

# 1 **Ground-Penetrating Radar Monitoring of Concrete at High Temperature**

2 Francesco LO MONTE<sup>1</sup>, Federico LOMBARDI<sup>2</sup>, Roberto FELICETTI<sup>1</sup>, Maurizio LUALDI<sup>1</sup>

3 <sup>1</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Politecnico di Milano, Milan (Italy)

4 <sup>2</sup>Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, University College of London, London (UK)

5 e-mails: [francesco.lo@polimi.it](mailto:francesco.lo@polimi.it), [f.lombardi@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:f.lombardi@ucl.ac.uk), [roberto.felicetti@polimi.it](mailto:roberto.felicetti@polimi.it), [maurizio.lualdi@polimi.it](mailto:maurizio.lualdi@polimi.it)

6 **link to full paper:** <https://authors.elsevier.com/a/1VKDW3O1E18hJt>

7 **Abstract:** Water front in concrete exposed to rapid heating is the layer where water  
8 vaporization and the subsequent pore pressure rise take place. Pore pressure is one of the main  
9 triggering factors in heat-induced explosive spalling (relevant for structures such as tunnels  
10 exposed to fire), while moisture migration influences concrete radiation shielding capability  
11 (important in containment shells of nuclear power plants and radioactive waste repositories).  
12 Hence, the experimental monitoring of water front in concrete at high temperature is a very  
13 interesting – though challenging – task. In a recent experimental campaign carried out at  
14 Politecnico di Milano, promising results have been obtained by coupling pore pressure-  
15 temperature measurements and water front monitoring through Ground-Penetrating Radar.  
16 This technique was implemented in a fire test performed on a concrete slab heated at the  
17 bottom face and proved to be effective in detecting the position of the water front during  
18 heating. The combination with pressure measurement allowed to confirm that pressure peaks  
19 are achieved in correspondence of the water front.

20

21 **Keywords:** Concrete, drying, fire, Ground-Penetrating Radar, high temperature, pore  
22 pressure, spalling, vaporization, water.

23 **1. Introduction**

24 *1.1 Effect of water in concrete at high temperature: fire spalling and shielding capability*

25 Fire and, more generally, high temperature are extreme loads which need to be considered  
26 when strategic buildings and infrastructures are at issue, such as hospitals, tall buildings,  
27 nuclear power plants and tunnels. Even though concrete performs fairly well at high  
28 temperature, thanks to its low thermal conductivity and incombustibility, adequate fire  
29 resistance in structures can be achieved only if attention is paid to mix design, reinforcement  
30 arrangement and structural redundancy. In some cases, such as tunnels and nuclear power  
31 plants, not only the bearing capacity should be guaranteed, but also the performance regarding  
32 specific aspects such as fire- or heat-induced spalling and radiation shielding capability.

33 Heat-induced spalling is the violent breaking-off of concrete pieces from the exposed face,  
34 leading to sectional reduction and direct exposure of the reinforcing bars to the flames, both  
35 aspects being detrimental to the overall fire resistance.

36 Even though structural fire behaviour of tunnels is of concern just in extremely severe  
37 scenarios, avoiding spalling is a primary objective, since repair time and cost are critical  
38 issues together with the revenue loss because of traffic disruption.

39 A full understanding of spalling phenomenon, however, is no simple matter because of the  
40 presence of different factors such as heating rate, concrete thermo-physical properties  
41 degradation, initial moisture content and saturation level, pore pressure and stress (Kalifa et  
42 al., 2000; Khoury, 2000 and 2008; Fu and Li, 2010).

43 As sketched in Fig.1, the phenomenon can be ascribed to the mutual interaction between  
44 stress-induced cracking, ensuing from thermal gradients and external loads, and pore pressure  
45 rise, due to water vaporization and/or saturation (Khoury, 2000).

46 Thermal stress is caused by the significant temperature gradients typical of heated  
47 insulating materials.

48 In particular, compression arises in the exposed hot layers and tension in the cold core,  
49 followed by cracking parallel to the exposed face in the former case and orthogonal to the  
50 heated face in the latter one. Kinematic incompatibility between aggregate and cement paste,  
51 and release of absorbed- and chemically-bound water, as well as cement dehydration, also  
52 favour cracking (Fu and Li, 2010).

53 Pressure in the pores, on the other hand, is caused by water vaporization and vapour  
54 dilation. Pressure gradients cause moisture migration towards both the hot face and the inner  
55 core. In the latter case, moisture content can increase also due to vapour condensation, with  
56 possible saturation of the pores (Khoury, 2008). Especially in low-porosity concretes, such as  
57 High-Performance Concretes – HPC, water saturation in the pores can be attained, with the  
58 formation of a region characterized by very low permeability (the so-called moisture clog;  
59 Khoury, 2008). Consequently, very high values of pore vapour pressure can develop behind  
60 moisture clog (up to 5 MPa; Kalifa et al., 2000).

61 On the contrary in high-porosity concretes, such as Normal-Strength Concrete – NSC,  
62 vapour can more easily flow through cement matrix, this reducing the pressure. This is the  
63 reason why spalling is a big concern in HPC, together with its higher heat-sensitivity  
64 compared to NSC (Felicetti and Gambarova, 1998).

65 A well-established way to reduce spalling sensitivity is the addition of polypropylene fibre,  
66 whose beneficial effect comes from the further porosity induced by fibre melting at 160-  
67 170°C (Khoury, 2008), accompanied by microcracking in the cement matrix due to thermal  
68 dilation of melting fibre (Khoury, 2008) and to the stress intensification around the edges of  
69 the melting fibre (Pistol et al., 2014).

70 In spalling-sensitive structures like tunnels, the quantification of shard detachment via  
71 coupled hygro-thermo-mechanical or hygro-thermal numerical models can be useful in the  
72 design phase. Such analyses can be performed by means of available numerical codes able to

73 simulate heat and (fluids) mass transfer in concrete at high temperature (Gray and Schrefler,  
74 2001; Ichikawa and England, 2004; Tenchev and Purnell, 2005; Davie et al., 2006; Gray and  
75 Schrefler, 2007; Gawin et al., 2011a and 2011b).

76 The main problem for such models is the definition of concrete properties (first of all,  
77 porosity and permeability), that can be hardly measured at high temperature. In this case,  
78 inverse analysis based on experimental fire tests is the most reliable means for preliminary  
79 calibration. Within this context, water front monitoring in lab tests may be very helpful.

80 Water plays an important role also in the containment shells of nuclear power plants and  
81 radioactive waste repositories, thanks to its shielding property against  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and neutron  
82 radiation. Consequently, knowing how water front migrates at high temperature is  
83 instrumental in assessing the time required by a containment shell to fully dry, as complete  
84 drying reduces its shielding capability (Ichikawa and England, 2004; USNRC, 2013).

### 85 ***1.2 Ground-Penetrating Radar for water front monitoring***

86 Water front monitoring in concrete at high temperature is a challenging task, since the few  
87 techniques able to perform such measurement, as for example Neutron Radiography Imaging  
88 – NRI (Weber et al., 2013; Toropovs et al., 2015) and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance – NMR  
89 (van der Heijden et al., 2007; Erich et al., 2008; van der Heijden et al., 2011; van der Heijden  
90 et al., 2012) are very costly and strongly limit specimen geometry (100×100×25 mm for NRI  
91 in Toropovs et al., 2015; D×H = 80×100 mm for NMR in van der Heijden et al., 2012).

92 Another technique able to monitor water content and saturation in concrete is based on  
93 Ground-Penetrating Radar – GPR (Laurens et al., 2005; Sbartai et al., 2012; Rodriguez-Abad  
94 et al., 2014; Bagnoli et al. 2015).

95 GPR is a well-known and established non-destructive geophysical technique (Jol, 2008)  
96 commonly used in the field of structure inspections and building diagnosis (Lualdi and  
97 Lombardi, 2014a; Lualdi and Lombardi, 2014b; Benedetto and Pajewski, 2015), in addition to

98 a number of other high resolution subsurface imaging applications. It employs  
99 electromagnetic fields for the detection of buried objects and subsurface structures, and for  
100 material property characterization (Muller et al., 2016; Daniels, 2004). GPR relies on the  
101 principle that electromagnetic waves are reflected and scattered to some extent at boundaries  
102 separating different regions in the subsurface (Maierhofer, 2003; Yehia et al., 2014).  
103 Reflected and scattered waves are then collected by the receiver.

104 Among all the parameters determining the overall electrical properties, water content is the  
105 most significant factor (Sbartai et al., 2006a; Lai et al., 2009). Water content variations  
106 produce amplitude changes of GPR data (Laurens et al., 2002; Sbartai et al., 2006b; Klys and  
107 Balayssac, 2007), and significant travel time shifts once the changes involve a large portion of  
108 the imaged subsurface.

109 The amplitude of the reflections depends on the magnitude of the contrast between two  
110 contiguous regions, while the time shifts are caused by water slowing down the  
111 electromagnetic wave velocity. As reported in Laurens et al. (2005), the dielectric constant  $\epsilon_r$   
112 can be increased by more than two times going from dry ( $\epsilon_{r,dry} \approx 4$ ) to saturated concrete  
113 ( $\epsilon_{r,sat} \geq 8$ ). An even higher range is reported in IAEA (2002):  $\epsilon_{r,dry} - \epsilon_{r,sat} \approx 4.5 - 15$ .

114 As demonstrated by Laurens et al. (2005), Sbartai et al. (2012), Rodriguez-Abad et al.  
115 (2014) and Xiao et al. (2016), the above-mentioned mechanisms make GPR an effective  
116 method to characterize water content and transfer in concrete. GPR has also been used for  
117 assessing thermal damage in concrete after a fire, as shown in Abraham and Dérobert (2003).

118 In the present study, the primary objective is to detect the water front position in concrete  
119 at high temperature, rather than to directly measure the water content. GPR technique has  
120 been implemented within a research project at Politecnico di Milano (Lo Monte and Felicetti,  
121 2017). Concrete slabs heated at the bottom face have been tested both in unloaded conditions  
122 and under biaxial membrane loading, as discussed in the following sections.

## 123 **2. Experimental set-up and mix design**

### 124 **2.1 Fire test on concrete slabs**

125 A test setup has been designed and built at Politecnico di Milano for assessing concrete  
126 spalling sensitivity in fire conditions (Lo Monte and Felicetti, 2017). The specimen is a square  
127 concrete slab with an 800 mm-side and 100 mm-thickness (Fig.2a), subjected to heating at the  
128 bottom face according to the Standard temperature-time curve defined by EC1 (EN 1991-1-  
129 2:2004). During heating, a biaxial membrane load can be applied thanks to 8 hydraulic jacks  
130 restrained by a welded steel frame (Fig.2b). The fire load is applied by means of a horizontal  
131 furnace provided with a propane burner controlled by an active control system.

132 In order to protect the hydraulic jacks from high temperature, only the central portion of  
133 the slab is heated (600x600 mm). As shown in Fig.2a, 16 slits have been cut in the peripheral  
134 region of the specimen in order to break the mechanical continuity of the external cold rim so  
135 as to minimize the confining effect.

136 During the test, pressure and temperature can be monitored through the thickness via  
137 special embedded sensors (see also Felicetti et al., 2017) placed at 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and  
138 60 mm from the exposed face (Figs.2c,d).

### 139 **2.2 Ground-Penetrating Radar – GPR**

140 In one of the slabs tested so far, GPR technique has been implemented at the cold face  
141 (Fig.2a), aimed at monitoring the water front position during fire exposure. The measurements  
142 have been performed by exploiting the reflection of the electromagnetic waves propagating  
143 through a continuum, when a sudden change in electric properties occurs (see Fig.3a).

144 In the present case, the discontinuity is represented by the water front, namely the sharp  
145 gradient in water content separating dried and moist concrete (Fig.3a), while no or negligible  
146 influence is expected to be introduced by any possible fracturing process. Since, as  
147 abovementioned, concrete dielectric constant can increase by more than two times between

148 dry and saturated concrete, the aim of the present experimental study is to verify if the  
149 reflection due to such variation of electric properties allows to detect and monitor water front  
150 migration with sufficient accuracy.

151 The equipment is an IDS georadar antenna with a central frequency of 3GHz (Fig.3b). The  
152 two dipoles are 60 mm-spaced and are oriented orthogonally to the acquisition direction.

153 A series of profiles have been acquired at the cold face of the slab over the same scan line  
154 (Fig.2a and Fig.3b) during heating, with an almost constant time separation between  
155 subsequent scans. Profiles characteristics are reported in Table 1, while the processing steps  
156 (Yilmaz, 2001) applied on the datasets are described in Table 2.

157 The location of the GPR has been studied in order to avoid boundary effects linked to  
158 thermal, electromagnetic or stress fields. Temperature and stress distributions have been  
159 previously analysed via thermo-mechanical numerical models performed via the finite  
160 element code Abaqus, while no disturbance in GPR measurements has been observed during  
161 the experimental test due to the presence of the hydraulic jacks (thanks to the distance  
162 between the antenna and the actuators, which was larger than 20 cm).

163 Preliminarily, the wave velocity has been accurately computed by placing a metallic plate  
164 at the bottom face of the slab to plainly detect wave reflection. Time to depth conversion  
165 resulted in a velocity of 13.5 cm/ns, corresponding to a relative dielectric constant of  
166 approximately 5 and a vertical radar resolution  $\lambda/4$  of about 1 cm.

### 167 ***2.3 Concrete mix design and applied load***

168 The tested slab was made of HPC with 400 kg/m<sup>3</sup> of CEM I, 200 kg/m<sup>3</sup> of Ground Granulated  
169 Blast Furnace Slag, 1559 kg/m<sup>3</sup> of silico-calcareous aggregates (maximum aggregate size  
170 16 mm) and water-to-cement ratio equal to 0.36.

171 Monofilament polypropylene fibre was added (content = 2 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, L = 12 mm;  $\emptyset_{eq}$  =  
172 20  $\mu$ m; extruded straight fibre treated with a surfactant agent). Membrane load was designed

173 to induce a constant mean compressive stress of 10 MPa, sufficient to avoid any tensile stress  
174 throughout the test. To authors' knowledge, this is the first fire test in which water front,  
175 temperature and pore pressure are simultaneously monitored under loading in fire conditions.

### 176 **3. Results and discussion**

177 Results from GPR scans are shown in Fig.4 for different values of fire duration. In each frame  
178 the geometrical limits of the slab (top and bottom faces) are indicated (solid triangles), as well  
179 as the rising water front position (black dots). The comparison among the accurate repeated  
180 GPR surveys reveals travel time shifts and amplitude variations among corresponding  
181 reflection events. Beside each frame of Fig.4, the spatial average trace is provided to facilitate  
182 the identification of the recorded events. In this way, for each time step, the information is  
183 synthesized by a single wave, in which the effects of concrete heterogeneity are minimized.

184 While the amplitude at the top of the slab remains constant throughout the test, a  
185 significant blurring appears in the lower part after approximately 20 min of heating. This is a  
186 clear evidence of the presence of a highly absorbing thin layer of water moving from the  
187 heated surface towards the cold core of the slab. This effect ensues from the high absorption  
188 capability of water that reduces the amount of energy transmitted through the remaining  
189 portion of the slab and then collected at the receiver.

190 The migration of the water front, together with the significant temperature rise in the hot  
191 layers of the slab, produces a variation in the electrical properties distribution. This appears as  
192 a change in the location of the bottom face as shown in the last frame of Fig.4 and highlighted  
193 in Fig.5. Considering the initial conditions of the slab, this modification induces an average  
194 increase in the velocity of about 10%, corresponding to a decrease of the average relative  
195 dielectric constant from 5 to 4. On the other hand, the thermal effect on the location of the  
196 water front is expected to be not significant. This depends on the fact that the region of the slab  
197 comprised between the cold face (where GPR is implemented) and the water front, experiences



198 limited temperatures ( $\leq 330^{\circ}\text{C}$ , see Fig.8). For this thermal range, electric properties should be  
199 negligibly influenced by temperature, as demonstrated by the absence of any shift in the  
200 location of the bottom face of the slab in the first 50 min of heating.

201 In order to clearly identify the migration of the water front during the test, the spatial  
202 average traces of Fig.4 have been arranged in the *synthetic radargram* shown in Fig.6a.

203 The horizontal gradient has been then computed by subtracting to each spatial average trace  
204 the initial one (corresponding to concrete in virgin conditions), and the result is reported in  
205 Fig.6b. The subtraction of repeated GPR surveys produces an image in which the differences  
206 among time steps are enhanced, in order to more easily detect hydrally active regions.

207 In Fig.6 the rise of a high reflective front is clearly detectable, as well as the bottom  
208 reflection. The result in terms of water front position as a function of time is finally reported  
209 in Fig.7. Once the water front position is known for any given fire duration, it is possible to  
210 evaluate the time at which the water front crosses the points where temperature and pressure have  
211 been measured, as shown in Fig.8.

212 The measurements of temperature and pressure as a function of time for the 6 measuring  
213 points within the slab thickness are reported in Figs.8a,c, respectively. The coloured dots in  
214 the same plots represent the time at which the water front crosses those points. Temperature  
215 and pressure profiles in the depth for different values of fire duration are reported in  
216 Figs.8b,d, respectively. Also in these plots, coloured dots are used to show the position of the  
217 water front at the time step corresponding to each pressure and temperature profile.

218 In Figs.8a,b it can be observed that the water front starts rising at 10 min, when  
219 temperature exceeds  $200^{\circ}\text{C}$ . After 20 min of heating, the temperature at the water front goes  
220 up to  $322^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Afterward, water front continuously rises, while the corresponding temperature  
221 decreases down to about  $200^{\circ}\text{C}$  after 110 min. In Figs.8c,d it is clear that the peak pore  
222 pressure (both in time and space domains) is reached in correspondence of the water front.

223 Such result is reasonable, since the highest vapour pressure is expected to develop where  
224 evaporation takes place, reaching its maximum value when almost all water is vaporized.

225 The reason why temperature at the water front decreases with time can be found in Fig.9a,  
226 where the pressure at the measuring points is plotted as a function of temperature, together  
227 with the dots representing the time at which the water front crosses such points. At increasing  
228 depths, in fact, pore pressure-temperature rate increases, since the path that vapour has to  
229 travel to escape is higher and moisture migration is slower, as schematically described in  
230 Fig.9b (adapted from Mindeguia, 2009). Hence, moving towards the core of the slab, pressure  
231 development with temperature becomes closer to the vapour pressure saturation curve, which  
232 represents the pressure at which water vaporizes at a given temperature when no vapour  
233 leakage is allowed. This explains why higher pressure can be reached at lower temperature,  
234 for increasing values of water front distance from the heated face.

#### 235 **4. Concluding remarks**

236 The use of Ground-Penetrating Radar (GPR) for monitoring water front migration in concrete  
237 during heating is discussed in the present paper. GPR has been implemented in a fire test  
238 based on one-side heated concrete slab, together with the continuous measurement of  
239 temperature and pressure along the thickness of the specimen.

240 GPR proves to be able of detecting the water front position during heating with an  
241 accuracy comparable to other methods such as Neutron Radiography Imaging and Nuclear  
242 Magnetic Resonance. The big advantage of GPR is the possibility to be easily implemented in  
243 any concrete member heated on one side, which is the common configuration of fire tests for  
244 tunnel lining segments and concrete slabs.

245 The combination of GPR and pressure measurement allows to better characterize the  
246 hygro-thermal behaviour of concrete, this being instrumental in investigating spalling  
247 mechanisms and radiation shielding capability in case of fire.

248 The experimental results highlight that water front cannot be directly related to a particular  
249 temperature, while it is evident that pore pressure peaks in both time and space domains are  
250 reached in correspondence of water front position. This is probably the first experimental  
251 evidence of such behaviour.

252 Finally, it is worth noting that the most effective approach for the evaluation of fire spalling  
253 evolution in concrete is based on the combination between experimental testing and numerical  
254 analyses involving the hygro-thermo-mechanical behaviour. For such numerical models, fire  
255 tests in which temperature, pressure and water front are monitored represent detailed  
256 benchmarks instrumental for the calibration phase. This can be of big help when the design of  
257 strategic reinforced-concrete structures and infrastructures is at issue.

## 258 **Acknowledgments**

259 The Authors are grateful to CTG-Italcementi Group (Bergamo, Italy), for the design of the  
260 concrete mix and the preparation of the specimen, and to Fondazione Lombardi Ingegneria  
261 (Minusio, Switzerland) for the financial support given to this research project. IDS Georadar  
262 Srl is also thanked for providing the Ground-Penetrating Radar equipment. Finally, the  
263 authors are grateful to Prof. Pietro G. Gambarova for helping in improving the manuscript.  
264 This work is a contribution to COST (European COoperation on Science and Technology)  
265 Action TU1208 "Civil Engineering Applications of Ground Penetrating Radar."

## 266 **References**

- 267 1. Kalifa P., Menneteau F. D. and QuenardD., 'Spalling and pore pressure in HPC at high temperatures',  
268 Cement and Concrete Research 30, pp. 1915–1927, 2000.
- 269 2. Khoury G.A., 'Effect of fire on concrete and concrete structures', Progress in Structural Engineering and  
270 Materials 2, pp. 429–447, 2000.
- 271 3. KhouryG.A., 'Polypropylene Fibres in Heated Concrete. Part 2: Pressure Relief Mechanisms and  
272 Modelling Criteria', Magazine of Concrete Research 60 (3), pp.189–204, 2008.

- 273 4. Fu Y. and Li L., ‘Study on mechanism of thermal spalling in concrete exposed to elevated temperatures’,  
274 Materials and Structures 44, pp. 361–376, 2010.
- 275 5. Felicetti R. and Gambarova P. G., ‘On the Residual Tensile Properties of High Performance Siliceous  
276 Concrete Exposed to High Temperature’, Special Volume in honour of Z.P. Bazant’s 60<sup>th</sup>  
277 Anniversary, Prague (Czech Republic), March 27-28, Ed. Hermes (Paris), pp. 167-186, 1998.
- 278 6. Pistol K., Weise F., Meng B. and Diederichs U., “Polypropylene fibres and micro cracking in fire exposed  
279 concrete”, Advanced Materials Research, 897, 284-289, 2014..
- 280 7. Gray W.G. and Schrefler B.A. “Thermodynamic approach to effective stress in partially saturated porous  
281 media”, European Journal of Mechanics - A/Solids, 20, pp. 521-538, 2001.
- 282 8. Ichikawa Y., England G.L. “Prediction of moisture migration and pore pressure build-up in concrete at high  
283 temperatures”, Nuclear Engineering and Design, 228, pp. 245–259, 2004.
- 284 9. Tenchev R., Purnell P. “An application of a damage constitutive model to concrete at high temperature and  
285 prediction of spalling”, International Journal of Solids and Structures, 42, pp. 6550-6565, 2005.
- 286 10. Davie, C.T., Pearce, C.J., Bicanic, N. “Coupled heat and moisture transport in concrete at elevated  
287 temperatures - effects of capillary pressure and adsorbed water”. Numerical Heat Transfer, 49 (8), pp- 733-  
288 763, 2006.
- 289 11. Gray W.G. and Schrefler B.A. “Analysis of the solid phase stress tensor in multiphase porous media”,  
290 International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics, 31, pp. 541–581, 2007.
- 291 12. Gawin D., Pesavento P and Schrefler B.A. “What physical phenomena can be neglected when modelling  
292 concrete at high temperature? A comparative study. Part 1: Physical phenomena and mathematical model”,  
293 International Journal of Solids and Structures, 48, pp. 1927-1944, 2011a.
- 294 13. Gawin D., Pesavento P. and Schrefler B.A. “What physical phenomena can be neglected when modelling  
295 concrete at high temperature? A comparative study. Part 2: Comparison between models”, International  
296 Journal of Solids and Structures, 48, pp. 1945-1961, 2011b.
- 297 14. USNRC. “A Review of the Effects of Radiation on Microstructure and Properties of Concretes Used in  
298 Nuclear Power Plants”, NUREG/CR-7171, ORNL/TM-2013/263, 2013.
- 299 15. Weber B., Wyrzykowski M., Griffa M., Carl S., Lehmann E. and Lura P. “Neutron radiography of heated  
300 high-performance mortar”, MATEC Web of Conferences, 6, 2013.

- 301 16. Toropovs N., Lo Monte F., Wyrzykowski M., Weber B., Sahmenko G., Vontobel P., Felicetti R. and Lura  
302 P., “Real-time measurements of temperature, pressure and moisture profiles in High-Performance  
303 Concrete exposed to high temperatures during neutron radiography imaging”, *Cement and Concrete  
304 Research* 68, pp. 166-173, 2015.
- 305 17. van der Heijden G.H.A., van Bijnen R.M.W., Pel L. and Huinink H.P. “Moisture transport in heated  
306 concrete, as studied by NMR, and its consequences for fire spalling”, *Cement and Concrete Research*  
307 37, 894–901, 2007.
- 308 18. Erich S.J.F., van Overbeek A.B.M., van der Heijden G.H.A., Pel L., Huinink H.P., Peelen W.H.A. and  
309 Vervuurt A.H.J.M. “Validation of FEM models describing moisture transport in heated concrete by  
310 Magnetic Resonance Imaging”, *HERON* Vol. 53, No. 4, 2008.
- 311 19. van der Heijden G.H.A., Huinink H.P., Pel L., Kopinga K. “One-dimensional scanning of moisture in  
312 heated porous building materials with NMR”, *Journal of Magnetic Resonance* 208, 235–242, 2011.
- 313 20. van der Heijden G.H.A., Pel L. and Adan O.C.G. “Fire spalling of concrete, as studied by NMR”, *Cement  
314 and Concrete Research* 42, 265–271, 2012.
- 315 21. Laurens S., Balaýssac J. P., Rhazi J., Klysz G. and Arliguie, ‘Non-destructive evaluation of concrete  
316 moisture by GPR: experimental study and direct modeling’, *Materials and Structures* 38, pp. 827–832,  
317 2005.
- 318 22. Sbartai Z. M., Breyse D., Larget M. and Balaýssac J. P., ‘Combining NDT techniques for improved  
319 evaluation of concrete properties, *Cem. & Conc. Comp.* 34, pp. 725–733, 2012.
- 320 23. Rodriguez-Abad, Martinez-Sala R., Mene J., ‘Water penetrability in hardened concrete by GPR’ *Proc. of 15<sup>th</sup>  
321 Int. Conf. on Ground Penetrating Radar–GPR 2014*, June 30–July 4, pp. 862–867, 2014.
- 322 24. Bagnoli P., Bonfanti M., Della Vecchia G., Lualdi M. and Sgambi L. “A method to estimate concrete  
323 hydraulic conductivity of underground tunnel to assess lining degradation”, *Tunnelling and  
324 Underground Space Technology*, 50, pp. 415-423, 2015.
- 325 25. Jol H.M., “Ground penetrating radar: theory and applications”. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2008.
- 326 26. Lualdi, M. and Lombardi, F., ‘Combining orthogonal polarization for elongated target detection with  
327 GPR’, *Journal of Geophysics and Engineering*, 11 (5), art. no. 055006, DOI: 10.1088/1742-  
328 2132/11/5/055006, 2014a.

- 329 27. Lualdi M. and Lombardi, F., ‘Significance of GPR polarisation for improving target detection and  
330 characterisation’, *Nondestructive Testing and Evaluation* 29(4), pp. 345-356, 2014b.
- 331 28. Benedetto A., and Pajewski, L., ‘Civil Engineering Applications of Ground Penetrating Radar’, Springer  
332 International Publisher, 2015.
- 333 29. Muller, Wayne B., HabibullahBhuyan, and Alexander Scheuermann. "A comparison of modified free-  
334 space (MFS), GPR, and TDR techniques for permittivity characterisation of unbound granular  
335 pavement materials." *Near Surf.Geophys.* 14.6, pp. 537-550, 2016.
- 336 30. Daniels D. “Ground Penetrating Radar”, Peter Peregrinus Ltd, London, 2004.
- 337 31. MaierhoferC., ‘Non destructive evaluation of concrete infrastructure with ground penetrating radar’,  
338 *ASCE Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering* 15 (3), pp. 287–97, 2003.
- 339 32. Yehia S., Qaddoumi N., Farrag S. and Hamzeh L., ‘Investigation of concrete mix variations and  
340 environmental conditions on defect detection ability using GPR’, *NDT&EInt.* 65, pp.35–46, 2014.
- 341 33. Sbartai Z. M. , Laurens S.,Balayssac J. P., Ballivy G. and Arliguie G. ‘Effect of concrete moisture on  
342 radar signal amplitude’, *ACI Mater Journal* 103 (6), 2006a.
- 343 34. Lai W. L., Kou S. C., Tsang W. F. and Poon C. S., ‘Characterization of concrete properties from dielectric  
344 properties using ground penetratingradar’, *Cem. and Conc. Res.* 39(8), pp.687–695, 2009.
- 345 35. Laurens S., Balayssac J. P, Rhazi J. and Arliguie G., ‘Influence of concrete relative humidity on the  
346 amplitude of Ground-Penetrating Radar (GPR) signal’, *Mat. and Struct.* 35, pp. 198–203, 2002.
- 347 36. Sbartai Z. M., Laurens S., Balayssac J. P., Arliguie G. and Ballivy G., ‘Ability of the direct wave of radar  
348 ground-coupled antenna for NDT of concrete structures’, *NDT&E International* 39 (5), pp. 400–  
349 407,2006b.
- 350 37. Klys G. and Balayssac J. P., ‘Determination of volumetric water content of concrete using ground-  
351 penetrating radar’, *Cement and Concrete Research* 37, pp. 1164–1171, 2007.
- 352 38. IAEA, “Guidebook on non-destructive testing of concrete structures”, International Atomic Energy  
353 Agency, 2002.
- 354 39. Xiao X., Ihamouten A.,Villain G. and Derobert X., “Use of Electromagnetic Two-layer Wave-Guided  
355 Propagation in the GPR Frequency Range to Characterize Water Transfer in Concrete”, *NDT & E*  
356 *International*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ndteint.2016.08.001>, 2016.

- 357 40. Abraham O. and Dérobert X., “Non-destructive testing of fired tunnel walls: the Mont-Blanc Tunnel case  
358 study”, *NDT&E International* 36, pp. 411–418, 2003.
- 359 41. Lo Monte F. and Felicetti R. “Heated slabs under biaxial compressive loading: a test set-up for the  
360 assessment of concrete sensitivity to spalling”, *Materials and Structures*, 2017.
- 361 42. EN 1991-1-2:2004, Eurocode 1 - Actions on structures - Part 1-2: General actions - Actions on structures  
362 exposed to fire, European Committee for Standardization (CEN), Brussels (Belgium), 2004.
- 363 43. Felicetti R., Lo Monte F. and Pimienta P. 2017. “A New Test Method to Study the Influence of Pore  
364 Pressure on Fracture Behaviour of Concrete during Heating”, *Cement and Concrete Research*, 94: 13–  
365 23
- 366 44. Yilmaz Ö. *Seismic data analysis: Processing, inversion, and interpretation of seismic data*. Society of  
367 exploration geophysicists, 2001.
- 368 45. Mindeguia J. C. “Contribution Expérimental a la Compréhension des risqué d’Instabilité Thermiques des  
369 Béton”, Ph.D. Thesis (in French), Université de Pau et des Pays de l’Adour, 2009.