Novel method to quantify physical dose enhancement due to gold nanoparticles in proton therapy

R. Ahmad^{1, 2}, G. Royle², A.M. Lourenco^{2, 3}, M Schwarz^{4, 5}, F Fracchiolla⁴, K. Ricketts¹

1 Division of Surgery and Interventional Science, University College London, London, NW3 2QG 2 Department of Medical Physics and Bioengineering, University College London, London, WC1E 6BT 3 Acoustics and Ionizing Radiation Team, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, United Kingdom 4 Protontherapy Department, Azienda Provinciale per i Servizi Sanitari (APSS), Trento, Italy 5 Trento Institute for Fundamental Physics and Applications (TIFPA), National Institute of Nuclear Physics (INFN), Trento, Italy *Contact: reem.ahmad.11@ucl.ac.uk*

Introduction

 \geq Gold nanoparticles (GNPs) increase dose deposition when localised to the tumour

>Studies have demonstrated this in cell and animal studies through an increase in survival rates ^{1,2}

- \geq Monte Carlo studies have modelled physical dose enhancement³ >Many biological studies have been performed demonstrating dose enhancement, however these do not quantify physical dose enhancement
- \succ The present study demonstrates a novel method to quantify

Results

 \succ Considering the depth dose plot (figure 3) it can be seen that there is an increase in dose deposition with the introduction of GNPs \geq Dose deposition increases by 26% \pm 0.53 with 1.1 mg/ml and $21\% \pm 0.53$ with 5.5 mg/ml



physical dose enhancement using Gafchromic films and a custom made phantom

Aim

 \geq To quantify the physical dose enhancement of GNPs through Gafchromic film measurements

Method

> The phantom (figure 1) contained slits at 1 mm intervals to hold film sheets at various depths

>Phantom was comprised of PMMA as this is commonly used in proton therapy for its water equivalence



Figure 1: Custom made film phantom containing GNPs and a Gafchromic film. Phantom allows for measurements to be taken at 1 mm intervals

enhancement with GNP concentrations of and 5.5 mg/ml. Errors correspond to standard

to

O İ

dose to

level

- \succ It was expected that dose enhancement would increase with concentration, whereas these results showed a higher enhancement with the lower concentration
- >Other studies have shown Gafchromic films to be prone to quenching effects which could have had a greater effect at higher concentrations, leading to the lower enhancement observed ⁴



Figure 4: Depth dose normalized to plot peak dose of the material to show the changes caused to the shape of the Bragg with GNP peak concentrations of 1.1 and 5.5 mg/ml. Errors correspond to two



 \succ The phantom was positioned within the width of the Bragg peak to allow for a high resolution depth dose plot

EBT3 Gafchromic films (Ashland, USA) were used for the measurements

Solid water slabs (Gammex, Middleton, WI) were used to create sufficient build up (29.1 cm) in front of the phantom to tune Bragg peak position to within the phantom (figure 2)



2: Experimental setup solid water 29.1 equivalent to cm of water followed by the film phantom inner dimensions of 4.1 Phantom was with either water or a water + GNP solution of a known concentration

- **33.5** standard deviations. 5 32.5 30.5 33 31 31.5 32 Depth in water (cm)
- > As well as dose enhancement a 2.2 mm longitudinal shift of the distal edge was shown with 5.5 mg/ml of GNPs
- > Shape changes must be taken into account to ensure the entire tumour is irradiated and there are no under dosed regions

Conclusions

- GNP concentration of 1.1 mg/ml showed a higher dose enhancement of 26% compared to 21% for 1.1 mg/ml
- > Longitudinal shift was shown only with 5.5 mg/ml and was found to be 2.2 mm
- > Effects of GNP concentrations were shown through measurement of physical dose enhancement
- Reasons for not having an increase in dose deposition with increasing concentration could be due to quenching effects
- Changes to the Bragg peak shape due to the presence of GNPs needs to be accounted for in future studies to ensure full tumour coverage
- > These results demonstrate physical dose enhancement alone
- Cellular studies should be performed to determine how physical dose enhancement by GNPs translates to biological systems



- Measurements were carried out at the Trento proton therapy centre using a 226 MeV proton beam
- \geq Measurements were taken for both water and water + GNP solution
- Concentrations of GNPs used were 5.5 and 1.1 mgAu/ml

Each depth was irradiated with 20 MU corresponding to a dose range of 6-37 cGy

Films were calibrated covering a dose range from 0-250 cGy, using a clinically used procedure

Films were scanned after 24 hours using an EPSON desktop scanner \geq Depth dose plots were used to determine the level of dose enhancement

 \succ Dose enhancement is defined as the ratio of the dose with and without GNPs for the depth being considered

Future work



- \succ Investigate the effects of GNP enhancement on treatment plans, assessing any changes that need to be made
- > Parallel cell studies to determine biological effect resulting from physical dose enhancement

References

- 1. Liu et al. Enhancement of cell radiation sensitivity by pegylated gold nanoparticles, Physics in *medicine and biology, 55.4 (2010) 931*
- 2. *Kim et al. Enhanced proton treatment in mouse tumors through proton irradiated nanoradiator* effects on metallic nanoparticles, Physics in medicine and biology, 57.24 (2012) 8309
- 3. Wälzlein et al. Simulations of dose enhancement for heavy atom nanoparticles irradiated by protons, Physics in medicine and biology, 59.6 (2014) 1441
- 4. Kirby et al. LET dependence of GafChromic films and an ion chamber in low-energy proton dosimetry, Physics in medicine and biology, 55.2 (2009) 417