OSTEOARTHRITIS-DEPENDENT CHANGES IN ANTINOCICEPTIVE ACTION OF $NA_v1.7$ AND $NA_v1.8$ SODIUM CHANNEL BLOCKERS: AN IN VIVO ELECTROPHYSIOLOGICAL STUDY IN THE RAT

W. RAHMAN * AND A. H. DICKENSON

Department of Neuroscience, Physiology and Pharmacology, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK

Abstract-Voltage-gated sodium channel blockers are not traditionally recommended for osteoarthritis (OA) pain therapy, but given the large peripheral drive that follows OA development there is a rationale for their use. Using a rat model of monosodium iodoacetate (MIA)-induced OA we used in vivo electrophysiology to assess the effects of the Nav1.7- and Nav1.8-selective antagonists, ProTxII and A-803467 respectively, on the evoked activity of spinal dorsal horn neurons in response to electrical, mechanical and thermal stimuli applied to the peripheral receptive field. These studies allow examination of the roles of these channels in suprathreshold stimuli, not amenable to behavioral threshold measures. Spinal administration of ProTxII significantly reduced neuronal responses evoked by mechanical punctate (von Frey (vF) 8-60 g) and noxious thermal (45 and 48 °C) stimuli in MIA rats only. A-803467 significantly inhibited neuronal responses evoked by vF 8-60 g and 48 °C heat after spinal administration; significantly inhibited responses evoked by brush, vFs 26-60 g and 40-48 °C stimuli after systemic administration; significantly inhibited the electrically evoked A δ -, C-fiber, post-discharge, Input and wind-up responses and the brush, vFs 8-60 g and 45-48 °C evoked neuronal responses after intra plantar injection in the MIA group. In comparison A-803467 effects in the sham group were minimal and included a reduction of the neuronal response evoked by vF 60 g and 45 °C heat stimulation after spinal administration, no effect after systemic administration and an inhibition of the evoked response to 45 °C heat after intra plantar injection only. The observed selective inhibitory effect of ProTxII and A-803467 for the MIA-treated group suggests an increased role of Nav1.7 and 1.8 within nociceptive pathways in the arthritic condition, located at peripheral and central sites. These findings demonstrate the importance of, and add to, the mechanistic understanding of these channels in osteoarthritic pain.

*Corresponding author. Tel: +44-0207-679-3737.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of IBRO. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Key words: osteoarthritis, pain, dorsal horn, sodium channels, electrophysiology.

INTRODUCTION

Osteoarthritis (OA) constitutes one of the largest cost burdens to healthcare in the western world with pain being the dominant symptom and reason for clinical presentation (Hiligsmann et al., 2013; Neogi, 2013). Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are first-line treatments, often in combination with paracetamol or opioids, but analgesic efficacy is largely modest at best at tolerable doses, or is hampered by significant adverse effects with dose escalation (Harvey and Hunter, 2010; Zhang et al., 2010a). For these reasons, many patients resort to total joint replacement to relieve their pain, yet chronic pain remains for a significant proportion (about 20–40%) of patients (Kirwan et al., 1994; Creamer et al., 1996; Ethgen et al., 2004). This highlights the complexity of OA pain and the significant unmet clinical need.

OA is characterized by inflammation (episodic and chronic) and swelling of joints and also significant pain in the area surrounding the joint and often in areas distant to the affected joint (referred pain), thus suggesting that both peripheral and central nociceptive mechanisms are at play (Farrell et al., 2000; Malfait and Schnitzer, 2013; Zhang et al., 2013). The transmission of pain from the peripheral site of injury, beyond the peripheral transducers, requires activation of voltagegated sodium channels (VGSCs) located on peripheral nociceptors. Abundant data exist showing that maladaptive changes in VGSCs are critical for mediating variety of chronic pain conditions in both animals and humans (Eijkelkamp et al., 2012; Dib-Hajj et al., 2013) thus modulating their activity is a rational strategy for chronic pain therapy.

Sodium channel blockers for the treatment of OA pain are not currently recommended, yet they may have a key role in controlling OA pain since there is strong evidence for abnormal firing in peripheral and central neurons in the arthritic condition, which must involve alterations in VGSCs (Schuelert and McDougall, 2006, 2008, 2009;

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2015.03.042

E-mail address: w.rahman@ucl.ac.uk (W. Rahman). Abbreviations: C-LTMs, C-low-threshold mechanoreceptors; DRG, dorsal root ganglia; KO, knockout; MIA, monosodium iodoacetate; NSAIDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; OA, osteoarthritis; PWF, paw withdrawal frequency; PWT, paw withdrawal threshold; RM ANOVA, repeated-measures analysis of variance; SEM, standard error of the mean; vF, von Frey; VGSCs, voltage-gated sodium channels; WDR, wide dynamic range.

^{0306-4522/@ 2015} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of IBRO. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

McDougall et al., 2009; Rahman et al., 2009; Sagar et al., 2010; Kelly et al., 2012, 2015; Bullock et al., 2014) and a genetic mutation in the encoding gene for the 1.7 sodium channel sub-type has been correlated with increased pain sensitivity in OA patients (Reimann et al., 2010) but see (Valdes et al., 2011). Furthermore, analgesic efficacy of the lidocaine patch and intravenous and intra-articular injection of non-selective VGSC blockers has been observed in osteoarthritic patients (Creamer et al., 1996; Burch et al., 2004; Gammaitoni et al., 2014).

There is a rationale for sodium channel blockers for OA pain therapy, based on heightened peripheral drive, which could be present in both early inflammatory and later non-inflammatory stages. In addition there may be neuropathic components to the pain in sub-groups of patients (Duarte et al., 2014; Thakur et al., 2014). Our aim was to further characterize the role of Nav1.7 and 1.8 channels in a rat model of monosodium iodoacetate (MIA) (2 mg)-induced OA of the knee joint; a well-established model for the mechanistic study of osteoarthritic pain that has also been pharmacologically validated with respect to established analgesics including NSAIDs (Vonsy et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2013). This dose of MIA (2 mg) has been shown to produce an up-regulation of the neuronal damage marker, cAMP-dependent transcription factor (ATF-3), in peripheral nerves that innervate the knee joint, a reduction in intra-epidermal nerve fiber density and alterations in spinal cord neuroimmune cells (Ivanavicius et al., 2007; Im et al., 2010; Thakur et al., 2012, 2014) features that are consistent with neuropathy. Therefore this model would be useful for assessing the analgesic potential of drugs for OA patients with neuropathic traits (Hochman et al., 2011; Duarte et al., 2014). Using in vivo electrophysiology, we have investigated, for the first time, the effects of ProTxII, a tarantula toxin that potently inhibits Nav1.7 channels with about fifteen to a hundred fold selectivity over other VGSCs (Middleton et al., 2002; Schmalhofer et al., 2008; Xiao et al., 2010), and A-803467, a selective Nav1.8 VGSC blocker (Jarvis et al., 2007), on the evoked activity of wide dynamic range (WDR) dorsal horn neurons in response to stimulation of the peripheral receptive field in this model of OA. The effects of ProTxII were examined after topical spinal application only because it was previously shown that ProTx-II only inhibited C-fiber action potential propagation in desheathed but not in intact nerve preparations, suggesting that the toxin could not penetrate the blood nerve barrier (Schmalhofer et al., 2008). For this reason we did not extend our ProTxII study to intraplantar and systemic routes as we did not expect that the toxin would be able to reach the channel. The effects of the selective Nav1.8 channel blocker A-803467 given via three different routes of administration (topical spinal, systemic and intraplantar injection) were assessed in order to shed light on the sites of action of the drug. In vivo electrophysiology allows for spinal nociceptive processing and central sensitization to be studied experimentally and provides information on suprathreshold responses, which are likely to equate to high levels of pain transmission as reported by patients, therefore adding to

behavioral data where the analgesic effect of drugs on threshold responses are generally measured.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Sprague–Dawley rats (Central Biological Services, University College London, UK) weighing 130–140 g at time of injection and 240–270 g at time of *in vivo* electrophysiology were employed for this study. All experimental procedures were approved by the UK Home Office and followed the guidelines under the International Association for the Study of Pain (Zimmermann, 1983).

Induction of OA

On day 0 isoflurane anesthetized Sprague–Dawley rats received an intra-articular injection of 2–mg MIA in 25 μ l of 0.9% saline through the infrapatellar ligament of the knee. Sham animals were injected with sterile 0.9% saline only. Following injection animals were allowed to recover and then re-housed in cages under a 12-h alternating light/dark cycle with *ad libitum* access to food and water.

Assessment of pain related behavior

Development of mechanical and coolina hypersensitivity. Behavioral responses to stimulation of the ipsilateral hind paw were recorded once the animals had acclimatized to the testing area (Perspex cages with a wire mesh floor) for at least 30 min. Tactile hypersensitivity was tested by touching the plantar surface of the hindpaw with von Frey (vF) filaments (Touch-test TM, North Coast Medical Inc., San Jose, CA, USA) using the "up-down method" (Chaplan et al., 1994), starting with 2.0 g then ranging from 0.4 g to 15 g. Positive withdrawals were counted as biting, licking and withdrawal during or immediately following the stimulus. The strength of the vF filament was increased or decreased following a negative or positive response respectively. This up-down procedure was applied 4 times following the first change in response. Data are presented as 50% paw withdrawal threshold (PWT) for each group \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). Sensitivity to cooling stimulation was assessed as the number of withdrawals out of a trial of five applications of a drop of acetone to the plantar surface of the ipsilateral hind paw. Paw withdrawal frequency (PWF) was guantified and presented as a percentage of the maximal response i.e. (number of foot withdrawals/five trials) \times 100.

Hind-limb weight bearing. Changes in hind paw weight bearing was measured using an incapacitance tester (Linton instruments, Norfolk, UK). Animals were placed in a perspex chamber designed so that the animal is upstanding and the hindpaws rest on a separate small electronic balance so that the weight distributed on the right and left hind paw could be measured. Once the animal was settled three consecutive readings (each measured over 3 s) were recorded. The average of a total of three readings was determined for each hind limb for each rat and used for subsequent analyses. The weight bearing of the ipsilateral hindpaw to knee injection is presented as a percentage of the total weight bearing of both hind limbs.

In vivo electrophysiology

Two weeks after MIA injection in vivo electrophysiological studies were performed (post MIA injection days 15 and 16) as previously described (Rahman et al., 2009). Briefly, animals were anesthetized and maintained for the duration of the experiment with isoflurane (1.5–1.7%) delivered in a gaseous mix of N₂O (66%) and O₂ (33%). A laminectomy was performed to expose the L4–5 segments of the spinal cord. Extracellular recordings were made from ipsilateral deep dorsal horn neurons (lamina V–VI) using parylene-coated tungsten electrodes (A-M Systems, Sequim, WA, USA). All the neurons recorded in this study were WDR since they all responded to both light touch and noxious inputs (pinch and noxious heat); further all neurons responded to natural stimuli in a graded manner with coding of increasing intensity.

The evoked response to a train of 16 transcutaneous electrical stimuli (2 ms wide pulses, 0.5 Hz) applied at three times the threshold current for C-fiber activation of the dorsal horn cell. The train of electrical stimuli was delivered via stimulating needles inserted into the peripheral receptive filed, following which a post-stimulus histogram was constructed. Responses evoked by Aβ- (0–20 ms), Aδ- (20–90 ms) and C-fibers (90–350 ms) were separated and quantified on the basis of latency. Responses occurring after the C-fiber latency band were taken to be the post-discharge of the cell (350-800 ms). Two other measures of electrically evoked neuronal activity were made. The "Input" which is calculated as the number of action potentials evoked by the first stimulus (due to C-fiber activity) in the train of electrical stimuli response multiplied by 16; thus "Input" is a measure of the non-potentiated response i.e. the baseline C-fiber-evoked response which is likely a measure of afferent input and the resultant spinal response prior central neuronal to neuronal hyperexcitability evoked by subsequent stimuli. We also measured "Wind-up" which is calculated as the total number of action potentials evoked by C-fiber activity subtracting the Input. This potentiated response seen as increased neuronal activity in response to constant repetitive C-fiber stimulation is a measure of central sensitization. The center of the peripheral receptive field was also stimulated using mechanical punctate and thermal stimuli (vF filaments, 2, 8, 26 and 60 g and heat, applied with a constant water jet, 40, 45 and 48 °C) Application of each von Frey hair was separated by a minimum interval period of 5-10 s, and longer for very responsive neurons at the higher intensity range. Application of each subsequent heat stimulus was separated by a minimum period of 1 min. All natural stimuli were applied for a period of 10 s per stimulus. The mechanical and thermal natural evoked neuronal response was recorded as the number of action potentials evoked during the 10-s stimulation application

period. Data were captured and analyzed by a CED 1401 interface coupled to a Pentium computer with Spike 2 software (Cambridge Electronic Design; PSTH and rate functions).

Pharmacological assessment was carried out on one neuron only per animal. The testing procedure was carried out every 20 min and consisted of a train of electrical stimuli followed by natural stimuli as described above. It should be noted that the train of electrical stimuli may be sensitizing and could enhance subsequent test responses. Thus, expression of some of the effects reported might depend upon this prior sensitization. Following three consecutive stable control trials (<10% variation for the C-fiber evoked response. and <20% variation for all other parameters) neuronal responses were averaged to give the pre-drug control values. Then either ProTxII, diluted in saline 0.9% was given via topical spinal application (0.005 and 0.05 µg/ 50 µl) or A-803467 diluted with 95% polyethylene glycol and 5% dimethylsulfoxide solution, via topical spinal application (10 and 50 µg/50 µl) or systemically via subcutaneous injection into the scruff of the neck (3 and 30 mg/kg) or via intraplantar injection into the ipsilateral hindpaw (10 and 50 µg/50 µl). The selection of A-803467 and ProTxII doses were based on earlier studies (Jarvis et al., 2007; McGaraughty et al., 2008; Schmalhofer et al., 2008). The effect of each dose was followed for an hour, with tests (train of electrical stimuli followed by mechanical and thermal stimulation of the peripheral receptive field, in that order) carried out at 10, 30 and 50 min before the next dose was applied cumulatively. A trend for the greatest effect was seen at either the 10- or 30-min time point (for both drugs and routes). Using this protocol the evoked responses are stable over several hours. The lack of effect of the low dose of either drug evidences this stability.

Statistics

All statistical tests were performed on raw data using GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad software, La Jolla, CA, USA) and for all data a 95% confidence interval was used as a measure of statistical significance. For in vivo electrophysiology measures, statistical significance was tested using non-parametric Mann-Whitney test to compare two groups of data and a one-way or two-way repeated-measures analysis of variance (RM ANOVA), followed by a Bonferroni corrected paired *t*-test when simultaneously comparing more than two groups of data. Drug effects were measured as the maximum change from the averaged pre-drug control values for each dose (seen at 10-, 30- or 50-min time point) on each response per neuron (the electrophysiological unit is the number of action potentials evoked by a given stimulus). The overall effect of the drug was then expressed and presented as the mean maximal evoked neuronal response for each dose ± SEM. A one-way RM ANOVA was used to evaluate drug effects on the neuronal responses evoked by electrical and dynamic brush stimulation and a 2-way RM ANOVA was used to evaluate drug effects on the neuronal responses evoked by mechanical or heat stimulation in MIA or control rats.

Behavioral data were analyzed using the Mann–Whitney test. Values of p < 0.05 were considered significant.

RESULTS

MIA-induced behavioral hypersensitivity

A significant decrease in PWT to mechanical stimulation, a significant increase in PWF to cooling stimulation of the ipsilateral hind paw and a significant decrease in hind limb weight bearing of the side ipsilateral to MIA injection, compared with sham rats, confirmed OA pain development; PWT: MIA 2.9 ± 0.2 g vs Sham 11.5 ± 1 g, PWF: MIA 3.6 ± 0.4 lifts vs Sham 1.1 ± 0.3 lifts and weight bearing: MIA $33.7 \pm 1.3\%$ vs Sham $48.5 \pm 2\%$ at day 14 post model induction, MIA (n = 28) vs Sham (n = 27), p < 0.05, Mann–Whitney test (data not shown). These findings are in line with a previous study (Rahman and Dickenson, 2014).

In vivo electrophysiology – evoked responses of dorsal horn neurons

The effect of ProTxII or A-803467, delivered via spinal. systemic or intraplantar route, was assessed upon the evoked responses of deep dorsal horn (Lamina V-VI) neurons to electrical and natural mechanical and thermal stimulation of their peripheral receptive field. Comparison of the average baseline pre-drug responses for MIA and shams per drug and per route of administration (spinal or svstemic) revealed а significantly greater C-fiber and vF 60 g evoked response in the MIA group vs sham in the ProTxII study (p < 0.05 Mann–Whitney test, Fig. 1 a vs b); a significantly greater response evoked by 40 °C stimulation in the MIA vs sham group in the A-803467 "systemic" study (p < 0.05 Mann Whitney test, Fig. 3 a vs b) and a significantly greater response evoked by vF 8 g in the MIA vs sham group in the A-803467 "intraplantar" study (p < 0.05 Mann–Whitney test, Fig. 4 a vs b). All other baseline neuronal responses were not significantly different between MIA and sham groups. However, this study was not powered to compare baseline neuronal responses between MIA and sham groups, therefore any differences in the average baseline neuronal responses were not further analyzed or emphasized. Although in an earlier study, where we characterized a large number of cells, we observed, on average, greater firing of neurons in response to mechanical and thermal stimulation in the MIA group, but not to electrical or brush stimuli (Rahman et al., 2009).

MIA-dependent antinociceptive effect of ProTxII on the mechanical and thermal evoked responses of spinal dorsal horn neurons. Topical spinal application of ProTxII did not produce any significant effects on any of the electrical stimuli, indicating a lack of effect on excitability, or brush-evoked neuronal responses in either group (Fig. 1a–d). In contrast, a clear MIAdependent antinociceptive effect of ProTxII was observed on many of the natural mechanical punctate and thermal evoked responses. The low-threshold mechanical response evoked by vF 8 g applied to the peripheral receptive field was significantly inhibited by the top dose of ProTxII (0.05 μ g) and a dose-dependent inhibition with 0.005 and 0.05 µg ProTxII was seen of the evoked neuronal response to noxious mechanical (vF 26 and 60 g) stimulation of the peripheral receptive field in the MIA group only (Fig. 1f). Similarly, ProTxII, was able to reduce the neuronal response to noxious heat (45 and 48 °C) stimulation in the MIA group only, with both doses producing an equivalent degree of significant inhibition (Fig. 1h). It has previously been shown that 0.01 mg/kg i.t. produces a plasma concentration of 3 nM (significantly lower than the IC50 for other Nav channels) (Schmalhofer et al., 2008), the doses we have used here equate to approximately 0.02-0.2 µg/kg i.t. (based on a 250 g rat) and are considerably lower. Therefore, since the dose used by Schmalhofer et al. (2008) was shown to produce Nav1.7-specific inhibition, it is reasonable to assume that the inhibitory effects of ProTx-II on the evoked dorsal horn neurons seen in the present study reflect a blockade of Nav1.7 channel activity and not other Nav channels or other off-target effects.

Spinal administration of A-803467 produced a marked and significant inhibition of the evoked responses of dorsal horn neurons to mechanical and thermal stimulation in the MIA group. Spinal administration of A-803467 reduced some of the electrical evoked neuronal responses in both MIA and sham groups, but these effects did not reach significance (Fig. 2a, b). In complete contrast, A-803467 produced a clear MIA group-dependent inhibition of many of the mechanicaland thermal evoked neuronal responses; in particular the mechanical evoked responses in the MIA group were highly sensitive to the inhibitory effects of A-803467 (Fig. 2d, f).

In the MIA group, A-803467 inhibited the evoked neuronal response to brush stimulation, which was significant with the top dose of the drug (50 μ g) (Fig. 2d). A-803467, at both doses, significantly and markedly inhibited the neuronal responses evoked by vFs 8–26 g, (Fig. 2f). The thermal evoked neuronal responses in MIA rats were also inhibited by spinal administration of A-803467, with a significant inhibition of the response evoked by 48 °C stimulation seen with the top dose (50 μ g) only (Fig. 2h).

The effects of spinal administration of A-803467 on the evoked neuronal responses in the sham control rats were minimal. A-803467 produced a non-significant trend toward inhibition of the electrical C-fiber-evoked neuronal response and the PD measure of neuronal excitability. The mechanical punctate and thermal evoked neuronal responses in the sham animals were largely resistant to the effects of the drug, with the top dose of A-803467 producing a significant reduction of the evoked neuronal response to vF 60 g and 48 °C stimulation only (Fig. 2e, g).

The selective effects of A-803467 for Na_v1.8 channels in reducing the behavioral and neuronal measures of nociception have been established in other models of chronic pain (Jarvis et al., 2007; McGaraughty et al.,



Fig. 1. Neuronal responses evoked by vF 8–60 g and 45 and 48 °C heat stimulation and were significantly reduced by ProTxII in the MIA group only. Comparison of the effects of spinal administration of ProTxII (0.005 and 0.05 μ g/50 μ I) on the evoked neuronal responses to electrical (a, b), dynamic brush (c, d), mechanical punctate (e, f) and thermal stimulation (g, h) of the peripheral receptive field in sham (n = 8, left panel) and MIA (n = 7, right panel) rats. [§]Denotes significance at 0.005 μ g, and *denotes significance at 0.05 μ g compared with pre-drug baseline control data, p < 0.05, two-way RM ANOVA with Bonferroni test for multiple paired comparisons. Values are mean \pm SEM.



Fig. 2. Neuronal responses evoked by brush, vF 8–60 g and 48 °C heat were significantly inhibited after spinal administration of A-803467 in the MIA group. Comparison of the effects of topical spinal administration of A-803467 (10 and 50 μ g/50 μ l) on the evoked neuronal responses to electrical (a,b), dynamic brush (c,d), mechanical punctate (e,f) and thermal stimulation (g,h) of the peripheral receptive field in sham (n = 6, left panel) and MIA (n = 7, right panel) rats. Asterisks and bars denote statistically significant main effect (one-way RM ANOVA). [§]Denotes significance at 10 μ g, *denotes significance at 50 μ g compared with baseline control data, p < 0.05, two-way RM ANOVA with Bonferroni test for multiple paired comparisons. Values are mean \pm SEM.

2008). The doses of spinal A-803467 employed in the present study equate to 28–140 nmol/50 μ l which are considerably lower than those used by McGaraughty et al., 2008 (McGaraughty et al., 2008.) Therefore it is likely that the inhibitory effects of spinal administration of A-803467 seen in the present study reflect a selective blockade of Na_v1.8 channels and not other Nav channels.

MIA-dependent antinociceptive effect of mechanical and thermal evoked responses of dorsal horn neurons following systemic administration of A-803467. The systemic doses of A-803467 used in the present study are in line with those used in earlier studies in different models of chronic pain (Jarvis et al., 2007; McGaraughty et al., 2008). The electrical evoked neuronal responses were not significantly affected by systemic administration of A-803467 (3 and 30 mg/kg) to either group (Fig. 3a, b), in line with the lack of effect of the drug on these neuronal measures after spinal application. A significant inhibition of the mechanical brush and vFs 26-60 g and thermal, 40-48 °C, evoked neuronal responses was seen in the MIA-treated group only, suggesting an MIA-dependent anti-hyperalgesic action of 30 mg/kg A-803467 via sub cutaneous administration (Fig. 3f, h). In comparison these doses of A-803467 had no significant effect on any neuronal measure in the sham aroup.

Intraplantar administration of A-803467 inhibited the electrical, mechanical and thermal evoked responses of dorsal horn neurons in the MIA group. Intraplantar administration of A-803467 (10 µg and 50 µg/50 µl) produced a marked and significant inhibition of nearly all the evoked neuronal responses in the MIA group. Both doses of the drug inhibited the electrically evoked $A\delta$ and C-fiber responses as well as the neuronal excitability measures of post-discharge, Input and Windup, indicative of this peripheral route allowing attenuation of nerve excitability or propagation. The mean response evoked by dynamic brush. vFs 8-60 g and 45-48 °C heat was significantly inhibited by both doses of A-803467 (Fig. 4b, d, f, h). In contrast, in the sham control group, the top dose of A-803467 was effective in reducing the Input and neuronal response evoked by 45 °C heat stimulation only (Fig. 4a, g).

The doses of A-803467 given by intraplantar injection in the present study equate to 28 and 140 nmol/50 μ l and are lower than the dose used by others, where a significant and selective inhibitory effect of A-803467 on the evoked responses of WDR neurons was seen following injection of 300 nmol/50 μ l into the hind paw receptive field (McGaraughty et al., 2008). Therefore the inhibitory effects of A-803467 following intraplantar injection seen in the present study likely reflect a selective blockade of Na_v1.8 channels and not other Nav channels.

DISCUSSION

OA is a progressive and degenerative disease of the whole joint and typically includes a destruction and degradation of the articular cartilage, subchondral bone,

synovial lining and connective tissues (Vincent and Watt, 2014). In this study we have used the MIA model of OA. This is a chemically induced, rapidly progressive model that is well described in the rat especially in terms of its disease pathology (Guzman et al., 2003) and mirrors many aspects of the human condition, and has so far proved useful for the understanding of osteoarthritic pain mechanisms (Zhang et al., 2013). In addition this dose of MIA has been shown to produce OA associated with markers of neuropathy (Ivanavicius et al., 2007; Im et al., 2010; Thakur et al., 2012, 2014) and therefore may be indicative of those patients with advanced disease that display an additional neuropathic pain phenotype (Hochman et al., 2011; Duarte et al., 2014). Knee joint pathology was not assessed here, however we have previously demonstrated cartilage loss following injection of 2 mg of MIA, which is characteristic of human OA, (Thakur et al., 2012) as have others (Fernihough et al., 2004; Pomonis et al., 2005; Im et al., 2010), also MIA injection produced hypersensitivity to mechanical and cooling stimulation of the ipsilateral hind paw and a decrease in hind limb weight bearing of the injected side confirming OA pain development (Vincent et al., 2012; Malfait et al., 2013).

The behavioral hypersensitivity to stimulation of the ipsilateral hind paw, i.e. the referred receptive field area, reflects secondary hyperalgesia, which is indicative of central sensitization. Pain symptoms elicited by various activities such as bending or walking in patients with knee OA are largely associated with the area surrounding the affected joint, but referred pain and tenderness also occurs implicating mechanisms of central sensitisation contributing to their pain (Farrell et al., 2000; Bajaj et al., 2001; Gwilym et al., 2009; Graven-Nielsen and Arendt-Nielsen, 2010; Aranda-Villalobos et al., 2013), and a direct link between the level of sensitization in referred areas and clinical pain intensity experienced by OA patients has been shown (Arendt-Nielsen et al., 2010). Therefore the data presented here provide for an electrophysiological and behavioral correlate for the spread of sensitization seen in OA patients and allows for the study of spinal nociceptive processing and central sensitization mechanisms.

Referred pain is dependent not only on central hyperexcitability but also on input from the periphery (Laursen et al., 1997; Graven-Nielsen and Arendt-Nielsen, 2010; Baron et al., 2013), therefore there is a logical basis for targeting this, and central neuronal excitability, by blocking sodium channel function, thus reducing action potential generation and transmission. In this study, we assessed the effects of two different sodium channel blockers, ProTxII and A-803467, which block Nav1.7 and Nav1.8 channels respectively (Middleton et al., 2002; Jarvis et al., 2007; Schmalhofer et al., 2008; Xiao et al., 2010), on the evoked responses of WDR neurons located in the deep dorsal horn of the spinal cord. Our findings show that both drugs, via different routes of administration, significantly inhibited neuronal activity in the MIA group, suggesting a greater contribution of Nav1.7 and 1.8 channel activity in mediating nociceptive transmission in the arthritic condition. This



Fig. 3. Neuronal responses evoked by brush, vF 26 and 60 g and 40 and 45 °C heat were significantly inhibited after systemic administration of A-803467 in the MIA group only. Comparison of the effects of systemic administration of A-803467 (3 and 30 mg/kg) on the evoked neuronal responses to electrical (a, b), dynamic brush (c, d), mechanical punctate (e, f) and thermal stimulation (g, h) of the peripheral receptive field in sham (n = 7, left panel) and MIA (n = 7, right panel) rats. *Denotes significance at 30 mg/kg compared with baseline control data, p < 0.05, two-way RM ANOVA with Bonferroni test for multiple paired comparisons. Values are mean \pm SEM.

а

Spikes

С

е

Action potentials/10s

g





Fig. 4. Intraplantar administration of A-80347 significantly reduced the Aδ-, C-fiber, post-discharge, Input, Wind-up, brush, vF 8–60 g and 45 and 48 °C heat evoked neuronal responses in the MIA group. Comparison of the effects of intraplantar administration of A-803467 (10 and 50 µg/50 µl) on the evoked neuronal responses to electrical (a, b), dynamic brush (c, d), mechanical punctate (e, f) and thermal stimulation (g, h) of the peripheral receptive field in sham (n = 6, left panel) and MIA (n = 7, right panel) rats. [§]Denotes significance at 10 µg, *denotes significance at 50 µg compared with baseline control data, p < 0.05, one-way or two-way RM ANOVA with Bonferroni test for multiple paired comparisons. Values are mean ± SEM.

is consistent with observations of increased expression of Na_v1.7 and 1.8 in dorsal root ganglia (DRG) neurons during OA (Strickland et al., 2008). Further, both drugs produced "selective" inhibition of neuronal responses in the pathological condition. This is key, since it means that both drugs would allow physiological transmission yet attenuate abnormal pathophysiological transmission.

Importantly, the *in vivo* electrophysiological technique we have used not only enables measurement of low threshold innocuous evoked neuronal activity, but also suprathreshold evoked neuronal responses. Many pain studies evaluate around a nociceptive threshold, whereas clinical pain is almost always more severe, thus our *in vivo* electrophysiological recordings provide a correlate for the high-intensity pain scores reported by patients, and therefore adds to the findings from behavioral approaches.

The Nav1.7 channel is expressed in sensory and sympathetic neurons and olfactory epithelial cells (Black et al., 1996, 2012; Cummins and Waxman, 1997). Several lines of evidence have firmly placed this channel in pain pathways, with compelling evidence from genetic studies of rare human pain states (see Refs. Dib-Hajj et al., 2013). Indeed a mutation in the encoding gene for Nav1.7 (SCN9A) has been associated with a greater pain score in OA patients (Reimann et al., 2010), but see (Valdes et al., 2011). Therefore targeting and modulating aberrant activity of Nav1.7 channel activity should prove useful for pain associated with OA. Our in vivo electrophysiological data support this hypothesis since ProTxII significantly reduced low- and high-intensity mechanical evoked neuronal responses, and complements in vivo electrophysiological data from knockout (KO) mice where Nav1.7 channels deleted from all sensory neurons produced a reduction of mechanical evoked neuronal responses (Minett et al., 2012). Taken together these findings confirm the requirement of Nav1.7 activity for mechanical evoked neuronal responses. Interestingly, ProTxII also produced marked and significant inhibitions of the noxious heat-evoked neuronal response in the MIA group. This also aligns with data from mutant mice studies. Mice with a conditional KO of Nav 1.7 (from a subset of sensory neurons that expresses Na, 1.8) do not display signs of hypersensitivity to heat stimuli after undergoing burn model injury or in the CFA model of inflammatory pain (Shields et al., 2012b), and significant reductions in the electrophysiological responses of spinal neurons to noxious heat were seen in mice lacking Nav1.7 in all sensory neurons (Minett et al., 2012), although in the same study the behavioral response to noxious heat in the Hargreaves and hotplates tests were only attenuated in mice where Nav1.7 was deleted in all sensory and sympathetic neurons. Since ProTxII does not discriminate between neuronal subpopulations our data complement the findings of Shields et al. (2012b) and Minett et al. (2012) and verify a role for Nav1.7-mediating noxious thermal hyperalgesia.

In contrast to its inhibitory effects on the natural evoked neuronal responses in the MIA group, ProTxII did not affect the neuronal responses induced by electrical stimulation. This may be because the barrage of activity induced by the train of 16 electrical stimuli maybe too great for the drug at this dose to overcome. It is also possible that natural mechanical- and thermal evoked neuronal responses are more sensitive to the inhibitory effects of the drug. However the most likely explanation is that under these conditions the channel blockers prevent the transduction and/or transmission from sensory receptors without global effects on peripheral nerve excitability. However in the presence of these drugs physiological evoked responses of spinal sensory neurons are reduced.

Expression of Nav1.7 channels were originally proposed to be restricted to the peripheral nervous system, however a recent study has demonstrated expression on pre-terminal sensory axons and terminals of DRG neurons in the dorsal horn (Black et al., 2012) and the marked inhibitory effects of spinal application of ProTxII seen in the present study would agree with a central spinal location for these channels. It was not possible to ascertain whether or not a similar MIA state-dependent effect of ProTxII would be seen via different administrative routes as it has been reported that the drug is unable to permeate the blood nerve barrier (Schmalhofer et al., 2008), hence precluding assessment of its effects via systemic or local routes of administration. Nonetheless, the findings from the present study indicate an increased sensitivity of Nav1.7 channels, at least in spinal nociceptive pathways and possibly DRG, in the arthritic condition, suggesting that Na, 1.7 channels located on central terminals within the dorsal horn and/or DRG are functionally important under pathological conditions.

There is a large body of evidence linking Nav1.8 channel activity with the initiation and maintenance of chronic pain (Amir et al., 2006; Eijkelkamp et al., 2012), crucially this includes evidence from human genetic data where gain of function mutations in neuropathic patients demonstrates a link between Nav1.8 and the human pain experience (Faber et al., 2012). As increases in Nav1.8 expression have been reported under persistent inflammatory pain conditions (Tanaka et al., 1998; Amaya et al., 2000; Coggeshall et al., 2004; Villarreal et al., 2005; Strickland et al., 2008; Belkouch et al., 2014) but see (Shields et al., 2012a), it would be reasonable to propose that reducing Na_v1.8 function, alongside improvement in the bioavailability and tolerability of small molecule Nav1.8 blockers, hold promise for their analgesic potential in treating chronic inflammatory states such as OA pain (Scanio et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010b). Indeed A-803467 has been shown to significantly attenuate hypersensitive behavior in a variety of animal models of inflammatory pain (Jarvis et al., 2007) including OA pain (Schuelert and McDougall, 2012). This latter study demonstrated an inhibitory effect following intra articular injection of A-803467 on the mechanosensitivity of joint afferents and a reduction in joint pain behavior and secondary allodynia, confirming an important role for Na_v1.8 channels in OA pain, but they did not investigate the effects of the drug in sham controls. Our findings show that, regardless of route of administration, A-803467 produced a significant and preferential inhibition of neuronal activity in the MIA group only, suggestive of a

generalized state of abnormal sensitivity within the area of referred pain. In comparison A-803467, via all three routes of administration, produced minor inhibitions of neuronal activity in the sham group. Therefore our findings add to the literature since not only do we show that A-803467 produced a marked antinociceptive effect of the drug in the MIA group, but the differential effect of the drug in the two groups suggests an alteration in functional activity of Nav1.8 channels at both peripheral (nerve and/or DRG) and central spinal locations in the arthritic condition. Furthermore our findings provide a neuronal correlate for the reduction of secondary allodynia observed by Schuelert and McDougall (2012) since A-803467 reduced the evoked neuronal responses to mechanical stimulation of the hind paw.

Interestingly, A-803467, via all three routes of administration, significantly inhibited the dynamic brushevoked response in the MIA group only. The expression of Nav1.8 VGSC was first thought to be restricted to small diameter unmyelinated nociceptive neurons, however recent immunohistochemical data suggest that Nav1.8 is not exclusive to nociceptors, but is, in fact, expressed in relatively high levels (about 40%) of A-fibers and also present on C-low-threshold mechanoreceptors (C-LTMs) (Shields et al., 2012a). Indeed it has been shown that mechanical hypersensitivity requires C-LTMS (Seal et al., 2009). Therefore it is not unexpected that A-803467 was able to reduce the brush-evoked neuronal response. Additionally, alterations in the electrophysiological properties of AB-fiber low-threshold mechanoreceptors have been reported in a surgically induced model of OA (Wu and Henry, 2010). This may reflect a change in sodium currents in these afferents and could underlie the preferential effect of A-803467 on the brush-evoked neuronal responses seen in MIA rats in the present study. Further, a recent study also reported a functional up-regulation of $Na_v 1.8$ channels in A β fibers in a model of chronic inflammation (Belkouch et al., 2014), thus it is possible that a similar up-regulation also occurs in this model of knee OA which may contribute to the MIA-dependent inhibitory effect of A-803467 on the brush-evoked neuronal response. Alternatively, A-803467 shows a preferential affinity for inactivated channels (Jarvis et al., 2007), it is possible that a greater proportion of Na, 1.8 channels are in this conformational state in the MIA rats, since the inactivation state of VGSCs can be induced by repeated neuronal firing and/or under conditions of sustained membrane depolarization which is probable for OA as an increased incidence of spontaneous activity and enhanced responsiveness of joint nociceptors and dorsal horn neurons has been reported (Schuelert and McDougall, 2006, 2008, 2009; McDougall et al., 2009; Rahman et al., 2009; Sagar et al., 2010; Kelly et al., 2012, 2015; Bullock et al., 2014). Taken together, our findings highlight further the potential of Nav1.8 as an analgesic target and suggest that blocking these channels could be effective against tactile allodynia in arthritic pain.

Spinal and systemic administration of A-803467 did not affect the neuronal responses induced by electrical stimulation, compared with the effects seen on the responses induced by natural stimuli in the MIA group. As already mentioned, this could be due to the barrage of activity induced by the train of 16 electrical stimuli being too great for the drug, at the doses given, to overcome. Again, the most likely explanation is that under these conditions the channel blockers prevent the transduction and/or transmission from sensory receptors without global effects on nerve excitability. By contrast local peripheral administration of A-803467 produced the most profound reductions in neuronal activity in the MIA group including significant inhibition of the electrical evoked responses suggesting that this local high dose alters nerve excitability, again, this may be due to an increased peripheral expression of Na_v1.8 channels or due to a greater proportion of these channels being in the inactivated state in the arthritic animals.

Interestingly, the data also suggest that the Na_v1.8 channels located at peripheral nerve fiber endings in distal areas play an important role in regulating nociceptive transmission in the arthritic condition. For a significant proportion of OA patients, it is likely that a large peripheral drive initiates and maintains OA pain, (Kirwan et al., 1994; Creamer et al., 1996; Ethgen et al., 2004) therefore for those OA pain patients, local administration or systemic administration of a peripherally restricted version of a Na_v1.8 blocker would be an appropriate treatment option, as well as the obvious potential for reduced CNS side effects.

CONCLUSION

The therapeutic utility of sodium channel blockers are not traditionally recommended for the treatment of OA pain, but given the large peripheral drive that follows the development of OA alongside the evidence for abnormal firing in peripheral and central neurons in the arthritic condition, implicates a key role for VGSCs in mediating OA pain. Our findings support this hypothesis since the action of ProTxII and A-803467, to favor an inhibition of neuronal responses evoked by both low-threshold and suprathreshold stimuli in the MIA group suggests for a greater contribution of these channels, at peripheral and central locations, to the arthritic pain condition. Furthermore our protocol models secondary hyperalgesia; blocking Nav1.7 and 1.8 channel activity reduced neuronal activity evoked from a referred site (hind paw). This is key because the level of sensitization at sites distal to the diseased joint has been directly linked to the level of pain experienced by OA patients (Arendt-Nielsen et al., 2010). Therefore assessment of the effect of drugs on both primary and secondary hyperalgesia will be important for the development of future medicines.

The model of MIA used in the present study exhibits features of neuropathy, therefore drugs designed to block VGSCs may have greater therapeutic use in OA patients with neuropathic traits who are refractory to classical medications such as NSAIDs. Certainly a better understanding of the role of Na_v1.7 and 1.8 in mediating osteoarthritic pain will aid the development of future analgesics and the findings from the present study suggest that modulating the activity of Na_v1.7 and

1.8 VGSCs at peripheral and/or central spinal locations could prove worthwhile for the treatment of OA pain and merits further clinical investigation.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

WR conceived, designed and performed the experiments, analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. AHD conceived and designed the experiments and helped write the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgment—This work was supported by Arthritis Research UK (19444).

REFERENCES

- Amaya F, Decosterd I, Samad TA, Plumpton C, Tate S, Mannion RJ, Costigan M, Woolf CJ (2000) Diversity of expression of the sensory neuron-specific TTX-resistant voltage-gated sodium ion channels SNS and SNS2. Mol Cell Neurosci 15:331–342.
- Amir R, Argoff CE, Bennett GJ, Cummins TR, Durieux ME, Gerner P, Gold MS, Porreca F, Strichartz GR (2006) The role of sodium channels in chronic inflammatory and neuropathic pain. J Pain 7:S1–S29.
- Aranda-Villalobos P, Fernandez-de-Las-Penas C, Navarro-Espigares JL, Hernandez-Torres E, Villalobos M, Arendt-Nielsen L, Arroyo-Morales M (2013) Normalization of widespread pressure pain hypersensitivity after total hip replacement in patients with hip osteoarthritis is associated with clinical and functional improvements. Arthritis Rheumatism 65:1262–1270.
- Arendt-Nielsen L, Nie H, Laursen MB, Laursen BS, Madeleine P, Simonsen OH, Graven-Nielsen T (2010) Sensitization in patients with painful knee osteoarthritis. Pain 149:573–581.
- Bajaj P, Bajaj P, Graven-Nielsen T, Arendt-Nielsen L (2001) Osteoarthritis and its association with muscle hyperalgesia: an experimental controlled study. Pain 93:107–114.
- Baron R, Hans G, Dickenson AH (2013) Peripheral input and its importance for central sensitization. Ann Neurol 74:630–636.
- Belkouch M, Dansereau MA, Tetreault P, Biet M, Beaudet N, Dumaine R, Chraibi A, Melik-Parsadaniantz S, Sarret P (2014) Functional up-regulation of Nav1.8 sodium channel in Abeta afferent fibers subjected to chronic peripheral inflammation. J Neuroinflammation 11:45.
- Black J, Dib-Hajj S, McNabola K, Jeste S, Rizzo M, Kocsis J, Waxman S (1996) Spinal sensory neurons express multiple sodium channel a-subunit mRNAs. Mol Brain Res 43:117–131.
- Black JA, Frezel N, Dib-Hajj SD, Waxman SG (2012) Expression of Nav1.7 in DRG neurons extends from peripheral terminals in the skin to central preterminal branches and terminals in the dorsal horn. Mol Pain 8:82.
- Bullock CM, Wookey P, Bennett A, Mobasheri A, Dickerson I, Kelly S (2014) Peripheral calcitonin gene-related peptide receptor activation and mechanical sensitization of the joint in rat models of osteoarthritis pain. Arthritis Rheumatol (Hoboken, NJ) 66:2188–2200.
- Burch F, Codding C, Patel N, Sheldon E (2004) Lidocaine patch 5% improves pain, stiffness, and physical function in osteoarthritis pain patients. A prospective, multicenter, open-label effectiveness trial. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 12:253–255.

- Chaplan SR, Bach FW, Pogrel JW, Chung JM, Yaksh TL (1994) Quantitative assessment of tactile allodynia in the rat paw. J Neurosci Methods 53:55–63.
- Coggeshall RE, Tate S, Carlton SM (2004) Differential expression of tetrodotoxin-resistant sodium channels Nav1.8 and Nav1.9 in normal and inflamed rats. Neurosci Lett 355:45–48.
- Creamer P, Hunt M, Dieppe P (1996) Pain mechanisms in osteoarthritis of the knee: effect of intraarticular anesthetic. J Rheumatol 23:1031–1036.
- Cummins TR, Waxman SG (1997) Downregulation of tetrodotoxin resistant sodium currents and upregulation of a rapidly repriming tetrodotoxin sensitive sodium current in small spinal sensory neurons after nerve injury. J Neurosci 17:3503–3514.
- Dib-Hajj SD, Yang Y, Black JA, Waxman SG (2013) The Na(V)1.7 sodium channel: from molecule to man. Nat Rev Neurosci 14:49–62.
- Duarte RV, Raphael JH, Dimitroulas T, Sparkes E, Southall JL, Ashford RL, Kitas GD (2014) Osteoarthritis pain has a significant neuropathic component: an exploratory in vivo patient model. Rheumatol Int 34:315–320.
- Dworkin RH, Jensen MP, Gould E, Jones BA, Xiang Q, Galer BS, Gammaitoni AR (2011) Treatment satisfaction in osteoarthritis and chronic low back pain: the role of pain, physical and emotional functioning, sleep, and adverse events. J Pain 12:416–424.
- Eijkelkamp N, Linley JE, Baker MD, Minett MS, Cregg R, Werdehausen R, Rugiero F, Wood JN (2012) Neurological perspectives on voltage-gated sodium channels. Brain 135:2585–2612.
- Ethgen O, Bruyere O, Richy F, Dardennes C, Reginster JY (2004) Health-related quality of life in total hip and total knee arthroplasty. A qualitative and systematic review of the literature. J Bone Joint Surg Am 86-A:963–974.
- Faber CG, Lauria G, Merkies IS, Cheng X, Han C, Ahn HS, Persson AK, Hoeijmakers JG, Gerrits MM, Pierro T, Lombardi R, Kapetis D, Dib-Hajj SD, Waxman SG (2012) Gain-of-function Nav1.8 mutations in painful neuropathy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109:19444–19449.
- Farrell M, Gibson S, McMeeken J, Helme R (2000) Pain and hyperalgesia in osteoarthritis of the hands. J Rheumatol 27:441–447.
- Fernihough J, Gentry C, Malcangio M, Fox A, Rediske J, Pellas T, Kidd B, Bevan S, Winter J (2004) Pain related behaviour in two models of osteoarthritis in the rat knee. Pain 112:83–93.
- Gammaitoni AR, Galer BS, Onawola R, Jensen MP, Argoff CE (2004) Lidocaine patch 5% and its positive impact on pain qualities in osteoarthritis: results of a pilot 2-week, open-label study using the Neuropathic Pain Scale. Curr Med Res Opin 20(Suppl 2):S13–S19.
- Graven-Nielsen T, Arendt-Nielsen L (2010) Assessment of mechanisms in localized and widespread musculoskeletal pain. Nat Rev Rheumatol 6:599–606.
- Guzman RE, Evans MG, Bove S, Morenko B, Kilgore K (2003) Monoiodoacetate-induced histologic changes in subchondral bone and articular cartilage of rat femorotibial joints: an animal model of osteoarthritis. Toxicol Pathol 31:619–624.
- Gwilym SE, Keltner JR, Warnaby CE, Carr AJ, Chizh B, Chessell I, Tracey I (2009) Psychophysical and functional imaging evidence supporting the presence of central sensitization in a cohort of osteoarthritis patients. Arthritis Rheumatism 61:1226–1234.
- Harvey WF, Hunter DJ (2010) Pharmacologic intervention for osteoarthritis in older adults. Clinics Geriatric Med 26:503–515.
- Hiligsmann M, Cooper C, Arden N, Boers M, Branco JC, Luisa Brandi M, Bruyere O, Guillemin F, Hochberg MC, Hunter DJ, Kanis JA, Kvien TK, Laslop A, Pelletier JP, Pinto D, Reiter-Niesert S, Rizzoli R, Rovati LC, Severens JL, Silverman S, Tsouderos Y, Tugwell P, Reginster JY (2013) Health economics in the field of osteoarthritis: an expert's consensus paper from the European Society for Clinical and Economic Aspects of Osteoporosis and Osteoarthritis (ESCEO). Semin Arthritis Rheumatism 43:303–313.

- Hochman JR, Gagliese L, Davis AM, Hawker GA (2011) Neuropathic pain symptoms in a community knee OA cohort. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 19:647–654.
- Im HJ, Kim JS, Li X, Kotwal N, Sumner DR, van Wijnen AJ, Davis FJ, Yan D, Levine B, Henry JL, Desevre J, Kroin JS (2010) Alteration of sensory neurons and spinal response to an experimental osteoarthritis pain model. Arthritis Rheumatism 62:2995–3005.
- Ivanavicius SP, Ball AD, Heapy CG, Westwood FR, Murray F, Read SJ (2007) Structural pathology in a rodent model of osteoarthritis is associated with neuropathic pain: increased expression of ATF-3 and pharmacological characterisation. Pain 128:272–282.
- Jarvis MF, Honore P, Shieh CC, Chapman M, Joshi S, Zhang XF, Kort M, Carroll W, Marron B, Atkinson R, Thomas J, Liu D, Krambis M, Liu Y, McGaraughty S, Chu K, Roeloffs R, Zhong C, Mikusa JP, Hernandez G, Gauvin D, Wade C, Zhu C, Pai M, Scanio M, Shi L, Drizin I, Gregg R, Matulenko M, Hakeem A, Gross M, Johnson M, Marsh K, Wagoner PK, Sullivan JP, Faltynek CR, Krafte DS (2007) A-803467, a potent and selective Nav1.8 sodium channel blocker, attenuates neuropathic and inflammatory pain in the rat. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:8520–8525
- Kelly S, Chapman RJ, Woodhams S, Sagar DR, Turner J, Burston JJ, Bullock C, Paton K, Huang J, Wong A, McWilliams DF, Okine BN, Barrett DA, Hathway GJ, Walsh DA, Chapman V (2015) Increased function of pronociceptive TRPV1 at the level of the joint in a rat model of osteoarthritis pain. Ann Rheumatic Dis 74:252–259.
- Kelly S, Dunham JP, Murray F, Read S, Donaldson LF, Lawson SN (2012) Spontaneous firing in C-fibers and increased mechanical sensitivity in A-fibers of knee joint-associated mechanoreceptive primary afferent neurones during MIA-induced osteoarthritis in the rat. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 20:305–313.
- Kirwan JR, Currey HL, Freeman MA, Snow S, Young PJ (1994) Overall long-term impact of total hip and knee joint replacement surgery on patients with osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis. Br J Rheumatol 33:357–360.
- Kivitz A, Fairfax M, Sheldon EA, Xiang Q, Jones BA, Gammaitoni AR, Gould EM (2008) Comparison of the effectiveness and tolerability of lidocaine patch 5% versus celecoxib for osteoarthritis-related knee pain: post hoc analysis of a 12 week, prospective, randomized, active-controlled, open-label, parallel-group trial in adults. Clin Ther 30:2366–2377.
- Laursen RJ, Graven-Nielsen T, Jensen TS, Arendt-Nielsen L (1997) Referred pain is dependent on sensory input from the periphery: a psychophysical study. Eur J Pain (London, England) 1:261–269.
- Malfait AM, Little CB, McDougall JJ (2013) A commentary on modelling osteoarthritis pain in small animals. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 21:1316–1326.
- Malfait AM, Schnitzer TJ (2013) Towards a mechanism-based approach to pain management in osteoarthritis. Nat Rev Rheumatol 9:654–664.
- McDougall JJ, Andruski B, Schuelert N, Hallgrimsson B, Matyas JR (2009) Unravelling the relationship between age, nociception and joint destruction in naturally occurring osteoarthritis of Dunkin Hartley guinea pigs. Pain 141:222–232.
- McGaraughty S, Chu KL, Scanio MJ, Kort ME, Faltynek CR, Jarvis MF (2008) A selective Nav1.8 sodium channel blocker, A-803467 [5-(4-chlorophenyl-N-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)furan-2-carboxamide], attenuates spinal neuronal activity in neuropathic rats. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 324:1204–1211.
- Middleton RE, Warren VA, Kraus RL, Hwang JC, Liu CJ, Dai G, Brochu RM, Kohler MG, Gao YD, Garsky VM, Bogusky MJ, Mehl JT, Cohen CJ, Smith MM (2002) Two tarantula peptides inhibit activation of multiple sodium channels. Biochemistry 41:14734–14747.
- Minett MS, Nassar MA, Clark AK, Passmore G, Dickenson AH, Wang F, Malcangio M, Wood JN (2012) Distinct Nav1.7-dependent pain sensations require different sets of sensory and sympathetic neurons. Nat Commun 3:791.
- Neogi T (2013) The epidemiology and impact of pain in osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 21:1145–1153.

- Pomonis JD, Boulet JM, Gottshall SL, Phillips S, Sellers R, Bunton T, Walker K (2005) Development and pharmacological characterization of a rat model of osteoarthritis pain. Pain 114:339–346.
- Rahman W, Bauer CS, Bannister K, Vonsy JL, Dolphin AC, Dickenson AH (2009) Descending serotonergic facilitation and the antinociceptive effects of pregabalin in a rat model of osteoarthritic pain. Mol Pain 5:45.
- Rahman W, Dickenson AH (2014) Antinociceptive effects of lacosamide on spinal neuronal and behavioural measures of pain in a rat model of osteoarthritis. Arthritis Res Ther 16:509.
- Reimann F, Cox JJ, Belfer I, Diatchenko L, Zaykin DV, McHale DP, Drenth JP, Dai F, Wheeler J, Sanders F, Wood L, Wu TX, Karppinen J, Nikolajsen L, Mannikko M, Max MB, Kiselycznyk C, Poddar M, Te Morsche RH, Smith S, Gibson D, Kelempisioti A, Maixner W, Gribble FM, Woods CG (2010) Pain perception is altered by a nucleotide polymorphism in SCN9A. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:5148–5153.
- Sagar DR, Staniaszek LE, Okine BN, Woodhams S, Norris LM, Pearson RG, Garle MJ, Alexander SP, Bennett AJ, Barrett DA, Kendall DA, Scammell BE, Chapman V (2010) Tonic modulation of spinal hyperexcitability by the endocannabinoid receptor system in a rat model of osteoarthritis pain. Arthritis Rheumatism 62:3666–3676.
- Scanio MJ, Shi L, Drizin I, Gregg RJ, Atkinson RN, Thomas JB, Johnson MS, Chapman ML, Liu D, Krambis MJ, Liu Y, Shieh CC, Zhang X, Simler GH, Joshi S, Honore P, Marsh KC, Knox A, Werness S, Antonio B, Krafte DS, Jarvis MF, Faltynek CR, Marron BE, Kort ME (2010) Discovery and biological evaluation of potent, selective, orally bioavailable, pyrazine-based blockers of the Na(v)1.8 sodium channel with efficacy in a model of neuropathic pain. Bioorg Med Chem 18:7816–7825.
- Schmalhofer WA, Calhoun J, Burrows R, Bailey T, Kohler MG, Weinglass AB, Kaczorowski GJ, Garcia ML, Koltzenburg M, Priest BT (2008) ProTx-II, a selective inhibitor of NaV1.7 sodium channels, blocks action potential propagation in nociceptors. Mol Pharmacol 74:1476–1484.
- Schuelert N, McDougall JJ (2006) Electrophysiological evidence that the vasoactive intestinal peptide receptor antagonist VIP6-28 reduces nociception in an animal model of osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 14:1155–1162.
- Schuelert N, McDougall JJ (2008) Cannabinoid-mediated antinociception is enhanced in rat osteoarthritic knees. Arthritis Rheumatism 58:145–153.
- Schuelert N, McDougall JJ (2009) Grading of monosodium iodoacetate-induced osteoarthritis reveals a concentrationdependent sensitization of nociceptors in the knee joint of the rat. Neurosci Lett 465:184–188.
- Schuelert N, McDougall JJ (2012) Involvement of Nav 1.8 sodium ion channels in the transduction of mechanical pain in a rodent model of osteoarthritis. Arthritis Res Ther 14:R5.
- Seal RP, Wang X, Guan Y, Raja SN, Woodbury CJ, Basbaum AI, Edwards RH (2009) Injury-induced mechanical hypersensitivity requires C-low threshold mechanoreceptors. Nature 462:651–655.
- Shields SD, Ahn HS, Yang Y, Han C, Seal RP, Wood JN, Waxman SG, Dib-Hajj SD (2012a) Nav1.8 expression is not restricted to nociceptors in mouse peripheral nervous system. Pain 153:2017–2030.
- Shields SD, Cheng X, Uceyler N, Sommer C, Dib-Hajj SD, Waxman SG (2012b) Sodium channel Na(v)1.7 is essential for lowering heat pain threshold after burn injury. J Neurosci 32:10819–10832.
- Strickland IT, Martindale JC, Woodhams PL, Reeve AJ, Chessell IP, McQueen DS (2008) Changes in the expression of NaV1.7, NaV1.8 and NaV1.9 in a distinct population of dorsal root ganglia innervating the rat knee joint in a model of chronic inflammatory joint pain. Eur J Pain (London, England) 12:564–572.
- Tanaka M, Cummins TR, Ishikawa K, Dib-Hajj SD, Black JA, Waxman SG (1998) SNS Na + channel expression increases in dorsal root ganglion neurons in the carrageenan inflammatory pain model. Neuroreport 9:967–972.

- Thakur M, Dickenson AH, Baron R (2014) Osteoarthritis pain: nociceptive or neuropathic? Nat Rev Rheumatol 10:374–380.
- Thakur M, Rahman W, Hobbs C, Dickenson AH, Bennett DL (2012) Characterisation of a peripheral neuropathic component of the rat monoiodoacetate model of osteoarthritis. PLoS One 7:e33730.
- Valdes AM, Arden NK, Vaughn FL, Doherty SA, Leaverton PE, Zhang W, Muir KR, Rampersaud E, Dennison EM, Edwards MH, Jameson KA, Javaid MK, Spector TD, Cooper C, Maciewicz RA, Doherty M (2011) Role of the Nav1.7 R1150W amino acid change in susceptibility to symptomatic knee osteoarthritis and multiple regional pain. Arthritis Care Res 63:440–444.
- Villarreal CF, Sachs D, Cunha FQ, Parada CA, Ferreira SH (2005) The role of Na(V)1.8 sodium channel in the maintenance of chronic inflammatory hypernociception. Neurosci Lett 386: 72–77.
- Vincent TL, Watt FE (2014) Osteoarthritis. Medecine 42:213-219.
- Vincent TL, Williams RO, Maciewicz R, Silman A, Garside P (2012) Mapping pathogenesis of arthritis through small animal models. Rheumatology (Oxford, England) 51:1931–1941.
- Vonsy JL, Ghandehari J, Dickenson AH (2008) Differential analgesic effects of morphine and gabapentin on behavioural measures of pain and disability in a model of osteoarthritis pain in rats. Eur J Pain (London, England) 13:786–793.

- Wu Q, Henry JL (2010) Changes in Abeta non-nociceptive primary sensory neurons in a rat model of osteoarthritis pain. Mol Pain 6:37.
- Xiao Y, Blumenthal K, Jackson 2nd JO, Liang S, Cummins TR (2010) The tarantula toxins ProTx-II and huwentoxin-IV differentially interact with human Nav1.7 voltage sensors to inhibit channel activation and inactivation. Mol Pharmacol 78:1124–1134.
- Zhang RX, Ren K, Dubner R (2013) Osteoarthritis pain mechanisms: basic studies in animal models. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 21:1308–1315.
- Zhang W, Nuki G, Moskowitz RW, Abramson S, Altman RD, Arden NK, Bierma-Zeinstra S, Brandt KD, Croft P, Doherty M, Dougados M, Hochberg M, Hunter DJ, Kwoh K, Lohmander LS, Tugwell P (2010a) OARSI recommendations for the management of hip and knee osteoarthritis: part III: changes in evidence following systematic cumulative update of research published through January 2009. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 18:476–499.
- Zhang XF, Shieh CC, Chapman ML, Matulenko MA, Hakeem AH, Atkinson RN, Kort ME, Marron BE, Joshi S, Honore P, Faltynek CR, Krafte DS, Jarvis MF (2010b) A-887826 is a structurally novel, potent and voltage-dependent Na(v)1.8 sodium channel blocker that attenuates neuropathic tactile allodynia in rats. Neuropharmacology 59:201–207.
- Zimmermann M (1983) Ethical guidelines for investigations of experimental pain in conscious animals. Pain 16:109–110.

(Accepted 19 March 2015) (Available online 25 March 2015)