



Cochrane
Library

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Oral care measures for preventing nursing home-acquired pneumonia (Protocol)

Li C, Zhang Q, Ng L, Needleman I, Jie L, Walsh T

Li C, Zhang Q, Ng L, Needleman I, Jie L, Walsh T.

Oral care measures for preventing nursing home-acquired pneumonia.

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2016, Issue 10. Art. No.: CD012416.

DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD012416.

www.cochranelibrary.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

HEADER	1
ABSTRACT	1
BACKGROUND	1
OBJECTIVES	3
METHODS	3
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	7
REFERENCES	7
APPENDICES	11
CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS	12
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	12
SOURCES OF SUPPORT	12

[Intervention Protocol]

Oral care measures for preventing nursing home-acquired pneumonia

Chunjie Li¹, Qi Zhang², Linda Ng³, Ian Needleman⁴, Lin Jie⁵, Tanya Walsh⁶

¹Department of Head and Neck Oncology, State Key Laboratory of Oral Diseases, West China Hospital of Stomatology, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China. ²Department of Oral Implantology, State Key Laboratory of Oral Diseases, West China College of Stomatology, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China. ³School of Nursing and Midwifery, University of Queensland, South Brisbane, Australia. ⁴Unit of Periodontology and International Centre for Evidence-Based Oral Health, UCL Eastman Dental Institute, London, UK. ⁵Department of Oral Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Unit, West China Hospital of Stomatology, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China. ⁶Division of Dentistry, School of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

Contact address: Chunjie Li, Department of Head and Neck Oncology, State Key Laboratory of Oral Diseases, West China Hospital of Stomatology, Sichuan University, No. 14, Section Three, Ren Min Nan Road, Chengdu, Sichuan, 610041, China. lichunjie@scu.edu.cn, lichunjie07@qq.com.

Editorial group: Cochrane Oral Health Group.

Publication status and date: New, published in Issue 10, 2016.

Citation: Li C, Zhang Q, Ng L, Needleman I, Jie L, Walsh T. Oral care measures for preventing nursing home-acquired pneumonia. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2016, Issue 10. Art. No.: CD012416. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD012416.

Copyright © 2016 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

ABSTRACT

This is a protocol for a Cochrane Review (Intervention). The objectives are as follows:

To assess effects of oral care measures for preventing nursing home-acquired pneumonia in residents of nursing homes and other long-term care facilities.

BACKGROUND

Description of the condition

Residents of nursing homes and long-term care facilities are a predominantly geriatric population. Institutionalised elderly people are prone to poor oral health because they have reduced access to professional dental care and poor maintenance of personal oral hygiene (Berg 2000; Gaszynska 2014). Many studies have found that elderly people require professional oral hygiene care as well as instruction on personal oral hygiene (e.g. Frenkel 2000; Gaszynska 2014; Gluhak 2010; Petelin 2012).

The incidence of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) requiring hospitalisation is 1.96 to 10 times higher amongst elderly nursing home residents than community-dwelling elderly people (Marrie 2002; Ronald 2008; Ticinesi 2016), with a 2.29 times higher rate of 30-day mortality (Liapikou 2014). This may be attributable to the particular characteristics of residents of nursing homes and long-term care facilities, as they tend to be older, to have greater functional impairment and to have increased comorbidities, polypharmacy and dependence upon caregivers (Dudas 2000; Martínez-Moragón 2004). Pneumonia occurring in residents of long-term care facilities and nursing homes can be termed nursing home-acquired pneumonia (NHAP); it closely resembles CAP and usually is caused by multi-drug-resistant bacteria (Craven 2006;

Mylotte 2002), as indicated by data from the United States and Asia (Micek 2007; Nakagawa 2014), although not confirmed by European data (Brito 2009; Ewig 2010). Nursing home-acquired pneumonia is the leading cause of mortality among residents (Cho 2011; Nicolle 1996). Its reported mean incidence ranges from 1 to 3.2 per 1000 patient-days, with 600,000 emergency department admissions (El-Solh 2010; Medina-Walpole 1999; Muder 1998). It has been suggested that NHAP may be caused by aspiration of oropharyngeal flora into the lung and by failure of host defence mechanisms to eliminate aspirated bacteria (Scannapieco 2014; Verghese 1983).

Comorbidities considered as risk factors for NHAP include the following (Klapdor 2012; Ticinesi 2016):

- Cumulative Illness Rating Scale indexes;
- dementia;
- chronic obstructive pulmonary disease;
- mechanical ventilation; and
- ageing.

A growing body of evidence shows that poor oral hygiene and oral hygiene-related factors (e.g. denture use (O'Donnell 2016), being edentulous (Abe 2008)) may be additional risk factors for aspiration pneumonia among the elderly, who have an increased rate of dental plaque colonisation as a possible reservoir for pathogenic organisms associated with CAP or NHAP (Bassim 2008; Janssens 2005; Scannapieco 2003). A systematic review by Azarpazhooh 2006 concluded that there was fair evidence (II-2, grade B recommendation) of an association between pneumonia and oral health, and good evidence (I, grade A recommendation) that better oral health and frequent professional oral care reduce the occurrence or progression of respiratory disease among high-risk elderly living in nursing homes and especially those in intensive care units. However, an RCT by Juthani-Mehta 2015 indicated that advanced oral care measures did not significantly reduce the incidence of first radiographically-confirmed pneumonia or lower respiratory tract infection compared with usual care in residents of nursing homes. Given that NHAP may be linked to oral hygiene, interventions for maintaining good oral hygiene might be of significant interest in this population.

Description of the intervention

It is widely believed that improved oral hygiene and frequent professional oral health care can be effective in reducing the incidence or progression of respiratory infection in residents of nursing homes and long-term care facilities (Adachi 2007; Azarpazhooh 2006; Scannapieco 2003; Sjögren 2008; Watando 2004). Multiple oral care measures have been reinforced by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guideline that introduced detailed oral care measures (NICE guideline 2016). The nature of oral care measures that have been proposed is diverse, but they can be classified broadly as follows.

- Mechanical aids to remove plaque and debris from the oral cavity, for example:
 - toothbrushing;
 - swabbing with water.
- Topical (chemical) disinfection to reduce colonisation, for example:
 - mouthrinses;
 - sprays;
 - liquids;
 - gels.

Antiseptics are broadly defined to include saline, chlorhexidine, povidone-iodine and cetylpyridium and others, but to exclude antibiotics (Shi 2013).

• Combination of mechanical plaque removal and topical disinfection, for example:

- ◦ swabbing with antiseptic;
- toothbrushing with antibacterial toothpaste;
- daily toothbrushing plus antiseptic rinse.
- Professional dental care, for example:
 - aided toothbrushing;
 - suction to remove excess fluid.

Oral care measures can be delivered at any frequency. Oral health measures can be delivered by carers, nurses, dental care professionals or dentists (Ekstrand 2013; Zuluaga 2012).

How the intervention might work

Increasing evidence suggests a link between colonisation of bacteria and respiratory infection and pneumonia. Gram-negative bacilli (GNB), such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Enterobacter* spp., have been suspected as causative pathogens of pneumonia (Craven 1992; Liapikou 2014). Dependent and frail elderly patients have a higher detection rate of GNB in their oropharyngeal cavities (Leibovitz 2003; Mylotte 1994; Palmer 2001). Sumi 2007 showed that in a group of 138 dependent elderly, a potential respiratory pathogen colonised the dental plaques of 89 individuals (64.5%). Aspiration of oropharyngeal fluid may cause translocation and colonisation of potential pulmonary pathogens in the lower respiratory tract and lungs (Gibbons 1989; Munro 2004; Whittaker 1996); the latter may cause aspiration pneumonia (Van der Maarel-Wierink 2013). Therefore, oral care measures that reduce the colonisation of bacteria could result in decreased risk of pneumonia.

The risk of NHAP might be reduced by measures that mechanically disrupt the biofilm (such as manual or electric toothbrushing) and/or by the use of oral antiseptics that may remain active on oral tissues for several hours after application, reducing the build-up of plaque. For example, chlorhexidine (CHX) gluconate

is a broad-spectrum antiseptic agent that reduces both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria associated with respiratory tract infection; it can remain chemically active on tissue for up to six hours (Tantipong 2008). Oral conditions of elderly people have been shown to be improved by rinsing with 0.12% CHX solution daily or weekly for six weeks (DeRiso 1996; Persseon 1991). Similarly, manual oral brushing improves oral hygiene by reducing bacterial pathogen colonisation, and improves the swallowing reflex by stimulating gums (Yamaya 2001; Yoshino 2001). With removal or disruption of the oral plaque, pneumonia could be significantly reduced (Shi 2013; Van der Maarel-Wierink 2013). Such oral care measures can be used alone or in combination. For example, Yoshida 2001 found that tooth-brushing after each meal and daily rinsing with 1% povidone-iodine in conjunction with weekly professional dental care significantly decreased the incidence of pneumonia in nursing homes.

Why it is important to do this review

Cochrane Oral Health undertook an extensive prioritisation exercise in 2014 to identify a core portfolio of clinically important review titles to be maintained in *The Cochrane Library* (Worthington 2015). The dental public health expert panel (<http://ohg.cochrane.org/priority-reviews>) identified this review as a priority title.

Although good oral hygiene has been shown to play an important role in maintaining the oral health and well-being of institutionalised people, oral care measures have generally been afforded low priority in nursing homes. In some guidelines, such as British Thoracic Society guidance on the prevention of CAP, oral hygiene is not mentioned (Lim 2009). Moreover, nurses have limited knowledge regarding provision of mouth care in general (Frenkel 2000; Jablonski 2005; Pyle 2005). Chiba 2009 reported that 32.4% of caregivers hesitated to provide oral care measures, which indicated their lack of knowledge about oral hygiene but bespoke oral health education has been shown to have a positive effect on caregivers' knowledge and attitudes (Charteris 2001; Frenkel 2001; Frenkel 2002; Sjögren 2010). A systematic review by Kaneoka 2015 found that mechanical oral cleaning significantly reduced the risk of fatal pneumonia in residents in nursing homes. However, no other kinds of oral care measures were evaluated, and no Cochrane systematic review has focused on this issue.

We believe it is important to synthesise the evidence from randomised controlled trials of oral care interventions that have evaluated their effectiveness in reducing NHAP. Identifying effective oral care interventions is also an essential step towards improving oral health and quality of life for nursing home residents.

OBJECTIVES

To assess effects of oral care measures for preventing nursing home-acquired pneumonia in residents of nursing homes and other long-term care facilities.

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies

We will include parallel randomised controlled trials (RCTs) assessing the effects of oral care measures in residents of nursing and other long-term care facilities. We will include cluster-RCTs, for which the unit of randomisation is the care facility. We will exclude cross-over studies.

Wu 2009 has shown that studies carried out in China often use the terminology of randomisation in a broader way than is usual in other countries, such as the UK. Therefore, we will contact the authors of trials written in Chinese to request a description of the randomisation method used and will include only those trials where participants' allocation to treatment is random.

We will include all trials of oral care in which the purpose of the study is to reduce the incidence of pneumonia. We will exclude trials that report only intermediate outcomes, such as dental plaque and gingivitis, without providing data on pneumonia.

We will not include studies for which the only available information is presented in an abstract with no record of a full-text publication; this would provide insufficient information to enable full assessment of risk of bias.

Types of participants

Residents of any age in nursing homes and other long-term care facilities (e.g. rehabilitation units, medical care facilities), irrespective of oral health status (e.g. edentulous or dentate, using dentures, having physical or intellectual disabilities, being mechanically ventilated, using alternative feeding route). We will exclude participants with pneumonia or respiratory infection at baseline.

Types of interventions

We will include studies comparing oral care measure(s) for prevention of NHAP versus no treatment, placebo, usual care or any other oral care measure(s) used to prevent NHAP (head-to-head studies).

- Intervention group: participant receives clearly defined oral care measure(s), such as professional oral care (dentists/dental hygienists/nurse-assisted tooth brushing), oral rinse or swab and topical decontamination with antiseptics, regardless of frequency, dosage or formulation.

- Control group: participant receives placebo or another specific oral care measure(s) or no treatment or usual care, including self-care.

Use of topical antibiotics in the intervention group only makes a study ineligible for inclusion in the review.

Types of outcome measures

Primary outcomes

- Incidence, incidence proportion or prevalence of NHAP of any severity (diagnosis of NHAP should be based on radiological results, clinical signs and symptoms, bacterial culture or some synthetic criteria ([American Thoracic Society 2005](#)))
 - Mortality (pneumonia-associated death)
 - Mortality (all-cause death)

Secondary outcomes

- Change in systemic antibiotic use: This parameter includes both number of participants who have used systemic antibiotics and length of antibiotic use
 - Adverse reactions to the interventions (both local and systemic): This parameter refers to both numbers of participants who have adverse reactions and numbers of adverse reactions
 - Incidence or prevalence of fever: This will include proportions of participants with fever higher than 37.8°C and prolonged length of febrile days
 - Change in data on economics and quality of life
 - Oral health indices, such as gingival index, plaque index, bleeding index, periodontal index, etc.

Search methods for identification of studies

Cochrane Oral Health's Information Specialist will conduct systematic searches for randomised controlled trials and for controlled clinical trials. We will place no restrictions on the language or date of publication when searching electronic databases.

Electronic searches

We will search the following databases.

- Cochrane Oral Health Group Trials Register.
- Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), in *The Cochrane Library*.
- MEDLINE Ovid (from 1946 onwards) (see [Appendix 1](#)).
- Embase Ovid (1980 onwards).
- Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL) EBSCO (from 1937 onwards).
- Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (1978 to present).

- China National Knowledge Infrastructure (1994 to present).

Searching other resources

We will search the following trials registries and databases.

- US National Institutes of Health Ongoing Trials Register ClinicalTrials.gov (<http://clinicaltrials.gov/>).
- World Health Organization International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (<apps.who.int/trialsearch>).
- Sciencepaper Online.

We will search the reference lists of included studies and review articles for additional papers.

We will not perform a separate search for adverse effects of interventions. We will consider adverse effects described in included studies only.

Review authors will scan records from 19 Chinese dental and nursing journals (2000 to 2010), as listed below.

- *Chinese Journal of Stomatology.*
- *Journal of Practical Stomatology.*
- *Shanghai Journal of Stomatology.*
- *Journal of Clinical Stomatology.*
- *West China Journal of Stomatology.*
- *Journal of Modern Stomatology.*
- *Journal of Stomatology.*
- *Journal of Oral Science Research.*
- *Journal of Dental Prevention and Treatment.*
- *International Journal of Stomatology.*
- *Beijing Journal of Stomatology.*
- *Chinese Journal of Geriatric Dentistry.*
- *Chinese Journal of Nursing.*
- *Chinese Nursing Management.*
- *Nursing Journal of Chinese People's Liberation.*
- *Journal of Nursing Science.*
- *Chinese Journal of Practical Nursing.*
- *Chinese Nursing Research.*
- *Modern Clinical Nursing.*

Data collection and analysis

Selection of studies

Two review authors will independently screen the titles and abstracts retrieved from the searches. We will independently assess eligibility according to the inclusion criteria and will obtain full-text copies of studies that appear to meet the inclusion criteria, or when information in the title or the abstract is insufficient to permit a clear judgement of eligibility. We will resolve disagreements by discussion within the review author team.

From the retrieved full-text articles, we will discard studies that clearly do not meet the inclusion criteria and will record the reasons for exclusion in the 'Characteristics of excluded studies' tables.

Data extraction and management

Two review authors will carry out data extraction independently and will resolve disagreements by discussion.

We will create a data extraction form and will pilot it on three of the included studies. Two review authors will extract the following data independently and will record them in the 'Characteristics of included studies' tables.

- Trial design with inclusion and exclusion criteria, duration, setting and location of the study.
- Demographic data of participants and risk factors for NHAP, including proportions of non-oral feeding, dysphagia, xerostomia, tongue coating, mechanical ventilation and MRSA.
- Diagnostic criteria of CAP or NHAP: outcomes such as incidence of NHAP and mortality; oral, dental and respiratory health status before and after treatment; any adverse reactions potentially relevant to the interventions; and timing of measurement.
- Management and intensity of specific interventions.

If any important data are missing, we will contact the authors of the study to request it. We will collect data from duplicated studies and will analyse these findings as from a single study.

Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

Two review authors will independently carry out risk of bias assessments and will resolve disagreements by discussion. We will use the tool for risk of bias assessment of The Cochrane Collaboration (Higgins 2011a).

We will use seven domains to assess the risk of bias in included studies. For each domain, we will provide information from the trial report on what measures were taken to address possible bias and a judgement of 'low risk', 'unclear risk' and 'high risk' of bias. We present the seven domains and their descriptions below.

- Random sequence generation: selection bias (biased allocation to interventions) due to inadequate generation of a randomised sequence. We will consider low risk of bias only if the generation of random numbers is clearly described. We will consider an unclear description of random sequence generation with the phrase "stratified randomisation", "block randomisation scheme" or "randomisation completed by statistician or nurse" as having unclear risk of bias.
- Allocation concealment: selection bias (biased allocation to interventions) due to inadequate concealment of the allocation.
- Blinding of participants and personnel: performance bias due to knowledge of the allocated interventions by participants and personnel during the study. We will judge studies with completely different treatment arms when blinding was apparently impossible as having high risk of performance bias, even if details of blinding were not reported.
- Blinding of outcome assessment: detection bias due to knowledge of allocated interventions by outcome assessors.
- Incomplete outcome data: attrition bias due to quantity, nature or handling of incomplete outcome data.
- Selective reporting: reporting bias due to selective outcome reporting.
- Other bias: bias due to problems not covered elsewhere in the table, such as baseline imbalance, contamination and co-intervention.

We will classify the overall risk of bias in included studies as in the following table, and we will present this information as a 'Risk of bias' summary graphic.

Risk of bias	Interpretation	In outcome	In included studies
Low risk of bias	Plausible bias unlikely to seriously alter the results	Low risk of bias for all key domains	Most information is from studies at low risk of bias.
Unclear risk of bias	Plausible bias that raises some doubt about the results	Unclear risk of bias for one or more key domains	Most information is from studies at low or unclear risk of bias
High risk of bias	Plausible bias that seriously weakens confidence in the results	High risk of bias for one or more key domains	The proportion of information from studies at high risk of bias is sufficient to affect interpretation of results

Blinding of outcome assessment is less important for our objective outcomes all-cause mortality and pneumonia-associated mortality. We will consider this when assessing the quality of evidence on mortality in 'Summary of findings' tables.

Measures of treatment effect

We will treat the incidence proportion and prevalence of NHAP as dichotomous data (presence/absence) and the incidence of NHAP and mortality as time-to-event data when this has been reported, or as dichotomous data when time to event is not reported. The effect estimate for dichotomous outcomes will be the risk ratio (RR) with 95% confidence interval (CI).

For time-to-event data, we will express the treatment effect as a hazard ratio (HR) or a rate ratio. If HR is not reported, we will calculate the log HR and the standard error from available summary statistics or Kaplan-Meier curves, according to the methods proposed by [Parmar 1998](#), or we will request the data from study authors. If all measures fail, we will consider RR for time-to-event data presented as one-year survival, two-year survival and so on.

For continuous outcomes, when studies use the same scale, we will use mean values and standard deviations (SDs) to express the estimate of effect as mean difference (MD) with 95% confidence interval (CI). When different scales are used to measure the same outcome, we will use the standardised mean difference (SMD) with 95% CI as the effect measure.

We consider it likely that few participants will have adverse reactions; we will adopt the Peto odds ratio and the 95% CI as measures of treatment effect.

Unit of analysis issues

The unit of analysis adopted in this review will be the individual, and we will analyse only participant-level data. For cluster-RCTs analysed and reported by statistical measures that take clustering into account, we will use the reported effect estimate and the standard error. When clustering has been ignored, we will attempt to re-analyse study data using approximate analyses with an 'effective sample size.' We will calculate and use external estimates of the intracluster correlation coefficient (ICC) from similar studies (when available) to calculate the design effect ([Deeks 2011](#)).

Dealing with missing data

We will contact the first and corresponding authors of the study to request missing details. If these are not forthcoming, we will use standard methods provided in the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* ([Higgins 2011b](#)) to extract approximate summary statistics from primary studies.

Assessment of heterogeneity

For each meta-analysis, we will assess clinical heterogeneity by examining characteristics of studies and similarities between types of

participants, interventions and outcomes. We will use Cochran's Q test to determine the presence of statistical heterogeneity at a significance level of 0.1. We will use the I² statistic (plus 95% confidence interval) to quantify the degree of statistical heterogeneity as follows.

- 0% to 40% may indicate slight heterogeneity.
- 30% to 60% may indicate moderate heterogeneity.
- 50% to 90% may indicate substantial heterogeneity.
- 75% to 100% may indicate very substantial heterogeneity.

If substantial or very substantial heterogeneity exists, we will provide a narrative description of the results instead of pooling data.

Assessment of reporting biases

To assess whether results are influenced by publication bias, we will construct a funnel plot to assess asymmetry (assuming we have at least 10 studies). We will use tests for funnel plot asymmetry, such as Egger's methods for continuous data ([Egger 1997](#)), and Begg's methods for dichotomous and time-to-event data ([Begg 1994](#)).

Data synthesis

We will undertake meta-analysis only when studies of similar comparisons report the same outcomes. Our general approach to evidence synthesis will be to use a random-effects model. With this approach, the CI for the average intervention effect is wider than the value that would be obtained if a fixed-effect approach were used, leading to a more conservative interpretation.

In an additional table, we will report the results from studies not suitable for inclusion in meta-analysis.

Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity

To decide whether intervention effect is consistent across participants or interventions with specific characteristics, we will conduct subgroup analyses. The main subgroup analysis will include types of oral care measures. We will also consider study design (cluster or parallel), length of follow-up, characteristics of participants (dentate or edentulous, with or without physical/intellectual disabilities), characteristics of oral care measures (e.g. concentrations of the solutions used, mechanical or topical intervention) and diagnostic criteria of the outcome (clinical or radiological).

Sensitivity analysis

To test stability of judgements made during the review process, we will undertake sensitivity analyses that include only studies at low risk of bias or only studies using intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis.

Assessing the quality of the evidence

We will assess the quality of the body of evidence for comparisons of clinical importance, such as different oral care drugs versus placebo; oral care measures versus no treatment; and nurse/dentist-aided oral measures versus confrontational oral measures. At least two of the review authors, with no conflicts of interest, will use GRADE criteria and GRADE profiler software to independently judge the quality of the evidence (Atkins 2004; Guyatt 2008; Schünemann 2011). Evidence from randomised controlled trials is initially judged to be of high quality, but our confidence in the body of evidence may be decreased owing to study limitations (risk of bias), indirectness of the evidence, heterogeneity, imprecision of effect estimates and risk of publication bias (see above [Assessment of reporting biases](#)). We will classify the quality of a body of evidence into one of four categories: high, moderate, low or very low (Guyatt 2008).

Summarising findings

We will present all important comparisons and primary outcomes in the 'Summary of findings' (SoF) table(s), together with illus-

trative comparative risks (which will be based on epidemiological data on the prevalence of NHAP if robust data are available, or will be based on the included studies); relative effect; numbers of participants and studies involved; quality of the evidence; and related comments. We will also include information pertaining to adverse events.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The review authors would like to thank Anne Littlewood, Information Specialist, Cochrane Oral Health, for developing the search strategy in MEDLINE and providing assistance in literature searching. We would also like to thank Laura MacDonald, Managing Editor of Cochrane Oral Health, for help and suggestions on this protocol. And we want to thank Philip Riley, Editor of Cochrane Oral Health, for his help with this protocol. We would like to thank Dr Huixu Xie, Dr Ping Wang, Professor E Chen and Dr Mervyn Singer for their contributions to the first draft of this protocol. We also thank Professor Zongdao Shi for special contributions in the design and advice for the protocol.

REFERENCES

Additional references

Abe 2008

Abe S, Ishihara K, Adachi M, Okuda K. Tongue-coating as risk indicator for aspiration pneumonia in edentate elderly. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics* 2008;**47**(2):267–75.

Adachi 2007

Adachi M, Ishihara K, Abe S, Okuda K. Professional oral health care by dental hygienists reduced respiratory infections in elderly persons requiring nursing care. *International Journal of Dental Hygiene* 2007;**5**(2):69–74.

American Thoracic Society 2005

American Thoracic Society, Infectious Diseases Society of America. Guidelines for the management of adults with hospital-acquired, ventilator-associated, and healthcare-associated pneumonia. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2005;**171**(4):388–416.

Atkins 2004

Atkins D, Best D, Briss PA, Eccles M, Falck-Ytter Y, Flottorp S, et al. Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* 2004;**328**(7454):1490–4.

Azarpazhooh 2006

Azarpazhooh A, Leake JL. Systematic review of the association between respiratory diseases and oral health. *Journal of Periodontology* 2006;**77**(9):1465–82.

Bassim 2008

Bassim CW, Gibson G, Ward T, Paphides BM, Denucci DJ. Modification of the risk of mortality from pneumonia

with oral hygiene care. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 2008;**56**(9):1601–7.

Begg 1994

Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. *Biometrics* 1994;**50**(4):1088–101.

Berg 2000

Berg R, Berkey DB, Tang JM, Baine C, Altman DS. Oral health status of older adults in Arizona: results from the Arizona Elder Study. *Special Care in Dentistry* 2000;**20**(6):226–33.

Brito 2009

Brito V, Niederman MS. Healthcare-associated pneumonia is a heterogeneous disease, and all patients do not need the same broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy as complex nosocomial pneumonia. *Current Opinion in Infectious Diseases* 2009;**22**(3):316–25.

Charteris 2001

Charteris P, Kinsella T. The oral care link nurse: a facilitator and educator for maintaining oral health for patients at the Royal Hospital for Neuro-disability. *Special Care Dentistry* 2001;**21**(2):68–71.

Chiba 2009

Chiba Y, Shimoyama K, Suzuki Y. Recognition and behaviour of caregiver managers related to oral care in the community. *Gerodontology* 2009;**26**(2):112–21.

Cho 2011

Cho YJ, Jung BK, Ahn JS. A comparative study of nursing home-acquired pneumonia with community-acquired pneumonia. *Tuberculosis and Respiratory Diseases* 2011;**70**: 224–34.

Craven 1992

Craven DE, Steger KA, Barat LM, Duncan RA. Nosocomial pneumonia: epidemiology and infection control. *Intensive Care Medicine* 1992;**18**(Suppl 1):S3–9.

Craven 2006

Craven DE. What is healthcare-associated pneumonia, and how should it be treated?. *Current Opinion in Infectious Diseases* 2006;**19**(2):153–60.

Deeks 2011

Deeks JJ, Higgins JPT, Altman DG (editors). Chapter 9: Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. In: Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* Version 5.1.0 (updated March 2011). The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. www.handbook.cochrane.org.

DeRiso 1996

DeRiso AJ, Ladowski JS, Dillon TA, Justice JW, Peterson AC. Chlorhexidine gluconate 0.12% oral rinse reduces the incidence of total nosocomial respiratory infection and nonprophylactic systemic antibiotic use in patients undergoing heart surgery. *Chest* 1996;**109**(6):1556–61.

Dudas 2000

Dudas V, Hopefl A, Jacobs R, Guglielmo BJ. Antimicrobial selection for hospitalized patients with presumed community-acquired pneumonia: a survey of nonteaching US community hospitals. *Annals of Pharmacotherapy* 2000;**34**(4):446–52.

Egger 1997

Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 1997;**315**(7109):629–34.

Ekstrand 2013

Ekstrand KR, Poulsen JE, Hede B, Twetman S, Qvist V, Ellwood RP. A randomized clinical trial of the anti-caries efficacy of 5,000 compared to 1,450 ppm fluoridated toothpaste on root caries lesions in elderly disabled nursing home residents. *Caries Research* 2013;**47**(5):391–8.

El-Solh 2010

El-Solh AA, Niederman MS, Drinka P. Nursing home-acquired pneumonia: a review of risk factors and therapeutic approaches. *Current Medical Research and Opinion* 2010;**26**(12):2707–14.

Ewig 2010

Ewig S, Welte T, Chastre J, Torres A. Rethinking the concepts of community-acquired and health-care-associated pneumonia. *Lancet Infectious Diseases* 2010;**10**(4):279–87.

Frenkel 2000

Frenkel H, Harvey I, Newcombe RG. Oral health care among nursing home residents in Avon. *Gerodontology* 2000;**17**(1):33–8.

Frenkel 2001

Frenkel H, Harvey I, Newcombe RC. Improving oral health in institutionalized elderly people by educating caregivers: a randomized controlled trial. *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology* 2001;**29**(4):289–97.

Frenkel 2002

Frenkel H, Harvey I, Needs K. Oral health care education and its effect on caregivers' knowledge and attitudes: a randomized controlled trial. *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology* 2002;**30**(2):91–100.

Gaszynska 2014

Gaszynska E, Szatko F, Godala M, Gaszynski T. Oral health status, dental treatment needs, and barriers to dental care of elderly care home residents in Lodz, Poland. *Clinical Interventions in Aging* 2014;**9**:1637–44.

Gibbons 1989

Gibbons RJ. Bacterial adhesion to oral tissues: a model for infectious disease. *Journal of Dental Research* 1989;**68**(5): 750–60.

Gluhak 2010

Gluhak C, Arnetzl GV, Kirmeier R, Jakse N, Arnetzl G. Oral status among seniors in nine nursing homes in Styria, Austria. *Gerodontology* 2010;**27**(1):47–52.

Guyatt 2008

Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y, Alonso-Coello P, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* 2008;**336**(7650):924–6.

Higgins 2011a

Higgins JPT, Altman DG, Sterne JAC (editors). Chapter 8: Assessing risk of bias in included studies. In: Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* Version 5.1.0 (updated March 2011). The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. www.handbook.cochrane.org.

Higgins 2011b

Higgins JPT, Deeks JJ, Altman DG (editors). Chapter 16: Special topics in statistics. In: Higgins JPT, Green S (editors), *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* Version 5.1.0 (updated March 2011). The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. www.handbook.cochrane.org.

Jablonski 2005

Jablonski RA, Munro CL, Grap MJ, Elswick RK. The role of biobehavioral, environmental, and social forces on oral health disparities in frail and functionally dependent nursing home elders. *Biological Research For Nursing* 2005;**7**(1):75–82.

Janssens 2005

Janssens JP. Pneumonia in the elderly (geriatric) population. *Current Opinion in Pulmonary Medicine* 2005;**11**(3): 226–30.

Juthani-Mehta 2015

Juthani-Mehta M, Van Ness PH, McGloin J, Argraves S, Chen S, Charpentier P, et al. A cluster-randomized

- controlled trial of a multicomponent intervention protocol for pneumonia prevention among nursing home elders. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2015;**60**(6):849–57.
- Kaneoka 2015**
Kaneoka A, Pisegna JM, Miloro KV, Lo M, Saito H, Riquelme LF, et al. Prevention of healthcare-associated pneumonia with oral care in individuals without mechanical ventilation: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology* 2015;**36**(8):899–906.
- Klapdor 2012**
Klapdor B, Ewig S, Schaberg T, Rohde G, Pletz MW, Schütte H, et al. CAPNETZ Study Group. Presentation, etiology and outcome of pneumonia in younger nursing home residents. *Journal of Infection* 2012;**65**(1):32–8.
- Lefebvre 2011**
Lefebvre C, Manheimer E, Glanville J. Chapter 6: Searching for studies. In: Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* Version 5.1.0 (updated March 2011). The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. www.handbook.cochrane.org.
- Leibovitz 2003**
Leibovitz A, Plotnikov G, Habor B, Rosenberg M, Segal R. Pathogenic colonization of oral flora in frail elderly patients fed by nasogastric tube or percutaneous enterogastric tube. *Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences* 2003;**58**(1):52–5.
- Liapikou 2014**
Liapikou A, Polverino E, Cilloniz C, Peyrani P, Ramirez J, Menendez R, et al. Community-Acquired Pneumonia Organization (CAPO) Investigators. A worldwide perspective of nursing home-acquired pneumonia compared with community-acquired pneumonia. *Respiratory Care* 2014;**59**(7):1078–85.
- Lim 2009**
Lim WS, Boudouin SV, George RC, Hill AT, Jamieson C, Le Jeune I, et al. BTS guidelines for the management of community acquired pneumonia in adults: update 2009. *Thorax* 2009;**64**(Suppl 3):iii1–55.
- Marrie 2002**
Marrie TJ. Pneumonia in the long-term-care facility. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology* 2002;**23**(3):159–64.
- Martínez-Moragón 2004**
Martínez-Moragón E, García Ferrer L, Serra Sanchis B, Fernández Fabrellas E, Gómez Belda A, Julve Pardo R. Community-acquired pneumonia among the elderly: differences between patients living at home and in nursing homes. *Archivos de Bronconeumología* 2004;**40**(12):547–52.
- Medina-Walpole 1999**
Medina-Walpole AM, Katz PR. Nursing home-acquired pneumonia. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 1999;**47**(8):1005–15.
- Micek 2007**
Micek ST, Kollef KE, Reichley RM, Roubinian N, Kollef MH. Health care-associated pneumonia and community-acquired pneumonia: a single-center experience. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 2007;**51**(10):3568–73.
- Muder 1998**
Muder RR. Pneumonia in residents of long-term care facilities: epidemiology, etiology, management, and prevention. *American Journal of Medicine* 1998;**105**(4):319–30.
- Munro 2004**
Munro CL, Grap MJ. Oral health and care in the intensive care unit: state of the science. *American Journal of Critical Care* 2004;**13**(1):25–33.
- Mylotte 1994**
Mylotte JM, Ksiazek S, Bentley DW. Rational approach to the antibiotic treatment of pneumonia in the elderly. *Drugs & Aging* 1994;**4**(1):21–33.
- Mylotte 2002**
Mylotte JM. Nursing home-acquired pneumonia. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2002;**35**(10):1205–11.
- Nakagawa 2014**
Nakagawa N, Saito Y, Sasaki M, Tsuda Y, Mochizuki H, Takahashi H. Comparison of clinical profile in elderly patients with nursing and healthcare-associated pneumonia, and those with community-acquired pneumonia. *Geriatrics & Gerontology International* 2014;**14**(2):362–71.
- NICE guideline 2016**
Oral Health for Adults in Care Homes. NICE guideline 2016 ; Vol. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/indevelopment/gid-phg62> (accessed 23 September 2016). [nice.org.uk/guidance/ng48]
- Nicolle 1996**
Nicolle LE, Strausbaugh LJ, Garibaldi RA. Infections and antibiotic resistance in nursing homes. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* 1996;**9**(1):1–17.
- O'Donnell 2016**
O'Donnell LE, Smith K, Williams C, Nile CJ, Lappin DF, Bradshaw D, et al. Dentures are a reservoir for respiratory pathogens. *Journal of Prosthodontics* 2016;**25**(2):99–104.
- Palmer 2001**
Palmer LB, Albulak K, Fields S, Filkin AM, Simon S, Smaldone GC. Oral clearance and pathogenic oropharyngeal colonization in the elderly. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2001;**164**(3):464–8.
- Parmar 1998**
Parmar MK, Torri V, Stewart L. Extracting summary statistics to perform meta-analysis of the published literature for survival endpoints. *Statistics in Medicine* 1998;**17**(24):2815–34.
- Persseon 1991**
Persseon ER, Trulove L, Leresche L, Robinovitch MR. Therapeutic effects of daily or weekly chlorhexidine rinsing

- on oral health of a geriatric population. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, and Oral Pathology* 1991;**72**(2):184–91 .
- Petelin 2012**
Petelin M, Cotič J, Perkič K, Pavlič A. Oral health of the elderly living in residential homes in Slovenia. *Gerodontology* 2012;**29**(2):e447–57.
- Pyle 2005**
Pyle MA, Jasinevicius TR, Sawyer DR, Madsen J. Nursing home executive directors' perception of oral care in long-term care facilities. *Special Care Dentistry* 2005;**25**(2):111–7.
- Ronald 2008**
Ronald LA, McGregor MJ, McGrail KM, Tate RB, Broemling AM. Hospitalization rates of nursing home residents and community-dwelling seniors in British Columbia. *Canadian Journal on Aging* 2008;**27**(1):109–15.
- Scannapieco 2003**
Scannapieco FA, Bush RB, Paju S. Associations between periodontal disease and risk for nosocomial bacterial pneumonia and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. A systematic review. *Annals of Periodontology* 2003;**8**(1):54–69.
- Scannapieco 2014**
Scannapieco FA, Shay K. Oral health disparities in older adults: oral bacteria, inflammation, and aspiration pneumonia. *Dental Clinics of North America* 2014;**58**(4):771–82.
- Schünemann 2011**
Schünemann HJ, Oxman AD, Vist GE, Higgins JPT, Deeks JJ, Glasziou P, et al. Chapter 12: Interpreting results and drawing conclusions. In: Higgins JPT, Green S (editors), *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. www.handbook.cochrane.org.
- Shi 2013**
Shi Z, Xie H, Wang P, Zhang Q, Wu Y, Chen E, et al. Oral hygiene care for critically ill patients to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2013, Issue 8. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008367.pub2]
- Sjögren 2008**
Sjögren P, Nilsson E, Forsell M, Johansson O, Hoogstraate J. A systematic review of the preventive effect of oral hygiene on pneumonia and respiratory tract infection in elderly people in hospitals and nursing homes: effect estimates and methodological quality of randomised controlled trials. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 2008;**56**(11):2124–30.
- Sjögren 2010**
Sjögren P, Kullberg E, Hoogstraate J, Johansson O, Herbst B, Forsell M. Evaluation of dental hygiene education for nursing home staff. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 2010;**66**(2):345–9.
- Sumi 2007**
Sumi Y, Miura H, Michiwaki Y, Nagaosa S, Nagaya M. Colonization of dental plaque by respiratory pathogens in dependent elderly. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics* 2007;**44**(2):119–24.
- Tantipong 2008**
Tantipong H, Morkchareonpong C, Jaiyindee S, Thamlikitkul V. Randomized controlled trial and meta-analysis of oral decontamination with 2% chlorhexidine solution for the prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology* 2008;**29**(2):131–6.
- Ticinesi 2016**
Ticinesi A, Nouvenne A, Folesani G, Prati B, Morelli I, Guida L, et al. An investigation of multimorbidity measures as risk factors for pneumonia in elderly frail patients admitted to hospital. *European Journal of Internal Medicine* 2016;**28**:102–6.
- Van der Maarel-Wierink 2013**
Van der Maarel-Wierink CD, Vanobbergen JN, Bronkhorst EM, Schols JM, De Baat C. Oral health care and aspiration pneumonia in frail older people: a systematic literature review. *Gerodontology* 2013;**30**(1):3–9.
- Vergheze 1983**
Vergheze A, Berk SL. Bacterial pneumonia in the elderly. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 1983;**62**(5):271–85.
- Watando 2004**
Watando A, Ebihara S, Ebihara T, Okazaki T, Takahashi H, Asada M, et al. Daily oral care and cough reflex sensitivity in elderly nursing home patients. *Chest* 2004;**126**(4):1066–70.
- Whittaker 1996**
Whittaker CJ, Lier CM, Kolenbrander PE. Mechanisms of adhesion by oral bacteria. *Annual Review of Microbiology* 1996;**50**:513–2.
- Worthington 2015**
Worthington H, Clarkson J, Weldon J. Priority oral health research identification for clinical decision-making. *Evidence-based Dentistry* 2015;**16**(3):69–71.
- Wu 2009**
Wu T, Li Y, Bian Z, Liu G, Moher D. Randomized trials published in some Chinese journals: how many are randomized?. *Trials* 2009;**10**:46.
- Yamaya 2001**
Yamaya M, Yanai M, Ohrai T, Arai H, Sasaki H. Interventions to prevent pneumonia among older adults. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 2001;**49**(1):85–90.
- Yoshida 2001**
Yoshida M, Yoneyama T, Akagawa Y. Oral care reduces pneumonia of elderly patients in nursing homes, irrespective of dentate or edentate status. *Nippon Ronen Igakkai Zasshi* 2001;**38**(4):481–3.

Yoshino 2001

Yoshino A, Ebihara T, Ebihara S, Fuji H, Sasaki H. Daily oral care and risk factors for pneumonia among elderly nursing home patients. *JAMA* 2001;**286**(18):2235–6.

Zuluaga 2012

Zuluaga DJ, Ferreira J, Montoya JA, Willumsen T. Oral health in institutionalised elderly people in Oslo, Norway and its relationship with dependence and cognitive impairment. *Gerodontology* 2012;**29**(2):e420–6.

* Indicates the major publication for the study

APPENDICES

Appendix I. MEDLINE via Ovid Search Strategy

1. exp Preventive dentistry/
2. exp Dentifrices/
3. Mouthwashes/
4. Oral health/
5. Anti-infective agents, local/
6. Cetylpyridinium/
7. Chlorhexidine/
8. Povidone-iodine/
9. ((oral or mouth or dental) adj3 (care or hygiene or health)).ti,ab.
10. (care adj3 teeth).ti,ab.
11. (denture\$ adj5 (clean\$ or clens)).ti,ab.
12. (plaque adj3 (control\$ or remov\$)).ti,ab.
13. (mouthwash\$ or mouth-wash\$ or mouthrins\$ or mouth-rins\$ or oral-rins\$ or toothpaste\$ or “tooth paste\$” or dentifrice\$ or toothbrush\$ or “tooth brush\$” or fluorid\$ or chlorhexidine or betadine\$ or triclosan or cepacol or Corsodyl or Peridex or Hibident or Prexidone or Parodex or Chlorexil or Peridont or Eludril or Peroxidin or Chlorohex or Savacol or Periogard or Chlorhexamed or Nolvasan or Sebidin or Tubulicid or hibitane).ti,ab.
14. (antiseptic\$ or antiinfect\$ or “local microbicide\$” or “topical microbicide\$”).ti,ab.
15. ((oral or mouth or dental) adj5 (foam\$ or gel\$)).ti,ab.
16. (floss\$ or “interdental brush\$” or (tooth adj5 clean\$) or (teeth adj5 clean\$) or (denture\$ adj5 hygien\$) or (tongue\$ adj5 scrap\$)).ti,ab.
17. “professional oral health care”.ti,ab.
18. or/1-17
19. exp Pneumonia/
20. pneumonia.ti,ab.
21. (“gram negative bacilli” or “pseudomonas aeruginosa” or “pseudomonas aruginosa” or enterobacter\$ or pneumonitis or “pulmonary inflammation” or “lung inflammation”).ti,ab.
22. or/19-21
23. 18 and 22

The above subject search will be linked to the Cochrane Highly Sensitive Search Strategy (CHSSS) for identifying randomised trials in MEDLINE: sensitivity-maximising version (2008 revision) as referenced in Chapter 6.4.11.1 and detailed in box 6.4.c of *The Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*, Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011] ([Lefebvre 2011](#)).

1. randomized controlled trial.pt.
2. controlled clinical trial.pt.
3. randomized.ab.

4. placebo.ab.
5. drug therapy.fs.
6. randomly.ab.
7. trial.ab.
8. groups.ab.
9. or/1-8
10. exp animals/ not humans.sh.
11. 9 not 10

CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

Chunjie Li and Qi Zhang wrote and revised the protocol. Linda Ng, Ian Needleman and Jie Lin were involved in revising the protocol. Tanya Walsh revised the protocol and provided important guidance.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Chunjie Li: none known

Qi Zhang: none known

Linda Ng: none known

Ian Needleman has received funding for lectures and research from industry related to oral hygiene products and prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia. Professor Needleman is an editor with Cochrane Oral Health.

Tanya Walsh: none known. Tanya Walsh is an editor with Cochrane Oral Health.

Jie Lin: none known

SOURCES OF SUPPORT

Internal sources

- West China School of Stomatology and State Key Laboratory of Oral Diseases, Sichuan University, China.

External sources

- National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), UK.

This project was supported by the NIHR, via Cochrane Infrastructure funding to Cochrane Oral Health. The views and opinions expressed therein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Systematic Reviews Programme, NIHR, NHS or the Department of Health.

- Cochrane Oral Health Global Alliance, Other.

The production of Cochrane Oral Health reviews has been supported financially by our Global Alliance since 2011 (ohg.cochrane.org/partnerships-alliances). Contributors over the past year have been the British Association for the Study of Community Dentistry, UK; the British Society of Paediatric Dentistry, UK; the Canadian Dental Hygienists Association, Canada; the Centre for Dental Education and Research at All India Institute of Medical Sciences, India; the National Center for Dental Hygiene Research & Practice, USA; New York University College of Dentistry, USA; NHS Education for Scotland, UK