.
- 24 J. Ponton, Azeotrope Databank, http://eweb.chemeng.ed.ac.uk/, 2001.
- 25 A. Thomas, H. Schlaad, B. Smarsly and M. Antonietti, *Langmuir*, 2003, 19, 4455–4459.
- 26 S. Foerster, S. Fischer, K. Zielske, C. Schellbach, M. Sztucki, P. Lindner and J. Perlich, Advances In Colloid And Interface Science, 2011, 163, 53–83.
- 27 C. Park, J. Yoon and E. Thomas, Polymer, 2003, 44, 6725-6760.
- 28 M. Stefik, S. Wang, R. Hovden, H. Sai, M. W. Tate, D. A. Muller, U. Steiner, S. M. Gruner and U. Wiesner, *Journal Of Materials Chemistry*, 2012, 22, 1078–1087.
- 29 B. Garcia, M. Kamperman, R. Ulrich, A. Jain, S. Gruner and U. Wiesner, *Chemistry Of Materials*, 2009, 21, 5397–5405.