

ARTICLE

Supporting Information

Graphene/Nitrogen-Doped Porous Carbon Sandwiches for Metal-Free Oxygen Reduction Reaction: Conductivity versus Active Sites

M. Qiao^{1‡}, C. Tang^{1, 2‡}, G. He³, K. Qiu³, R. Binions^{1, 4}, I. Parkin³, Q. Zhang^{1,2} Z. Guo³ and M. *M. Titirici*^{1,4*}

1 School of Engineering and Materials Science, Queen Mary University of London, London, E1 4NS, UK

2 Beijing Key Laboratory of Green Chemical Reaction Engineering, Department of Chemical Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, China

3 Materials Chemistry Centre, Department of Chemistry, University College London, London, WC1H 0AJ, UK

4 Materials Research Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, E1 4NS, UK ⁺ M. Qiao and C. Tang contribute equally to this work.



ARTICLE

Supplementary figures



Fig. S1 (a) SEM image and (b, c) TEM images of rGO obtained after HTC and high-temperature annealing.



Fig. S2 FTIR spectra of NC, NCG0.05, NCG0.25 and rGO



ARTICLE



Fig. S3 Electrical conductivity of different samples measured by the impedance



Fig. S4 The N₂ sorption isotherms of samples obtained after (a) HTC and (b) high-temperature annealing.



ARTICLE



Fig. S5 (a) CV and (b) LSV curves of Pt/C catalyst.



Fig. S6 LSV curves of (a) NCG0.25 and (b) NC-1000 at different rotating speeds of 400, 800, 1200, 1600, 2000, and 2400 rpm, respectively.



ARTICLE



Fig. S7 Current–time chronoamperometric responses of NC-1000, NC and Pt-C measured at peak potential in CV curves as shown in Fig. 4a.



Fig. S8 Peroxide yields of (a) NC, NCG0.05, NCG0.25 and (b) NC-1000, NCG0.05-1000, NCG0.25-1000 in 0.1M KOH at rotating speed of 1600 rpm.



ARTICLE

Supplementary tables

 Table S1 Mass record of different samples during fabrication.

	GO	Chitosan	Monocon yield (mg	1ponent-calcu) ^[a]	lated	Experimental yield
	(mg)	(mg)	rGO	HTC- chitosan	Product	(mg)
GO	60	0	25.9	0	25.9	25.9
NC	0	1200	0	372.0	372.0	372.0
NCG0.25	60	180	25.9	55.8	81.7	122.6
NCG0.05	60	1200	25.9	372.0	397.9	672.4

a) The monocomponent-calculated yield was calculated based on the yield of chitosan and GO in monocomponent HTC systems. Experimental yield refers to the mass of product obtained in the actual experiment.



ARTICLE

0 1	Atom Species (at. %)								
Sample	С	0	N	Pyridinic-N	Pyrrolic-N	Quaternary-N	Oxidized-N		
NC	75.16	21.39	3.45	1.27	1.38	0.79	-		
NCG0.05	76.13	18.22	5.65	1.49	2.97	1.19	-		
NCG0.25	80.97	15.01	4.02	1.08	2.15	0.79	-		
NC-1000	90.87	7.45	1.68	0.47	-	0.97	0.24		
NCG0.05-1000	96.48	2.04	1.48	0.26	-	0.98	0.23		
NCG0.25-1000	94.39	4.39	1.22	0.17	-	0.84	0.21		

Table S2 XPS results of different samples.



ARTICLE

 Table S3 Specific surface area and total pore volume of different samples.

Sampla	NC	NCG0.05	NCG0.25	NC-	NCG0.05	NCG0.25
Sample	NC			1000	-1000	-1000
$SSA (m^2 g^{-1})$	36	99	25	278.9	339	223
Pore volume (cm ³ g ⁻¹)	0.1	0.34	0.06	0.81	0.91	0.43

Table S4 The ORR peak potential and peak current density in CV curves as shown in Fig. 4a.

Sample	Peak potential vs RHE (mV)	Peak current density (mA cm ⁻²)
NC	510	0.517
NCG0.05	506	0.384
NCG0.25	575	0.362
NC-1000	690	1.055
NCG0.05-1000	609	0.935
NCG0.25-1000	563	0.899



ARTICLE

	NC	NCC0.05	NCG0.25	NC-	NCG0.05	NCG0.25
	NC	NCG0.05		1000	-1000	-1000
Onset						
potential*	832	839	922	993	987	844
(mV)						
Saturated						
current	1 57	1 75	1 95	2 61	2 20	2 15
density	1.37	1.75	1.03	5.01	5.59	5.15
(mA cm ⁻²)						
Half wave						
potential	340	357	524	666	600	363
(mV)						

Table S5 ORR activity observed from the LSV curves in Fig. 4b.

* The onset potential is determined as the potential required for a current density of -2 μ A cm⁻ ² (vs. RHE)