1	TITLE PAGE
2	
3	TITLE: Agroforestry and Ritual at the Ancient Maya Center of Lamanai
4	
5 6	AUTHOR NAMES: David L. Lentz ^a , Elizabeth Graham ^b , Xochitl Vinaja ^c , Venicia Slotten ^d and Rupal Jain ^a
7	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	AFFILIATIONS: ^a University of Cincinnati, Department of Biological Sciences, 731 Rieveschl Hall, Cincinnati, OH 45221, U.S.A., david.lentz@uc.edu ^b University College London, UCL Institute of Archaeology, 31-34 Gordon Square, London WC1H 0PY, e.graham@ucl.ac.uk ^c University of Illinois at Chicago, Department of Biomedical Visualization, Chicago, IL 60607, U.S.A., xvinaja@gmail.com ^d University of Cincinnati, Department of Anthropology, 481 Braunstein Hall, Cincinnati, OH 45221, U.S.A., slottevm@mail.uc.edu
18	CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: David L. Lentz
19	
20	PRESENT ADDRESS: University of Cincinnati, Department of Biological Sciences, 731 Rieveschl

- 20 FRESENT ADDRESS: University of Cincinnati, Depa
 21 Hall, Cincinnati, OH 45221, U.S.A., david.lentz@uc.edu

23	ABSTRACT
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	Paleoethnobotanical data retrieved from caches of Late Classic to Early Postclassic origin at the ancient Maya site of Lamanai, Belize, revealed carbonized maize kernels, cob fragments, common beans, coyol endocarps, and an abundance of wood charcoal, from both conifer and hardwood tree species. <i>Pinus caribaea</i> (Caribbean pine) was the most ubiquitous species in the Late and Terminal Classic sample set and the weight of Lamanai pine wood charcoal was more than the combined weight of all known archaeobotanical collections from nearby contemporaneous sites. Pollen data from northwestern Belize showed that the pine pollen signature sharply declined during the Late Classic period, a trajectory in keeping with intensive exploitation of the nearby pine savannas as suggested by the contents of Lamanai caches examined in this study. Although Lamanai flourished far into the Postclassic period, pine charcoal use—based on present evidence—declined in Early Postclassic ritual contexts. Concomitantly, an increase in the local pine pollen rain indicated that pine timber stocks rebounded during the Postclassic period. The observed intensive use of pine at Late Classic Lamanai combined with a concurrent decline in the regional pine pollen signature is consistent with a hypothesis of over-exploitation of pine during the Late to Terminal Classic period.
39	
40	HIGHLIGHTS
41 42 43 44 45 46	 Analysis of paleoethnobotanical remains recovered from ceremonial caches at Lamanai. Heavy pine charcoal use in ritual-associated deposits during the Late Classic period. Lamanai Maya likely exploited adjacent pine savannas for ceremonial activities. Pine charcoal use declined in the Postclassic and pine timber stocks rebounded. Study provides key insights into Classic Maya interaction with surrounding environment.
47	KEY WORDS
48 49	Ancient Maya; Paleoethnobotany; Agroforestry; Ritual; Wood Identification; Pine; Belize
50	
51	1. Introduction
52	Located on the banks of the New River Lagoon in northern Belize (Fig. 1), the Maya
53	habitation and ceremonial site of Lamanai was occupied continuously from as early as 1,500
54	BCE (Metcalfe et al. 2009; Rushton et al. 2013) until colonial and even modern times (Graham
55	2011; Pendergast 1991, 1993). This study focuses on the agroforestry and ritual practices of the
56	Lamanai inhabitants during the transition from the Late Classic to the Postclassic period.

57 Agroforestry, as explored in this paper, is a landuse system where trees are cultivated or managed and integrated with the agricultural landscape. Rituals are activities carried out in 58 accordance with social customs that are often integrated with ceremonial acts, especially those 59 60 associated with religion. Our objective in this research has been to gain an understanding of the 61 interaction of this Maya community with its surrounding ecosystem, especially in regard to the 62 management of forest resources and agricultural practices, as revealed by an analysis of paleoethnobotanical remains. Of particular interest is the sustainability of this interaction and 63 how plant use activities may have been connected with the ceremonial life of the ancient 64 65 occupants of Lamanai.

Throughout much of the Maya area, culture flourished during the Classic period (from 66 about 500 to 900 CE), marked by exponential growth and construction at civic-ceremonial 67 68 centers such as Tikal, Calakmul and Palenque (Coe 1990; Martin and Grube 2008). These same communities subsequently underwent dramatic population decline in what is often referred to as 69 70 the "collapse" during the Late/Terminal Classic period, around 850 to 900 CE (Culbert 1973; 71 Demarest et al. 2004). Many centers, especially those in the Central Maya Lowlands, were abandoned by the start of the Postclassic period (900-1500 CE) (Webster 2002). While many 72 73 Classic Maya civic-ceremonial centers were being abandoned at the end of the Late Classic period, Lamanai thrived throughout the Postclassic period and lasted until the time of Spanish 74 contact (Graham 2011, Jones 1989). No doubt, a contributing factor to the longevity of the center 75 76 relates to its close proximity to the New River, a reliable and abundant source of fresh water. Notwithstanding the buffering effects that the consistent water supply must have offered through 77 the droughts of the 9th century, the evidence strongly suggests, as Pendergast (1986) has 78

- real articulated, that stability at Lamanai was affected by the cultural changes surrounding them
- 80 (Graham 2004, 2006; Howie 2012).
- **Fig. 1.** Map of northern Belize and the adjacent region showing ancient Maya sites surrounding
- 82 Lamanai. (2 columns)



83

87

Fig. 2. Site map of Lamanai showing the location of the Ottawa Group (Plaza Group N10 [3]).

89 Localities discussed in this paper are in bold. (2 columns)



Lamanai structures N10-77 and N10-12 contained caches and other contexts with
abundant charred plant remains that are described herein. Most of these caches were burned *in situ* which accounts for the carbonized condition of the plant remains and at the same time
explains the context from which they were recovered. Other samples were from fill material, or
burned, redepositied trash, that appeared in a bench (LA 1779 and LA 1778) and from within a
wall of Str. N10-2 (Table 1). Str. N10-12 overlies N10-77 and is to the east of the adjacent, but

97	unexcavated, N10-78 (Fig. 2) (see Graham 2004); all three structures border the south side of
98	Plaza N10[3]. The structures around Plaza N10[3] are sometimes referred to as the "Ottawa"
99	Group (Fig. 2), the name given to the group by the Canadian students who assisted H.S. Loten in
100	mapping the site in the 1970s (Pendergast 1981). Two caches were associated with Str. N10-12,
101	and 10 caches were associated with Str. N10-77 (see Fig. 2 and Table 1). Of the three remaining
102	wood samples from Str. N10-77, one (LA 1764) was from the burnt stratum covering the final
103	floor. A San José V-type basal-break bowl with pedestal base—a form and surface treatment
104	typical of Terminal Classic ceramics-lay on the floor and had been burned along with room
105	contents prior to infilling. The two remaining paleoethnobotanical samples from N10-77 (LA
106	1778 and LA 1779) were from secondary deposits located in the cores of benches.

Fig. 3. Ceramic containers from Cache N10-12/8, Structure N10-12 (LA 1894). Lip-to-lip caches
of this type are believed to be symbolic of the Maya cosmos and are often associated with
dedicatory offerings (Guderjan 2007). (1 column)



Of the two paleoethnobotanical deposits from Str. N10-12, Cache N10-12/8 (LA 1894)
had been placed within the core of the platform that supported a perishable superstructure (Fig.

113 3), Str. N10-12, 1st (Graham 2004). The cache dates to the time when Str. N10-77, a masonry 114 building, was razed and its rooms filled along with the infilling of the plaza/courtyard. The 115 construction activity also marked a change from masonry to perishable superstructural architecture at this location. The occupation of Str. N10-12, 1st, is associated with the last years 116 of the use of polychrome pottery, referred to as the Terclerp phase, which is considered to 117 118 represent the Terminal Classic period at the site (Graham 2004; Howie 2012). The other deposit, LA 1742, was somewhat problematic. Str. N10-12, 2nd directly overlies Str. N10-12, 1st, and 119 burials associated with N10-12, 2nd were cut through the earlier floors of N10-12, 1st and 120 intruded into the core of the Terminal Classic, Terclerp-phase platform. The stone core material 121 122 of the platform lacked any firm matrix, thus providing conditions in which artefacts could shift through the core. Nevertheless, the charcoal from LA 1742, when excavated, appeared to be 123 124 associated with Buk-phase (Early Postclassic) pottery.

Str. N10-77, a masonry building, is Late Classic in construction. Its final phase spanned 125 the time when Maya ceramics began to lose their glossy slips. The succeeding Str. N10-12, 1st, as 126 127 noted above, was a perishable superstructure on a stone platform; the vessels associated with its 128 caches maintain some Classic attributes, such as red-slipped rims on jars and use of polychrome decoration. The polychromes, however, are typically "cartoonish" and bear little resemblance to 129 the great Classic period painting traditions. Str. N10-12, 2nd is a low stone platform that, like Str. 130 N10-12, 1st, supported a perishable superstructure. Burials cut through floors in these structures 131 132 are associated with Buk phase ceramics—Zakpah orange-red and Zalal incised (Walker 1990) types typical of the Early Postclassic period at Lamanai. 133

The 10 caches and the sample from the burnt stratum associated with Str. N10-77 are
primary to the ultimate and penultimate occupation phases. The charcoal and associated material

in all of the 10 caches, with one exception, was found in cavities cut into floors. Because the
samples in each cache were in tight clusters with no other obvious containment feature, we
hypothesize that the material was originally contained in cloth bags or sacks; in only one case
was the charcoal found to form the contents of a vessel: LA 1785, Cache N10-77/4. The charcoal
samples from bench cores appeared to be from reused middens.

141 Carbonized material also was analyzed from Str. N10-2 (Pendergast 1981); Cache N10142 2/2 (LA 34/1C, LA 34/2C) contained cultigen seeds as well as wood from forest trees.

143 Carbonized plant material from wall construction comprised another sample (LA 115) (Table 1).

144 All of the samples from Str. N10-2 represent the same construction phase, Str. N10-2, 4th, which

145 is associated with a Buk phase (Early Postclassic) burial and ceramics (Pendergast 1981, 1982),

146 **1.1 Environment**

Lamanai is situated along the west bank of the New River Lagoon in the Orange Walk 147 District of northern Belize. The New River flows northward from the lagoon for ca. 130 km and 148 149 empties into Chetumal Bay. A vegetation study of Lamanai conducted by Lambert and Arnason 150 (1978) reported a prevalence of secondary forest as opposed to primary (semi-evergreen seasonal) forest in the area. According to their study, the site's location on a Cretaceous Age 151 152 limestone plateau with calcareous soils, high groundwater and high sediment content of the New River drainage have influenced site vegetation. Vegetation zones, according to Lambert and 153 154 Arnason, include Shoreline, Cohune Ridge, Pine Ridge, Bajo and High Bush. Shoreline 155 vegetation consists of species that can thrive despite being subjected to seasonal flooding, including Bucida buceras L. (bullet tree), Pachira aquatica Aubl. (provision tree) and Bactris 156 157 *major* Jacq. (biscoyol). Portions of the Lamanai area also include Cohune Ridge with visually 158 dominant Attalea cohune Mart. (cohune) palms along with other species, such as Spondias

159 mombin L. (jocote), Guazuma ulmifolia Lam. (wild bay cedar) and Enterolobium cyclocarpum 160 (Jacq.) Griseb. (guanacaste). Soil in the Cohune Ridge is relatively deep and rich due to moisture 161 and nutrient content provided by the cohune leaf litter layer. The Pine Ridge, essentially a 162 savanna, lies across the lagoon from Lamanai to the east and is composed primarily of sedges 163 interspersed with pine (*Pinus caribaea* Morelet) and various angiosperm tree species, including 164 Crescentia cujete L. (calabash), Curatella americana L. (chaparro) and Byrsonima crassifolia (L.) Kunth (nance). The Bajo, a seasonal swamp to the northwest of Lamanai that desiccates 165 during the dry season, has woody plants, such as *Haematoxylum campechianum* L. (logwood) 166 167 and Spondias mombin, and vines characteristic of thickets. The remaining areas surrounding the 168 ruins are referred to as High Bush (secondary growth) and include *Nectandra* spp. (timber sweet), Coccoloba belizensis Standl. (papaturo) and Ficus spp. (figs), among other tree species. 169 170 Finally, the vegetation covering the Lamanai site itself is primarily composed of *Protium copal* (Schltdl. and Cham.) Engl. (copal), Melicoccus oliviformis Kunth (kinep), Pimenta dioica (L.) 171 Merr. (allspice) and *Brosimum alicastrum* Sw. (ramón), a common tree on Maya ruins. 172

173 **1.2 Maya Archaeological Plant Evidence**

Paleoethnobotanical analysis of plant remains from numerous Maya sites has helped to establish an understanding of ancient Maya plant use practices and the relationship of the Maya to their environment (Lentz et al. 2012, 2014b, 2015; Morehart et al. 2005; Wiessen and Lentz 1999). Variable access to natural resources created trade opportunities across the Maya realm (Graham 1987; Lentz et al. 2005a, 2005b; Pendergast 1982).

Economically useful trees were exploited by the Maya for construction and fuel, as well as ritual use. Among the many recorded tree remains from Maya sites (often in the form of charcoal, though unburned wood samples have also been recovered) are: pine (*Pinus sp.*), and

various genera and species of angiosperms, in such families as the Arecaceae, Fabaceae, and
Sapotaceae. Certain species seem to have enjoyed a ritual use, especially pine and copal
(*Protium copal*), the resin of which was burned as an incense (Standley and Steyermark 1946a).
Although pine certainly served utilitarian purposes as a building material and as fuel, as seen at
Yarumela, Honduras (Lentz et al. 1997) and other sites, it also was associated with ritual
contexts, for example in burials (Morehart, et al. 2005) and ceremonial offerings (Lentz et al.

189 2. Methods and Materials

190 Carbonized archaeobotanical samples examined in this study were collected by Graham 191 during excavations in 2002 and 2003 from contexts in two structures, N10-12 and N10-77, as described above. Archaeobotanical samples were collected opportunistically when encountered 192 193 visually during excavation. No flotation, dry sieving or wet sieving took place in the collection 194 of paleoethnobotanical specimens. Samples from Str. N10-2 were collected by Pendergast during excavations in 1974 and subsequently radiocarbon dated by Geochron Laboratories in 1977. 195 196 Although the destruction of a small portion (approximately 5%) of the archaeological plant 197 sample from N10-2 for radiocarbon dating prior to paleoethnobotanical analysis is regrettable, in 198 the larger sense it seems unlikely that the loss of those fragments would have changed our 199 conclusions significantly, other than to possibly add to our inventory of species identified. The unused portions of the Str. N10-2 archaeobotanical samples were added by Graham to the set of 200 201 carbonized plant samples from Strs. N10-12 and N10-77 that were submitted to the Lentz' 202 Paleoethnobotany Laboratory for identification. Samples (19 total) were stored in aluminum foil 203 to protect against contamination and handled with sterile tools to allow for additional 204 radiocarbon testing. Items were sorted and weighed, then assigned a sample number with five-

digits such as 10001 and 10002. Additional radiocarbon dating, conducted after

206 paleoethnobotanical identification, was carried out by T. Higham at the Oxford Radiocarbon207 Laboratory.

208 Archaeological plant samples from Lamanai were analysed using standard sorting and 209 identification techniques. Samples presented to the paleoethnobotanical laboratory were analyzed 210 in their entirety and not subsampled. Each sample was separated into particle sizes using 211 standard geological sieves of 1 and 2 mm mesh. Sample contents were rough sorted using a 212 Leica S6D light stereomicroscope with a capability of 4x to 63x magnifications. After passing 213 each sample through the sieves, everything greater than 2 mm was sorted into two major 214 categories: 1) carbonized vascular tissue and 2) other plant parts. The vascular tissue was then sub-divided into three broad categories: 1) gymnosperm, or coniferous, wood, 2) angiosperm or 215 216 hardwood, and 3) Arecaceae, or palm, vascular tissue. The coniferous wood was exclusively 217 pine and the hardwood portions of the samples were subdivided into "types." The cell structure in hardwoods can be observed in broad outline with a stereomicroscope, but identification to 218 219 species is extremely difficult with this equipment and more easily accomplished with electron 220 microscopy. The palm vascular tissue, technically not wood, remained identified as carbonized 221 Arecaceae tissue. The non-vascular plant component of the Lamanai samples generally consisted 222 of seeds, endocarps, cobs or other plant parts that often could be identified to species using the stereomicroscope. Sieve layers smaller than 2 mm were examined for seeds and micro-debitage 223 224 content only. Secure identification of wood fragments in this size range is extremely difficult if not impossible. 225

After initial sorting, a representative portion of each wood "type" was prepared for electron microscopy. Carbonized wood specimens and selected seeds were attached to

228 individual aluminum SEM stubs with colloidal graphite, dried, then sputter-coated with gold. 229 Electron micrographs of 50x to 5000x were obtained using an Amray Scanning Electron Microscope housed at The Field Museum of Chicago SEM-EDS lab. For identification, 230 231 micrographs were compared to wood reference manuals (Chichignoud et al. 1990; Détienne and Jacquet 1983; Kribs 1959; Mainieri and Chimelo 1978; Uribe 1988; the Inside Wood website 232 233 (http://insidewood.lib.ncsu.edu/; Wheeler 2011) and Lentz' Central American wood reference 234 collection. Results were compared to paleoethnobotanical assemblages at other contemporaneous, nearby Maya sites. Our means of comparison relied upon ubiquity and total 235 236 weight found in grams of pine, angiosperm hardwood charcoal, and other recovered botanical materials. 237

238 **3. Results**

Table 1 presents a listing of recovered and identified macroremains from the Plaza
N10[3] Ottawa Group and from Str. N10-2 at Lamanai. The column labeled "cultural period"
represents the stratigraphic sequence of the caches and other contexts. Most of the macroremains
emanate from a period spanning the end of the Late Classic through the Terminal Classic period,
with one cache from N10-12 that was possibly Early Postclassic in origin, and another from
N10-2 that was definitely Early Postclassic.

Charred wood remains identified from these samples (Table 1) included: *Annona* sp.
charcoal, *Casearia* sp. charcoal, *Haematoxylum campechianum* L. charcoal, *Mosannona depressa* (Baill.) Chatrou charcoal, *Manilkara* cf. *zapota* (L.) P. Royen charcoal, *Nectandra* sp.
charcoal, *Pinus* cf. *caribaea* Morelet. charcoal, *Pouteria* sp. charcoal, Sapotaceae charcoal, and *Stizophyllum riparium* (Kunth) Sandwith charcoal. Other plant remains included *Acrocomia aculeata* (Jacq.) Lodd. ex. Mart. endocarps, *Zea mays* L. kernels, cob fragments, *Phaseolus*

- *vulgaris* L. seeds, and a burnt tuber of uncertain origin (Figs. 4 and 5). Burned palm (Arecaceae) 251 vascular tissue was identified in structure N10-2. Although a small collection, it nevertheless 252 253 provides useful information about the ecological context of Lamanai during Late Classic through 254 Early Postclassic times, as well as the agricultural system, ceremonial activities, and the conservation practices of the inhabitants. The significance of each plant taxa represented in the 255 collection from Lamanai will be discussed below. 256
- 257 Figure 4: Carbonized plant macroremains from Lamanai: a) Phaseolus vulgaris cotyledon, b) P.
- 258 *vulgaris* embryo close-up, c) *Zea mays* kernels, d) *Acrocomia aculeata* endocarp. (1.5 columns)
- 259



265 4. Discussion

The discussion of the plant remains found during the Lamanai excavations begins with ecological information and then relates what is known archaeologically and ethnographically about each plant. First in the discussion will come the plants identified by their charcoal, or burned wood, and then the plants identified from other anatomical parts, such as seeds or cobs.

Annona sp. (Annonaceae) is a genus of small to medium-sized trees and shrubs. Balick et
al. (2000) list seven species in Belize, all of which bear edible fruit. In general, these are
understory trees found in tropical deciduous forests. Burned wood from an annona tree was
found in Late Classic deposits in Cache N10-12/8 at Lamanai. Although we cannot be certain if
this charcoal came from a wild or domesticated fruit tree, one of the possible domesticated
species would have been *guanabana* (*A. muricata* L.), a tree widely cultivated in Central
America prior to European contact for its delicious fruits (Lentz 2000).

Casearia sp. (Salicaceae) is a genus of generally small trees or shrubs that grow in
tropical deciduous forests or secondary growth. Common names include *limoncillo*, drunken
bayman wood and, wild lime. The plants are widely used for construction, medicine, food, and
poison (Balick et al. 2000). *Casearia* charcoal was found in a ceramic jar at Lamanai in cache
N10-12/8 along with shell fragments, bone and a rodent tooth. The charcoal may have been in
this context because of its medicinal properties or it may have been an accidental inclusion as a
result of wall fall or ceiling collapse.

Haematoxylum campechianum (Fabaceae, subfamily Caesalpinioideae), called logwood
in English, tinta in Spanish or *ec* by the Yukatek Maya, was of major import to the Maya long
before Europeans arrived in Central America. *H. campechianum* grows in swamps, or *tintales*, in
Yucatan, Mexico as well as northern Guatemala and northern Belize. The trees grow rapidly and

regenerate quickly, but are hard, dense, and have a high tensile strength. The ancient Maya used
logwood for construction (Lentz and Hockaday 2009) and probably as a source of textile dye or
as a medicine because of its astringent properties (Standley and Steyermark 1946b; Atran and
Ucan Ek' 1999). At Lamanai, logwood charcoal was recovered from the ceramic jar in cache
N10-12/8 along with shell fragments, bone and a rodent tooth. It may have been in this context
because of its medicinal properties or it may have been an accidental inclusion.

Mosannona depressa (Baill.) Chatrou (Annonaceae, formerly Malmea depressa (Baill.)
R.E. Fr.), called *che-che* or *itz-imul* in Belize today, is a small understory tree of tropical forests
that produces edible fruit (Balick et al. 2000). *M. depressa* is the only species of this genus
found in the region (Balick et al. 2000), so we feel confident of the identification. A small
amount of charcoal of this species was recovered from Cache N10-2/2, likely a Postclassic
context.

300 Manilkara cf. zapota (L.) P. Royen (Sapotaceae) was an important building material and food source of the ancient Maya (Lentz and Hockaday 2009; Lentz et al. 2014a). There are three 301 302 species of *Manilkara* known from the region (Balick et al. 2000), of which *M. zapota* is the most 303 common (Lentz and Lane 2014; Schulze and Whitaker 1999; Standley and Williams 1967; 304 Thompson et al. 2015). In our reference collection, we have only one species, *M. zapota*, and our archaeological specimens compare favorably to the reference material in terms of vessel 305 306 diameter, vessel arrangement, parenchyma arrangement, ray width and other characters. It has 307 long been cultivated by the Maya for its *sapodilla* fruits (Atran and Ucan Ek' 1999), as well as its use as a building material because of its resistance to decay, smooth finish and strength 308 309 (Standley and Williams 1967). Sapodilla charcoal was found in the Late Classic fill of a bench in

- 310 Room B3 in structure N10-77 at Lamanai. It possibly represents redeposited trash or construction
- 311 material from an earlier structure.
- 312 **Figure 5:** Micrographs of Lamanai woods in transverse sections: a) *Pinus caribaea*, b)
- 313 Haematoxylum campechianum, c) Pouteria sp., d) Annona sp., e) Nectandra sp., f) Stizophyllum
- 314 *riparium*. (2 columns)





Nectandra sp. (Lauraceae) is a genus of understory trees and shrubs, often called "laurel"
or "timbersweet" in Belize (Balick et al. 2000). They are widely used for construction or fuel. At
Lamanai, burned fragments of *Nectandra* wood were found in wall fill in Structure N10-2,
probably representing redeposited trash.

Pinus caribaea (Pinaceae, formerly P. hondurensis Loock), or pine, as discussed 321 previously, was of major ceremonial and economic importance to the Yukatek Maya, who called 322 it hubhub (Standley and Steyermark 1958). The Itza Maya used pine for building, for firewood, 323 and for torches, and used its resin as incense (Atran and Ucan Ek' 1999). Pine charcoal was an 324 325 integral part of ancient Maya ceremonial activities, undoubtedly because of the abundant smoke it created when burned (Morehart et al. 2005). Because of its use as a fuel (Dussol et al. 2016) 326 and special ritual value, pine charcoal appears to have been actively processed and exchanged as 327 328 a commodity by the ancient Maya (Lentz et al. 2005). P. caribaea can be found in mixed forests 329 and pine savannas on hillsides and in plains at low elevations (less than 600 meters) in many areas of northern Belize and the southeastern Petén, Guatemala (Standley and Steyermark 1958). 330 331 At Lamanai, pine charcoal (Fig. 5 and Table 1) was found in all of the caches. The only context where pine was not found was in the fill of Bench 3 in Room B3. 332

Overall, the amount of pine found at Lamanai in ceremonial contexts was remarkable; there was more pine, measured by weight, at Lamanai than any other site in the Maya Lowlands where paleoethnobotanical data were collected from ceremonial provenances (Table 2 and Fig. 6). In fact there was more archaeological pine at Lamanai than all other sites in the area combined! Moreover, if we compare the weights of pine from ceremonial Late Classic contexts at Lamanai to similar contexts at Chan and Tikal (two habitation sites for which we have comparable data), the differences are highly significant (Table 3). These results were calculated

340	using a Kruskal-Wallis (Kruskal and Wallis 1952) rank sum test. This test was employed
341	because the data were not normally distributed. The Kruskal-Wallis test was followed by a Dunn
342	post hoc multiple comparison test (Dunn 1961) which demonstrated that none of the data sets
343	grouped together, at least at the $p = 0.05$ level. Pine quantities at Lamanai ceremonial contexts
344	during the Late Classic period significantly exceeded those at Chan and Tikal. Pine charcoal
345	remains have been recovered from many Maya sites such as Copán (Lentz 1991), Cerén (Lentz
346	et. al. 1996), Cahal Pech, Pacbitun (Weissen and Lentz 1999), Xunantunich (Lentz et. al. 2005),
347	Tikal (Lentz et al. 2014a), and others, but the weight of ceremonial pine charcoal at Lamanai,

notwithstanding the relatively small sample set, is astounding.

Figure 6: Comparison of wood use in ceremonial contexts at Lamanai to similar contexts at
Tikal and Chan sites. Note that paleoethnobotanical samples from Chan and Tikal were retrieved
both opportunistically and through a systematic flotation retrieval strategy while Lamanai
archaeological plant specimens were collected opportunistically without the benefit of flotation.
Thus, the quantities observed here for Lamanai are probably under-represented.



354

Pouteria sp. (Sapotaceae) is a Neotropical genus of large to medium-sized tropical forest
 trees. Balick et al. (2000) list nine species in this genus in Belize. It is difficult to distinguish the

wood of these different species, but of these *P. sapota* (Jacq.) H. E. Moore & Stearn, called *zapote* or *mamey*, is commonly cultivated for its succulent fruits and has been for many centuries
as evidenced by zapote fruit remains at other Maya sites (Lentz 1999). Charred *Pouteria* wood
was found in Cache N10-77/4 at Lamanai.

Stizophyllum riparium (H.B.K.) Sandwith (Bignoniaceae). The common name in Belize is "mahogany vine" and it is a liana of wetland forests. A few burned fragments of this wood were found in Cache N10-12/8. As a vine, this item in the cache may represent something that was used to tie together a bundle or an offering. Alternatively, vines are commonly used in traditional Maya construction to fasten beams and uprights together (e.g., Wisdom 1940: 123) so the vine fragment in the N10-12/8 sample may have been part of an adjacent building where it served in a similar fashion.

Arecaceae (palms) burned trunk fragments were discovered in Cache N10-2/2, which likely dated to Postclassic times. Why burned palm would have been found in this cache is not certain, but the presence of burned palm stems may be a reflection of increased Postclassic palm growth in the area as indicated by pollen evidence (Rushton et al. 2013).

Acrocomia aculeata (Arecaceae, formerly A. mexicana Karwn. ex. Mart. or A. beliziensis 372 373 L.H. Bailey), grows in lowland forests at or below 1000 m above sea level, often with pines (Pinus sp.), on dry hillsides, or in open plains throughout Central America, where it is common. 374 The fruits of *coyol*, its common name, are eaten and the sap can be consumed fresh, or allowed 375 376 to ferment to form an alcoholic beverage called *vino de palma* (Standley and Stevermark 1958). Also, a flavorful cooking oil can be extracted from the fruits (Wiesen and Lentz 1999). Coyol 377 378 endocarps have been recovered in abundance from ancient Maya sites such as Copán (Lentz 379 1991), where the palms appear to have been cultivated, and found in middens at Cahal Pech,

Pacitbun (Weisen and Lentz 1999), Tikal (Lentz et al. 2014a, 2015), and elsewhere (Lentz 1990).
Charred coyol endocarps were recovered from wall fill in structure N10-2 at Lamanai, probably
representing a redeposited midden.

383 Phaseolus vulgaris (Fabaceae, subfamily Papilionoideae), the common bean, called bul or buul by the Yukatek Maya, was a staple food, along with maize (Zea mays L.). Beans can be 384 385 grown fairly quickly and can survive on poor or heavy soils (Standley and Steyermark 1946). 386 Archaeobotanical bean findings are generally not abundant at Maya sites, owing to their poor 387 preservation properties. However, analysis of cotyledon markings in carbonized specimens from 388 Lamanai, led to their identification as *P. vulgaris*. The beans were found in Postclassic cache 389 N10-2/2 (Fig. 4) and may have been included as part of a food offering. Macroremains of beans 390 have also been recorded at Tikal (Lentz et. al. 2014a), Copan (Lentz 1991), Cerén (Lentz et. al. 391 1996), Cahal Pech, Pacitbun (Weissen and Lentz 1999) and most other Maya sites where 392 paleoethnobotanical studies have taken place.

393 Zea mays L. (Poaceae), maize, is a staple of the Maya diet (Swallen and McClure 1955), 394 along with beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.), squash (*Cucurbita* spp.), and root crops. There are 395 many varieties, owing to the duration and importance of maize cultivation. Maize kernels and 396 cob fragments were recovered from Cache N/10-2/2 at Lamanai, likely representing a food 397 offering. Although this is not a large sample, it documents the presence of this important plant at 398 Lamanai. Elsewhere in the Maya Lowlands, maize remains have been identified from almost 399 every site where systematic ancient plant retrieval techniques have been applied (Lentz 1999). 400 The plant remains retrieved from Lamanai represent an informative collection. In many 401 ways, the data set is reflective of plant use practices seen at other ancient Maya sites, yet the 402 plants identified also reveal patterns unique to Lamanai. Maize and common beans were in

403 evidence and document the use of these two annual crops at Lamanai, most certainly part of the 404 agricultural underpinning of Maya subsistence as clearly demonstrated at other sites (Lentz 1999; Lentz et al. 2014). The covol palm evidence demonstrates palm use at Lamanai. Covol, a 405 406 productive and useful plant, was cultivated by the ancient Maya as seen in the 407 paleoethnobotanical remains at other sites (e.g., Lentz 1991) and may well have been used 408 similarly at Lamanai. These results help to explain, at least in part, why the palm pollen signature 409 taken from Lamanai lagoon sediments increases dramatically during Late Classic times (Morse 2009). Likewise, the *Pouteria* sp. and *Annona* sp. charcoal suggest the use of the succulent fruits 410 411 of zapote and guanabana, respectively. Evidence for the cultivation of fruit trees is quite common 412 throughout the Maya Lowlands and the same pattern is reflected in the archaeological plant 413 remains from Lamanai.

414 Several tree species in evidence represent general construction, fuel use, or forest fruit extraction from the local forests. Manilkara zapota and Haematoxylum campechianum were both 415 hardwood species preferred by the ancient Maya for the construction of temples, palaces, and 416 417 other cut stone structures because their timbers were strong and resistant to decay (Lentz and 418 Hockaday 2009). Charcoal of *M. zapota* and *Mosannona depressa* indicated the use of these 419 trees by the Lamanai inhabitants because of the highly-valued wood and likely their edible fruits, as well. Other tree species Nectandra sp., and Casearia sp. also were in evidence at Lamanai and 420 probably were used for fuel and general construction purposes. 421

Undoubtedly the most evocative discovery at Lamanai, however, was the extraordinary quantity of pine charcoal in ceremonial contexts. Pine seems to have been deposited in different ways at the site, reflecting the difference in its use. For example, in some cases, pine was the fuel for a ceremonial offering as in sample number 10006. In other cases it may have been used as

426 the material from which to manufacture an object as in sample number 10000. Finally it appears 427 in middens as in samples 10013 and 10014 where it may have been the remains of hearth fires or 428 a structure that burned. In any case, the quantities of pine charcoal by weight in each ceremonial 429 context at Lamanai were exceptionally large (Table 2). Pine weights from Late Classic 430 ceremonial contexts at Lamanai were compared to those of Tikal and Chan (two other 431 contemporaneous sites with comparable data sets), and the differences were highly significant (Table 3) with the pine weights per sample at Lamanai being far greater. One possible 432 explanation for this unusual disparity is that the Lamanai elite intended to create more opulent 433 434 smoke displays as a component of ritual offerings than elsewhere during the Late Classic period. These extravagant displays evidently required large amounts of pine wood. The excessive use of 435 pine involved in rituals, however, seems to taper off during the Terminal Classic (Fig. 6) and this 436 437 cultural shift is consistent with Graham's observations of other contemporaneous cultural changes at Lamanai (e.g., in political infrastructure, ceramic manufacturing, architectural styles, 438 and burial practices) following the Late Classic period (Graham 2000; Graham et al. 2013). 439 440 A second explanation for the greater use of pine at Lamanai was the disparity in access to pine resources. At Tikal, there was a stand of pine (180 ha) located 20 km to the northeast of 441 442 the city (Fialko 2001). A detailed population genetic study was completed on this pine stand and the results showed that the stand of trees was of ancient origin, likely predating the Maya 443 occupation (Dvorak et al. 2005). Because it was a small stand and its wood contents were of 444 445 significant value to the Maya, they apparently carefully managed it, otherwise it would have been quickly eliminated by the large populace of Tikal and the surrounding polities (Lentz et al. 446 447 2015). The Chan site residents, on the other hand, probably obtained pine charcoal from the 448 Mountain Pine Ridge in the Maya Mountains, but likely had to obtain it on an exchange basis

(Lentz et al. 2012). By contrast, Lamanai sits adjacent to an extensive pine savanna ('pine ridge')
just across the New River Lagoon (see Fig. 2) where stocks of pine presumably were there for
the taking. It seems reasonable to suggest that the increase in Late Classic pine use at Lamanai
was a result of a combination of availability and human agency attempting to appease their
deities during stressful circumstances.

Interestingly, pollen evidence from the New River Lagoon cores indicated that the pine 454 pollen signature declined during the Late Classic period (Rushton et al. 2013). The 455 contemporaneous co-occurrence of the Lamanai macroremain evidence and pollen data collected 456 457 from the site and the adjacent New River Lagoon, respectively, suggest that the Maya of Lamanai were heavily exploiting the pine resources in the area to the extent that they were 458 causing a reduction in the pine pollen rain. Based on available evidence, pine use in caches 459 460 declined during the Early Postclassic period at Lamanai (Fig. 6), while the pine pollen percentages increased (Rushton et al. 2013). These data indicate that the reduced demand on pine 461 resources by the Postclassic Lamanai inhabitants may have allowed the local pine stocks to 462 463 rebound.

The combined paleoethnobotanical data relating to pine at Lamanai provide a hypothesized scenario whereby the Late Classic Maya adopted unsustainable land use practices to fuel ritual and other activities that impacted local stands of pine. Terminal Classic and Postclassic paleoethnobotanical data suggest a modification in ritual activity at Lamanai that may have occurred as a result of reduced resources, changing elite leadership, or both. In any case, it is clear that the ritual contexts at Late Classic Lamanai reveal an intensive use of pine and this practice, if undertaken broadly, likely had a dramatic impact on local forest reserves.

A parallel to such a strong emphasis on Late Classic resource exploitation was observed 471 472 at Tikal where the Maya removed the last of their carefully protected old-growth Manilkara 473 *zapota* trees to build Temple 4. After that, when the last of their sapodilla trees of large girth 474 were gone, they had to switch to *Haematoxylum campechianum*, a usable but less desirable tree (Lentz and Hockaday 2009). This appears to be congruent with a pattern of Late Classic 475 476 conspicuous consumption related to ceremonial activity at Lamanai. Viewed from a larger perspective, this set of events at both Tikal and Lamanai may signify a growing need during the 477 Late Classic period to supplicate the gods to maintain some kind of homeostasis when events 478 479 related to climatic factors and agricultural productivity were spiraling out of control in the 480 surrounding region.

481 **5. Conclusion**

482 Analysis of the contents of caches and other contexts from three elite-associated structures in the Central Precinct at Lamanai indicate continuity of ceremonial activities through 483 484 a time of widespread social upheaval in the Maya Lowlands at the end of the Late Classic period 485 (Graham 2004; Pendergast 1981, 1986, 1998, 2006). Large quantities of wood charcoal were 486 found in several caches dating to the latter part of the Late Classic, the Terminal Classic, and the beginning of the Early Postclassic period. Burned wood in offertory contexts was accompanied, 487 488 in some instances, by jade and obsidian artifacts, as well as shells, cinnabar, and ceramics. 489 Conifer charcoal was the predominant plant material in all caches, although maize and bean 490 remains also were identified. Another cache sample, taken from the core of the platform of 491 Structure N10-12, yielded pine charcoal, several species of hardwood charcoal and palm fruits. 492 The prevalence of such a prodigious amount of pine charcoal in all these caches indicates consistent ceremonial activities that continued from possibly as early as the 7th century through 493 the Terminal Classic and into the very Early Postclassic period (early part of the 11th century), 494

when many other Maya sites had already fallen into decay. The abundant charcoal also suggests
that pine, as an important component of ceremonial practices, was readily available to the
Lamanai occupants and intensively exploited, especially during the Late Classic period.

498 Perhaps the most interesting aspect of this study is the interplay between the exploitation 499 of a major commodity, in this case pine wood, and the environment from which it was obtained. 500 During the Late Classic period, macrobotanical remains suggested an increase in pine use 501 associated with ritual activity while the contemporaneous pollen evidence from the New River 502 Lagoon indicated a sharp decline in the pine pollen rain at the same time, indicating a reduction 503 in the surrounding pine tree population. In the Postclassic period, pine use appears to decline with a concomitant rebound in the pine savannas. From this macabre dance with nature, the 504 Lamanai Maya demonstrated the dramatic impact that even stone-age low-density urban 505 506 communities can have on their local environment.

507 Acknowledgements

We wish to thank the Field Museum of Natural History for use of their electron microscopy facility and for their hospitable exchange of ideas. Also, special thanks go to Jon Hanna and Doug Kennett for their assistance in determining radiocarbon dates. Two unnamed reviewers provided succinct and useful comments and we are grateful for their efforts. Funds for this research were provided by the Froehlich Foundation.

513	References
514	
515 516 517	Atran, S., Ucan Ek', E., 1999. Classification of Useful Plants by the Northern Peten Maya (Itzaj), in: White, C.D. (ed.), Reconstructing Ancient Maya Diet. University of Utah Press, Utah, pp.19-59.
518	
519 520	Balick, M.J., Nee, M.H., Atha, D.E., 2000. Checklist of the Vascular Plants of Belize with Common Names and Uses. New York Botanical Garden Press, Bronx, New York.
521	
522 523 524	Chichignoud, M., Déon, G., Détienne, P., Parant, B., Vantomme, P., 1990. Atlas de Maderas Tropicales de América Latina. Organización Internacional de las Maderas Tropicales, Yokohama, Japan.
525	
526 527 528	Coe, W.R., 1990. Excavations in the Great Plaza, North Terrace and North Acropolis of Tikal, Tikal Report No. 14, Vol. I-V. The University Museum, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia.
529	
530 531	Culbert, T. Patrick (ed.), 1973. The Classic Maya Collapse. University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque.
532	
533 534	Demarest, A.A., Rice, P.M., Rice, D.S. (eds.), 2004. The Terminal Classic in the Maya Lowlands: Collapse, transition and Transformation. University Press of Colorado, Boulder.
535	
536 537	Détienne, P., Jacquet, P., 1983. Atlas D'identification Des Bois De L'amazonie et Des Régions Voisines. Centre Technique Forestier Tropical, Nogent-sur-Marne, France.
538	
539	Dunn, O. J., 1961. Multiple comparisons among means. J. Amer. Stat. Assoc. 56, 52-64.
540	
541 542	Dussol, L., Elliot, M., Pereira, G., Michelet, D., 2016. The use of firewood in ancient Maya funeral rituals: a case study from Rio Bec (Campeche, Mexico). Lat. Amer. Antiq. 27, 51-73.
543	

544 545	Dvorak, W.S., Hamrick, J.L., Gutiérrez, J.L., 2005. The origin of Caribbean pine in the seasonal swamps of the Yucatán. Int. J. of Plant Sci. 166, 985-994.
546	
547 548	Fialko, V., 2001. Investigaciones Arqueológicas en el Bajo de Santa Fe y la Cuenca del Río Holmul, Petén. Programa National Tikal-Triángulo, Guatemala City.
549	
550 551	Graham, E., 1987. Resource diversity in Belize and its implications for models of lowland trade. Amer. Antiq. 52, 753-767.
552	
553 554 555	Graham, E., 2000. Collapse, conquest and Maya survival at Lamanai, Belize. Archaeol. Int. 4: 52-56.
556 557 558 559	Graham, E., 2004. Lamanai reloaded: alive and well in the Early Postclassic, in: Awe, J., Morris, J., Jones, S. (Eds.), Archaeological Investigations in the Eastern Maya Lowlands Research Reports in Belizean Archaeology, Volume 1. Institute of Archaeology, NICH, Belize, pp. 223-241.
560	
561 562 563	Graham, E., 2006. An ethnicity to know, in: Sachse, F. (Ed.), Maya Ethnicity: The Construction of Ethnic Identity from Preclassic to Modern Times, Verlag Anton Saurwein, Markt Schwaben, Germany, pp. 109-124.
564	
565 566	Graham, E., 2011. Maya Christians and Their Churches in Sixteenth-Century Belize. University Press of Florida, Gainesville.
567	
568 569	Graham, E., Simmons, S.E., White, C.D., 2013. The Spanish conquest and the Maya collapse: how 'religious' is change? World Archaeol. 45, 161-185.
570	
571 572	Guderjan, T. H., 2007. The Nature of an Ancient Maya City: Resources, Interaction and Power at Blue Creek, Belize. University of Alabama Press, Tuscaloosa.
573	
574 575	Howie, L., 2012. Ceramic Change and the Maya Collapse: A Study of Pottery Technology, Manufacture and Consumption at Lamanai, Belize. Archaeopress, Oxford.
576	

577 578	Jones, G.D., 1989. Maya Resistance to Spanish Rule: Time and History on a Colonial Frontier. University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque.
579	
580 581	Insidewood. 2004-onwards. Published on the Internet. http://insidewood.lib.ncsu.edu/search (accessed 13.10.14)
582	
583 584	Kribs, D.A., 1959. Commercial Foreign Woods on the American Market. Dover Publications, New York.
585	
586 587	Kruskal, W.H., Wallis, W.A., 1952. Use of ranks in one criterion variance analysis. J. Amer. Stat. Assoc. 47, 583-621.
588	
589 590	Lambert, J.D.H., Arnason, J.T., 1978. Distribution of vegetation on Maya ruins and its relationship to ancient land-use at Lamanai, Belize. Turrialba 28, 33-41.
591	
592 593	Lentz, D.L., 1990. <i>Acrocomia mexicana</i> : palm of the ancient Mesoamericans. J. Ethnobiology, 10, 183-194.
594	
595 596	Lentz, D.L., 1991. Maya Diets of the Rich and Poor: Paleoethnobotanical Evidence from Copan. Lat. Amer. Antiq. 2, 269-287.
597	
598 599	Lentz, D.L., 1999. Plant Resources of the Ancient Maya: The Paleoethnobotanical Evidence, in: White, C.D. (Ed.), Reconstructing Ancient Maya Diet. University of Utah Press, Utah, pp. 3-18.
600	
601 602 603	Lentz, D.L., 2000. Anthropocentric food webs in the Precolumbian Americas, in: Lentz, D.L., (Ed.), Imperfect Balance: Landscape Transformations in the Precolumbian Americas. Columbia University Press, New York, pp. 89-120.
604	
605 606 607	Lentz, D.L., Beaudry-Corbett, M.P., Reyna de Aguilar, M.L., Kaplan L., 1996. Foodstuffs, forests, fields, and shelter: a paleoethnobotanical analysis of vessel contents from the Cerén site, El Salvador. Lat. Amer. Antiq. 7, 247-262.
608	

- 609 Lentz, D.L., Dunning, N.P., Scarborough, V.L., Magee, K.S., Thompson, K.M., Weaver, E.,
- 610 Carr, C., Terry, R.E., Islebe, G., Tankersley, K.B., Grazioso Sierra, L., Jones, J.G., Buttles, P.,
- 611 Valdez, F., Ramos Hernandez, C. E., 2014a. Forests, fields, and the edge of sustainability at the
- 612 ancient Maya city of Tikal. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 111, 18513-18518.
- 613
- 614 Lentz, D.L., Hockaday, B., 2009. Tikal timbers and temples: Ancient Maya agroforestry and the end of time. J. Archaeol. Sci. 36, 1342-1353. 615
- 616
- Lentz, D. L., Lane, B., 2014. Residual effects of agroforestry activities at Dos Hombres, a Classic 617 period Maya site in Belize, in: S, Hecht, K. Morrison, and C. Padoch, (Eds.), The Social Life of 618
- Forests. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp. 173-189. 619
- 620
- 621 Lentz, D.L., Lane, B., Thompson, K., 2014b. Food, Farming, and Forest Management Practices
- 622 of the Late Classic Maya at Aguateca, in: T. Inomata, T., Triadan, D. (Eds.), Life and Politics at
- the Royal Court of Aguateca: Artifacts, Analytical Data, and Synthesis, Monographs of the 623
- Aguateca Archaeological Project First Phase, Vol. 3. University of Utah Press, Provo, pp. 203-624 217.
- 625
- 626
- 627 Lentz, D.L., Magee, K., Weaver, E., Jones, J.G., Tankersley, K.B., Hood, A., Islebe, G., Ramos
- Hernandez, C.E., Dunning, N.P., 2015. Agroforestry and Agricultural Practices of the Ancient 628 Maya at Tikal, in: Lentz, D.L., Dunning, N.P., Scarborough, V.L. (Eds.), Tikal: Paleoecology of 629
- an Ancient Maya City. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 152-185. 630
- 631
- 632 Lentz, D.L., Pohl, M.E., Pope, K.O., 2005a. Domesticated Plants and Cultural Connections in
- 633 Early Mesoamerica: Formative Period Paleoethnobotanical Evidence from Belize, Mexico, and
- 634 Honduras, in: Powis, T.G. (Ed.), New Perspectives on Formative Mesoamerican Cultures. Bar
- 635 International Series 1377, pp. 121-126.
- 636
- 637 Lentz, D.L., Ramirez, C.R., Griscom, B.W., 1997. Formative-period subsistence and forest-
- product extraction at the Yarumela site, Honduras. Anc. Mesoam. 8, 63-74. 638

- Lentz, D. L., Woods, S., Hood, A., Murph, M., 2012. Agroforestry and Agricultural Production 640
- of the Ancient Maya at the Chan Site, in: Robin, C. (Ed.), Chan: An Ancient Maya Farming 641
- Community in Belize. University of Florida Press, Gainesville, pp. 89-112. 642

644 645	Lentz, D. L., Yaeger, J., Robin, C., Ashmore, W. 2005b. Pine, prestige, and politics of the Late Classic Maya at Xunantunich, Belize. Antiquity 79, 573-585.
646	
647 648	Loten, H.S., Pendergast, D.M., 1984. A Lexicon for Maya Architecture. Royal Ontario Museum, Toronto.
649	
650 651	Mainieri, C., Chimelo, J.P., 1978. Fichas de Características das Madeiras Brasileiras. Instituto de Pesquisas Technológicas, São Paulo, Brazil.
652	
653 654	Martin, S., Grube, N., 2008. Chronicle of the Maya Kings and Queens. Thames and Hudson, London.
655	
656 657	Metcalfe, S., Breen, A., Murray, M., Furley, P., Fallick, A., McKenzie, A., 2009. Environmental change in northern Belize since the latest Pleistocene. J. Quat. Science 24, 627-641.
658	
659 660	Morehart, C.T., Lentz, D.L., Prufer, K.M., 2005. Wood of the Gods: The Ritual Use of Pine (Pinus sp.) by the Ancient Lowland Maya. Lat. Am. Antiq.16, 255-274.
661	
662 663	Morehart, C.T., 2011. Food, fire and fragrance: A paleoethnobotanical perspective on classic Maya cave rituals. Oxford, England: Archaeopress.
664	
665 666 667	Morse, M.L., 2009. Pollen from Laguna Verde, Blue Creek, Belize: Implications for Paleoecology, Paleoethnobotany, Agriculture, and Human Settlement. Ph.D. dissertation, Department of Anthropology, Texas AandM University, College Station, TX.
668	
669 670	Pendergast, D.M., 1981. Lamanai, Belize: Summary of Excavation Results, 1974-1980. J. Field Archaeol. 8, 29-53.
671	
672 673	Pendergast, D.M., 1982. Lamanai, Belice, durante el Post-Clásico. Estudios de Cultural Maya 14, 19-58.
674	
675	Pendergast, D.M., 1982. Ancient Maya Mercury. Science. 217, 533-535.

677 678 679	Pendergast, D.M., 1986. Stability Through Change: Lamanai, Belize, from the Ninth to the Seventeenth Century, in: Sabloff, J.A., Andrews, E.W. (Eds.), Late Lowland Maya Civilization: Classic to Postclassic. School of American Research, Albuquerque, pp. 223-249.
680	
681 682 683 684	Pendergast, D.M. 1991. The Southern Maya Lowlands Contact Experience: The View from Lamanai, Belize, in: Thomas, D.H. (Ed.), Columbian Consequences, Vol. 3: The Spanish Borderlands in Pan-American Perspective. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C., pp. 336-354.
685	
686 687 688 689	Pendergast, D.M., 1993. Worlds in Collision: The Maya/Spanish Encounter in Sixteenth and Seventeenth Century Belize, in: Bray, W. (Ed.), The Meeting of Two Worlds: Europe and the Americas, 1492-1650. Proceedings of The British Academy No. 31, Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 105-143.
690	
691 692 693 694	Pendergast, D.M., 1998. Intercessions with the Gods: Caches and Their Significance at Altun Ha and Lamanai, Belize, in: Mock, S.B. (Ed.), The Sowing and the Dawning: Termination, Dedication, and Transformation in the Archaeological and Ethnographic Record of Mesoamerica. University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque, pp. 54-63.
695	
696 697 698	Pendergast, D.M., 2006. Patterns of Cache Placement and Contents at Lamanai, Belize, in: Pendergast, D.M., Andrews, A.P. (Eds.), Reconstructing the Past: Studies in Mesoamerican and Central American Prehistory. BAR International Series, Oxford, pp. 59-70.
699	
700 701	Rushton, E.A.C., Metcalfe, S.E., Whitney, B.S., 2013. A late-Holocene vegetation history from the Maya Lowlands, Lamanai, Northern Belize. The Holocene 23, 485-493.
702	
703 704	Schulze, M.D, Whitacre, D.F. 1999. A classification and ordination of the tree community of Tikal National Park, Petén, Guatemala. Bull. Fla. Mus. Nat. Hist. 41, 169-297.
705	
706 707	Standley, P.C., Steyermark, J.A., 1946a. Flora of Guatemala: Part IV. Fieldiana, Bot. 24 (4), 1-493.
708	

709 710	Standley, P.C., Steyermark, J.A., 1946b. Flora of Guatemala: Part V. Fieldiana, Bot. 24 (5), 1-502.
711	
712	Standley, P.C., Steyermark, J.A., 1958. Flora of Guatemala: Part I. Fieldiana, Bot. 24(1), 1-478.
713	
714 715	Standley, P.C., Williams, L.O., 1967. Flora of Guatemala: Part VIII, Number 3. Fieldiana, Bot. 24 (8), 211-261.
716	
717 718	Swallen, J.R, McClure, F.A., 1955. Flora of Guatemala, Part II: Grasses of Guatemala. Fieldiana, Bot. 24 (2), 1-390.
719	
720 721 722 723	Thompson, K.M., Hood, A., Cavallaro, D., Lentz, D.L., 2015. Connecting contemporary ecology and ethnobotany to ancient plant use practices of the Maya at Tikal, in: Lentz, D.L., Dunning, N.P., Scarborough, V.L. (Eds.), Tikal: Paleoecology of an Ancient Maya City. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 124-151.
724	
725 726	Uribe, D.C., 1988. La Madera Estudio Anatómico y Catálogo de Especies Mexicanas. Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia, Mexico City.
727	
728 729 730	Walker, D.S., 1990. Cerros revisited: Ceramic indicators of Terminal Classic and Postclassic settlement and pilgrimage in northern Belize. Ph.D. dissertation, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas.
731	
732	Webster, D.L., 2002. The Fall of the Ancient Maya. Thames and Hudson, London.
733	
734 735	Wheeler, E.A., 2011. InsideWood - a web resource for hardwood anatomy. IAWA Journal 32, 199-211.
736	
737 738 739	Wiesen, A., Lentz, D.L., 1999. Floral remains from Cahal Pech and surrounding sites, in: Healy, P.F., Awe, J.J. (Eds.), Belize Valley Preclassic Maya Project. Trent University Occasional Papers in Anthropology. Peterborough, Ontario, Canada, pp. 53-68.
740	

741 Wisdom, C., 1940. The Chorti Indians of Guatemala. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

742 List of Figures

- Fig. 1. Map of northern Belize and the adjacent region showing ancient Maya sites surroundingLamanai..
- **Fig. 2.** Site map of Lamanai showing the location of the Ottawa Group (N10). Localities
- 746 discussed in this paper are in bold.
- 747 Fig. 3. Ceramic containers from Cache N10-12/8, Structure N10-12 (LA 1894). Lip-to-lip
- caches of this type are believed to be symbolic the Maya cosmos and are often associated with
- 749 dedicatory offerings (Guderjan 2007).
- **Fig. 4**. Carbonized plant macroremains from Lamanai: a) *Phaseolus vulgaris* cotyledon, b) *P*.
- vulgaris embryo close-up, c) Zea mays kernels, d) Acrocomia aculeata endocarp.
- 752 **Fig. 5.** Wood micrographs a) *Pinus* sp., b) *Haematoxylum campechianum*, c) *Pouteria* sp., d)

753 Annona sp., e) Nectandra sp., f) Stizophyllum riparium.

Fig. 6. Comparison of wood use in ceremonial contexts at Lamanai to similar contexts at Tikaland Chan sites.

757 List of Tables

Table 1. Plant macroremains from Lamanai (*=carbonized).

Table 2. Summary of pine and hardwood macrobotanical remains recovered through time from
ceremonial contexts at Maya archaeological sites near Lamanai (Lentz et al. 2005b, 2012, 2014a,
2015; Morehart 2011).

Table 3. A Kruskal-Wallis test (Kruskal and Wallis 1952) was conducted to evaluate

763 differences among sample weights of pine charcoal from Late Classic ceremonial contexts at

Lamanai, Tikal and Chan. Because these data were not normally distributed, we elected to use a

non-parametric test. The differences in the three pine weight data sets were highly significant

766 ($\chi^2 = 27.067$, df = 2, p < 0.001). In a post hoc multiple comparison test (Dunn 1961), all three data

sets were significantly different at the p=0.05 level with Lamanai having significantly greater

768 weights of pine charcoal per sample than Tikal or Chan.

Provenience	Plant	Part	Weight	Sample	Cultural period	Calibrated	Context		
				#		¹⁴ C range			
LA 1742, N10-12 boulder core	Pinus caribaea	wood*	14.63g	10001	Terminal Classic-Early Postclassic	900 to 1025 CE	Charcoal apparently associated with sherds from Buk pedestal- based jar, Terclerp/Buk ceramics		
LA 1894/8, cache N10- 12/8 from N10-12	Pinus caribaea	wood*	9.38g	10024- 10025	Start of Terminal Classic	715 to 890 CE	Contents of lip-to-lip shallow bowls covered by another vessel (with a bird bone fragment and a dirt concretion both painted with cinnabar).		
LA 1894/6,	Pinus caribaea	wood*	57.25g	10004-	Start of Terminal	665 to 770	Contents of jar in cache N10-		
cache N10- 12/8 from N10-12, 1st	Annona sp.	wood*	0.11g	10005, and 10021- 10023	10005, and 10021-	10005, and 10021-	Classic	CE	12/8 (with <i>Spondylus</i> sp. shell fragments, ceramic sherds, bone fragments, and a rodent tooth).
	Casearia sp.	wood*	0.25g				Contents were deliberately		
	Haematoxylum campechianum	wood*	0.26g				some of the organic remains may have entered the vessel		
	Stizophyllum riparium	wood*	0.18g	-			after the cache was deposted. This represents the first phase		
	Angiosperm	wood*	2.77g						
	Dicot	stem*	0.02g						
	Dicot	burnt tuber	0.06						
LA 1764, N10- 77 Room B2	Pinus caribaea	wood*	0.57g	10006	Start of Terminal Classic	655 to 770 CE	Burnt stratum from room B2 covering rooms B2 and B3. Terclerp ceramics. Pine wood likely used as fuel in ritual.		
LA 1777, N10- 77 Room B2, cache N10- 77/2	Pinus caribaea	wood*	1.87g	10007	End of early facet of Late Classic & start of late facet of Late Classic	600 to 665 CE	Cache sealed by final plaster floor of Room B2 with jade, <i>Spondylus</i> sp. shell & obsidian. Burnt remains possibly in perishable container		
LA 1778, N10- 77, Room B3, core of bench 3	Manilkara zapota	wood*	0.71g	10012	Early facet of Late Classic	585 to 660 CE	Non-primary fill material from bench core; may represent a time long before actual bench construction.		
LA 1779, N10- 77, fill in bench 4, Room C	Pinus caribaea	wood*	1.60g	10013- 10014	Late facet of Late Classic	615 to 685 CE	Non-primary: found along with bones and sherds from Late Classic pottery, redeposited midden used as fill.		
LA 1783, N10- 77, cache N10- 77/5, Room B2	Pinus caribaea	wood*	130.91g	10015- 10017	End of early facet of Late Classic & start of late facet of Late Classic	670 to 770 CE	Charcoal in cavity in penultimate floor of Room B2; at initial end of final occupation phase; just west of cavity with jade fragments.		
LA 1784, N10- 77, cache N10- 77/3, Room B2	Pinus caribaea	wood*	5.17g	10018	End of early facet of Late Classic & start of late facet of Late Classic	660 to 770 CE	Charcoal in shallow cavity in doorway of Room B2 with obsidian, sherds.		

770	Table 1.	Plant macrorema	ains from L	Lamanai (*=carbonized	1).
-----	----------	-----------------	-------------	-----------	--------------	-----

Table 1 (continued). Plant macroremains from Lamanai (*=ca	=carbonized).
---	---------------

Provenience	Plant	Part	Weight	Sample	Cultural	Calibrated	Context
				#	period	¹⁴ C range	
LA 1785/1, N10- 77, cache N10- 77/4, Balana P2	Pinus caribaea	wood*	21.30g	10000	End of early facet	660 to 770	Contents of a ceramic vessel (black- slipped, grooved vase) placed
/ //4, Koom B2	Sapotaceae	wood*	1.50g		of Late Classic & start of late facet of Late Classic	CE	upside down in a cavity in the penultimate floor of Room B2 and sealed by the final floor (with slate). Possibly a wooden artefact that was burned and placed upside down in the vessel.
LA 1785, N10- 77, cache N10-	Pinus caribaea	wood*	119.15g	10019	End of early facet	660 to 770	Contents of a ceramic vessel (black- slipped grooved vase) placed
77/4, Room B2	Pouteria sp.	wood*	3.00g		of Late	CE	upside down in a cavity in the
	Sapotaceae	wood*	1.15g		start of late facet of Late Classic		sealed by the final floor (with slate).
LA 1798, N10- 77, cache N10- 77/8, Room C	Pinus caribaea	wood*	49.24g	10008- 10009 and 10020	Late facet of Late Classic	665 to 770 CE	Cut into Floor 1 and capped at or just below floor level following final floor construction and preceding filling of the space for construction of Str. N10-12 (with obsidian and <i>Spondylus</i> shell fragments).
LA 2522, N10- 77, cache N10- 77/10, Room C	Pinus caribaea	wood*	4.74g	10002	End of early facet of Late Classic and start of late facet of Late Classic	665 to 865 CE	Offering placed before the laying of the final floor (sealed by Floor 1, Room C). Material may have been placed in a perishable container.
LA 2524, N10- 77, cache N10- 77/12, Room C	Pinus caribaea	wood*	7.03g	10026- 10027	Late facet of Late Classic	670 to 775 CE	Cache N10-77/12 in Floor 2 of Room C, west of the center of the eastern doorway, sealed at upper floor level. Probably burnt in situ and capped by a stone slab mortared in place.
LA 2525, N10- 77, cache N10- 77/13, Room C	Pinus caribaea	wood*	19.14g	10003 and 10011	Late facet of Late Classic	645 to 770 CE	Cache N10-77/13, cut into Floor 1, Room C, and sealed at floor level; lay immediately north of cache N10-77/12. Capped by a mortar layer containing small pieces of facing stone, possibly burned in situ (with quartzite fragment). Like Caches N10-77/8 and 12, represents activity during the use-life of Room C following final floor construction.
LA 2532, N10- 77, cache N10- 77/19, Room C	Pinus caribaea	wood*	3.68g	10010	Early facet of Late Classic to start of late facet of Late Classic	685 to 875 CE	Cache N10-77/19, centered in eastern doorway of Room C, cut into Floor 2 and capped by Floor 1. Articulates with final floor construction of Room C,

Provenience	Plant	Part	Weight	Sample	Cultural	Calibrated	Context	
				#	period	¹⁴ C range		
LA 34/1C, Cache N10- 2/2, 'Gom' phase. South side of Str. N10-2, associated with a burial.	Zea mays	kernels*	5.33g	20001	Early Post- classic	1055 to 1255 CE	South side of stair block of Str. N10-2,4th. With freshwater snail shell	
	Zea mays	cob fragments*	2.28g				Contemporaneous with abandonment of Str.N10-2,	
	Pinus caribaea	wood*	2.16g				4th. Burnt as part of an offering with Sample #20002	
	Phaseolus vulgaris	seeds (2)*	0.01g					
LA 34/2C, Cache N10- 2/2, 'Gom' phase, Structure N10-2, associated with a burial	Mosannona depressa	wood*	0.02g	20002	Early Post- classic	No date.	South side of stair block of Str. N10-2,4th. Contemporaneous with abandonment of Str. N10-2, 4 th but carbon did not yield a	
	Phaseolus vulgaris	seeds*	3.96g					
	Pinus caribaea	wood*	18.61g				date at Oxford .Burnt as part of an offering with Sample	
	Arecaceae	vascular tissue*	3.04g				#20001	
	Angiosperm	wood*	3.92g					
LA 115/1C,	Casearia sp.	wood*	0.03g	20003	Early	1020 to	Dates the construction of the	
Str. N10-2, from within the walls of 'Gom.' Structure N10-2	Nectandra sp.	wood*	0.04g		Post- classic	1155 CE	phase N10-2, 4th; material assembled just prior to the time of construction	
	Angiosperm	wood*	12.41g					Contains young wood. Probably wattle with clay
	Acrocomia aculeata	endocarp*	1.71g				and trash mixed together.	

Table 1 (continued). Plant macroremains from Lamanai (*=carbonized).

- **Table 2.** Summary of pine and hardwood macrobotanical remains recovered through time from
- ceremonial contexts at archaeological sites near Lamanai (Lentz et al. 2005, 2012, 2015;
- 779 Morehart 2011).

			Pine			Hardwood	
Archaeological Site	# of contexts	Total Weight (g)	Avg. Weight (g) per context	%	Total Weight (g)	Avg. Weight (g) per context	%
Preclassic							
Chan	5	41.96	8.39	57.24%	31.35	6.27	42.76%
San Lorenzo	1	0.06	0.06	2.10%	2.8	2.80	97.90%
Tikal	7	10.06	1.44	8.42%	109.46	15.64	91.58%
Totals	13	52.08	4.01	26.61%	143.61	11.05	73.39%
Early Classic							
Actun Chapat	2	0.65	0.33	26.21%	1.83	0.92	73.79%
Actun Nak Beh	4	4.88	1.22	99.59%	0.02	0.01	0.41%
Chan	2	14.06	7.03	59.30%	9.65	4.83	40.70%
Tikal	30	27.32	0.91	6.04%	425.01	14.17	93.96%
Totals	38	46.91	1.23	9.70%	436.51	11.49	90.30%
Late Classic							
Actun Chapat	1	0.25	0.25	0.94%	26.33	26.33	99.06%
Actun Halal	5	1.86	0.37	17.22%	8.94	1.79	82.78%
Actun Chechem Ha	23	101.04	4.39	100.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00%
Actun Nak Beh	5	46.28	9.26	69.50%	20.31	4.06	30.50%
Barton Creek Cave	10	4.43	0.44	7.32%	56.06	5.61	92.68%
Chan	24	9.32	0.39	18.89%	40.03	1.67	81.11%
Lamanai	11	363.83	33.08	98.28%	6.36	0.58	1.72%
Twin Caves 2	1	2.60	2.60	96.65%	0.09	0.09	3.35%
Tikal	32	20.32	0.64	3.35%	585.75	18.30	96.65%
Totals	113	549.93	4.87	42.51%	743.87	6.58	57.49%
Terminal Classic							
Chan	30	8.50	0.28	15.71%	45.6	1.52	84.29%
Lamanai	3	67.20	22.40	94.96%	3.57	1.19	5.04%
Tarantula Cave	1	1.86	1.86	32.24%	3.91	3.91	67.76%
Tikal	8	14.88	1.86	16.27%	76.57	9.57	83.73%
Totals	42	92.44	2.20	41.62%	129.65	3.09	58.38%
Postclassic							
Chan	4	0.38	0.10	4.19%	8.69	2.17	95.81%
Lamanai	2	35.40	17.70	83.53%	6.98	3.49	16.47%
Tikal	1	0.04	0.04	0.40%	9.89	9.89	99.60%
Totals	7	17.21	2.46	48.09%	18.58	2.65	51.91%

- 782 **Table 3.** A Kruskal-Wallis test (Kruskal and Wallis 1952) was conducted to evaluate differences
- among sample weights of pine charcoal from Late Classic ceremonial contexts at Lamanai, Tikal
- and Chan. Because these data were not normally distributed, we elected to use a non-parametric
- test. The differences in the three pine weight data sets were highly significant ($\chi^2 = 27.067$, df = 2,
- p < 0.001). In a post hoc multiple comparison test (Dunn 1961), all three data sets were
- 787 significantly different at the p=0.05 level with Lamanai having significantly greater weights of
- 788 pine charcoal per sample than Tikal or Chan.
- 789
- 790

Dunn Post Hoc Multiple Comparison Test

Sites	Chan	Lamanai
Lamanai	-3.216389*	
	p <0.001	
Tikal	2.275526*	5.174651*
	p=0.0114	p<0.001

791

*represents the Z value