1	Assessing the function of pounding tools in the Early Stone Age: A microscopic
2	approach to the analysis of percussive artefacts from Beds I and II, Olduvai Gorge
3	(Tanzania)
4	
5	Adrián Arroyo ^{1*} , Ignacio de la Torre ¹
6	
7	¹ Institute of Archaeology, University College London. 31-34 Gordon Square, WC1H 0PY. London. UK
8	*Corresponding author: a.arroyo@ucl.ac.uk
9	

10 Abstract

This study explores the function of quartzite pounding tools from Olduvai Gorge (Tanzania) 11 using microscopic and use wear spatial distribution analysis. A selection of pounding tools 12 from several Bed I and II assemblages excavated by Mary Leakey (1971) were studied under 13 14 low magnification (<100x), and the microscopic traces developed on their surfaces are described. Experimental data and results obtained from analysis of the archaeological 15 16 material are compared in order to assess activities in which pounding tools could have been 17 involved. Results show that experimental anvils used for meat processing, nut cracking and/or bone breaking have similar wear patterns as those observed on archaeological 18 percussive artefacts. This is the first time that a microscopic analysis is applied to Early Stone 19 20 Age pounding artefacts from Olduvai Beds I and II, and this paper highlights the importance that percussive activities played during the Early Pleistocene, suggesting a wider range of 21 22 activities in addition to knapping and butchering.

23

24 Keywords

25 Olduvai Gorge; battering activities; pounding tools; use wear analysis; Early Stone Age

26 **1. Introduction**

The use of pounding tools has been widely documented in the ethnographic record 27 (i.e. Boshier, 1965; Maguire, 1965; Gould et al., 1971; Lee and DeVore, 1976; Yellen, 1977; 28 29 Salazar et al., 2012) as well as in late Prehistory periods (i.e. Dodd, 1979; Adams, 1988; de Beaune, 1993; Adams et al., 2009; Dubreuil et al., 2015). Ethological research has shown that 30 many non-human primate species habitually use stone tools for a variety of food-processing 31 activities. For example, West African chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes) (i.e. Sugiyama and 32 Koman, 1979; Sugiyama, 1997; Carvalho et al., 2007; 2008; Matsuzawa et al., 1999; 33 34 Matsuzawa, 2011; Struhsaker and Hunkeler, 1971; Boesch and Boesch, 1983; Boesch-Achermann and Boesch, 1993) and Brazilian capuchin monkeys (Sapajus libidinosus) (i.e. 35 Visalberghi et al., 2009; Fragaszy et al., 2004; Ferreira et al., 2010) use hammerstones and 36 37 anvils to crack nuts, and Thai long-tailed macaques (Macaca fascicularis) (Malaivijitnound et al., 2007; Gumert et al., 2009; Gumert and Malaivijitnond, 2013; Haslam et al., 2013) use 38 different types of hammers to process gastropods and crabs. 39

Recent years have witnessed an advancement in the study of percussive tools, 40 especially those of the Early Stone Age (ESA). Interest increased in particular when 41 researchers began to consider the mechanics of pounding as a key factor and potential 42 previous stage leading to the emergence of knapping (De Beaune, 2000; 2004), and there has 43 also growing interest in the analysis of wear patterns present on the pounding tools 44 45 themselves (i.e. de la Torre et al., 2013; Caruana et al., 2014). Pounding tools have been recovered from Early Stone Age sites such as Koobi Fora (Isaac, 1997; Caruana et al., 2014), 46 Melka Kunturé (Piperno et al., 2004; Chavaillon, 2004; Gallotti, 2013), Lokalalei 2C 47 (Delagnes and Roche, 2005), Gesher Benot Ya'aqov (Goren-Inbar et al., 2002; 2014; 2015; 48 Alperson-Afil and Goren-Inbar, 2016) and Olduvai Gorge (Leakey, 1971). 49

50 The Early Stone Age record in Olduvai Gorge, ranging from >1.8 to c. 0.5 my, is one of the best known in Africa. Lithic assemblages from different sites excavated by Mary 51 Leakey in Beds I and II (Leakey, 1971) have been analysed by a number of researchers (e.g. 52 53 Potts, 1982; Kimura, 1999; 2002; Ludwig, 1999; de la Torre and Mora, 2005), providing a substantial body of knowledge about hominin knapping skills and strategies. Some of this 54 research focused on percussive tools and their role in assemblages and showed that ESA 55 hominin activities focused not only on flake production, but also included the use of 56 unshaped rocks probably involved in different pounding activities (e.g. Mora and de la Torre, 57 58 2005).

Further evidence for percussive activities in the ESA is preserved in fossil 59 assemblages, the analysis of which showed bones that had been intentionally fractured by 60 61 placing them on an anvil and hitting them with a hammerstone (Blumenschine and Selvaggio, 1988; Blumenschine, 1995). Such evidence supports the hypothesis that some percussive 62 tools found at Olduvai could have been used to break bones in order to extract marrow (Mora 63 64 and de la Torre, 2005). To test this hypothesis, and check whether other materials might have been processed with anvils and other battered stone tools, recent experimental programmes 65 have developed a comparative framework to interpret archaeological material (de la Torre et 66 al., 2013; Sánchez Yustos et al., 2015). Experimental results show that at macro- and 67 microscopic levels different pounding tasks such as bipolar knapping, bone breaking, meat 68 69 tenderizing, plant processing and nut cracking leave distinctive patterns of percussive marks on passive quartzite anvils (de la Torre et al., 2013), while other works have discussed the 70 functionality of spheroids and subspheroids (Sánchez Yustos et al., 2015) 71

Having highlighted the importance of percussive tool use in the ESA record from Olduvai Gorge (Mora and de la Torre, 2005), and developed an experimental framework (de la Torre et al, 2013), the next step is to apply such analytical protocols to archaeological assemblages, and compare results with the experimental outcomes. This paper, which includes the first microscopic and use wear spatial distribution studies of archaeological pounded pieces from some of the classic assemblages excavated by Mary Leakey (1971) in Olduvai Beds I and II, contributes to the discussion of battered artefacts in the Early Stone Age. Furthermore, it demonstrates the relevance of percussive activities in human evolution through the application of new analytical methods to the study of Palaeolithic pounded tools.

81

- 82 **2.** Methods and materials
- 83

2.1 Methods

Use wear analysis is recognised as a valuable tool that can be employed to assess the use and function of stone tools. Despite development of the discipline since the 60s, it has rarely been applied to the African ESA. Use-wear studies have been conducted on African Lower Pleistocene as assemblages from Koobi Fora (Keeley and Toth, 1981), Kanjera (Lemorini et al., 2014), Ain Hanech (Sahnouni and Heinzelin, 1998; Vergés, 2003; Sahnouni et al., 2013), and Olduvai (Sussman, 1987), but all have focused on analysis of flakes using both high and low magnification approaches.

In this paper, we use a multi-scale approach (Grace, 1990) to analyse pounding tools from Olduvai Gorge that includes an analysis of morphological traces of use-wear using low power microscopy. As shown elsewhere (de la Torre et al., 2013), a low magnification approach (<100x) offers good results when analysing large percussive tools. In investigating the presence of percussive damage similar to those found on the experimental assemblage (de la Torre et al., 2013), this study analyses not only macroscopically visible damage patterns, but also areas where no damage was observable.

98 The analysis of artefacts was conducted at the National Museum of Tanzania (Dar es
99 Salaam), using a fibre optic illumination trinocular microscope GX-XTL with a

magnification range between 0.7x and 4.5x and a 10x eyepiece, allowing a final
magnification of 45x. All photographs were taken with a Nikon D90 DLSR camera attached
to the microscope and Nikon Camera Control Pro software.

In addition, and following the protocols established elsewhere (de la Torre et al., 2013; Benito-Calvo et al., 2015), a use wear spatial distribution analysis has been conducted using GIS to assess and quantify the degree of working surface modification in the pounded artefacts.

107

2.2 General characteristics of the lithic assemblage

Tools were selected from those assemblages excavated by Mary Leakey (1971) in Olduvai Beds I and II where a considerable number of percussive tools had previously been documented (Mora and de la Torre, 2005). On the basis of context and conditions of conservation/preservation, seven pounding tools from five different sites (BK, FC West, TK, SHK and FLK North Level 6) were selected for microscopic analysis (Figure 1). These sites span Bed I (FLK North Level 6), through Middle Bed II (FC West and SHK) to Upper Bed II (TK and BK) (Leakey, 1971; Hay, 1976).

115

116 Insert Figure 1.

117

The artefacts analysed here are on tabular quartzite blocks from Naibor Soit, a Precambrian inselberg located about 3.5 km from the confluence of the Main and Side Gorge, and within a 5 km radius of the main archaeological sites (Hay, 1976). Morphologically, the Naibor Soit quartzite is a coarse-grained crystalline rock, composed primarily of quartz and mica (Hay, 1976). In the source area, quartzite is available in different forms, from small, flat and portable blocks scattered across the Naibor Soit hills, to large fixed boulders (Jones, 1994).

125 **3. Results**

126

3.1 Techno-typological analysis

From a general perspective, and despite the variety of sites from which the tools were selected, the pounding tools analysed here are all morphologically similar, and conform to Leakey's (1971) original description of anvils. They have similar morphological characteristics (i.e. cuboid shapes), with mean dimensions of 123.6 x 95.9 x 72.4 mm and a mean weight of 1332.4 gr (see details in Table 1).

132

133 Insert Table 1.

134

The pounding tools showed macroscopic impact marks scattered along one or two 135 136 horizontal planes on which percussive activity occurred. Occasionally, small battering areas were identified on contact zones between the horizontal and transversal planes (Figure 2). 137 One anvil (FLK N 1/6 10290) showed a large battered area with an elongated morphology on 138 one lateral plane. This area measures 3.13 cm², and which crystals appear heavily crushed, 139 suggesting additional use as an active element; this is due to the morphological characteristics 140 of the pounding marks and because they are located in a zone on the blank that would not 141 have the stability required for being used as passive element. In addition, two artefacts 142 originally classified by Leakey (1971) as anvils (TK II 2060 and SHK 2152), have a series of 143 144 non-invasive, superimposed, contiguous stepped scars, wide and short in morphology, removed from the main horizontal plane at a 90° angle, and associated with impact points or 145 superficial battered areas that tend to be distributed along the edge. These traces resemble 146 147 fracture patterns described by Alimen (1963) as characteristic of anvils.

148 In summary, all percussive traces on the tools analysed are concentrated on peripheral 149 areas, close to the edges or contact areas between two planes. Macroscopically, the central zones of blanks show no large areas with traces of use, and only a few isolated impact points. Therefore, their general morphological characteristics and percussive traces, along with the absence of large battered areas on surfaces, match with use-wear patterns documented on experimental anvils (see de la Torre et al., 2013), and thus suggest their possible use as passive elements.

155

156 Insert Figure 2

157

158 **3.2 Use wear analysis**

Table 2 summarizes the type of wear patterns identified on each tool analysed. All 159 artefacts bear impact marks scattered across the horizontal plane as well as concentrated on 160 161 small battering areas. These impact marks are circular, with fractured crystals at their central point (Figure 3 A-2, Figure 4 B-1). Small areas were identified that have repetitive impacts 162 associated with the development of crushing (Figure 3 A-1 and B-1). In these areas, where 163 the surface tends to have a frosted appearance (Adams, 2002; Adams et al., 2009) (Figure 4 164 A-2 and 3), repetitive impacts caused crushing and fracturing of crystals and removed small 165 fragments producing step fractures (Figure 3 B-2), whose negatives occasionally show 166 characteristics of conchoidal fracture produced by direct impact. 167

Moreover, most percussive tools analysed (n=4) have microfractures which are angular in shape ('V' fractures) and located mainly on the edges of the tool (Figure 3 B-3). Such fractures do not appear along the entire perimeter of the tool, but are associated normally with small battered areas, while the remaining edge is unmodified.

172

173 Insert Table 2

The percussive marks described above (impacts, crushing, angular microfractures and step fractures) are due to the tribological mechanisms of fatigue wear (Adams et al., 2009) produced by a thrusting percussion motion. Keeping in mind that all tools analysed are interpreted as having been used as passive elements (based on characteristics of the marks with impact points, areas of crystal crushing and edge fractures), the wear formation could be related to sporadic contact with the active element during use.

180

181 Insert Figure 3

182

183 Insert Figure 4

184

185 Furthermore, on some tools (e.g. TKII-2060 and FLKNI-8282), we have identified percussive marks on two opposed horizontal planes. Previous macroscopic analysis of the 186 anvils suggests that damage on one face relates to marks produced by contact with the ground 187 (Mora and de la Torre, 2005). However, from a microscopic perspective, similarities in the 188 morphology of marks and their distribution, lead us to suggest that both horizontal planes 189 were used and, either the blanks were occasionally flipped during a single task, or both faces 190 were used on multiple occasions. Additionally, the size of blanks indicates that occasionally 191 they could have been used as active elements, such as in the case of tool FLK N 1/6 10290 192 193 (Figure 3 B), on which a battered area was identified at the intersection between the transversal and sagittal planes. 194

Five of the seven pounding tools have abrasions (sensu Keeley, 1980; Sussman, 1988) with the same morphology as those on experimental quartzite anvils described by de la Torre et al. (2013). Sussman's (1988) study on use wear formation on quartz tools described a similar type of wear and linked it with erosional processes caused by friction between two 199 objects resulting in a rough surface. Abrasions on the anvils analysed in the present study have the same rough appearance as described by Sussman (1988), having a morphology that 200 tends to be elongated, with no preferential orientation, located close to the edges (no 201 202 pounding tools show abrasion on the central areas of their surfaces) (Figure 3 A-3), and a loosely, scattered distribution. Sometimes these abrasions are associated with crushed and 203 microfractured areas (Figure 3 A-1) and, more specifically, they tend to be located on top of 204 areas with crushing, suggesting that formation of abrasion occurred after the other wear 205 206 traces.

207

3.3 Use wear spatial distribution analysis

All the analysed artefacts have a similar morphology and no significat size differences (Kruskal-Wallis test p>0.05 for length, width and weight), with similar areas (mean of 82.4 cm² and SD=20.0 cm²) and perimeters (mean of 35.0 cm, SD=5.0 cm). Three of these pounding tools show clear macroscopic damage, allowing a more detailed and quantitative analysis of the spatial distribution of battering (see results in Table 3).

The BK-1 artefact possesses the greatest percentage of working surface damage 213 (PA=9.05%) and the largest individual use wear mark (LUW), which covers 3.48% of the 214 total surface. Artefacts FLKN-10290 and SHK-2152 show similar ratios, with PA < 0.4% and 215 LUW < 0.20% (Table 3); these differences are potentially associated to greater use in the case 216 of BK-1. Despite these variations, the three artefacts show a low density of wear traces 217 218 (D<0.15%). Morphologically, macroscopic wear traces in all tools are relatively small (mean area=0.26 cm² and mean perimeter=1.7 cm), with a more uniform shape in tools FLKN-219 10290 (MNSH=1.19), and SHK-2152 (MNSH=1.19), and elongated in the case of tool BK-1 220 221 (MNSH=1.27).

The GIS analysis shows that wear traces are dispersed in tools FLKN-10290 and SHK-2152 (Ellipse elongation>2.2), whilst are more concentrated in BK-1 (Ellipse elongation=1.33). Despite these differences, use wear marks are located close to the edges in
all three tools. In this case, the DAC index (distance to the centre of tool) yields high values
(mean DAC>3 cm in all cases), while the DAE index (distance to the edge of the tool) shows
a mean value of <1.3 cm (Table 3 and Figure 5).

- 229 Insert Table 3
- 230
- 231 Insert Figure 5
- 232

4. Discussion: assessing the function of percussive elements through comparison of archaeological and experimental data

In order to reconstruct activities that hominins might have undertaken during the ESA in Olduvai Beds I and II, we can use direct comparison between the results presented here and those obtained through our experimental programme (de la Torre et al., 2013).

The main characteristic shared by all pounding tools presented here is their low degree of damage and the location of wear on peripheral areas of working surfaces. Microscopic analysis indicates the presence of different traces such as crushing, microfractures and abrasions. De la Torre et al. (2013) showed that activities such as bone breaking and nut cracking occasionally produce microscopic abrasions on surfaces resulting from the friction produced between the anvil and element processed.

In the archaeological pieces studied here, the location of abrasions near the edge and occasionally associated with crushed areas suggests that, in fact, abrasion development is the result of contact between the artefact and some kind of organic material, as will be discussed below. Although the possibility that some abrasions were caused by post-depositional and transport/manipulation processes cannot be ruled out entirely, impact marks, areas of crushing and various fractures identified on pounding tool are certainly linked to use of blanks, as they show no evidence that could suggest a more recent origin (e.g. changes in patina).

The spatial distribution of marks in the pounding tools from Olduvai Gorge shows 252 similarities with experimental anvils used for nut-cracking (de la Torre et al., 2013: 326). In 253 both instances, PA and D indexes (PA<0.50%; D<0.15%) reflect the low density of 254 macroscopic wear traces on the working surfaces. When the comparison is extended to the 255 rest of the experimental results by de la Torre et al. (2013), further similarities are evident for 256 257 anvils used on bone breaking, meat and plant processing, all showing low density of marks. In addition, experimental nut-cracking, meat tenderizing and bone breaking yield wear traces 258 located very close to the edges of the working surface (see details in de la Torre et al., 2013: 259 260 Table 6), with a standard deviation ellipse elongation showing similar values to those identified in the archaeological assemblage (Figure 6). In summary, our analysis of the use 261 wear spatial distribution in pounding tools from Olduvai Gorge suggests similarities with 262 patterns observed in experimental anvils used to process bone, meat and nut materials, with 263 both assemblages sharing a low degree of modification in the working surfaces with 264 percussive traces, an off-centre and scattered distribution of marks. 265

266

267 *Figure 6*

268

In our nut cracking experiments (de la Torre et al., 2013), anvils tend to show impact marks on peripheral areas, close to the edge, formed as a result of occasional contact between hammerstone and anvil, but there were no traces of the formation of depressions. During bone breaking and bone dismembering, sporadic edge fracture occurred, and some isolated impact points produced by missed blows were identified. Activities such as meat tenderizing 274 and plant pounding tend to leave similar wear patterns on anvils, for example numerous superficial battering areas and clusters of impact points scattered across the working surface; 275 one experimental anvil used to process meat shows a similar wear pattern to that seen on 276 277 archaeological anvils such as SHK-2152 (Figure 7A). Finally, anvils involved in bipolar knapping activities bear the most intense wear marks consisting of large areas of battering 278 and crushing that tend to be clustered in a central location (de la Torre et al., 2013). These 279 results support those by Jones (1994) on the replication of pitted stones from Olduvai Beds 280 III and IV, which he suggested were used in bipolar knapping activities. 281

Our analysis and comparison of both the experimental and archaeological assemblages from Beds I and II suggest that bipolar knapping was not the activity performed, as none of the Olduvai anvils analysed show heavy damage on their surfaces. Meat tenderizing and plant processing also tend to leave conspicuous percussive marks, recognisable macroscopically by clusters of impacts scattered across the active surface and very little edge damage is formed primarily by contact between the hammer and the anvil.

In contrast, there are two activities, namely nut cracking and bone breaking, in which 288 similar wear patterns were recognised on both archaeological and experimental passive 289 elements, with impact points, micro- and macro-fracturing of edges, and very few percussive 290 marks in central areas. During processing, nuts and bones are normally placed in central areas 291 292 of anvils, and therefore tend to absorb energy transmitted by the hammerstone. As a result, 293 there is a lack of wear traces on these central areas, as the hardness and density of quartzite prevents formation of visible wear traces produced by pressure forces, while the weaker areas 294 of edges tend to fracture more easily. Consequently, as can be seen in Figure 7B, use wear 295 296 formation processes on the Olduvai pounding tools can be explained as the result of the pressure of force applied when hitting a bone placed close to the edge of the artefact, as well 297 as by impacts from possibly too forceful and missed hits. If these pounding tools were used to 298

299 process nuts, the presence of wear on the edge can be related to contact between the two percussive objects. In the case of nut-cracking activities, Gesher Benot Ya'aqov anvils show 300 depressions on their horizontal surfaces (Goren-Inbar et al., 2002), which are abset in the 301 302 pounding tools from Olduvai Gorge presented in this work. Olduvai Gorge quartzite is a nonmaleable rock in which the wear formation process involves microfracturing and crushing of 303 crystals. In contraste, the pitted stones from Gesher Benot Ya'aqov are made on basalt and 304 limestone where wear formation processes are different from quartzite, and so, the same 305 actitity could produce disparatet wear patterns. 306

Apart from nut cracking and bone breaking, another possibility (not experimentally tested yet), is that damage could be produced by hitting the bone directly against the edge of the artefact, using the same motion as in the so-called anvil-chipping technique (Shen and Wang, 2000).

311

312 Insert Figure 7

313

Although the patterns and characteristics of wear traces observed in the Olduvai 314 pounding tools match with a passive function (following Chavaillon's 1979 terminology) as 315 identified on the experimental material (de la Torre et al., 2013), it must be acknowledged 316 317 that their identification as anvils requires further support. It has been long recognised (e.g. de 318 Beaune, 1993; de Beaune, 2000; Donnart et al., 2009) that pounding tools may have been used in multiple activities, and their function as passive or active elements alternated. Most 319 certainly, this may have been the case for many of the Olduvai percussive tools, as discussed 320 321 above for artefact FLK N 1/6 10290. Nevertheless, we have adopted a conservative approach when describing functionality of the artefacts analysed here, their morphology and size hints 322

to a passive role for most of them, and tends to support Leakey's (1971) originalclassification of such pieces as anvils.

325

326 **5.** Conclusions

Before the current study, pounding tools from Olduvai Gorge had been described in 327 detail from a macroscopic perspective (Leakey, 1971; Jones, 1994; Mora and de la Torre, 328 2005), with some experimental programmes attempting to identify activities that could have 329 been undertaken with those tools (de la Torre et al, 2013; Sanchez Yustos et al, 2015). This 330 331 paper represents the first attempt to describe microscopic use wear in Early Stone Age pounded tools and analysed the spatial distribution of the macroscopic traces, for which 332 artefacts from some emblematic assemblages excavated by Mary Leakey (1971) in Olduvai 333 334 Beds I and II were selected. This work has tested positively the potential of use-wear analysis on quartzite tools, encouraging the application of microscopic and use wear spatial 335 distribution analysis to larger samples of Early Stone Age pounding artefacts. 336

Our results are thus a first step towards understanding formation processes of use 337 wear from various pounding activities where there is an absence of grinding and friction 338 movements and the primary motion is thrusting percussion. On the archaeological pounding 339 tools analysed from Olduvai Beds I and II, traces of impacts, microfractures, crushed areas 340 and abrasions were recognised, distributed primarily on peripheral areas of the working 341 342 surfaces. Comparison of the characteristics of these percussive artefacts with results from the experimental programme indicate two activities (nut cracking and bone breaking) that show 343 similar wear patterns in both assemblages, results that are consistent with the quantitative 344 345 data obtained from GIS analysis. Thus, our microscopic analysis of a selection of pounding tools from Olduvai Gorge indicates that they were indeed involved in percussive activities 346

different from stone tool knapping and butchering, thus contributing to extend the range ofearly hominin activities at Olduvai Gorge.

349

350 Acknowledgements

We thank the National Museum of Tanzania in Dar es Salaam for access to the collections. Funding from the Leverhulme Trust (IN-052) and the European Research Council-Starting Grants (ORACEAF project: 283366) are acknowledged. Research at Olduvai Gorge by the Olduvai Geochronology Archaeology Project (OGAP) is authorised by COSTECH, the Tanzanian Department of Antiquities, and the Ngorongoro Conservation Area Authority. We thank also Norah Moloney, M. Caruana and two anonymous reviewers for their comments.

358

```
359 Bibliography
```

- Adams, J., 1988. Use-wear analyses on manos and hide-processing stones. Journal of Field
 Archaeology 15, 307-315.
- 363 Adams, J., 2002. Mechanisms of wear on ground stone surfaces, in: Procopiu, H., Treuil, R.
- 364 (Eds.), Moudre et broyer: L'interprétation fonctionelle de l'outillage de mouture et de
 365 broyage dans la Préhistoire et l'Antiquité, CTHS, pp. 57-68.
- Adams, J., Delgado, S., Dubreuil, L., Hamon, C., Plisson, H., Risch, R., 2009. Functional
 analysis of macro-lithic artefacts: a focus on working surfaces, in: Costa, L.J.,
 Eigeland, L., Sternke, F. (Eds.), Non-flint Raw Material Use in Prehistory: Old
 Prejudices and New Directions. Proceedings of the XV. Congress of the U.I.S.P.P,
- BAR International Series 1939, Oxford, pp. 43-66.

Alimen, M.H., 1963. Enclumes (percuteurs dormants) associées à l'Acheuléen supérieur de
l'Ougartien (Oued Farès, Sahara occidental), Bulletin de la Société préhistorique de
France 60, 43-47.

- Alperson-Afil, N., Goren-Inbar, N., 2016. Scarce but significant: the limestone component of
 the Acheulean site of Gesher Benot Ya'aqov, Israel, in: Haidle, M. N., Conard, N. J.,
 Bolus, M. (Eds), The nature of Culture: based of an interdisciplinary symposium 'The
 nature of culture' Tübingen. Germany, Springer, Netherlands, 41-56.
- Benito-Calvo, A., Carvalho, S., Arroyo, A., Matsuzawa, T., Torre, I. de la, 2015. First GIS
 analysis of modern stone tolos used by wild chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes verus*) in
 Bossou, Guinea, West Africa. Plos One (10(3): e0121613
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone/0121613
- Blumenschine, R.J., 1995. Percussion marks, tooth marks, and experimental determinations
 of the timing of hominid and carnivore access to long bones at FLK Zinjanthropus,
 Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania, Journal of Human Evolution 29, 21-51.
- Blumenschine, R.J., Selvaggio, M.M., 1988. Percussion marks on bone surfaces as a new
 diagnostic of hominid behaviour, Nature 333, 763-765.
- Boesch, C., Boesch, H., 1983. Optimisation of nut-cracking with natural hammers by wild
 chimpanzees, Behaviour 83, 265-286.
- Boesch-Achermann, H., Boesch, C., 1993. Tool use in wild chimpanzees: New light from
 dark forests, American Psychological Society 2, 18-21.
- Boshier, A.K., 1965. Effects of Pounding by africans of North-West Transvaal on hard and
 soft stones, The South African Archaeological Bulletin 20, 131-136.
- Caruana M.V., Carvalho S., Braun D.R., Presnyakova D., Haslam M., Archer, W., Bobe R.,
 Harris., J. W. K. (2014) Quantifying traces of tool use: A novel morphometric

- analysis of damage patterns on percussive tools. PLoS ONE 9(11): e113856.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113856
- Carvalho, S., Cunha, E., Sousa, C., Matsuzawa, T., 2008. Chaînes opératoires and resource exploitation strategies in chimpanzee (Pan troglodytes) nut cracking, Journal of
 Human Evolution 55, 148-163.
- Carvalho, S., Sousa, C., Matsuzawa, T., 2007. New nut-cracking site in Diecké Forest,
 Guinea: An overview of the surveys, Pan African News 14, 11-13.
- 402 Chavaillon, J., 1979. Essai pour une typologie du matériel de percussion, Bulletin de la
 403 Société préhistorique française 76, 230-233.
- 404 Chavaillon, J., 2004. The site of Gombore I. Discovery, geological introduction and study of
- 405 percussion material and tools on pebble, in: Chavaillon, J., Piperno, M. (Eds.), Studies
 406 on the Early Paleolithic site of Melka Kunture, Ethiopia, Istituto italiano di preistoria
- 407 e protostoria, Florence, pp. 253-369.
- de Beaune, S.A., 1993. Approche expérimentale de techniques paléolithiques de façonnage de
 roches peu aptes à la taille, Paléo 5, 155-177.
- de Beaune, S.A., 2000. Pour une archéologie du geste: Broyer, moudre, piler, des premiers
 chasseurs aux premiers agriculteurs. CNRS Editions, Paris
- de Beaune, S.A., 2004. The invention of technology: Prehistory and cognition, Current
 Anthropology 45, 139-162.
- Delagnes, A., Roche, H., 2005. Late Pliocene hominid knapping skills: the case of Lokalalei
 2C, West Turkana, Kenya, Journal of Human Evolution 48, 435-472.
- 416 Dodd, W.A., 1979. The wear and use of battered tools at Armijo rockshelter, in: Hayden, B.
- 417 (Ed.), Lithic use-wear analysis, New York Academy Press, New York, pp. 231-242.

- 418 Donnart, K., Naudinot, N., Le Clézio, L., 2009. Approche expérimentale du débitage
 419 bipolaire sur enclume: caractérisation des produits et analyse des outils de production,
 420 Bulletin de la Societé Préhistorique Française 106, 517-533.
- Dubreuil, L., Savage, D., Delgado-Raack, S., Plisson, H., Stephenson, B., Torre, I. de la
 (2015). Current analytical frameworks for studies of use-wear on ground stone tools,
 in: Marreiros, J.M., Gibaja Bao, J.F., Ferreira Bicho, N. (Eds.). Use-wear and residue
 analysis in archaeology. Springer International Publishing, Switzerland, pp. 105-158.
- Ferreira, R.G., Almeida Emidio, R., Jerusalinsky, L., 2010. Three stones for three seeds:
 Natural occurrence of selective tool use by capuchins (Cebus libidinosus) based on an
 analysis of the weight of stones found at nutting sites, American Journal of
 Primatology 72, 270-275.
- Fragaszy, D., Izar, P., Visalberghi, E., Ottoni, E., Gomes de Oliveira, M., 2004. Wild
 capuchin monkeys (Cebus libidinosus) use anvils and stone pounding tools, American
 Journal of Primatology 64, 359-366.
- Gallotti, R., 2013. An older origin for the Acheulean at Melka Kunture (Upper Awash,
 Ethiopia): Techno-economic behaviours at Garba IVD, Journal of Human Evolution
 65, 594-620.
- Goren-Inbar, N., Melamed, Y., Zohar, I., Akhilesh, K., Pappu, S., 2014. Beneath Still Waters
 Multistage Aquatic Exploitation of Euryale ferox (Salisb.) during the Acheulian, in:
 Fernandes, R., Meadows, J. (Eds.), Human exploitation of aquatic landscapes special
 issue, Internet Archaeology.
- Goren-Inbar N, Sharon G, Alperson-Afil N, Herzlinger G., 2015. A new type of anvil in the
 Acheulian of Gesher Benot Ya'aqov, Israel. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 370: 20140353.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2014.0353

- Goren-Inbar, N., Sharon, G., Melamed, Y., Kislev, M.E., 2002. Nuts, nut cracking, and pitted
 stones at Gesher Benot Ya'aqov, Israel, Proceedings of the National Academy of
 Sciences of the United States of America 99, 2455-2460.
- Gould, R.A., Koster, D.A., Sontz, A.H.L., 1971. The lithic assemblage of the western desert
 aborigines of Australia, Society for American Archaeology 36, 149-169.
- Grace, R., 1990. The limitations and applications of use wear analysis, in: Graslund, B.,
 Knutsson, H., Knutsson, K., Taffinder, J. (Eds.), The interpretative possibilities of
 Microwear studies: proceedings of the international conference on lithic use wear
 analysis, 15th-17th February 1989, Societas Archaeologica Upasalensis, AUN,
 Uppsala, pp. 9-14.
- Gumert, M.D., Kluck, M., Milaivijitnond, S., 2009. The physical characteristics and usage
 patterns of stone axe and pounding hammers used by long-tailed macaques in the
 Andaman Sea Region of Thailand, American Journal of Primatology 71, 549-608.
- Gumert, M. D., Malaivijitnond, S. (2013). Long-tailed macaques select mass of stone tools
 according to food type. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B 368,
 20120413 http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2012.0413
- Haslam, M., Gumert, M.D., Biro. D., Carvalho, S., Malaivijitnond, S. (2013). Use-wear
 patterns on wild macaque stone tools reveal their behavioural history, Plos One 8 (8):
 e72872. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072872
- Hay, R.L., 1976. Geology of the Olduvai Gorge: a study of sedimentation in a semiarid basin,
 University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles.
- 463 Isaac, G.L., 1997. Plio-Pleistocene archaeology. Koobi Fora Research Project. Volume 5,
 464 Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Jones, P.R., 1994. Results of experimental work in relation to the stone industries of Olduvai
 Gorge, in: Leakey, M.D., Roe, D.A. (Eds.), Olduvai Gorge. Excavations in Beds III,

- 467 IV and the Masked Beds, 1968-1971, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp.
 468 254-298.
- Keeley, L.H., 1980. Experimental determination of stone tool uses. The university of Chicago
 Press, Chicago and London.
- Keeley, L.H., Toth, N., 1981. Microwear polishes on early stone tools from Koobi Fora,
 Kenya, Nature 293, 464-465.
- 473 Kimura, Y., 1999. Tool-using strategies by early hominids at Bed II, Olduvai Gorge,
 474 Tanzania, Journal of Human Evolution 37, 807-831.
- Kimura, Y., 2002. Examining time trends in the Oldowan technology at Beds I and II,
 Olduvai Gorge, Journal of Human Evolution 43, 291-321.
- 477 Leakey, M.D., 1971. Olduvai Gorge, Vol. 3. Excavations in Beds I and II, 1960-1963,
 478 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- 479 Lee, R.B., DeVore, I., 1976. Kalahari hunter-gatherers: studies of the !Kung San and their
 480 neighbors, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- 481 Lemorini, C., Plummer, T., W., Braun, D.F., Crittenden, A.N., Ditchfield, P.W., Bishop, L.,
- 482 C., Hertel, F., Oliver, J.S., Marlowe, F.W., Schoeninger, M.J., Potts, R., 2014. Old
 483 stones' song: use-wear experiments and analysis of the Oldowan quartz and quartzite
 484 assemblage from Kanjera South (Kenya), Journal of Human Evolution 70, 10-25.
- 485 Ludwig, B.V., 1999. A technological reassessment of East African Plio-Pleistocene lithic
 486 artifact assemblages, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick,
 487 New Jersey.
- Maguire, B., 1965. Foreign pebble pounding artefacts in the breccias and the overlying
 vegetation soil at Makapansgat limeworks, The South African Archaeological
 Bulletin 20, 117-130.

- Matsuzawa, T., 2011. Stone Tools for nut-cracking, in: Matsuzawa, T., Humle, T., Sugiyama,
 Y. (Eds.), The chimpanzees of Bossou and Nimba, Springer, pp. 73-84.
- 493 Matsuzawa, T., Takemoto, H., Hayakawa, S., Shimada, M., 1999. Diecké Forest in Guinea,
 494 Pan African News 6, 10-11.
- Milaivijitnond, S., Lekprayoon, C., Tandavanittj, N., Panha, S., Cheewatham, C., Hamada,
 Y., 2007. Stone-tool usage by Thai long-tailed macaques (Macaca fascicularis),
 American Journal of Primatology 69, 227-233.
- Mora, R., de la Torre, I., 2005. Percussion tools in Olduvai Beds I and II (Tanzania):
 Implications for early human activities, Journal of Anthropological Archaeology 24,
 179-192.
- Piperno, M., Bulgarelli, G.M., Gallotti, R., 2004. The site of Garba IV. The lithic industry of
 Level D. Tools on pebble and percussion material, in: Chavaillon, J., Piperno, M.
 (Eds.), Studies on the Early Paleolithic site of Melka Kunture. Ethiopia, Istituto
 italiano di preistoria e protostoria, Sapienza, pp. 545-580.
- Potts, R., 1982. Lower Pleistocene site formation and hominid activities at Olduvai Gorge,
 Tanzania, The department of Anthropology, Harvard University, Cambridge,
 Massachusetts.
- Sahnouni, M., Heinzelin, J., 1998. The site of Ain Hanech revisited: new investigations at this
 Lower Pleistocene site in Northern Algeria, Journal of Archaeological Science 25,
 1083-1101.
- Sahnouni, M., Rosell, J., van der Merwe, N.J., Vergès, J.M., Ollé, A., Kandi, N., Harichane,
 Z., Derradji, A., Medig, M., 2013. The first evidence of cut marks and use wear traces
 from the Plio-Pleistocene locality of El-Kherba (Ain Hanech), Algeria: implications
 for early hominin subsistence activities circa 1.8 Ma, Journal of Human Evolution 64,
 137-150.

- 516 Salazar, J., Robitaille, J., Diez, A., 2012. La industria lítica mursi en el valle del Mago
 517 (Etiopía), Archivo de Prehistoria Levantina XXIX, 379-396.
- 518 Sánchez Yustos, P., Diez- Martín, F., Díaz, I.M., Duque, J., Fraile, C., Domínguez, M., 2015.
- Production and use of percussive stone tools in the Early Stone Age: Experimental
 approach to the lithic record of Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania, Journal of Archaeological
 Science: Reports 2, 367-383.
- Shen, C., Wang, S., 2000. A preliminary study of the anvil-chipping technique: experiments
 and evaluations, Lithic Technology 25, 81-100.
- Struhsaker, T.T., Hunkeler, P., 1971. Evidence of tool-using by chimpanzees in the Ivory
 Coast, Folia Primatologica 15, 212-219.
- Sugiyama, Y., 1997. Social tradition and the use of tool composites by wild chimpanzees,
 Evolutionary Anthropology 6, 23-27.
- Sugiyama, Y., Koman, J., 1979. Tool using and making behaviour in wild chimpanzees at
 Bossou, Guinea, Primates 20, 513-524.
- Sussman, C., 1987. Résultats d'une étude de microtraces d'usure sur un echantillon d'artefacts
 d'Olduvai, L'Anthropologie 91, 375-380.
- Sussman, C., 1988. A microscopic analysis of use-wear and polish formation on experimental
 quartz tools, BAR International Series 395, Oxford.
- Torre, I. de la, Benito-Calvo, A., Arroyo, A., Zupancich, A., Proffitt, T., 2013. Experimental
 protocols for the study of battered stone anvils from Olduvai Gorge (Tanzania),
 Journal of Archaeological Science 40, 313-332.
- 537 Torre, I. de la, Mora, R., 2005. Technological strategies in the Lower Pleistocene at Olduvai
 538 Beds I & II, Eraul 112, Liége.
- 539 Vergès, J.M., 2003. Caracterizació dels model d'instrumental litic del mode 1 a partir de les
 540 dades de l'anàlisi funcional dels conjunts litotècnics d'Aïn Hanech i El-Kherba

- 541 (Algèria), Monte Poggiolo i Isernia la Pineta (Itàlia). Unpublished PhD, Universitat
 542 Roviri i Virgili, Tarragona.
- Visalberghi, E., Spagnoletti, N., Ramos da Silva, E.D., Andrade, F.R.D., Ottoni, E., Izar, P.,
 Fragaszy, D., 2009. Distribution of potential suitable hammers and transport of
 hammer tools and nuts by wild capuchin monkeys, Primates 50, 95-104.
- Yellen, J.E., 1977. Archaeological approaches to the present: models for reconstructing the
 past, Academic Press, New York.

549 List of Tables

Table 1. Breakdown of measurements (in mm and gr) of Olduvai quartzite anvils analysed.

Table 2. Use wear traces identified on the Olduvai Beds I and II quartzite anvils.

Table 3. Results of the GIS use wear spatial distribution analysis in artefacts with macroscopic traces (see de la Torre et al., 2013 for details on methodology).

554

555 List of Figures

Figure 1. Map of Olduvai Gorge (Tanzania) with the position of the archaeological sites fromwhich tools were analysed.

Figure 2. General view of two Bed II anvils. A) BKII 1. B) FC W 550. Note the tabular
shape of the blanks and the wear traces located close to the edges (abbreviations: HP: upper
horizontal plane; HP': lower horizontal plane; TP: left transverse plane; TP': right transverse
plane; SP: distal sagittal plane; SP': proximal sagittal plane)

Figure 3. Microscopic traces of use on anvils from FLK N Level 6. A) FLK N 1/6 8082. 1: crushing with a superficial abrasion (30x, scale 1 mm). 2: impact point (35x, scale 1 mm). 3: abrasion (30x, scale 1 mm). B) FLK N 1/6 10290. The circle indicates a battering area associated with the possible use of the blank as an active element. 1: crushing (35x, scale 1 566 mm). 2: step fractures (30x, scale 1 mm). 3: crushing associated with a 'V' shaped fracture 567 (25x, scale 1 mm).

Figure 4. General view and close ups of the use wear traces of two anvils with similar fracture pattern on the edge. A) TK II 2060. 1: abrasion (45x, scale 500 μ m). 2 and 3: crystal crushing with development of frosted appearance (both at 30x, scales, 1 mm). B) SHK 2152. 1: impact point (30x, scale 1 mm). 2: crushing (30x, scale 1 mm). 3: abrasion located on the edge (35x, scale 500 μ m).

- 573 Figure 5. Spatial distribution analysis of macroscopic wear traces identified on SHK 2152
- (A), FLKN- 1/6 10290 (B) and BK-1 (C). Legend: 1. Standard deviational ellipse; 2. Edge of
- the pounding tool; 3. Centre of the pounding tool; 4. Mean centre of percussive marks; 5.
- 576 Median centre of percussive marks.
- **Figure 6.** Box plots comparing PA (A), D (B) and elongation (C) indexes obtained from the use wear spatial distribution analysis of the archaeological pounding tools (see Table 3) and experimental anvils (data from de la Torre et al., 2013).
- 580 Figure 7. A) Comparison between an experimental anvil used to process meat (left. Photo
- from de la Torre et al., 2013. Fig, 8C) and an archaeological anvil from SHK (Olduvai, right).
- B). Schematic representation of the proposed caused of the formation of damage on anvils.