RESEARCH REPORT



2- and 6-O-sulfated proteoglycans have distinct and complementary roles in cranial axon guidance and motor neuron migration

Miguel Tillo¹, Camille Charoy¹, Quenten Schwarz^{1,*}, Charlotte H. Maden¹, Kathryn Davidson¹, Alessandro Fantin¹ and Christiana Ruhrberg^{1,2,‡}

ABSTRACT

The correct migration and axon extension of neurons in the developing nervous system is essential for the appropriate wiring and function of neural networks. Here, we report that *O*-sulfotransferases, a class of enzymes that modify heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs), are essential to regulate neuronal migration and axon development. We show that the 6-*O*-sulfotransferases HS6ST1 and HS6ST2 are essential for cranial axon patterning, whilst the 2-*O*-sulfotransferase HS2ST (also known as HS2ST1) is important to regulate the migration of facial branchiomotor (FBM) neurons in the hindbrain. We have also investigated how HS2ST interacts with other signals in the hindbrain and show that fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signalling regulates FBM neuron migration in an HS2ST-dependent manner.

KEY WORDS: Fibroblast growth factor (FGF), Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), Heparan sulfate proteoglycan (HSPG), Sulfotransferase, Neuron migration, Axon guidance

INTRODUCTION

Various developmental processes require heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs), extracellular matrix proteins with covalently linked polysaccharide side chains that are polymerised by exotosin enzymes and further modified by sulfation, epimerisation or deacetylation to generate vast structural and functional heterogeneity (Kreuger and Kjellen, 2012). Two families of modifying enzymes, the 2- and 6-*O*-sulfotransferases, have previously been shown to regulate axon guidance in the *Xenopus* and mouse brain (Irie et al., 2002; Pratt et al., 2006; Clegg et al., 2014). Both enzymes also control neuronal migration in *Caenorhabditis elegans* (Kinnunen et al., 2005). However, it is not known whether these enzymes regulate neuronal migration in the vertebrate brain.

The proper positioning of neurons in the developing brain and the correct extension of their neurites to suitable targets are fundamental processes for the appropriate wiring and therefore function of the

[‡]Author for correspondence (c.ruhrberg@ucl.ac.uk)

M.T., 0000-0002-2024-1499; C.C., 0000-0003-1904-4612; Q.S., 0000-0002-5958-4181; C.R., 0000-0002-3212-9381

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.

Received 4 June 2015; Accepted 29 March 2016

nervous system. The facial (VIIth) and trigeminal (Vth) cranial nerves are widely used models to define molecular mechanisms of axon guidance (Chandrasekhar, 2004; Wanner et al., 2013). Whilst the trigeminal motor neurons arise in hindbrain rhombomere 2 (r2), the facial branchiomotor (FBM) neurons arise in r4. Both types of neurons extend their axons dorsally out of the hindbrain into the branchial arches in stereotypical patterns that can be visualised by whole-mount immunostaining. Mouse FBM neurons are useful to study neuronal migration, because ISL1 labelling can be used to observe their cell bodies as they migrate caudally from r4 through r5 before turning ventrally to reach their final position in r6 (e.g. Schwarz et al., 2004). Several molecular signals cooperatively control FBM neuron migration (Chandrasekhar, 2004; Wanner et al., 2013), for example, the secreted extracellular matrix protein reelin and components of the WNT planar cell polarity (PCP) pathway (Rossel et al., 2005; Vivancos et al., 2009; Qu et al., 2010). The vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF-A; short, VEGF) also guides FBM neuron migration through its receptor neuropilin 1 (NRP1); interestingly, NRP1 also serves as a receptor for repulsive SEMA3A signals during FBM axon guidance (Taniguchi et al., 1997; Schwarz et al., 2004).

O-sulfotransferases have previously been implicated in VEGF, fibroblast growth factor (FGF) and WNT signalling (e.g. Ai et al., 2003; Kamimura et al., 2006; Mitsi et al., 2006). The 6-*O*-sulfotransferases HS6ST1 and HS6ST2 promote the formation of VEGF/VEGFR and FGF/FGFR signalling complexes in vascular endothelial cells (Ferreras et al., 2012). HS6ST2 is also required for VEGF signalling during zebrafish vascular development (Chen et al., 2005). Moreover, FGFs interact with *O*-sulfated HSPGs during lacrimal gland and tracheal development (Kamimura et al., 2006; Qu et al., 2011). WNT signalling is regulated by 2-*O*-sulfotransferase (HS2ST, also known as HS2ST1) during zebrafish epiboly and by HS6STs for muscle development (Bink et al., 2003; Cadwalader et al., 2012), suggesting that the role of *O*-sulfated HSPGs is context-specific.

Here, we show that mice lacking HS6ST1 and HS6ST2 have defective axon extension of specific branches of the Vth and VIIth cranial nerves, but normal FBM neuron migration. Conversely, mice lacking HS2ST showed normal cranial axon patterning, but had FBM neuron migration defects similar to those seen in mice lacking VEGF signalling through NRP1. Surprisingly, however, HS2ST was not required for VEGF signalling in these neurons. Instead, HS2ST enabled FGF-mediated FBM neuron migration in hindbrain explants. Moreover, the expression of *Erm*, a known FGF target, was altered in these mutants. Thus, our study has revealed a role for HSPG-mediated FGF signalling in neuronal migration and demonstrated distinct and complementary roles for 2- and 6-*O*-sulfotransferases in cranial nerve development.

¹UCL Institute of Ophthalmology, University College London, 11-43 Bath Street, London EC1V 9EL, UK. ²Yale Cardiovascular Research Centre, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511, USA.

^{*}Present address: Department of Human Immunology, Centre for Cancer Biology, Frome Road, Adelaide, South Australia 5000, Australia.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Hs6st1 and *Hs6st2* cooperate in cranial axon guidance, but are dispensable for FBM neuron migration

To examine whether HSPGs regulate FBM neuron migration, we used an *ex vivo* hindbrain culture assay (Fig. 1A). In this model, FBM neurons migrate rostrally from their site of origin in r4 towards r6, similar to the migration they undergo *in vivo* (Tillo et al., 2014). The inclusion of heparitinase in the culture medium to remove heparan sulfate side chains from HSPGs (Linhardt et al., 1990) prevented FBM neuron migration beyond r5 (Fig. 1B). This observation suggested that HSPGs are important for FBM neuron migration. As HS6ST2 is also required for VEGF signalling during zebrafish vascular development, we examined the expression of 6-*O*-sulfotransferases during FBM migration. *In situ* hybridisation (ISH) at 12.5 days post coitum (dpc) showed

that *Hs6st3* was not obviously expressed in the hindbrain at this stage (data not shown), but *Hs6st1* and *Hs6st2* were expressed in the r5/6-derived hindbrain territories, through which the *Isl1*-expressing FBM neurons migrate (Fig. 1C). However, *Hs6st1^{-/-}* and *Hst6s2^{-/-}* single mutants had normal FBM neuron migration, and two out of five double mutant *Hs6st1^{-/-} Hs6st2^{-/-}* hindbrains examined showed only minor displacement of some neurons (Fig. 1D). TUJ1 whole-mount immunostaining of *Hs6st1^{-/-}* and *Hst6s2^{-/-}* heads showed normal guidance of facial nerve axons, including those in the facial branchiomotor nerve (VII_{bm}), the chorda tympani (VII_{ct}) and the greater superficial petrosal nerve (VII_{gspn}) (Fig. 1E). Trigeminal axons also seemed normal in these single mutants, including those of the mandibular (V_{md}), maxillary (V_{mx}) and ophthalmic (V_{op}) nerves. However, the VII_{bm}, VII_{ct} and VII_{gspn} and V_{mx} nerves did not extend normally and the V_{md} and



Fig. 1. *Hs6st1* and *Hs6st2* regulate cranial axon guidance, but not FBM neuron migration. (A) 11.5 dpc hindbrain explants were treated with inhibitors or implanted with beads containing growth factors. (B) Hindbrain explants (*n*=4) were treated with vehicle or heparitinase and immunostained for ISL1. Asterisks indicate the midline. (C) Schematic representation of FBM neuron migration and ISH of 12.5 dpc hindbrains to reveal *Hs6st1* and *Hs6st2* expression relative to *IsI1*-positive migrating FBM neurons (VII_m) and the paired facial motor nuclei (VII_n). (D) *IsI1* ISH of *Hs6st1^{-/-}* (*n*=3), *Hs6st2^{-/-}* (*n*=10), *Hs6st1^{-/-};Hs6st2^{-/-}* (minor defects in 2/5 mutants examined) and wild-type (*n*=6) hindbrains; the arrow indicates a minor defect in FBM neuron migration. (E) Lateral view of 11.5 dpc *Hs6st1^{-/-}*, *Hs6st2^{-/-}* and *Hs6st1^{-/-};Hs6st2^{-/-}* mutant (*n*=3 each) and wild-type (*n*=5) heads after immunolabelling for TUJ1. Green arrows indicate delayed extension of the mandibular (V_{md}) and maxillary (V_{mx}) nerves from the trigeminal ganglion (V_g), red arrows indicate abnormal chorda tympani (VII_{ct}) and facial branchiomotor nerve (VII_{bm}) branches from the facial ganglion (VI_g) and open triangles the lack of ophthalmic (V_{op}) and greater superficial petrosal (VII_{gpn}) nerves. Scale bars: 200 µm in B,C,E; 100 µm in D.

 V_{op} nerves were absent in three out of three $Hs6st1^{-/-}$ $Hs6st2^{-/-}$ double mutants (Fig. 1E).

These results demonstrate that 6-*O*-sulfotransferases are dispensable for FBM neuron migration, but essential for cranial nerve axon guidance.

6-O-sulfotransferases modulate trigeminal axon extension in vitro

The trigeminal axon defects of Hs6st1-/- Hs6st2-/- mutants resembled those of Slit mutants (Ma and Tessier-Lavigne, 2007). To investigate whether these 6-O-sulfotransferases are required for SLIT proteins to modulate trigeminal axon extension, we explanted trigeminal ganglia to observe neurite extension in the absence or presence of SLIT proteins (Fig. S1). In culture media lacking exogenous SLITs, 6-O-sulfotransferase deficiency increased the number of neurites (Fig. S1A,B) and the maximal distance of neurite extension from the explant (Fig. S1A,C). Moreover, compound mutant explants extended unusually long and thick neurites with a non-linear growth pattern that were rarely present in wild-type and single mutant explants (Fig. S1A). These findings corroborate that 6-O-sulfotransferases modulate trigeminal axon growth. However, 6-O-sulfotransferases were inhibitory in this assay, even though they are required for axon path-finding in vivo (Fig. 1E). This observation raises the possibility that explant

cultures lack factors that alter 6-*O*-sulfotransferase-dependent processes from inhibitory to growth-promoting. Recombinant SLIT2, but not SLIT1, reduced neurite extension from wild-type explants (Fig. S1D,E). SLIT2 also reduced neurite extension from mutant explants to a similar extent as from wild-type explants (Fig. S1F,G). Moreover, SLIT2 did not decrease the formation of aberrant long and thick neurites in compound mutant explants (Fig. S1F). Thus, SLIT2 and 6-*O*-sulfotransferases regulate neurite extension independently of each other, and HS6ST1 and HS6ST2 promote cranial axon guidance in pathways that remain to be identified.

Hs2st is essential for FBM neuron migration, but dispensable for cranial axon guidance

To determine the expression pattern of HS2ST during hindbrain development, we used mice carrying an $Hs2st^{Lacz}$ knock-in allele that recapitulates endogenous Hs2st expression when visualised as β -galactosidase-mediated X-gal staining (Bullock et al., 1998). $Hs2st^{Lacz/+}$ hindbrains at 10.5 dpc showed prominent staining in r4, close to the domain in which FBM neurons differentiate (Fig. 2A). The Hs2st expression domain was adjacent to, but did not overlap with, the area that contains FBM neurons (Fig. 2B). By 12.5 dpc, Hs2st expression seemed to be restricted to the midline region (Fig. 2C). These expression patterns raise the possibility that HS2ST



Fig. 2. Hs2st is expressed near FBM neurons and is essential for their appropriate migration. (A) Whole-mount X-gal staining of 10.5 dpc $Hs2st^{Lacz/+}$ hindbrains (n=5) shows Hs2st expression in r4 (arrow) adjacent to the motor column, visualised by *Isl1* ISH (arrowhead). (B) Transverse 10.5 dpc $Hs2st^{Lacz/+}$ sections through r4 level were X-gal stained and then immunostained for ISL1 to demonstrate Hs2st expression adjacent to FBM neurons (VII_m; the X-gal stain was pseudocoloured red in the right-hand panel). The arrow indicates Hs2st expression. (C) Whole-mount X-gal staining of 12.5 dpc $Hs2st^{Lacz/+}$ hindbrains (n=3) shows Hs2st expression in the midline area (red wavy arrow). (D) *Isl1* whole-mount ISH of $Hs2st^{Lacz/Lacz}$ and wild-type hindbrains (n=6 each); brackets indicate the width of the FBM neuron stream. (E) Lateral views of 11.5 dpc $Hs2st^{Lacz/Lacz}$ heads and controls, immunolabelled for TUJ1 (n=3 each). The V_{md}, V_{mx} and V_{op} nerves extend from the trigeminal ganglion (V_g), and the VII_{ct}, VII_{bm} and VII_{gspn} nerves from the facial ganglion (VII_g) in both genotypes. Scale bars: 200 µm in A, C,E; 400 µm in D; 50 µm in B.

modifies proteoglycans that regulate FBM neuron development in *trans*. To determine whether HS2ST is required for FBM neuron migration, we examined Hs2st-null ($Hs2st^{Lacz/Lacz}$) hindbrains by *Isl1* ISH. We observed splitting of the migratory stream in all mutants examined (Fig. 2D). By contrast, whole-mount TUJ1 immunolabelling showed normal axon extension of all facial and trigeminal nerve branches in mutants (Fig. 2E). These results suggest that 2-*O*-sulfotransferase is important for FBM neuron migration, but not cranial axon guidance.

Hs2st is dispensable for VEGF-mediated FBM neuron migration

HSPGs interact with VEGF and its receptor NRP1 (Sarrazin et al., 2011). We therefore asked if loss of 2-O-sulfated HSPGs caused an FBM neuron defect similar to that caused by loss of VEGF signalling through NRP1 (Schwarz et al., 2004). Comparable to Nrp1-null mice and Vegfa^{120/120} mice lacking NRP1-binding VEGF (Schwarz et al., 2004), migrating FBM neurons split into two main streams in Hs2st-null hindbrains (Fig. 3A). To examine whether HS2ST-modified HSPGs were required for VEGF-induced FBM neuron migration, we implanted VEGF₁₆₅-coated heparin beads into Hs2st-null and littermate control hindbrain explants. As previously shown (Schwarz et al., 2004), FBM neurons migrated towards the beads in controls (Fig. 3B). Unexpectedly, these neurons also migrated towards the beads in Hs2st-null hindbrains (Fig. 3B). Quantification confirmed that VEGF₁₆₅ beads promoted FBM neuron migration in both genotypes (Fig. 3B). Despite the similar *in vivo* phenotypes of *Hs2st*-null and $Vegfa^{120/120}$ mice, HS2STmodified HSPGs are therefore not required for VEGF-induced FBM neuron migration.

FGF can promote FBM neuron migration in an HS2STdependent fashion

HSPGs act as FGF co-receptors and regulate the distribution and degradation of FGFs (Hacker et al., 2005). Microarray analysis

showed that FGF3-5, 8, 9, 11-15 and 17-23 as well as the four FGF receptors (*Fgfr1-4*) were expressed in 11.5 dpc hindbrains (Fig. 4A). Reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) confirmed Fgfr1-4 expression in 11.5 dpc hindbrains (Fig. 4B). ISH showed that Fgfr1-4 were expressed widely at 10.5 dpc, the onset of FBM neuron migration, whilst at 12.5 dpc, Fgfr1-3 were expressed near the midline and Fgfr4 was expressed by FBM neurons when they reached r6 (Fig. 4C). To examine whether FBM neurons can in principle respond to FGF ligands, we performed hindbrain explants with FGF-coated beads. For these experiments, we used FGF2, because it is known to bind all four FGF receptors and requires HSPGs for appropriate signalling (Matsuo and Kimura-Yoshida, 2013). FBM neurons in wild-type hindbrains were strongly attracted by FGF2 beads, but attraction was abolished in *Hs2st*-null hindbrains (Fig. 4D). Quantification confirmed significantly impaired FGF2-induced FBM neuron migration in the mutants (Fig. 4D). These observations raise the possibility that FGFs promote FBM neuron migration in a mechanism that requires 2-O-sulfated HSPGs. However, further experiments are required to define which endogenous FGF ligand or receptor might regulate FBM neuron development in vivo.

As Hs2st is prominently expressed in r4 at 10.5 dpc, we considered the possibility that HSPGs modulate FGF-induced signalling pathways in this rhombomere to regulate FBM neuron migration. We observed that Erm (also known as Etv5), a member of the ETS transcription factor family that is positively regulated by FGF (Raible and Brand, 2001; Firnberg and Neubuser, 2002), was expressed in r6-r8 along the midline at 10.5 dpc (Fig. 4E). Notably, 10.5 dpc Hs2st-null hindbrains upregulated Erm expression in the midline region of r2 and r4, the rhombomeres that give rise to the trigeminal branchiomotor and FBM neurons, respectively (Fig. 4E). This finding agrees with prior observations that HSPGs can spatially restrict the diffusion or degradation of FGF ligands in the zebrafish embryo to modulate FGF signalling (Venero Galanternik et al., 2015). In contrast to Erm, the master regulator of r4, Hoxb1, was expressed normally in 10.5 dpc Hs2st-null hindbrains (Fig. 4F).



Fig. 3. VEGF does not require HS2ST to control FBM neuron migration. (A) Transverse sections through 12.5 dpc r6, immunolabelled for ISL1, TUJ1 and the vascular marker IB4; arrows indicate similar stream splitting of FBM neurons in $Hs2st^{Lacz/Lacz}$, $Nrp1^{-/-}$ and $Vegfa^{120/120}$ mice (n=3 each). (B) Hindbrain explants containing VEGF₁₆₅ beads (position indicated with red circles) were immunostained for ISL1; red arrows indicate the leading edge of FBM neurons migrating towards the beads. The distance migrated on the implanted relative to the control side of each hindbrain is shown as mean±s.e.m. $Hs2st^{+,n}$, n=15; $Hs2st^{+,acz/Lacz}$, n=7. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, paired *t*-test. Scale bars: 200 µm.



Fig. 4. FGF controls FBM neuron migration and requires Hs2st.

(A,B) Microarray (A) and RT-PCR (B) analysis of Fqf and Fqfr expression in 11.5 dpc hindbrains. Data in A are expressed as the mean±s.e.m. of the optical density for each probe set after normalisation and transformation to a log2 scale; n=3 wild-type hindbrains. (B) Fgfr1 (148 bp), Fgfr2 (142 bp), Fgfr3 (197 bp) and Fgfr4 (141 bp) amplicons after gel electrophoresis; MW, molecular weight standard. (C) Wholemount ISH of Fgfr genes in 10.5 and 12.5 dpc hindbrains; the red arrowhead indicates Fgfr4 expression in migrating FBM neurons. (D) Hs2st^{Lacz/Lacz} and control explants containing FGF2 beads (indicated with red circles) were immunostained for ISL1; red arrow indicates the leading edge of FBM neurons migrating towards the beads. The distance migrated on the implanted relative to the control side of each hindbrain is shown as mean±s.e.m. Hs2st^{+/+}, n=16; Hs2st^{Lacz/Lacz}, n=5. ***P<0.001, n.s. not significant, paired t-test. (E) Whole-mount Erm ISH of 10.5 dpc Hs2st-null and wild-type hindbrains (n=3 each); the wavy red arrow indicates Erm upregulation in mutant compared with control r4. (F) Whole-mount Hoxb1 ISH at 10.5 dpc shows normal r4 specification (n=2 each). Scale bars: 200 µm.

This observation suggests that r4 is specified normally in *Hs2st*-null mutants and agrees with *Erm* upregulation resulting from HS2ST-dependent local alterations in FGF signalling. Together, our findings are consistent with a role for HS2ST in FGF-mediated neuronal guidance and/or hindbrain patterning. Future studies might investigate whether HS2ST also regulates other molecules that control FBM migration non-cell autonomously, such as cadherins and PCP pathway components (Vivancos et al., 2009; Qu et al., 2010; Stockinger et al., 2011; Zakaria et al., 2014).

Conclusion

Hs6st1 and *Hs6st2* synergise to regulate cranial axon guidance whilst *Hs2st* promotes FBM neuron migration. Thus, HS6ST1/2 and HS2ST have distinct, but complementary, roles in cranial nerve development.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Animals

Animal procedures were performed in accordance with institutional guidelines under the authority of a UK Home Office project licence. Mice were paired in the evening, and the morning of vaginal plug formation counted as 0.5 dpc. We used $Hs2st^{Lacz/+}$ (Bullock et al., 1998), $Hs6st1^{+/-}$ (Leighton et al., 2001; Mitchell et al., 2001), $Hs6st2^{+/-}$ (Qu et al., 2011) and $Vegfa^{120/+}$ (Carmeliet et al., 1999) mice on a C57/Bl6 background and $Nrp1^{+/-}$ (Kitsukawa et al., 1997) mice on a CD1 background.

Microarray and RT-PCR analysis

Microarray analysis was performed with the GeneChip Mouse 1.0 ST Array (Affimetrix) on 11.5 dpc $Spi1^{-/-}$ and $Spi1^{+/+}$ hindbrains (*n*=3 each); expression was measured as optical density for each probe set, values were normalised by robust multi-array analysis and transformed to a log2 scale

(Irizarry et al., 2003); the *Spi1* and the SPI1 (PU.1)-dependent *Csf1r* values in *Spi1^{-/-}* samples were defined as background noise and subtracted from the value of each gene. In some experiments, RNA was reverse transcribed using Superscript III (Thermo Fisher) and cDNA amplified by PCR using MegaMix (Microzone) and the following oligonucleotides (Sigma): *Fgfr1*: 5'-AACCTCTAACCGCAGAAC-3' and 5'-GAGACTCCACTTCCACAG-3'; *Fgfr2*: 5'-AATCTCCCAACCAGAAGCGTA-3' and 5'-CTCCCCAA-TAAGCACTGTCCT-3'; *Fgfr3*: 5'-GGAGGACGTGGCTGAAGAC-3' and 5'-GGAGCTTGATGCCCCCAAT-3'; *Fgfr4*: 5'-ATGACCGTCGT-ACACAATCTTAC-3' and 5'-TGTCCAGTAGGGTGCTTGC-3'.

Immunolabelling and in situ hybridisation

Immunolabelling was performed as described (Vieira et al., 2007) using rabbit anti-mouse TUJ1 (1:250; MRB-435P, BioLegend) and mouse anti-rat ISL1 (1:100; 39.4D5, DSHB) followed by Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated rabbit anti-goat Fab (1:200; A21223, Thermo Fisher) and Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse Fab (1:200; A11017, Thermo Fisher) or horseradish-peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody (1:200; P0448, DAKO). To detect blood vessels, we used biotinylated isolectin B4 (1:500; L2140, Sigma) followed by Alexa Fluor 633-conjugated streptavidin (1:200; S-21375, Thermo Fisher). We used digoxigenin-labelled RNA probes for *Isl1* (Schwarz et al., 2004), *Hoxb1* (Gavalas et al., 2003), *Hs6st1*, *Hs6st2* (Sedita et al., 2004), *Erm* (Trokovic et al., 2005) and *Fgfr1-4* (Pringle et al., 2003; Trokovic et al., 2003).

Explant culture

E11.5 hindbrain explants were cultured as described (Tillo et al., 2014). Affi-Gel heparin beads (Bio-Rad) were soaked overnight in 100 ng/ml VEGF₁₆₅ (PreproTech) or 10 ng/ml FGF2 (Sigma) before implantation. In some experiments, explants were treated with 8.25 mU/ml heparitinase from *Flavobacterium heparinum* (Seikagaku-Kogyo) in PBS. FBM neuron migration was measured with ImageJ (NIH, Bethesda) as the distance from r5 to the leading group of cells in r6 and normalised to the unimplanted side of each hindbrain. E12.5 trigeminal ganglia were cultured on coverslips coated in poly-L-ornithine and mouse laminin (Sigma) in Neurobasal media containing N2 and B27 (Thermo Fisher) and 4% methylcellulose for 40 h and then fixed in 4% formaldehyde for immunostaining with TUJ1 (Graef et al., 2003). In some experiments, explants were treated with 200 ng/ml recombinant SLIT1 and/or SLIT2 (R&D Systems) at 2, 18 and 24 h. Quantification of neurite extension was performed with the NeuriteJ plugin of ImageJ.

Acknowledgements

We thank Andy Joyce and the staff of the Biological Resources Unit at the UCL Institute of Ophthalmology for help with mouse husbandry. We are grateful to Mike Hubank and UCL Genomic for help with the microarray analysis. We thank Lynda Erskine and Juan-Pedro Martinez-Barbera for helpful advice.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

Author contributions

C.R. and M.T. planned the experiments and wrote the manuscript. M.T., Q.S., C.C., C.H.M., A.F., K.D. and C.R. performed the experiments. All authors have read, commented on and approved the manuscript.

Funding

This research was funded by a Wellcome Trust PhD fellowship [092839/Z/10/Z to M.T.], a Wellcome Trust Programme Grant [089775/Z/09/Z to C.R.] and an International Brain Research Organization fellowship to C.C. Deposited in PMC for immediate release.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information available online at http://dev.biologists.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1242/dev.126854/-/DC1

References

 Ai, X., Do, A.-T., Lozynska, O., Kusche-Gullberg, M., Lindahl, U. and Emerson, C. P.Jr. (2003). QSulf1 remodels the 6-O sulfation states of cell surface heparan sulfate proteoglycans to promote Wnt signaling. J. Cell Biol. 162, 341-351.

- Bink, R. J., Habuchi, H., Lele, Z., Dolk, E., Joore, J., Rauch, G.-J., Geisler, R., Wilson, S. W., den Hertog, J., Kimata, K. et al. (2003). Heparan sulfate 6-osulfotransferase is essential for muscle development in zebrafish. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 31118-31127.
- Bullock, S. L., Fletcher, J. M., Beddington, R. S. P. and Wilson, V. A. (1998). Renal agenesis in mice homozygous for a gene trap mutation in the gene encoding heparan sulfate 2-sulfotransferase. *Genes Dev.* **12**, 1894-1906.
- Cadwalader, E. L., Condic, M. L. and Yost, H. J. (2012). 2-O-sulfotransferase regulates Wnt signaling, cell adhesion and cell cycle during zebrafish epiboly. *Development* 139, 1296-1305.
- Carmeliet, P., Ng, Y.-S., Nuyens, D., Theilmeier, G., Brusselmans, K., Cornelissen, I., Ehler, E., Kakkar, V. V., Stalmans, I., Mattot, V. et al. (1999). Impaired myocardial angiogenesis and ischemic cardiomyopathy in mice lacking the vascular endothelial growth factor isoforms VEGF164 and VEGF188. *Nat. Med.* 5, 495-502.
- Chandrasekhar, A. (2004). Turning heads: development of vertebrate branchiomotor neurons. *Dev. Dyn.* 229, 143-161.
- Chen, E., Stringer, S. E., Rusch, M. A., Selleck, S. B. and Ekker, S. C. (2005). A unique role for 6-O sulfation modification in zebrafish vascular development. *Dev. Biol.* 284, 364-376.
- Clegg, J. M., Conway, C. D., Howe, K. M., Price, D. J., Mason, J. O., Turnbull, J. E., Basson, M. A. and Pratt, T. (2014). Heparan sulfotransferases Hs6st1 and Hs2st keep Erk in check for mouse corpus callosum development. *J. Neurosci.* 34, 2389-2401.
- Ferreras, C., Rushton, G., Cole, C. L., Babur, M., Telfer, B. A., van Kuppevelt, T. H., Gardiner, J. M., Williams, K. J., Jayson, G. C. and Avizienyte, E. (2012). Endothelial heparan sulfate 6-O-sulfation levels regulate angiogenic responses of endothelial cells to fibroblast growth factor 2 and vascular endothelial growth factor. J. Biol. Chem. 287, 36132-36146.
- Firnberg, N. and Neubüser, A. (2002). FGF signaling regulates expression of Tbx2, Erm, Pea3, and Pax3 in the early nasal region. *Dev. Biol.* 247, 237-250.
- Gavalas, A., Ruhrberg, C., Livet, J., Henderson, C. E. and Krumlauf, R. (2003). Neuronal defects in the hindbrain of Hoxa1, Hoxb1 and Hoxb2 mutants reflect regulatory interactions among these Hox genes. *Development* 130, 5663-5679.
- Graef, I. A., Wang, F., Charron, F., Chen, L., Neilson, J., Tessier-Lavigne, M. and Crabtree, G. R. (2003). Neurotrophins and netrins require calcineurin/NFAT signaling to stimulate outgrowth of embryonic axons. *Cell* **113**, 657-670.
- Häcker, U., Nybakken, K. and Perrimon, N. (2005). Heparan sulphate proteoglycans: the sweet side of development. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 6, 530-541.
- Irie, A., Yates, E. A., Turnbull, J. E. and Holt, C. E. (2002). Specific heparan sulfate structures involved in retinal axon targeting. *Development* **129**, 61-70.
- Irizarry, R. A., Bolstad, B. M., Collin, F., Cope, L. M., Hobbs, B. and Speed, T. P. (2003). Summaries of Affymetrix GeneChip probe level data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **31**, e15.
- Kamimura, K., Koyama, T., Habuchi, H., Ueda, R., Masu, M., Kimata, K. and Nakato, H. (2006). Specific and flexible roles of heparan sulfate modifications in Drosophila FGF signaling. J. Cell Biol. 174, 773-778.
- Kinnunen, T., Huang, Z., Townsend, J., Gatdula, M. M., Brown, J. R., Esko, J. D. and Turnbull, J. E. (2005). Heparan 2-O-sulfotransferase, hst-2, is essential for normal cell migration in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 102, 1507-1512.
- Kitsukawa, T., Shimizu, M., Sanbo, M., Hirata, T., Taniguchi, M., Bekku, Y., Yagi, T. and Fujisawa, H. (1997). Neuropilin-semaphorin III/D-mediated chemorepulsive signals play a crucial role in peripheral nerve projection in mice. *Neuron* **19**, 995-1005.
- Kreuger, J. and Kjellen, L. (2012). Heparan sulfate biosynthesis: regulation and variability. J. Histochem. Cytochem. 60, 898-907.
- Leighton, P. A., Mitchell, K. J., Goodrich, L. V., Lu, X., Pinson, K., Scherz, P., Skarnes, W. C. and Tessier-Lavigne, M. (2001). Defining brain wiring patterns and mechanisms through gene trapping in mice. *Nature* **410**, 174-179.
- Linhardt, R. J., Turnbull, J. E., Wang, H. M., Loganathan, D. and Gallagher, J. T. (1990). Examination of the substrate specificity of heparin and heparan sulfate lyases. *Biochemistry* **29**, 2611-2617.
- Ma, L. and Tessier-Lavigne, M. (2007). Dual branch-promoting and branchrepelling actions of Slit/Robo signaling on peripheral and central branches of developing sensory axons. J. Neurosci. 27, 6843-6851.
- Matsuo, I. and Kimura-Yoshida, C. (2013). Extracellular modulation of Fibroblast Growth Factor signaling through heparan sulfate proteoglycans in mammalian development. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 23, 399-407.
- Mitchell, K. J., Pinson, K. I., Kelly, O. G., Brennan, J., Zupicich, J., Scherz, P., Leighton, P. A., Goodrich, L. V., Lu, X., Avery, B. J. et al. (2001). Functional analysis of secreted and transmembrane proteins critical to mouse development. *Nat. Genet.* 28, 241-249.
- Mitsi, M., Hong, Z., Costello, C. E. and Nugent, M. A. (2006). Heparin-mediated conformational changes in fibronectin expose vascular endothelial growth factor binding sites. *Biochemistry* 45, 10319-10328.
- Pratt, T., Conway, C. D., Tian, N. M. M.-L., Price, D. J. and Mason, J. O. (2006). Heparan sulphation patterns generated by specific heparan sulfotransferase

enzymes direct distinct aspects of retinal axon guidance at the optic chiasm. *J. Neurosci.* **26**, 6911-6923.

- Pringle, N. P., Yu, W.-P., Howell, M., Colvin, J. S., Ornitz, D. M. and Richardson,
 W. D. (2003). Fgfr3 expression by astrocytes and their precursors: evidence that astrocytes and oligodendrocytes originate in distinct neuroepithelial domains. Development 130, 93-102.
- Qu, Y., Glasco, D. M., Zhou, L., Sawant, A., Ravni, A., Fritzsch, B., Damrau, C., Murdoch, J. N., Evans, S., Pfaff, S. L. et al. (2010). Atypical cadherins Celsr1–3 differentially regulate migration of facial branchiomotor neurons in mice. *J. Neurosci.* 30, 9392-9401.
- Qu, X., Carbe, C., Tao, C., Powers, A., Lawrence, R., van Kuppevelt, T. H., Cardoso, W. V., Grobe, K., Esko, J. D. and Zhang, X. (2011). Lacrimal gland development and Fgf10-Fgfr2b signaling are controlled by 2-O- and 6-O-sulfated heparan sulfate. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 14435-14444.
- Raible, F. and Brand, M. (2001). Tight transcriptional control of the ETS domain factors Erm and Pea3 by Fgf signaling during early zebrafish development. *Mech. Dev.* 107, 105-117.
- Rossel, M., Loulier, K., Feuillet, C., Alonso, S. and Carroll, P. (2005). Reelin signaling is necessary for a specific step in the migration of hindbrain efferent neurons. *Development* **132**, 1175-1185.
- Sarrazin, S., Lamanna, W. C. and Esko, J. D. (2011). Heparan sulfate proteoglycans. Cold Spring Harb. Perspec. Biol. 3, a004952.
- Schwarz, Q., Gu, C., Fujisawa, H., Sabelko, K., Gertsenstein, M., Nagy, A., Taniguchi, M., Kolodkin, A. L., Ginty, D. D., Shima, D. T. et al. (2004). Vascular endothelial growth factor controls neuronal migration and cooperates with Sema3A to pattern distinct compartments of the facial nerve. *Genes Dev.* 18, 2822-2834.
- Sedita, J., Izvolsky, K. and Cardoso, W. V. (2004). Differential expression of heparan sulfate 6-O-sulfotransferase isoforms in the mouse embryo suggests distinctive roles during organogenesis. *Dev. Dyn.* 231, 782-794.

- Stockinger, P., Maitre, J.-L. and Heisenberg, C.-P. (2011). Defective neuroepithelial cell cohesion affects tangential branchiomotor neuron migration in the zebrafish neural tube. *Development* **138**, 4673-4683.
- Taniguchi, M., Yuasa, S., Fujisawa, H., Naruse, I., Saga, S., Mishina, M. and Yagi, T. (1997). Disruption of semaphorin III/D gene causes severe abnormality in peripheral nerve projection. *Neuron* **19**, 519-530.
- Tillo, M., Schwarz, Q. and Ruhrberg, C. (2014). Mouse hindbrain ex vivo culture to study facial branchiomotor neuron migration. J Vis. Exp. 85, e51397.
- Trokovic, R., Trokovic, N., Hernesniemi, S., Pirvola, U., Vogt Weisenhorn, D. M., Rossant, J., McMahon, A. P., Wurst, W. and Partanen, J. (2003). FGFR1 is independently required in both developing mid- and hindbrain for sustained response to isthmic signals. *EMBO J.* **22**, 1811-1823.
- Trokovic, R., Jukkola, T., Saarimäki, J., Peltopuro, P., Naserke, T.,Vogt Weisenhorn, D. M., Trokovic, N., Wurst, W. and Partanen, J. (2005). Fgfr1dependent boundary cells between developing mid- and hindbrain. *Dev. Biol.* 278, 428-439.
- Venero Galanternik, M., Kramer, K. L. and Piotrowski, T. (2015). Heparan sulfate proteoglycans regulate Fgf signaling and cell polarity during collective cell migration. *Cell Rep.* **10**, 414-428.
- Vieira, J. M., Schwarz, Q. and Ruhrberg, C. (2007). Selective requirements for NRP1 ligands during neurovascular patterning. *Development* 134, 1833-1843.
- Vivancos, V., Chen, P., Spassky, N., Qian, D., Dabdoub, A., Kelley, M., Studer, M. and Guthrie, S. (2009). Wnt activity guides facial branchiomotor neuron migration, and involves the PCP pathway and JNK and ROCK kinases. *Neural Dev.* 4, 7.
- Wanner, S. J., Saeger, I., Guthrie, S. and Prince, V. E. (2013). Facial motor neuron migration advances. *Curr. Opin Neurobiol.* 23, 943-950.
- Zakaria, S., Mao, Y., Kuta, A., Ferreira de Sousa, C., Gaufo, G. O., McNeill, H., Hindges, R., Guthrie, S., Irvine, K. D. and Francis-West, P. H. (2014). Regulation of neuronal migration by Dchs1-Fat4 planar cell polarity. *Curr. Biol.* 24, 1620-1627.