5

[1-3]

RHEUMATOLOGY

Commentary

Generalized joint hypermobility: a timely population study and proposal for Beighton cut-offs

Beighton cut-offs for generalized joint hypermobility

This commentary refers to Beighton scores and cutoffs across the lifespan: cross-sectional study of an Australian population, Harjodh Singh et al.

- Interest in joint hypermobility within the performing arts, 10 sports and medical communities has increased over the past 10 years, and this is reflected by the exponential growth in the number of publications in peer-reviewed journals over the past decade. Controversy exists over cut-off limits for generalized joint hypermobility (GJH)
- and whether or not GJH is a risk factor for injury. 15 However, there is a growing evidence base for an association between GJH and musculoskeletal pain, fatigue and disability [1]. Furthermore, readers will be aware that joint laxity is also a feature of several of the hereditary
- 20 disorders of connective tissue, such as Ehlers-Danlos Syndromes, Marfan Syndrome and Osteogenesis Imperfecta. The Beighton scale forms part of the diagnostic criteria for some of these syndromes. Therefore, an accurate method for identifying joint hypermobility across the lifespan, in different ethnic groups and in 25 both males and females, is required in order to make an
- accurate diagnosis. The population study published in this issue of Rheumatology entitled 'Beighton scores and cut-AQ2 offs across the lifespan: cross-sectional study of an Australian population' [2] is timely, and provides readers with a well-reasoned argument for proposed gender-
- specific cut-offs across the lifespan. AQ3 First developed in 1973 as an adaption of the Carter
- Wilkins scale, the 9-point Beighton scale was designed AQ4 as an epidemiological tool for identifying generalized hypermobility in Africa [3]. It was initially intended for adults and was not intended to be used for assessing children or in a clinical context. Other more comprehensive hypermobility scoring systems have been devised,
 - 40 such as the Rotès-Quérol scale [4], Bulbena scale [5], Contompasis score [6] and the Lower Limb Assessment Scale [7]. However, the Beighton scale, which is time-efficient and easy to administer, is the most commonly used. Limitations of the Beighton scale include the binary
 - all-or-nothing scoring system, upper limb focus and single 45 plane joint motion assessment. However, despite these limitations, the Beighton scale demonstrates good content validity in paediatric populations and high inter-examiner reproducibility at all ages [8-10]. The original Beighton
 - 50 scale used an arbitrary cut-off of four or more joints to determine GJH. Cut-offs of five, six, seven and even

eight have been used in younger populations as a way of accounting for flexibility in youth.

The authors of the current study critically justify the case for using Beighton score cut-offs closest to 55 the uppermost 5%, which correlates to 2 s.p. above the mean. This thinking is in line with the 1965 recommendation of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons seeking to prevent overdiagnosis of joint hypermobility and to allow for the greatest accuracy in determining vari-60 ations from the normal range of movement across agegroups and genders. Using this new criterion, a portrait of GJH in a typical Australian population is presented.

The paper concludes with an interesting recommendation by the authors of using a second assessment of joint 65 mobility, such as the Lower limb Assessment Scale, which examines multidimensional joint and tissue movement in order to improve the validity of a diagnosis. This would be aimed at children, as that measure has not yet been validated in adults. In conclusion, this is an important article 70 that provides researchers and clinicians with a new approach to assessing and determining GJH across the lifespan.

Funding: No specific funding was received from any bodies in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors to carry out the work described in this manuscript.

Disclosure statement: J.S. is chair of the international Ehlers Danlos Syndrome physical therapy guidelines working group and physical therapy advisor to the 80 Hypermobility Syndromes Association and Ehlers Danlos Syndrome Support UK, and has received research grants from the Musculoskeletal Association of Chartered Physiotherapists and the Private Physiotherapy Education Fund, and honoraria from the Hypermobility 85 Syndromes Association, the ACR/Association of Rheumatology Health Professionals and the National Health Service hospital Trusts for teaching.

Jane Simmonds¹

¹Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, University 90 College London, London, UK Revised version accepted 7 April 2017 Correspondence to: Jane Simmonds, Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, University College London, 30 Guilford St, London WC1N 1EH, UK. 95 E-mail: jane.simmonds@ucl.ac.uk

COMMENTARY

75

Commentary

References

5

AQ5

- Scheper M, Juul Kristensen B, Rombaut L, Engelbert RRH. Disability in adolescents and adults diagnosed with hypermobility-related disorders: a meta-analysis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2016;97:2174-87.
- 2 Singh H, McKay M, Baldwin J *et al*. Beighton scores and cut-offs across the lifespan: cross-sectional study of an Australian population. Rheumatology 2017.
- 3 Beighton P, Solomon L, Soskolne CL. Articular mobility in an African population. Ann Rheum Dis 1973;32:413-8.
 - 4 Rotès-Quérol J. La laxite articulaire considérée comme facteur des altérations de l'appareil locomoteur. Revue du Rheumatism et des Maladies Osteo-Articulaires 1957;24:535–9.
- 15 5 Bulbena A, Duro JC, Porta M *et al.* Clinical assessment of hypermobility of joints: assembling criteria. J Rheumatol 1992;19:115–22.

- 6 McNerney JE, Johnston WB. Generalized ligamentous laxity, hallux abducto valgus and the first metatarsocuneiform joint. J Am Podiatry Assoc 1979;69:69-82.
- 7 Ferrari J, Parslow C, Lim E, Hayward A. Joint hypermobility: the use of a new assessment tool to measure lower limb hypermobility. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2005;23:413–20.
- Juul-Kristensen B, Rogind H, Jensen D *et al.* Inter-examiner reproducibility of tests and criteria for generalized joint
 hypermobility and benign joint hypermobility syndrome.
 Rheumatology 2007;46:1835–41.
- Junge T, Jespersen E, Wedderkopp N *et al.* Inter-tester reproducibility and inter-method agreement of two variations of the Beighton test for determining Generalised Joint Hypermobility in primary school children. BMC Pediatr 2013;13:214.
- 10 Smits-Engelsman B, Klerks M, Kirby A. Beighton Score: a valid measure for generalized hypermobility in children. J Pediatr 2011;158:119-23.

35

20